**Television Digest** 

APRIL 4, 1960

© 1960 TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC.

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT No. 4

### Full text of

# FCC Public Notice on 'Sponsorship Identification of Broadcast Material'

FCC 60-239 ... PUBLIC NOTICE 85460 ... March 16, 1960

Editor's note: The following FCC interpretation of the Communications Act, governing payments to stations, has produced industry-wide concern because of the extensive changes it requires in programming & business operations. The section with the greatest impact is the requirement that stations identify the donors of free records. NAB, networks & individual stations have petitioned the Commission to suspend the effectiveness of the document and to consider interpretations of the law conflicting with FCC's.

Information supplied by broadcast licensees in answer to the Commission's recent inquiry concerning unannounced sponsorship of broadcast material, and other information before the Commission concerning such practices indicates that many station licensees have failed to comply with the requirements of Section 317 of the Communications Act and with the Commission's Rules promulgated thereunder. In many instances, such broadcasts resulted from practices of station employees and independent contractors, acting in their individual capacities. In these situations, questions are raised as to whether the licensee took reasonable steps to inform itself as to the type and nature of the material being broadcast by its station, and to assure itself that its operation met the sponsorship identification mandate of the Act and the Rules.

It is apparent that consideration has been provided in exchange for the broadcasting of various types of material without an accompanying announcement indicating that consideration was provided, and by whom, in exchange for or as an inducement for the particular broadcast. The information before the Commission indicates that, in general, such consideration was usually in one of the following forms: (1) recorded material provided to licensees and/or their employees and independent contractors for actual air use or for some other use by these groups (prizes to listeners, door prizes at "record hops" etc.); (2) promotion of outside activities in which a licensee, employee or independent contractor participated and from which he received financial or other benefits; (3) acceptance of travel expenses, accommodations and other valuable consideration by a licensee or its employees or independent contractors in exchange for "plugging" a place, product, service or event; and (4) payments for "plugs", expressed or implied, without accompanying revelation that the particular broadcast material was, in fact, sponsored.

Section 317 of the Communications Act reads as follows:

"All matter broadcast by any radio station for which service money, or any other valuable consideration is directly or indirectly paid, or promised to or charged or accepted by, the station so broadcasting, from any person, shall, at the time the same is so broadcast, be announced as paid for or furnished, as the case may be, by such person."

Commission regulations promulgated thereunder are contained in Sections 3.119, 3.289, 3.654 and 3.789 of the Commission's Rules. The Congressional intent in enacting Section 317 of the Communications Act and similar antecedent legislation was clearly to prevent deception on the part of the public growing out of concealment of the fact that the broadcast of particular program material was induced by consideration received by the licensee. During the past two years, the Commission has had many occasions to consider the applicability of the above statute and rules, and has made its interpretations public. Consistently, these interpretations have contained the statement "The Commission, of course, expects that in connection with all of the material presented over his station, the licensee will use reasonable diligence to ascertain the true sponsor and source of the material broadcast, and will disclose the same to the station's audience as required by the Rules."

We call the attention of all licensees to the current Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the matter of Amendment of Sections 3.119, 3.289, 3.654 and 3.789 of the Commission's Rules, released on February 8, 1960 (Docket No. 13389), and the views expressed in the Commission's Public Notice of October 10, 1950 entitled "Sponsor Identification on Broadcast Stations" (6 Pike & Fischer RR 835).

With respect to the many prevalent practices revealed in licensee responses to the Commission's inquiry of December 2, 1959, and in other information before the Commission, it is evident that compliance with the Act and the Rules has not been attained. Accordingly, a discussion of these practices appears pertinent at this time.

#### FREE RECORDS

Information before the Commission indicates that virtually all broadcast stations receive some free musical recordings from manufacturers, distributors or other parties interested in promoting the recording itself or the performer or musical selection displayed thereon. The number of such recordings received, the charges to the station (if any), the number of copies of an *individual recording* received, the manner and degree of solicitation (if any) on the part of the station and other similar factors vary from station to station. The Commission's information indicates that, generally, stations in major metropolitan areas receive essentially all recordings free of charge; stations in smaller cities receive records at substantially reduced prices from manufacturers or distributors via "subscription services"; and the remainder of the stations secure few free records or subscription service records.

The Commission is of the view that the receipt of any records by a station which are intended by the supplier to be, or have the practical effect of being an inducement to play those particular records or any other records on the air, and the broadcast of such records, requires an appropriate announcement pursuant to Section 317. This includes, but is not limited to, those situations in which a manufacturer, distributor or other person donates recordings (whether or not copies of the selections being played on the air) to the station as an inducement for exposure on the air of recordings handled by the same manufacturer or distributor. The Commission is of the view that, as a practical matter, quantities of records are given to broadcast stations for no other purpose than as an inducement to obtain preferential air exposure for certain recordings in which the donor has a financial interest—especially in those situations where a relatively large number of recordings are "donated" to a station for distribution to listeners as prizes, or to be given away at "record hops" etc.

The Commission is further of the view that an announcement must accompany the playing of any recording received under terms such as those outlined above, indicating that the station has received consideration and from whom for playing the particular recording and/or that the recording was furnished to the station, and by whom, as appropriate. An announcement merely stating the trade name on the record label, for example, without the added indication that consideration (in the form of the recording itself or otherwise) was supplied or furnished is insufficient. Only an announcement containing both of these elements, where applicable, provides the degree of information to the listening public contemplated by the Congress in enacting Section 317. It follows then that compliance with said statute requires that an appropriate announcement accompany the playing of all recordings received free or at a nominal charge, and that a similar announcement be made when the station broadcasts recordings of a particular manufacturer, distributor, etc. who has provided other free records which the station utilizes in any non-broadcast manner.

#### **PROMOTION OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES**

The most frequent activity falling into this category is the promotion of "record hops." These enterprises may be owned by the station licensee, by its employees, by outside parties, or by some combination thereof. If the station or its employees do not have the beneficial interest in the enterprise, the station personality acting as "record hop" master of ceremonies may receive a salary or portion of the profits. In some instances, the "record hop" may be a fund-raising activity of a charitable, civic, educational or religious organization. Information before the Commission indicates that such "record hops" frequently feature the distribution of records (obtained free or at a substantial reduction in price by the station or its employees) as door prizes, and also that such presentations often utilize re-cording "talent" on a "live" basis, with the performer's fee paid by the station, its employee, or a record distributor. It is also noted that on many occasions the "talent" ap-pears for a fee substantially less than the prevailing or union pay scale; or as a variation thereon, the operator of "record hop" is partially or fully reimbursed by a the record distributor or manufacturer for the fees paid to performers.

Obviously, where a disc jockey or station licensee anticipates a financial benefit to be derived from participation in a "record hop" enterprise, advance on-the-air promotion of the "hop" will stimulate larger attendance than could otherwise be expected. Past practices reveal widespread "record hop" plugging on stations where the station itself or its employees had some financial interest in these enterprises. Such announcements have usually been labeled "promotional" non-commercial spot announcements by the stations broadcasting them, or, in the extreme cases, no cognizance whatever has been given to these announcements, and they have not been entered on the station's logs on the theory that they were a part of the disc jockey's ad-lib "patter." It also appears that recordings by per-formers appearing at the "hop", or recordings distributed by the donor of free records to be given away at the "hop' may have been played at frequent intervals preceding the "hop" as a means of engendering in the listener a desire to purchase an admission ticket to the "hop" or in exchange for the cooperation of performers or donors of records. The probability of increased financial benefits accruing to the beneficial owners of and paid participants in these "record hops" as a result of broadcast promotion is readily apparent. Less direct, but just as financially advantageous are the benefits to performers, distributors and record manufacturers from air exposure in return for their contributions to the "record hop."

In light of the above, the Commission is of the view that appropriate announcements must accompany *all* broadcast material (announcements, playing of records, etc.) where a *profit* is to be derived from these "record hops", or where recorded or other broadcast exposure is being provided (whether based upon an express or implied agreement) in exchange for all or a part of a performer's fee or in exchange for the donation of records, prizes, hall rental, etc. Such announcements must identify the parties deriving financial benefit from the "record hop" as well as any other parties providing consideration in any form whatsoever in exchange for any of the above types of broadcast exposure. Although ostensibly it may appear that money, services or other valuable consideration is being provided gratuitously for use in some aspect of the presentation of the "record hop" itself, where such consideration is, in fact, provided for the purpose of or has the effect of inducing on-the-air "mentions" or "record spins", the accompanying announcement shall clearly state that such consideration is being provided, and by whom, in exchange for the broadcast presentation of one or more of these various types of program matter.

These sponsorship identification announcement requirements apply in connection with all "record hop" enterprises where any or all of the above commercial practices are involved, irrespective of the identity of the persons or nature of the organizations receiving the net proceeds of such "record hops."

#### TRANSPORTATION, ACCOMMODATIONS, "REMOTE" EXPENSES

The Commission's attention has been directed to the fact that transportation and accommodation expenses, and equipment operation and origination expenses incurred in "remote" pickups have been paid in part or in full by persons or organizations as an inducement to the broadcast of program material containing, for example, pictures or descriptions (which may or may not be accompanied by editorial comment or indorsement) of a place, product, service or event. Such payments may have either been made with the understanding that the product, event, etc., would be given broadcast exposure, or made in the hope that the person receiving the benefit would consider the matter of general interest or "newsworthy" and decide to provide broadcast coverage.

When inducements of the type set forth above result in the broadcast of any type of program material, it is especially important that an appropriate announcement be made. In such instances, the public may reasonably believe that the licensee considered the place, event, etc., to be of sufficient news or entertainment value so as to justify extraordinary expenditures in order to provide broadcast coverage when, in fact, consideration offered by a party or parties other than the licensee or commercial sponsor of the program was responsible, to a degree, for the decision to broadcast the particular program material.

