## surplus mechanical filters

This article was inspired fron a ause by Dennis Kibbe (Val 21, H18). He aentioned a local surplus outift * had mechanical filters tor a qood price. I had purchased sone of these filters and decided to finaliy test then.

The first filter is a Rockuell Industries (Collins) II 5269892 020. The nusbers available say vary. It is an upper sideband filter rated at 3.2 KHz wide at 6dB. It sells for $\$ 15.99$.

The next filter is a Kokusai HMP 155-7. \% \% if. It is a Inuer sidfband filter ratid at Z. Ifikiz at hab I: seitis , ur 24".'い
 purchased thas tilter seversi yedrs dqu ior $3 \% 2$. It is a SOB tilter rated it 2.1 KHz at bub.

The above filters are a joud representation of typical nechanical fliters. I also had the urigind ceramic tilter frommy Yaesu PRG-7. I thought it uould be a food representation of type of selectivity found in most aediun priced receivers.

The test set-up consisted of a Heulett-Packard 3325A Oscillator providing the signal source and a H-P 3386A Selective Level Voltmeter measuring the frequency response. These units were controlled by an $H-P 85$ computer. The frequency response was checked fros 445 KHz to 165 KHz . The qraph is divided horizontally in 1 KHz divisions. The qraph is divided vertically in 10 dB steps. This gives a measureacent range of 100 dB . The tilters were mounted on a printed circuit. board.
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The firct graph shows the colling filter. if secontid 1requency is about 467 KHz . It measured 3 . 3 Kfiz wide at bdi and 4.4KHz wide at GUdB. This gives a shape 1 detor ot 1:1.33. It has an stop-band rejection of B\%dH. This is an outstanding medsurement.

The second graph shows the Kokusal illter. It's efenter frequency is 463.5 KHz . It measured 2.35 KHz wide at -6dB and 3.7 KHz wide at -60 dB . This gives a shape tactur of $1: 1.57$. It has a stop-band rejection of 75 dB .

The third graph shows the Dittmore-Preimuth iliter 1t's center irequency is at 465 KHz . It measured 2.2 KHz at -6 dB and 4.6 KHz at -60 dB . This gives a shape factor of $1: 2.09$. It has a stop-band rejection of 73 dB .

The fourth graph shows the original filter from ay Yaesu PRG-7. It s center 1 requency is at 455 KHz . AL leasi 1 think it is. It measured 8 KHz wide at - 6 dB and 12 KHz wide at -60 dB . It has an stop-band rejection of 50 dB . This is a poor figure even for a ceranic filter.

## RESULTS

| Pilter | Center ( KHz ) | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \mathrm{~dB} \\ & (\mathrm{KHz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -60 \mathrm{~dB} \\ & (\mathrm{KHz}) \end{aligned}$ | SP | $\underset{(\mathrm{dB})}{\mathrm{SB}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collins | 457 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 1.33 | 87 |
| Kokusai | 453.5 | 2.35 | 3.7 | 1.57 | 75 |
| D-P | 455 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 2.09 | 73 |
| PRG-7 | 455 | 8.0 | 12 | 1.5 | b0 |

The measurement s. shou thal it is ioportant to luuk be yond just the -6dB specification when chosing a filter. In p- filter 15 the narrowest at the - $6 d B$ point but overal is not the best. The shape factor and stop band alt just as important and give you an overall look at what you जan experet fron your tiller

Buth the Colfins and Kikusat art excelfent performert and art at a bargain prict. The main protitems 1 sure in their usage depends on your receiver. In single cunversion receivers there shouldn't be much probleas except for physical size and several dis of loss. These filters are aboul 2.5 inches long and have 6-8db loss. In multible conversion receivers you may have a problem since the center frequen cies are offset ftom 4 !s,kitz. There any also be problems in receivers with digital readout readiny seviral kizz oft.

11 you ran ust these tithers in yomt rarover fatt ens:
 nerded to make up for int.ertion loss: tie surt inat you quil the sper therets and use the data for proper intertateing.

It obvious that the rRcif hereds heip in it serectiv ity. l pian to try the lollins filtet in my PRt: \%. . results stoon.

If anyone has any questions of romments itel fice io contact me. I an also running fooce teit on reramice flltert
 Any help whuld be appreciated

> Marc Bergadn P.O. Box 6286 Oxnard.CA 93031

805-486-8170

Kanadian Korner! linews Prom "The Prozen Yworit" March 1, 1935

| $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 770 \\ & 770 \end{aligned}$ | NB | CFS J | St. John gets a CP for 10kw. U4...ex 1150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AB | CHQR | Calcary gets a CP for 50 kw , U2...ex 810. (DS) |
|  | A. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | CIBQ | Brooks denied moving from 1340... Dan via Ted(CRQR). |
|  |  |  | Now I can hear Ray on KGO clearly. Wow! |
| $\begin{aligned} & 820 \\ & 830 \end{aligned}$ | ON | СНА品 | Hasil ton won! 50km. D4...ex 1280, CKAs \& CPGM lost |
|  | ON | CFJR | Brockville ctis a CP 5kv. U2. ex 1450. Also listed |
|  |  |  | as $5 / 1 \mathrm{kw}$. This is another sizirise as many freq. chanjes ere not printed until buch later. |
| 870 | BC | CKIR | Invermere-Redium is on. NH got'em. "Columida-Shuswap" Blogan replaces "BiC R" \& "R Country". CKIR is now CKXR-1-FM North Shuswap(Sorrento). Relays CKGZ-1400 who twin CKCR-1340 whose mother is CKNR-580. Strone nichtly in Vancouver turbs. So $18 \mathrm{KIEV} / W W \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{KORD} / \mathrm{KUUY}$. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 880 \\ & 910 \end{aligned}$ | AB | CBQT | Edmonton got the CP for 50 km . U2 ex 1110 . CYSQ lost. |
|  | AB | CKDQ | Drumheller cot the booty prize. CP for 50 kW . U2 but lost their bid for 880-CKSQ \& 770-CIBQ. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1070 \\ & 1230 \end{aligned}$ | AB | CFST | St. Albert is OPF. |
|  | AB | CILII | WainWright. CRTC lists say CIIW not CJLF. and also |
|  |  |  | list CILW-1340 as CHLW-1. Anyway, they still twin 1310. |
| 1340 | AB | CIIW | Grande Centre is listed as CHLH-1 by CRIC. |
| 1370 | PQ | CFVD | denied twinning any PM. |
| 1380 | NB | CHLR | Honcton is OFF(Canadian Press). |

NHL Toronto Yaple Leafs... a bit late, eh!
CJCL-1430 Toronto: CHUC, CKNS/CJNR/CKNR, CI:RO, CHYR, CFUKK-FM, CKOB,
CKKH, CJTN(CJBQ \& CJNA not listed), CKGB-750, CJCS, CJKL/CJTT, CKMP,
CFCH, CKBB/CKCB, CFJR-E30, CJRN, CFOR, \& CJQi:-FL. It's good in 1986.

Re IRCA Oct. 6 Page l...I didn't say CHQR decided not to move. Ted did.
The big Regina-Saskatoon-Brandon frequency switches should be of next:

