## A97-3-1 Phosing Unit Dosiens: Sioplo to Complox

The use of a phasing unit to null out a dominant station (allowing other stations to be heard) can often be of considerable benefit to the DXer. By using two wire antennae and such a unit, the DXer may enjoy effeots comparable to those obtained by rotating a loop. Many DXers have used phasing with great success in the last 25 years or so. Situations where a loop cannot be used (e. G. when the operating position is inside a steel-frame building, mobile home, or vehicle) are those for which phasing is most highly reoommended.

Numerous artioles on the construction and use of phasing units have appeared in the medium-wave DX press. This article will draw references from these previous works:

Micro-MWDX-4A Loop-vs. -Wire Pbaser
(15 MAR 1989)
MWDX-4 and Mini-MWDX-4 series Phasing Units (11 OCT 1985
These articles give parts lists, phaser operating techniques, and construction methods useful to the phasing unit builder / user. Copies of these articles may be obtained from the reprints services of NRC and IRCA.

The purpose of this article is not to go into a point-bypoint description and construction plan for a specific type of unit, but to give some design outlines that, coupled with the ther artioles mentioned, will allow a DXer having little technical experience to get a simple unit up and running in short order. The first design to be presented represents the bare minimum in terms of component-count and design complexity for a 2 -wire phasing unit that can be realistically expected to produce nulls of "pest" stations or electrical noises in adequate number of situations to be worthwhile. Figur hows the lists the principal parts required.

Table 1: Parts List fer the Basic Phaser
Vendor codes [some of these represent updates]
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| :--- |
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Miscellaneous: hardware (screws, nuts, washers, lugs, perfboard, eto.) - see MWDX-4 / Mini-MWDX-4A artiole (Fig's 4/5/5A \& Tables 6/8 of that article) for rough estimates.

The hole list of the Mini-MWDX-4 can be used, with the following deletions: left side $1,5, \& 6$; top side $12 \& 13$. In plaoe of the $50 \mathrm{~K} R 1$, two pots $(\mathrm{R} 1=25 \mathrm{~K}$ shunting $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{R} 2=25 \mathrm{~K}$ shunting C2) could be used for a bit more flexibility. In that case, Mini-MWDX-4 top side holes 12 \& 13 would be used.

FIGURE 1: BASIC PHASER
NOTE : LETTERS IN BLOCKS (E.G. A] ARE REFERENCE POINT DE'SIGNATORS USED IN THE ARTICLE.

$S_{2}=$ FUNCTION SWITCH

Enhancements
A 47-3-2
the builder may opt for any or all of the following custom improvements:

Note that the two tuning capacitors "float" from chassis ground in this design variation. This entails using a bit more mechanioal ingenuity during the construction procesg. Use of a plastic, rather than a metal, chassis box could be helpful.
*3* Replace the 4-pole, 3-position bandswitch (S1) with a 4-pole, 6-position type [Figure 4A] or with a 2-pole, 12position type [Figure 4B].

FIGURE, $4 A=6$ - BAND (LWBC, MW) SWITCH


The Figure $4 B$ set-up gives more frequency coverage than that of Figure 4A, but achievable output levels are somewhat less.
*4* Add a switch (or two switches) to go from wire operation to external loop operation on one (or both) of the lines feeding the summation point. See the MWDX-4/Mini-MWDX-4 article for such a set-up (Figure 1B of that artiole).
*5* Smoother / more precise tuning may be obtained through the use of vernier reduction-drive knobs (e. g. Mouser part number $45 \mathrm{KN100)}$ on the two tuning capacitors. The Micro-MWDX-4A article gives a detailed description of how this can be done. Note that extra holes and hardware, and more panel space, will be required. The mechanical layout should be worked out thoroughly before drill meets metal. For an even better set-up, put vernier knobs on any level-balancing and Q-adjusting pots as well.
*6* An amplifier could be included in the phasing unit box (as was done with MWDX-4), or in a separate unit between the phaser output and the receiver input. An amplifier input leval adjustment pot or stepped attenuator should be provided unless the amplifier module has AGC and/or an unusually high dynamio range figure. My current methodology is to put a small-signal, non-power-hungry $20-\mathrm{dB}-\mathrm{gain}$ broadband amplifier inside of the phasing unit box (see MWDX-4) for rural-locale or shorter-thạn-normal-wire operation, and to use a more "muscular" (powergobbling) amplifier, usually of high-Q tuned design, in an external box when off-channel signal levels are too hith for the built-in amplifier. One could theoretically build a high$Q$ tuned (e. g. regenerative) amplifier into the phasing unit box, but this would increase the number of control knobs on the phasing box to the confusion-inducing level. Reduction of DXer operating efficiency would be the inevitable result.

## "Active phasing"

Gain could ble put between the high-impedance point of each of the two tank circuits (C1 \& C2 stators) and the summation point, rather than after the summation. This would mandate the use of two high-impedance-in / low-impedance-out amp. cards (typically referred to as "front-end" or "active probe" cards). Doing this would eliminate the need for the inductive-divider set-up in the S1 bandswitch. This nctive-phasing concept is probably the only viable means of phasing two very short antennae (e. g. car whips). The 62 pF input coupling capacitors should be increased to over 200 pF for car whip tuning. Active phasing has been tinkered with at WA1ION DX Labs, but intermodulation distortion / crossmodulation / overloading problems have been found to be a serious limitation with typical. JFET (2N4416 / MPF102) high-Z input amplifiers. VMOS FET designs and amplifiers built around "buffers" such as the National Semiconductors LHOO33 and the Burr-Brown 3553 look more promising in this regard. The crunchproof front-ends, of course, get rather warm and consume much more power than a 9 -volt transistor radio battery could ever deliver.

## Conclusion

A very simple phasing unit design, as shown in Figure 1, can be used effectively to null out unwanted signals and allow new DX to be heard. The construction of this Basic Phaser is within the capabilities of many DXers.

With a bit more investment in time and materials, phasing units of greater complexity can be assembled. The specific the ways most important to the the unit to perform better in the ways most important to the end user (e. g. greater frequency

DXers are always encouraged to report any research results from phasing unit experimentation. The most meaningful results in the final analysis, are the new stations put into the results,