The announcement contemplated in these situations should fairly disclose the fact that consideration was provided, and by whom, as an inducement for the broadcast presentation. This type of announcement is anticipated in those instances where the consideration is given with the understanding that certain broadcast coverage would be provided, and also where consideration has been given with the hope that broadcast exposure would result when, in fact, such exposure does occur.

The Commission wishes to distinguish between situations where the program material *alone* (for example, a "travel" film produced by a chamber of commerce) is provided to a licensee for air use, and situations where consideration other than or in addition to the program material itself (for example, a trip to a resort) is provided as an inducement to the licensee or its employees or independent contractors to broadcast certain matter. The former requires an announcement that the program or film was furnished to the licensee for broadcast use; the latter necessitates the additional revelation that consideration was provided in return for or as an inducement to the broadcast of the particular program material.

The Commission is compelled to reject the contention advanced by some licensees that in the above situations no announcement is required because such "favors" are "normal business practices" and because no more benefits are derived by broadcast personnel than accrue to members of the press, etc. who regularly are given this type of "junket." These arguments are wholly without merit by reason of the fact that certain requirements not applicable to other forms of communication have been imposed by the Congress on broadcast stations. The acceptance of such gratuities is in no way proscribed so long as the announcement required by the statute is properly made.

#### "PLUGS" AND "SNEAKY COMMERCIALS"

Instances have come to the Commission's attention in which "trade out" announcements—announcements in exchange for which the station receives services or products—have failed to disclose the fact that the particular matter broadcast is commercial and is supported by some form of consideration. For example, the Commission considers such statements as "Travel arrangements made through Trans-State Airways" to be the substance of the "plugs" themselves. Such announcements do not indicate that consideration (free transportation) was provided *in exchange for* the particular broadeast exposure or "plug."

Similarly, absent an appropriate announcement, eompliance with Section 317 is lacking in arrangements for the barter of air time involving the exchange of cash, products or services for broadcast exposure of certain products or services (e.g., close-ups of certain brands of typewriters on TV newscasts in exchange for the loan, free of charge, of typewriters for use in the station's offices) in which the commercial aspect of the presentation is not apparent. Additionally, such exposure may imply an indorsement of the particular product by the broadcast licensee. When, in fact, such objects are shown because of some financial benefit accruing thereby to the licensee, its employees or independent contractors, the listening and viewing public is entitled to the knowledge that such is the case in order that it may view such a commercial presentation in its true context.

It has come to the Commission's attention that intentional, indirect references have been made to certain products in syndicated "interview" and other types of programs. For securing the broadcast of such "plugs", the producer, program packager or "public relations" organization receives a fee from the particular sponsor involved. In some instances, it appears that the licensee broadcasting the program not only failed to receive revenue for this commercial use of its facilities, but in addition neither the licensee nor its audience may have been aware that the matter broadcast was deliberate commercial advertising. In this connection, the Commission has also been advised that networks and other producers and suppliers of program material have made surcharges (in the form of products and "promotional fees") for the publicity value to a manufacturer resulting from a showing and description of his product on television programs. For example, the manufacturer of a refrigerator to be awarded as a prize on a give-away program may be required to provide a number of *extra* refrigerators and may be charged a "promotional fee" for the broadcast exposure of his product. The Commission wishes to indicate to producers or suppliers of such programs that it considers this matter a serious one inasmuch as such practices, engaged in without the knowledge of the stations broadcasting such programs, have the effect of preventing individual licensees from complying with the Commission's sponsorship identification and logging requirements.

On September 9, 1959, the Commission released a Memorandum Opinion and Order denying a petition for rule making permitting the utilization of "teaser" announcements without sponsor identification of each such announcement. However, it has come to the attention of the Commission that practices similar to the broadcasting of "teaser" announcements have been utilized subsequent to the date of this Order. We wish to emphasize that, in addition to "teaser" announcements, the broadcast of any similar commercial matter, such as that in the form of the playing of an instrumental version of a commercial jingle—associated exclusively with the sponsor holding the copyright to the musical jingle—without explicit identification of the sponsor, is likewise proscribed.

We also believe that, in light of the above discussion, it should be obvious that such practices as periodically playing a song from a current motion picture, when such is inspired by an express or implied agreement with a local theatre or distributor to do so (or as a "bonus" for purchasing a number of spot announcements advertising the movie) and is not accompanied by an appropriate sponsorship announcement, violate Section 317 of the Act.

Responses to the Commission's inquiry of December 2, 1959, indicate that questions exist concerning compliance with Section 317, compliance with the Commission's station log requirements, and possible abdication of licensee responsibility in the selection of program material, as well as character qualifications of licensees. The Commission recognizes that in some instances, non-compliance with the provisions of Section 317 may have resulted from a misinterpretation of that section and in other instances negligence on the part of the licensee in carrying out his responsibilities or a failure to maintain adequate supervision on the part of management, or reliance on what has been termed "accepted industry practices." While the Commission is not delineating precise situations or circum-stances which will warrant the imposition of sanctions for past violations of Section 317 of the Act, the Commission will not consider the reasons illustrated above as a sufficient excuse for non-compliance occurring in the future. Cases now before the Commission involving wilfulness, misrepresentation or serious neglect on the part of the licensee or other circumstances indicating a failure to exercise the proper degree of licensee responsibility will be considered by the Commission on a case-to-case basis and appropriate action will be taken in each case. However, pending final action on the proposal advanced in Docket 13389, the Commission expects its broadcast licensees to use the utmost diligence to apprise themselves of situations in which their employees or independent contractors have outside financial interests which are being promoted on the air and to act accordingly to require that appropriate announcements be made wherever Section 317 is involved.

# A Service of Television Digest This special supplement is sent to all TELEVISION DIGEST subscribers. Additional reprints available at 15¢ each; 10 copies \$1.00; 25 copies \$2.00.

Address: Box 700, Radnor, Penna.



www.americanradiohistory.com

**WEEKLY** Television Digest

APRIL 11, 1960

© 1960 TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC.

VOL. 16: No. 15

The authoritative service for executives engaged in all branches of the television arts & industries

### SUMMARY-INDEX OF WEEK'S NEWS

### NAB

**NAB CONVENTION—SERIOUS, CALM, BIG**—as industry works to root out malpractices, impress public & govt. Most interest in FCC "identification" ruling and search for new president (p. 1).

**NEW BROADCAST EQUIPMENT** excites engineers at NAB (p. 2), New video-tape recorder models & accessories ease editing (p. 10). Other highlights (p. 9).

**EASING FREE RECORD EDICT** apparently emerges from FCC panel discussion. Broadcasters like Ford's views (p. 4).

**'FORD IN YOUR FUTURE'**—FCC chairman strikes up new theme: concern about "excessive violence;" reaffirms position on "community responsibility" (p. 6).

**TIO PROGRESS REPORT** after 6 months shows 150 subscribers, \$500,000 budget, 14-member staff under Louis Hausman (p. 7).

**SALVATION BY CODES** preached by Donald H. McGannon & Cliff Gill in NAB reports on industry self-controls. Survival is at stake, they say (p. 7).

**TRIBUTES & KUDOS** presented at NAB convention to late NAB Pres. Fellows and industry pioneer McCullough, convention keynoter (p. 9).

ABC-TV PITCH TO TV AFFILIATES (p. 11) and NBC-TV's (p. 12).

### Foreign

**CUBA READY TO SEIZE** big CMQ network after freezing Mestre personal fortunes and taking over CMBF network—to put all TV under govt. ownership (p. 3).

### FCC

FCC's SHORT-LICENSE PLAN for putting stations on probation for misbehavior draws few comments, but NAB deplores it as threat of "censorship" (p. 4). Dept. (p. 19).

### Manufacturing & Distribution

**17- & 21-IN. TV DIES HARD.** Industry consensus is that these sizes will lose sales ground slowly to 19, & 23s, remain sales factor as price leader for at least another year (p. 20).

**JAPANESE EXPORTS** to U.S. rose more than threefold in 1959, totaling \$75.6 million. Transistor radio imports outstripped U.S. production, new figures show (p. 20).

### Congress

ALLOCATIONS PUZZLE UNSOLVED in negotiations with White House, FCC Chmn. Ford tells Sen. Pastore. OCDM, military spurn FCC's 50-channel TV plan (p. 4). Dept. (p. 18).

### Film & Tape

**SAG & MAJORS SETTLE STRIKE** but WGA strike drags on as Guild stiffens demands for foreign residuals (pp. 4 & 14).

### **Auxiliary Services**

**BARTLESVILLE MAY RISE AGAIN** as a pay-TV town. Pres. Henry Griffing of Video Independent Theaters Corp. has applied for franchises (p. 12). More on Telemeter (p. 12) and Zenith (p. 13). **STATIONS, CATV & DUPLICATION** discussed by small-town telecaster William Grove, now Scottsbluff CATV applicant (p. 13).

# Stations

**AUTOMATED "NETWORK"** service being offered to FM broadcasters, supplying 18 hours of good music daily and requiring only one full-time station attendant (p. 18).

#### Other Departments

NETWORKS (p. 13). ADVERTISING (p. 17). PERSONALS (p. 19). FINANCE (p. 23).

**NAB CONVENTION—SERIOUS, CALM, BIG:** Beautifully run & biggest yet, NAB convention in Chicago last week was singularly free of panics, rump sessions & the like—despite broadcasters' anxieties & confusion about governmental policies & actions. Smooth, businesslike & calm atmosphere seemed to be a sort of testimoníal to NAB's late Pres. Harold E. Fellows—who was eulogized by CBS Pres. Frank Stanton in opening session (p. 9).

Registration was 2,810 (2,238 management-ownership, 572 engineering) vs. previous high of 2,448 in 1957. Next year's convention will be in Washington May 7-11 at Shoreham & Sheraton Park hotels.

Most attention was directed to FCC & its new chairman Frederick W. Ford, as broadcasters sought relief & clarity in connection with Commission's "sponsor identification" interpretation. But FCC panel discussion of free records left them still confused, although some easing was apparent (p. 4). And Chmn. Ford's speech gave both comfort & concern—thus forcing them to cautious speculation about his administration until Commission makes final decisions in specific areas (p. 6).

Hunt for new president was constantly on minds of NAB leaders, notably 3-man policy committee— Clair R. McCollough, Steinman stations, chmn. (and convention keynote speaker, p. 9); G. Richard Shafto, WIS-TV, Columbia, S.C.; Merrill Lindsay, WSOY Decatur, Ill.—and the chmn. of selection committee, C. Howard Lane, KOIN-TV Portland, Ore. Dominant man of NAB at present, McCollough again said he wouldn't accept presidency. He stated that policy committee wants man picked soon, doesn't believe he should be "political," and therefore sees no need of waiting for political conventions or elections. In next few weeks, several promising men will be interviewed. He's also of view that NAB shouldn't be reorganized and handed over to new president, as some members desire, believing instead that new leader should have free hand. Some of most likely candidates, he said, don't know they're being considered.

Lane said his committee came to convention with 30 names, left with nearly 100. Group went through list, did some eliminating, will do so soon again at unspecified date.

Policy committee met at end of convention to instruct staff on preparation of comments of FCC's sponsor-identification ruling, testimony for Harris committee hearings. Next meetings are April 18 & 25.

There was intense interest in all subjects, standing room only for virtually every session—TV & radio codes (p. 7), the sold-out luncheons (1,600 each), TvB (p. 8), RAB, automation & tape, TIO (p. 7), FM (p. 8).

In background, most important was fiercely intensifying network competition as NBC & CBS fought to offset ABC's all-the-trimmings pitch to its affiliates (p. 11).

In wake of Zenith-RKO General pay-TV announcement of plans to test in Hartford (Vol. 16:14 p3), it was apparently too early for clearcut telecaster reaction. None brought subject up with us voluntarily. On questioning them, we got impression that quite a few less-well-situated operators (and some well-situated) wouldn't blink at subscription TV if they thought they could make money at it. Networks still haven't commented about it. We detected some feeling, generally, of resignation to a test. A Wall St. Journal reporter questioned 30 telecasters, found them evenly split, pro & con. He obtained a resounding "no" from W D. (Dub) Rogers, KDUB-TV, Lubbock, Tex., new TV board chairman.

Everyone was quick with kudos for NAB staff, headed by secy.-treas. Everett E. Revercomb, and cochairmen Thomas C. Bostic, Cascade Bestg. Co., Yakima, Wash., & Payson Hall, Meredith stations.

<u>McCollough summed it up</u>: "I've been attending NAB conventions since 1931. This was not only the biggest but the best I've ever attended. I've never seen such serious-minded attention to industry matters. I didn't hear one, single, solitary complaint. First time in history. The industry wants to ferret out the things that are not good. At the same time, it wants the public & official Washington to know what is good."

**NEW EQUIPMENT EXCITES BROADCAST ENGINEERS:** Enthusiastic station engineers partook of a \$15-million new-equipment smorgasbord at the NAB convention in Chicago last week. Their bosses had their Diner's Club cards at the ready.

Much of the broadcast gear shown for first time had been announced previously (major exception: new compact Ampex Videotape recorder)—but both looking and buying interest in new equipment was the highest it has been in several conventions, equipment makers agreed. As we saw them, these are capsule highlights of product displays in Conrad Hilton exhibit hall:

Video-tape recorders again hogged the spotlight, with biggest crowds attracted to Ampex & RCA displays—and the no-holds-barred rivalry between the 2 recorder makers was as rough as ever. TV tape pictures never were more beautiful. Fed from new 4½-in. image orthicon cameras, differences between live & taped shots were indistinguishable even to many engineers. RCA color tapes were especially sparkling.

Ampex introduced 2 new Videotape recorder models—the compact new VR-1001-A upright machine for mobile or small-space installations, requiring only 11 sq. ft. of floor space, and standard-size VR-1000-C console incorporating all latest improvements. Most impressive improvement was Inter-Sync, which permits great flexibility in combining outputs of 2 recorders or live camera & recorder for special effects, etc.

RCA's advanced TV tape-recorder incorporates transistorized processing amplifier, air-bearing recording head motors and other changes contributing to the excellent pictures shown.

Much industry energy has been devoted to improving editing techniques & devices for video tape and some of the fruits of this labor were apparent at the NAB show. These are described, along with other TV-tape highlights, on p. 10.

Clean, crisp picture provided by 4½-in. image orthicon cameras was another hit of the show. Demonstrating its brand new TK-12 camera was RCA, while Marconi & EMI imports were shown by Ampex & Telechrome, respectively. <u>New GE-Eastman continuous-motion film camera</u>, using new techniques to project steadier pictures, eliminate virtually all film scratches, attracted steady crowd, and there were reports that one network will change over all its 16-mm projection gear to the new type; Chicago's WBBM-TV ordered 3 of the first units, including the one displayed at the show.

In the "sheer fascination" category—as usual—were TV special-effects generators and station automation equipment. Telechrome & RCA had special-effects generators in constant action, while RCA & Visual Electronics offered punched-tape TV automation—RCA featuring a film of Taft's WKRC-TV Cincinnati, and Visual Electronics showing operational equipment on the display floor.

Radio gear may not be as glamorous as TV equipment—but it sells better because of the vastly bigger market. Featured in almost overwhelming quantities were radio-station automation tape systems, neat transistorized & modular audio equipment, new AM & FM transmitters. Interest in FM transmitters was at a new high—and almost every manufacturer showed new & higher-powered models.

For a more detailed description of broadcast-equipment advances, see p. 9.

**WHAT HAPPENED IN CUBA:** The frightening story of what is happening to mass communications in Cuba—as Castro's govt. prepares to seize the last privately-owned TV stations—stands as grim reminder of the free telecaster's almost overwhelming democratic responsibility as custodian of most powerful political medium ever known.

Personal bank accounts of leading Cuban broadcasters Goar & Abel Mestre have been frozen by the state, and their CMQ TV & radio networks are due to be taken over shortly. There is one report that the decree has already been signed, the Castro govt. now waiting for propitious moment to put it into effect.

Just a year ago, Cuban broadcasters welcomed Castro's victory as relief from Batista regime's odious censorship, enthusiastically taking new govt's. pledges of freedom at face value. Among staunchest supporters of new regime were the Mestre bros. (Vol. 15:3 p3). Today, in his organized campaign to stamp out all hints of opposition and even questioning, Castro has already taken over 75% of Cuba's radio stations and 80% of newspapers; is preparing to confiscate last 7 of Cuba's 26 privately owned TV stations. Here is chain of events leading up to latest seizures:

Cuba's dominant broadcasting service, CMQ, has a 7-station TV network and a radio network. Owned 100% by Goar & Abel Mestre, CMQ also owned 55% of the CMBF-TV Cadena Nacional, a 7-station TV network whose entire programming is on film. (Almost all of CMQ-TV's programming is live). CMQ owns 60%, CMBF 40% of Microondas Nacionales, 2-channel 17-hop microwave system connecting TV & radio stations of the 2 networks. CMQ acquired its interest in CMBF & CMBA-TV (Radio Nacional) in 1957 when they were in serious financial difficulty, merging them to form present CMBF network. Primary purpose of CMQ's acquisition was to keep the stations from falling into hands of Batista govt. Batista henchman contractor Alberto Vadia was permitted to acquire 29% of the newly merged CMBF-CMBA network primarily in order to keep Batista from the door. Vadia's interest in station was announced in press releases in Havana in March 1957, and was mentioned in Television Digest in both March & Sept. 1957 (Vol. 13:10 p14, 37 p8).

Several months ago Castro "discovered" Vadia's "secret" interest in CMBF network and took over his 29%, Vadia fleeing country. Castro also seized Telemundo network & CMBJ-TV (Vol. 16:9 p2).

Meauwhile, back at CMQ, Castro friend & strong supporter commentator Luis Conte Aguero, who had joined the network in Jan. 1959 shortly after Castro's victory, began questioning the increasing evidence of Communist infiltration in govt. Last month in his TV-radio broadcasts, he directed warnings of this danger to the govt. Immediate result was blast from Castro group at Conte Aguero and Mestre bros. as "reactionaries."

Climax came last month. Conte Aguero announced March 24 that he would make his farewell broadcast next day—an open letter to his former idol, Fidel Castro. He never made the broadcast. He was prevented from entering studio by organized mob of 800-900, who chanted in unison for govt. "intervention."

Castro fired warning shot at Mestre bros. same day—his govt. seized entire CMBF Cadena Nacional network. Two days later, Mestre bros.' personal bank accounts were frozen. Next step will be seizure of CMQ-TV & radio nets.

Conte Aguero is now in Miami, seeking asylum in U.S. Goar Mestre is in South America looking after his TV interests there, after a brief stop in the U.S. Abel Mestre, as we went to press, was still in Cuba, waiting for the axe to fall on CMQ.

**FCC'S SHORT-LICENSE PLAN:** Deadline comments by industry on FCC's rule-making proposal to keep a tighter rein on questionable TV & radio operators by shortening their 3-year licensing periods (Vol. 16:10 p5) failed to generate excitement last week.

Only outright opposition to the probation plan came from NAB, which saw a threat of "censorship" in it—since "it seems apparent that the Commission's proposal is aimed at programming." NAB said a grant limited to 6 months or a year (FCC hadn't indicated what period it had in mind) might prevent a station "from ever getting off the ground."

CBS "does not oppose the proposal," the network said, if it could be assumed that FCC doesn't have any ideas about "influencing or intruding into programming decisions of the broadcaster." But CBS saw little need for such a rule now, suggested "it could represent a step [toward] govt. control of radio & TV programs."

Westinghouse Bcstg. Co. came through with support for the less-than-3-year licensing period so long as it's "restricted to those cases which the FCC feels might not be in the public interest." Westinghouse also suggested that more-than-3-year periods should be applied at the other end of the good-behavior spectrum.

Radio WEJL Scranton (Scranton Times) said it "heartily approves" the proposal, "commends the Commission for this forward step." At the same time, WEJL said, FCC should take steps toward 5-year licenses.

• • • •

ALLOCATIONS PUZZLE UNSOLVED: White House negotiators (including OCDM & military representatives) and FCC so far have failed to come up with any agreement on TV's allocation problem, and there's little chance that Commission will win a 50-channel broadcasting system contiguous to vhf.

This was nub of a report filed by FCC Chmn. Ford with Senate Commerce Communications Subcommittee Chmn. Pastore (D-R.I.), who asked for it following allocations hearings in Feb. (Vol. 16:6 p2).

"Although this matter still is under study," Ford wrote, "the Commission's representatives received the impression that the executive branch representatives felt an exchange of spectrum space which would provide for such a 50-channel TV system could not be accomplished without jeopardizing national defense."

As an alternative plan requested by OCDM, FCC then submitted a specific 30-channel reallocation proposal which Commission thinks "represents the minimum number of channels required for TV broadcasting for the foreseeable future," Ford said. A 10-year implementing schedule was suggested by FCC for it.

"Thus far, no final decisions have been reached at policy level concerning either the 50-channel or the 30-channel proposal," Ford told Pastore, adding that they're still under "active study" by the White House, and that "Commission representatives were informed that an attempt would be made to reach a firm position on the Commission's proposals within the next 6 weeks."

Ford's less-than-optimistic report on the outlook for more whf spectrum space for TV broadcasting concluded with this: "Comr. Lee is of the opinion that the course of action proposed in this letter has little chance of success and believes we should proceed with the full implementation of an all-uhf system."

. . . .

**SAG-MAJORS STRIKE ENDS:** Peace finally came on one important labor front in Hollywood Friday, when the Assn. of Motion Picture Producers and Screen Actors Guild reached a compromise settlement to end the SAG strike which began March 7. As a result, production can begin April 11 on the 8 movies which were suspended during production by the SAG strike.

<u>Regarding sales to TV of movies</u> begun after Jan. 31, 1960—the controversial post-1960 area—the producer will pay 6% of his receipts after deducting 40% for distribution expenses. In the event of outright sales to TV, the deduction is sliced to 10%. Actors will get no money from movies made between Aug. 1, 1948, and Jan. 31, 1960, which are sold to TV. (This represents a major gain in principle for the studios which were determined not to pay actors a share of post-1948 movies to TV revenue.) Producers, however, gave up their insistence that pictures which lost money in theatrical release be excluded from the post-1960 terms.

<u>Producers gained a concession from actors in the area of pay TV.</u> The new 3-year contract covers production of movies, including any made for pay TV. It stipulates, however, that "in the event that pay TV has become a reality and has had a material impact on the theatrical boxoffice, provision is made for reopening the contract on minimum wage rates only in the final year of the contract." (For more details, see p. 14.)

# NAB

#### More about

**NAB'S FCC PANEL:** Some relief from the more onerous requirements of FCC's sponsor-identification ruling (Vol. 16:14 p2) apparently emerged from the Commission panel session at the NAB convention. The action was unusual—amounting to case-by-case, Commissioner-by-Commissioner decisions on the spot in answer to questions, many of them from the floor.

It really wasn't very satisfactory, because Commissioners differed and there was no way of telling what a majority vote would be in specific cases. In any event, broadcasters were eager to rely on those Commissioner comments that leaned towards leniency, particularly those of Chmn. Ford.

Most important, probably, was Chmn. Ford's statement: "It's difficult to determine a mixed library [of free & bought records]. I'm impressed with the sincere efforts which have been made. If you can't do it, you can't do it. There are an infinite number of problems. We've opened it up for comments. When we get the comments, there's got to be a practical answer. I'd guess we'll look at it in a practical way, not too much concerned with existing libraries." Another significant Ford pronouncement was that a broadcaster would have "no problem" if free records were announced once an hour.

#### **Commissioners Differ with Each Other**

But, as questions continued to flow, conflicts arose. Bartley said that sponsors must be identified "when the material is broadcast," but Craven sparked cheers & applause—the biggest demonstration of all—when he asserted: "We should not impose on the public by numerous announcements each time records are played."

Bartley said that due bills & tradeouts must be announced—and the audience murmured uncomfortably. Came the question: Suppose a station owns a music store; the station buys records and the store buys spots. Is any announcement required? "No," said Cross. Apparently, if cash is exchanged, FCC won't object—but bartering won't pass muster.

If a station newsman hops a ride with a police helicopter to cover a story, must the acceptance of the ride be announced? No, according to Ford.

How about free material from govt. sources? Hyde: "If you're using govt. material, you should be particularly sure to identify the source."

In a public-service announcement, from the Red Cross, etc., may name of source "speak for itself"—require no announcement? "Sounds okay to me," said Bartley.

How about Congressmen's free films & tapes "prepared at govt. expense, using franked mail?" Hyde: "You'll want your listeners to know who is paying for a political pitch."

And so it went. You never knew when a Commissioner spoke for the majority. Fact is, the Commissioners aren't sure either.

Broadcasters' attempts to comply with FCC's desires are as varied as the foregoing views of the Commissioners. Many are still sitting tight, making no changes in their practices pending FCC's study of the industry comments which are due May 2. Others have quit using free records. Some are bunching broadcasts of free & bought.

After May 2, the Commission may be able to change its mind gracefully. Otherwise, as Ford interjected at one point: "Maybe the statute has to be amended." Other subjects discussed at the panel session:

(1) TV allocations. No final decision yet, said Ford, regarding availability of more vhf channels. (For FCC's report on negotiations with the military, see p. 4.) FCC's short-spaced vhf allocations will be decided on a case-bycase basis, said Craven. Ford plumped for FCC's proposed legislation which would require that all sets be able to receive all channels, saying that it would be good regardless of whether more vhf channels are procured or whether renewed attempts are made to implement uhf. Craven said that the future of uhf depends on economics, technical developments and "decisions of receiver manufacturers."

(2) Vhf boosters. Craven said he expects a decision by July 4 and that he would give existing operators time to meet Commission standards—unless they cause interference.

(3) Economic protection. Asked what FCC thinks about granting more than one station in a community of 10,000, Hyde said: "FCC has granted them. Protection invites strict regulation."

(4) Remote control of TV transmitters. Craven said he has no objection, particularly of lower-powered units.

(5) Stereo. Bartley: Doesn't approve of using 2 channels (AM & FM) for one service. Hopes to approve stereo on a single channel.

(6) Political time. Can a station give candidates no more than 5 min. each? Cross: "I think a station can limit the time."

(7) Self-regulation. Question: "In order to negate the possibility of all broadcasters being further regulated because of a few, would FCC be willing to judge stations by their adherence to the NAB standards?" Bartley: "I have endorsed self-regulation often, so I think the best source of having an industry of high stature is through self-regulation. I don't want to be misunderstood that the FCC has no responsibility in this area. But primarily it is the licensee's responsibility and should be endorsed."

(8) All-industry audience-reaction reporting service. Cross: "I see no objection to it."

Short-spaced vhf drop-in petitions filed with FCC during the last year threaten the coverage of 44 stations, Lester W. Lindow, exec. dir. of the Assn. of Maximum Service Telecasters told AMST members in Chicago. Furthermore, he said, most of the petitions weren't even for markets with a "critical shortage" of facilities-as defined by FCC-and they were filed in spite of FCC's request that drop-in petitions be withheld until the completion of its short-spaced rule-making. The meeting was attended by 165 people representing AMST's 141 members. Engineering & legal reports were given by Howard T. Head & Ernest W. Jennes, respectively. Officers elected: Jack Harris, KPRC-TV Houston, pres.; Charles H. Crutchfield, WBTV, Charlotte, first vp; Donald W. Davis, KMBC-TV Kansas City, 2nd vp; Harold Essex, WSJS-TV Winston-Salem, secy.-treas.; Lester W. Lindow, AMST, asst. secy.-treas. The exec. committee, in addition to Harris, Crutchfield, Davis & Essex, includes: John H. DeWitt Jr., WSM-TV Nashville; John S. Hayes, WTOP-TV Washington; C. Wrede Petersmeyer, Corinthian stations. The technical committee: Henry E. Rhea, Triangle stations, chmn.; DeWitt; Joseph B. Epperson, WEWS Cleveland; Thomas E. Howard, WBTV Charlotte; Phillip B. Laeser, WTMJ-TV Milwaukee; Carl G. Nopper, WMAR-TV Baltimore; Orrin W. Towner, WHAS-TV Louisville; Wilson B. Wearn, WFBC-TV Greenville (alternate).

**'FORD IN YOUR FUTURE':** Concern over "excessive violence" in programming was the new theme launched by FCC Chmn. Frederick W. Ford in his maiden speech as Chairman to broadcasters at the NAB convention. Most of the rest of his talk recapitulated his well known views on broadcasters' responsibilities to their communities and FCC's role of keeping tabs on performance.

Broadcasters don't have Ford placed yet. They were wary. They liked parts of his speech, were uncertain about others—are waiting to see by his actions what his words mean. He got into the "violence" subject like this:

"In full recognition of the basic concept that it is the licensee's responsibility to select program material for broadcast in the public interest, I hesitate to speak to broadcasters about program content. I share with you the belief that neither the Commission, the Congress nor the public wants anyone in govt. to dictate what should or should not be broadcast." This produced vigorous applause, but what followed brought none:

"Public comment & complaints about excessive violence have, however, reached such proportions that I feel compelled to mention it to you today. A number of witnesses in the Commission's recent hearings on programming testified that in their opinion, action looking toward a solution to this problem was of the utmost urgency. Time will not permit even a summary of all such testimony but I would like to quote from one: '... In the fertile minds of children are implanted the seeds of violence, trickery & corruption and the idea that as long as justice triumphs in the end, the means used to attain it matters little, if at all. Surely to present a preponderance of crime & saccharine superficiality is to distort reality & truth.'

"That statement was given to the Commission on behalf of the National Congress of Parents & Teachers, an organization of nearly 12 million parents, teachers, and other citizens organized in more than 45,000 PTA's across the country. This is a formidable group."

#### 'Just Follow Your Own Code'

Ford then stated that broadcasters need but follow their own Code, which reads: "Television and all who participate in it are jointly accountable to the American public for respect for the special needs of children . . ."

"It is the earnest hope of all concerned," Ford said, "that improvement in these matters can be accomplished by voluntary adherence to the TV Code by all stations rather than risk the imposition of restrictive measures which can only result in destroying originality & initiative."

On the "broadcasters' responsibilities" front, Ford asserted: "The Commission was created by Congress to insure that the obligations of the licensees are met. The Commission is required by law to review the broadcasters' performance at least every 3 years, not to determine whether he has made a profit, but to see whether he has faithfully carried out his obligations in the public interest.

"What are some of the obligations specifically? First, of course, is the obligation to determine what the needs of his public are. Requests for time by local groups to bring matters of importance to the attention of the community are certainly indications of public need. The broadcaster is charged with responding sympathetically to such requests and to make available a reasonable amount of broadcast time for these purposes. I fail to see how an operation can be in the interest of the public when such requests for time are consistently ignored or turned down because of disruption to the commercial schedule. "Second, I believe further that the broadcaster has the affirmative duty to stimulate the community's use of his station as an outlet for local expression. He should take steps to alert local groups & organizations that his station stands ready to make its facilities available and to cooperate with them in . . . dealing with community problems."

Ford wasn't wholly hortatory, for he also commended industry for clearing up quiz-rigging & payola, asserting that the former is "a thing of the past" and there are assurances that the latter "will no longer be part of the broadcasting scene."

Preliminary analysis of FCC's payola question produced the following, he said:

(1) 2,757 stations (59%) reported finding no payola.
(2) 447 (9.5%) reported that "consideration other than cash" was received by the station and/or its employes, unaccompanied by proper announcements.

(3) 50 (1%) reported that cash had been received by employes—without announcement of the fact.

(4) 18 stations (0.4%) reported that the station itself had received cash without broadcasting source name.

(5) The remainder, 1,374 (29%) said that they had received free records and hadn't identified the donors.

The statement which won the most applause was this: "We can all agree on the principle that the least government is the best government, or in more modern terms, that the least regulation is the best regulation." But some misgivings were generated by: "In general, when the Commission is faced with a problem indicating the need for corrective action, the fundamental question in my mind is not whether the Commission has the authority to take corrective action, but it is to determine precisely what remedy is required and whether it is the Commission's responsibility to apply that remedy. If it should then appear that further statutory authority is needed before the remedy is applied, it becomes the Commission's obligation to inform the Congress . . . and propose the necessary legislation."

\*

\*

÷.

"'It is sometimes amusing, sometimes irritating, the manner in which magazines keep chopping at television,' writes P. M. Clepper, St. Paul Dispatch TV columnist. 'In the latest issue of McCall's, Clare Boothe Luce goes all out in a plea for government control of television. She belabors John Doerfer, FCC chairman [then], because he won't play censor, although she admits that isn't his job. She also ridicules him for not being able to write some sort of law about violence on TV. I would love to see Mrs. Luce's attempts to put down in legal form that stabbings, suicide, and incest can be shown on TV in classics such as Oedipus Rex and Hamlet, but not in contemporary series.' Mr. Clepper considers, too, the case of Walter Kerr, theater critic writing in Horizons magazine, who bemoans the lack of originality on TV, yet accepts a fat TV fee to do a rehash of a moth-eaten play that has been done dozens of times."-Editorial presented over WCCO-TV Minneapolis.

Harry S. Truman was in his usual snappy form, speaking at the Broadcast Pioneers banquet in conjunction with the NAB convention. He warned broadcasters to be alive to their responsibilities & power lest they induce more & more restrictive laws. During a news conference earlier, he said that the job of candidates was to get out among the people, not only to appear in carefully-arranged TV & radio broadcasts. Asked whether he watches TV, he said: "I do occasionally, when there's something I want to see —like Margaret." **SALVATION BY CODES:** Some of the bluntest language heard by delegates to last week's NAB convention in Chicago came from fellow broadcasters who diagnosed the state of the industry's self-regulated health.

No words were minced by Chmn. Donald H. McGannon (Westinghouse stations) of the TV Code Review Board and Chmn. Cliff Gill (radio KEZY Anaheim, Cal.) of the Standards of Good Practice Committee in telling standingroom-only TV & radio assemblies that broadcasting must take more Code medicine—and in stronger doses.

"It is a matter of survival rather than a matter of whimsy," McGannon said, warning the industry against "status-quoism" and "suspicion & cynicism" in treating itself for ills exposed by quiz & payola scandals. It would be fatal to self-regulation, he said, if telecasters tried to comfort themselves with "relax and it will all blow over."

At the same time, in a packed room across the hall in the Conrad Hilton Hotel, Gill was laying it out to NAB's radio members: "On the matter of self-regulation, now its the time for the broadcasting industry to put up or shut up. And for some station operators it may be the time to put up or shut down."

McGannon & Gill noted—but didn't boast—that since NAB's 1959 convention the ranks of avowed supporters of industry's good-conduct rules have grown as never before.

#### 'Only the First Step,' says McGannon

"Despite the progress that has been made, however, I am concerned," said McGannon. "I feel that the so-called first plateau has been reached and phase one of a severalphased ascent is complete. I'd be something less than candid if I didn't recognize & acknowledge that the many external pressures [in Congress & elsewhere] of the past year facilitated some elements of our progress. But it is imperative that this progress be continued and, hopefully, in an atmosphere that is far less pressurized than that which we have experienced in the last 8 or 10 months. Our future consists of the perfecting of the basic plan.

Observing that NAB's "crash program" to enlist more Code subscribers had succeeded in bringing in only 60% of radio members, Gill said his committee and NAB "have been alarmed" by: (1) "The serious threat of more rigid govt. regulation that confronts us." (2) "The apparent lack of interest or apathy on the part of too many broadcasters."

It was a swan-song report for McGannon, who under NAB rules couldn't continue as Review Board chmn. At the end of the convention he turned over his job (described by NAB TV vp Thad H. Brown Jr. as "excruciatingly sensitive & difficult") to E. K. Hartenbower (KCMO-TV Kansas City). New members of the Board, now expanded from 5 to 8, are Robert W. Ferguson (WTRF-TV Wheeling), James M. Gaines (WOAI-TV San Antonio), George Whitney (KFMB-TV San Diego).

McGannon's parting shots included these:

"We must prove to the Commissions, both the FCC & the FTC, as well as to Congress, that our sensitivity to protecting the public interest is second to none, and that we do possess the responsibility & integrity to fulfill this objective. To the advertisers & their agencies, we must demonstrate that while we are not censors, we do not propose to relieve them of their responsibility as corporate citizens & organizations bearing a substantial public trust. While we do not suggest pre-screening, pre-monitoring, or such similar practices which are destructive of the very concept of self-regulation, we do propose to hold them to their responsibility & yet join them in the fulfillment of a mutual obligation." **TIO Progress Report:** The semi-autonomous TV Information Office, established in N.Y. under NAB auspices following last year's convention, now has 150 subscribing members, including the 3 networks & NAB itself—"but there ought to be at least double that number."

This was reported to a TV assembly at the NAB convention last week in Chicago by C. Wrede Petersmeyer (Corinthian stations), a member of the NAB committee which set up TIO. It operates on a \$500,000 budget with a 14-member staff at 666 Fifth Ave. Calling for more widespread support of TIO, Petersmeyer said it should be an all-industry clearing house for facts & figures in reply to unwarranted attacks on TV. He also urged individual stations to defend themselves more vigorously in their home communities when TV is criticized. As it is, Petersmeyer said, "We wring our hands & say 'Isn't this awful?""

Stronger backing for TIO also was urged by Willard E. Walbridge (KTRK-TV Houston), another NAB committee member, who said the new organization should function to "close the gap between [TV's] present performance & shiny potential" as a public-interest medium.

Louis Hausman, in an accounting of his first 6 months as TIO dir., said major undertakings have included promotion of "grassroots" projects to improve TV's "image" and work with groups "on the national level" to win a better understanding of TV's achievements & problems. As good examples of local enterprise, Hausman cited & sampled monthly bulletins being published jointly by Los Angeles' 7 stations & Chicago's 4 to inform their communities of TV's "continuing contribution in information, education and the arts."

Lively political session on "Washington 1960—An Election Year" wound up the first TV assembly at last week's NAB convention in Chicago. It featured an analysis of national political trends by NBC's Washington commentator David Brinkley, who said there'd be no sharp policy changes no matter who is elected President. He also predicted that little "drastic" legislation on FCC or other federal regulatory agencies would be passed by this Congress or the next. Similar views were expressed by NAB's govt. relations mgr. Vincent T. Wasilewski, who appeared as a panelist at the session. He said Congressional elections will have little effect on present compositions of Senate & House Commerce Committees.

NAB'S TV Board elected W. D. (Dub) Rogers, presgen. mgr. of KDUB-TV Lubbock, Tex., as chmn. to succeed G. Richard Shafto, exec. vp of WIS-TV Columbia, S.C. Dwight W. Martin, chmn. of WAFB-TV Baton Rouge, was named vice chmn. of the Board, replacing Payson Hall of Meredith stations. Elected to 2-year terms on the Board at the NAB'S Chicago convention were these new members: Joseph C. Drilling, KJEO Fresno; Eugene S. Thomas, KETV Omaha; Campbell Arnoux, WTAR-TV Norfolk; Henry B. Clay, KTHV Little Rock; William B. Quarton, WMT-TV Cedar Rapids; Joseph S. (Dody) Sinclair, WJAR-TV Providence.

NAB convention was swarming with "payola inspectors." They were identified by huge plastic badges—one of the few gags of a relatively gag-less convention. Other badges which blossomed forth on convention delegates: "Payola, Anyone?" "I Take Payola." Although there was no advertising matter on the badges, they were distributed by Mutual Broadcasting System, **CASH PREDICTS MORE CASH—& QUALITY:** Now is the time for TV "to start thinking big"—and better—as an advertising medium if it hopes merely to keep pace with the nation's growth in the '60s, TvB Pres. Norman E. (Pete) Cash told the concluding TV assembly of NAB's 38th convention in Chicago last week.

In a major TvB presentation—"How Good Must We Be?"—Cash said TV will have to corral \$600 million more in advertising by 1965 and add a total of \$1.3 billion by 1970 "to merely maintain its present share" of 13.8%.

But that won't be good enough, Cash added, ticking off anticipated economic & industry developments which should help propel TV forward in "a great surge." He said TV's goal for 1970 should be 24% of all advertising expenditure and a total of more than \$5 billion vs. the \$1.5 billion of 1959. Noting that only 10 years earlier TV's take was a mere \$38 million, Cash argued that "perhaps such a goal for 1970 is quite realistic."

In his 10-year look ahead for TV, Cash told the convention assembly: "We've got to be more effective, and above all we've got to work together. In quantity, we will probably need more newspapers, more magazines, more TV stations. But above all, in quality, we've got to be better."

The TvB leader's predictions for the '60s included these: (1) All advertising doubled to a \$22 billion volume. (2) A 10-million increase in households. (3) TV penetration into 90% of households vs. 87% now. (4) Addition of 150 TV stations, "bringing 4-station service to at least the top 100 markets." (5) Growth of color TV—"a must." (6) A 20% increase in rates on TV—now "very much underpriced"—based only on increased circulation.

Cash's forecast was a sort of trailer introducing TvB's pride & joy—its color film "The Exponential," which was given its first general NAB showing at the convention. The TV assembly applauded the film long & loud.

#### Automation & TV Tape Panels

Other features of the TV session included a report by Roger Read (WKRC-TV Cincinnati) on "The Automated Station—a Case History" and a panel on "The Economics of Video Tape." The panelists were NAB's personnel & economics mgr. Charles H. Tower, Lawrence M. Carino (WWL-TV New Orleans), George Stevens (KOTV Tulsa), Frederick S. Houwink (WMAL-TV Washington), Russ Baker (Ampex), E. C. Tracy (RCA).

WKRC-TV's Read said that after 3 months in automated operation of a \$145,000 system, the Taft station figured that the principal economy would be elimination of jobs of "8-2/5 engineers" this year. One disadvantage of automation, he reported, was the forced elimination of live remote telecasts in the Cincinnati area by WKRC-TV. Money-saving virtues of video tape, as noted at their stations, were lauded by panelists Carino & Stevens, supported by Baker & Tracy. Houwink said WMAL-TV is "all for video tape," but hasn't bought a machine yet because tape "has not yet come up to the point where it is the best way to use our money."

In an earlier NAB convention radio assembly, RAB Pres. Kevin B. Sweeney staged a presentation for that medium—complete with a costumed dramatization—on "The Nature of the Enemy." He said components of radio's enemy included TV, magazines, newspapers—and "radio itself." Deploring what he said was radio's tendency to sell against itself rather than against competing media, Sweeney called on radio stations to exhibit more "backbone" in fighting for their advertising shares. Sweeney proposed a 5-point pledge for radio operators to keep: (1) "I will not stab other radio stations at any time in any way." (2) "I will use my facilities at least 20 times a day for the next 3 months, beginning this week, to improve radio's image & acceptance." (3) "I will develop my story vs. newspapers and my story vs. TV." (4) "I will each week attempt to do something to enlarge the audience." (5) "I will recognize that my backbone is what makes my station strong."

An extra added attraction at the radio session was bearded Mitch Miller, Columbia Records executive, who told the delegates what he would do "If I Were Your Program Director." One thing he wouldn't do with music programming, Miller said, would be to let "my business be run for me by a caucus of kids at the sweet shop or Joe's record store. I'm a professional & so are my staff. At my station, we hire professionals, not census takers, and we'd be foolish to let those sub-teens put their grimy little fingers in our till."

**FM Optimism:** Biggest news from NAB's special "FM day" sessions Sun. April 3: Standing room only. As many as 500 at a time crowded into the Conrad Hilton's Waldorf Room—all the proof needed of FM's current resurgence.

NAB radio vp John F. Meagher supplied the vital statistics: FM sets-in-use, at least 15.5 million; stations operating March 1 this year, 696, up from 591 a year ago; CPs outstanding, 848, up from 725.

If the groundswell still hadn't produced profits for some FM stations, there was optimism nonetheless for the future—one important factor being the coming of FM stereo, for which FCC is due to set standards this year.

Three FM receiver manufacturers predicted increased FM set sales, and explained what they were doing to increase circulation for FM broadcasters.

Ebullient Henry Fogel, pres. of Granco Products, which rose in the radio firmament via cheap FM sets, flatly predicted that "within the decade AM radio will be relegated to the pages of history" in favor of FM. He predicted that FM radio-receiver sales, which exceeded one million last year, will climb to 4 million a year (including a million auto sets) by 1963, when 2,000 FM stations will be broadcasting in stereo. Granco sales dir. Herbert Frank outlined an upcoming promotion—in which Granco will give away 2,000 FM sets in honor of the 20th anniversary of the first FM station application next month.

Fogel told us later that Granco's FM auto radios will be introduced in July for marketing Sept. 1. They'll be priced at \$75 for a complete FM-only radio, \$50 for a tuner attachment for AM car radios.

Motorola auto radio sales mgr. C. J. (Red) Gentry described his company's new \$125 hi-fi FM car radio, said public reaction has been good. Motorola, he added, will introduce a complete new line of FM sets May 16.

Zenith's Ted Leitzell showed his company's new AM-FM portable radio and outlined plans for a new FM-radio advertising-promotion campaign by his company.

Both Motorola & Zenith spokesmen aroused a bit of audible ire from the audience when they delineated their plans to advertise FM radios. The FM broadcasters were shocked to learn Motorola's plans to promote its FM car radio didn't include use of the FM medium, Leitzell was questioned from the floor as to why Zenith apparently discriminates against radio in cooperative ad allowances. Both spokesmen assured the broadcasters they'd bring these policy matters up with their companies. **TRIBUTES & KUDOS:** Simplicity & great warmth characterized CBS Pres. Frank Stanton's tribute to the late pres. of NAB, Harold E. Fellows. With Clair McCollough's speech, it helped establish the calm, serious tone of the convention. Said Stanton:

"Broadcasting never had a more effective, more respected, or more diligent ambassador . . . I need not list his responsibilities & services. You are aware of them. . . . The broad influence of Hal Fellows came in part from the universal respect and affection in which he was held by men & women in radio & TV. He was not only liked—he was trusted. He could walk into a lion's cage of conflicting forces and come out unharmed—and often with a solution."

The NAB board issued a resolution paying tribute to Fellows' contributions, saying in part: "To this service he gave his highest effort—his hopes & his energy, his devotion to broadcasting, his loyalty . . . and finally his life."

Clair R. McCollough, of the Steinman stations, was given NAB's distinguished service award for 3 decades of "industry citizenship unique in the annals of broadcasting." His keynote address was in the nature of a fatherly admonition, particularly to newer & younger members.

"It is a truism," he said, "that elders in their wisdom frequently look upon the young with annoyance tempered by compassion . . . Their enthusiasm & their well-intended zeal has led, at times, to a certain amount of fretful grumbling among their fellow broadcasters." However, he went on, there has been an "emergence from this group of numerous highly intelligent, public spirited, successful broadcasters upon whom must rest the responsibility for the profession's future."

The principal thrust of his address was directed at shoddy business practices. "We have moved," he said, "from the enervating period of rate cutting to the far more dangerous situation where some radio stations do not have established rates. Indeed, manifestations of the same practice are now appearing in TV. Are we, in truth, such poor businessmen that we do not know how to price our product and stay with the decision? . . . Among the fundamental, underlying ills of broadcasting are rate manipulations, trade deals, downgrading the competition in our own media & kindred activities . .."

As for NAB itself, McCollough said, members expect it to do things beyond its reach: "The NAB performs the most essential function of any organization in broadcasting. Yet, at times, the impossible is expected of it. There are those who would have the NAB negotiate their union contracts; try their cases before FCC; rebuke their competition & explain their audience ratings.

"Times have changed. The NAB cannot be all things to all people. It has a prescribed course and must be what it set out to be—an organization devoted to the advancement of its members' objectives and a protection for their interests. These objectives & these interests must exist in a climate untainted by program interference or any other kind of artificial intrusion."

- 🔳 -

Assn. for Professional Bcstg. Education elects: Bruce A. Linton, U. Kan., pres.; Earl Dougherty, radio KXEO, Mexico, Mo., vp; Richard M. Brown, radio KPOJ, Portland, Ore., secy.-treas. The Assn. works toward producing betterprepared employes for TV-radio. Other board members: William Holm, radio WLPO, LaSalle, Ill.; John Jacobs Jr., radio WDUN, Gainesville, Ga.; William Swartley, WBC; Richard Goggin, NYU; Kenneth Harwood, USC; Leo Martin, Mich. State U.; Harold Niven, U. of Wash. NEW BROADCAST EQUIPMENT: Better pictures, greater convenience, easier maintenance, lower power drain and compactness—these were the keys to new TV & radio gear shown in 49 different displays at the NAB convention in Chicago's Conrad Hilton last week (see p. 2).

More than a half-dozen new cameras made their formal debuts at the show—highlighted by 3 makes of cameras designed for the 4½-in. image orthicon tube. These had in common a clear picture with more resolution, virtually no warm-up time, elimination of halos. Particularly suited to the making of video tapes—because their sharper picture permits more generations of duplicate tapes—they were among the hottest TV attractions of the show.

RCA's strikingly designed TK-12 camera, Ampex's British Marconi Mark IV 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-in. camera and Telechrome's British EMI Type 203 which can use interchangeable 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-& 3-in. assemblies, were notable for their sharp pictures, simplicity of control and stability. Among other new cameras demonstrated, most of them reported previously in these pages: Telechrome-EMI 3-vidicon color camera, for industrial & broadcast use; GE low-light color camera; Dage all-transistorized broadcast portable vidicon camera with back-pack transmitter (CBS bought one for political convention coverage).

A new projector, the only one of its kind, was in constant operation at the GE display. The Eastman Model 350 continuous projector (Vol. 16:13 p14) eliminates the intermittent motion of conventional mechanical-shutter projectors. Unlike previous continuous projectors, this one uses a vidicon camera for pick-up. Among its features is a "skew-ray plate" between film and light source, which conceals all but the worst film scratches. The 16-mm projector is priced at \$12,500 excluding vidicon camera.

Also shown by GE was a unique new studio monitor (TM-21-A), of modular design. It contains 7 component modules, each of which can be unplugged in seconds for replacement with a minimum of lost time.

Two punched-tape TV station automation systems were demonstrated. RCA announced the availability of systems similar to that installed at WKRC-TV Cincinnati, reportedly resulting in the reduction of the technical staff from 23 to 10 men. Visual Electronics' system was demonstrated, using a lightboard layout to represent the various functions of the equipment.

Telechrome's improved special-effects generator displayed a new feature—wipes with motion. An infinite variety of effects—including moving wipes shaped like flames, moving cog-wheels, etc.—were shown. Another new feature is the positioner, which permits moving inserts, pointers and other special effects anywhere on the screen. RCA's special effects system features plug-in selector cards which provide more than 150 basic effects.

Taking notice of FCC's willingness to look at less-thanminimum separations between TV stations, RCA displayed miniature models of traveling wave antennas with varying radiation patterns, and announced that it will tailor directional antennas to order for its customers.

Radio & audio gear was more compact, modularized and made widespread use of transistors. Radio program automation equipment was everywhere, ranging from automatically-keyed jukebox-type record-selection mechanisms to numerous automatic tape-cartridge-playing devices.

American stations weren't the only ones which were making buying decisions at the NAB equipment show. Foreign operators were there, too, and most of them brought

\*

money. An Argentine govt. purchasing commission was understood to be shopping at the show for \$500,000 worth of equipment to revamp govt.-operated commercial Ch. 7, now facing its first competition from privately-owned Ch. 9 (with some NBC ownership) & Ch. 13 (reportedly planning tie-up with Goar Mestre-CBS-owned production studios), both due on the air in about 3 months.

Low-power TV transmitter designed for foreign stations was displayed by Visual Electronics. Made by Dynair Electronics Inc., San Diego, it is designed for video power of 600 watts or less and is similar to the one recently put into operation in Port au Prince, Haiti. The one shown at the convention was sold to Television Ecuatoriana, Guayaquil, Ecuador. Jose Rosembaum, gen. mgr. of the upcoming Ch. 4 commercial station, told us he hopes to have it on the air by May 15.

**Colorless Color:** A Mexican TV engineer succeeded in producing color effects on an unmodified b&w TV screen in an impromptu demonstration at the NAB convention. "Amazing," said some observers. "Clever," said others. Then the crowd dispersed in search of aspirin tablets and/or dry martinis.

.

The engineer was Guillermo Gonzalez Camarena of Telesistema Mexicano, long-time veteran color-TV experimenter & inventor (Vol. 5:52 et seq.). He brought with him a reel of video tape which he'd recorded in Mexico, and obtained the use of a Videotape recorder at the Ampex display for his demonstration.

Recorded on the tape were a series of electronicallyproduced images looking like rapidly-moving stripes. These showed up to us, and to other observers, as pastel green, bluish and purplish red—although the picture was displayed on a standard monochrome monitor.

Although Gonzalez Camarena didn't explain what caused the color effect, other than to say it was subjective, another well-known color-TV engineer explained to us that the slow flicker rate of the stripes fatigues the rods & cones in the human visual mechanism, producing an "after-image" in color—such as the color image sometimes seen after viewing a bright object. Different flicker frequencies produce different color images. A 100-year-old principle, said the engineer.

Gonzalez Camarena said he would have this b&w color system perfected in about 6 months, for use in commercials. The British apparently have beaten him to it, however, having experimented with a similar "subjective color" system in 1956 (Vol. 12:43 p8) and later using the system for Oxo (bouillon cubes) commercials, in which the flickering Oxo carton was supposed to show up red. The Japanese are understood to have used the system for commercials.

It seems to have one insurmountable drawback. It depends for its effect on a low flicker rate which is fatiguing to the eye, causing headache. Nevertheless, it might have some commercial value, judging from the response of those witnessing the demonstration at the convention. It could sell aspirin & martinis like crazy.

The late Merlin H. Aylesworth, first pres. of NBC (1926-36), was elected to the Broadcast Pioneers' Hall of Fame at the group's annual banquet in Chicago last week. His daughter, Mrs. Dorothy Gwyer, received a scroll honoring Aylesworth "whose contribution to public awareness & acceptance of broadcasting was beyond measure; whose daring innovations in programming brought the finest of music, culture, education and entertainment to the public."

**TAPE AT NAB:** Video-tape equipment and techniques again were among hottest subjects at last week's NAB convention—the 5th convention A.T. (after tape). Now that tape is an accepted & essential working telecasting tool, most engineering efforts are being aimed at overcoming its major shortcomings. High on this list is difficulty in editing—and the advances shown last week give promise that tape will be as easy to edit as film in just a couple of years.

New equipment at the NAB show was designed to assist in both electronic and mechanical editing (see p. 2). Most fascinating development was Ampex's Inter-Sync, offered for both old & new Ampex VTRs. It permits dissolves, wipes, split screens, etc., combining live & taped shows, also making possible the electronic editing of 2 tapes into a 3rd master tape—switching from one to the other (even using trick effects)—to produce a completely edited master tape without a single splice. Inter-Sync locks the VTR, vertical field-for-field and horizontal linefor-line, to all other signal sources, preventing rollover, picture jitter, etc.

RCA announced availability next summer of a "framelock" accessory at low cost (\$895), which locks tape recorder frame-for-frame with other picture sources, permitting "electronic splicing" through switching, dissolving, etc., without roll-over. Ampex's line-for-line Inter-Sync costs \$4,200. RCA's frame-lock is part of a complete electronic tape-editing system now under development.

Two electronic aids to mechanical cut-&-splice tape editing were disclosed at the NAB show. Telecasting engineers were fascinated by Conrac's new Video-Chek Multi-Frame Storage Unit—2 prototypes of which were shown. The \$6,000 unit can display one to 4 still video picture images from the tape on any monitor. At the same time it "freezes" the picture, it puts an audible beep on the tape's cue track, so that the editor can find the exact frame he is viewing. Images can be stored up to 8 hours, opening another use for the storage unit—the televising of still images from a video tape; for instance, the exact moment of the knockout punch in a prizefight.

The other electronic aid to mechanical editing aims at eliminating the present practice of "developing" the tape to locate the sync pulse—the application of a chemical solution so that the exact space between frames may be located for proper cutting of the tape to prevent roll-over. The TapeEditor, announced by Telescript-CSP Inc., consists of a tape-editing block with a vibrating head topped by a oneinch oscilloscope display tube. When the sync pulse pip is visibly centered on a hairline on the scope, the tape is positioned for cutting. It will cost about \$1,000.

Ampex's new VR-1001-A compact Videotape recorder is small enough to fit easily in a Volkswagen bus or a tiny TV studio, requiring 11 sq. ft. of floor space vs. 19 sq. ft. for the console model. Weight of the compact model has been cut to about 500 lb. from 780 for the standard unit. The new unit has a basic price of \$42,950 without accessories—varying up to \$48,400 with Inter-Sync, monitors and other accessories.

Ampex has been quietly revising its price scales—and there's now a big reduction in cost of the color accessory. The new VR-1000-C with Inter-Sync costs \$52,950; with both color & Inter-Sync, it's \$59,950—a color differential of \$7,000. The color accessory formerly cost \$19,500.

First orders for the new standard-size VR-1000-C machines came from King Bcstg. Co. (KING-TV Seattle, KGW-TV Portland, KREM-TV Spokane), which also bought the first production-model Ampex VTR in Nov. 1957. King ordered the first 4 new-model recorders (2 each for KING-TV & KGW-TV) and ordered accessories to convert KREM-TV's VR-1000-B machine into a VR-1000-C.

By the end of the 3-day convention, Ampex said it had signed sales contracts "in excess of \$2 million" on Ampex Videotape systems (including cameras).

Foreign stations continue to be prime customers for TV-tape recorders. An RCA spokesman told us, on the convention's opening day, that his company has a backlog of 60 foreign TV-tape recorder orders for delivery between now and July 1. Included in this number are 8 machines for the 2 upcoming Buenos Aires outlets, in which CBS & NBC have ownership interests.

"Videotape idea station of the year" is WWL-TV New Orleans. It was the winner in voting at Ampex's hospitality suite by conventioneers who were asked to choose between 10 stations depicted & described on wall placards (but unidentified as to call letters or location). The 10, in turn, had been selected from 50 nominees by a non-Ampex selection group. The first prize, a \$2,000 home-music system, was accepted by WWL-TV gen. mgr. Lawrence M. Carino for the station. Runners-up in the "idea station" competition: KSL-TV Salt Lake City, WHO-TV Des Moines.

- 8 -

Most significant space-TV achievement yet is weather photographing & recording system built into the RCAdeveloped Tiros satellite. The TV system consists of 2 tiny TV cameras (one wide-angle) with half-inch vidicon tubes, 2 small tape recorders using quarter-inch tape to store the still TV picture, and transmitting & receiving equipment. The TV is of the slow-scan variety, with bandwidth of only 150 kc, making possible picture storage on narrow tape. The tape-recorded TV picture can be fed to the transmitter when keyed from the ground, or the cameras can feed pictures directly into the transmitter upon radio command. A model of the Tiros satellite was featured at the RCA exhibit at last week's NAB convention in Chicago.

FCC Comr. Craven's work has been of "lasting benefit to the industry & his country," NAB said last week in a Chicago convention citation. Presenting Craven with NAB's 1960 Engineering Achievement Award at an April 6 luncheon, engineering dept. mgr. A. Prose Walker reviewed Craven's career since he started as a radio operator on the dreadnaught U.S.S. Delaware in 1912. High among his accomplishments, Walker said, have been his "successful, practical applications of directional antennas" when he was in private practice as an engineer and his work as leader of the U.S. delegation at last year's ITU sessions in Geneva.

Do-it-yourself AM transmitter kit was introduced at the NAB convention April 3 by Bauer Electronics Corp., Burlingame, Cal. The transmitter, designed to operate at 1 kw, 500 watts or 250 watts, can be assembled by a radio station's engineering staff in about 100 hours, according to Bauer best. div. gen. sales mgr. Paul Gregg. The kit price of \$3,495 includes inspection & checkout following assembly. The same unit, factory-assembled, costs \$4,495. Also introduced by Bauer was a new 5-kw AM transmitter.

Recent RCA equipment shipments include a color videotape recorder to NBC Burbank, 4 b&w recorders to Brazil, a b&w recorder to Graphic Pictures, Chicago, and a superturnstile antenna to KPHO-TV Phoenix (Ch. 5). • THE ABC PITCH: A powerful job of selling was unleashed by ABC to its TV affiliates in Chicago April 3, prior to the NAB convention, primarily to sell clearances for its upcoming Kaiser-sponsored Hong Kong.

The pitch had everything: Teen-age girl cheer-leaders, free footballs, cellomatic presentation, speeches by all the ABC brass. Then FCC Chmn. Ford was asked—much to his surprise, he said—to say a few words. And he did. AB-PT Pres. Leonard Goldenson announced that John Daly's public affairs budget was being enlarged 3-4 times. Edgar Kaiser stepped forward to introduce his father.

Whereupon the *piece de resistance* himself took the dais—Henry J. Kaiser, age 78, who had flown from Honolulu especially for the meeting and was to fly back immediately. His presentation was a dilly. Most men half his age couldn't have done it. It ran about 45 minutes, comprised a remarkably insistent & repetitious plea for clearances for his *Hong Kong*.

Affiliates also had the latest preview of the network's fall program lineup, which reflects a few changes since we reported it a few weeks back (Vol. 16:7 p14). Among key developments: Surfside 6, a 60-min. Warner Bros. privateeye series, will replace Bourbon St. Beat in the Mon.-night 8:30-9:30 p.m. slot. Hong Kong, the new part-Kaiser-sponsored entry will go into the Wed. 7:30-8:30 p.m. period to buck NBC-TV's Wagon Train. Another Warner Bros. entry, The Roaring Twenties, goes into the Sat.-night lineup before the new fight series. The Yank, a Goodson-Todman adventure package, will be housed in the Thur. 10:30-11 p.m. period. And Expedition, the new Ralston-sponsored education series (which will actually be seen in stationoption time—see "Network Sales Activity," p. 13) will be in the Tues. 7-7:30 p.m. slot.

There was still more—description of the documentarytype *Expedition*, the story behind *Peter Gunn's* switch from NBC (see below), etc. etc.—all in addition to presentation of ABC-TV's Nielsen rise against the other nets.

ABC's competitors reacted promptly & bitterly. One spokesman stated that he understood *Hong Kong* was being offered to affiliates at no compensation for a year. An ABC spokesman countered with: "It's a lie." Another network spokesman said: "I'm worried about all the excessive violence in ABC's shows. I hate to see it. I guess that's the way to get ratings. I don't know what we'll do about it. We certainly don't want to go in for more violence." ABC had hit hard—and it was hurting.

The affiliates later passed resolutions highly commending the network & its management. They elected as chmn. of the affiliates board of governors Brent H. Kirk, KUTV Salt Lake City; Howard Maschmeier, WNHC-TV New Haven, vice chmn.; John F. Dille Jr., WJSV Southbend-Elkhart, secy.; John T. Gelder Jr., WCHS-TV, Charleston, W. Va., treas.

\*

Peter Gunn will shift to ABC-TV this fall from its Mon.-night, 9-9:30 p.m. NBC-TV slot. Unlike the recent production hassles between producer Blake Edwards and CBS-TV (Vol. 16:14 p14), the move isn't being made because of trouble between Edwards and NBC-TV. The decision to move the show to a Mon.-night, 10:30-11 p.m. spot following TCF-TV's Adventures in Paradise was made, we're told, by sponsor Bristol-Myers on the basis of an attractive offer by ABC-TV. The network, however, denied a report that the offer included a 45% discount on time plus 26 free 60-sec. participations in Cheyenne. NBC'S CHICAGO PEP RALLY: Affiliates of NBC-TV met in Chicago April 3 on the eve of the NAB meeting to hear the network's latest program & other plans from a battery of top executives. Highlights:

A total investment of \$120 million has been allocated by NBC-TV for programming in the 1960-61 season, reported sales vp Don Durgin. On tap at the network are 23 new series ("far more than our fall schedule will be able to accommodate"). The network's nighttime lineup:

able to accommodate J. The network's inglictule infection.
Mondays: 7:30-8:30, Riverboat; 8:30-9, Tales of Wells Fargo; 9-9:30,
Tall Man (a new Western replacing Peter Gunn); 9:30-10, Hollywood
Angel (a new private-eye show replacing Alcoa-Goodyear Theater);
10-11, various network specials (replacing Steve Allen Show):
Tuesdays: 7:30-8:30, Laramie; 8:30-9, Alfred Hitchcock Presents;
9-9:30, Dante (Hitchcock and Dante replace the faltering Ford Startime specials); 9:30-10, The Westerner (replacing The Arthur Murray Party); 10-11, Thriller (a Hubbell Robinson invstery series replacing M Sanud and local syndication shows in the 10:30-11

time specials); 9:30-10, The Vesterner (replacing the Arland maring Party); 10-11, Thriller (a Hubbell Robinson mystery series replacing M Squad and local syndication shows in the 10:30-11 period).
Wednesdays: 7:30-8:30 Wagon Train; 3:30-9, The Price Is Right; 9-10, Perry Como's Kraft Music Hall; 10-10:30, Peter Loves Mary (a new Peter Lind Hayes comedy replacing This Is Your Life, which shifts to Thursday); 10:30-11, The Tab Hunter Show.
Thursdays: 7:30-8:30, Outlaws (replacing Law of the Plainsman and Bat Masterson, which is moving its time period); 8:30-9, Bat Masterson; 9-9:30, Bachelor Father; 9:30-10, Tennessee Ernie Ford; 10-10:30, You Bet Your Life; 10:30-11, This Is Your Life.
Fridays: 7:30-8:30, Raven (a new detective series with a Hollywood setting, replacing People Are Funny and Troubleshooters); 8:30-9, Hcadquarters (another detective series); 9-10, AT&T musical-variety specials (the last 30-min. segment replaces Masquerade Party); 10-11, Michael Shayne (still another detective series, replacing Gillette's Cavalcade of Sports and Phillies Jackpot Bowling).
Saturdays: 7:30-8:30, Bonanza; 8:30-9, Klondike (an Alaskans-like Ziv-UA package, replacing The Man & the Challenge); 9-9:30, The Deputy; 9:30-10:30, World Wide 60; 10:30-11, returned to stations for local programming.

local programming.

local programming.
Sundays: 7-8, a 60-min. film show still to be selected; 8-8:30, No
Place Like Home: 8:30-9, One Happy Family (both shows replacing 60-min. Sunday Showcase specials); 9-10, Dinah Shore Chevy Show; 10-10:30, Loretta Young Show; 10:30-11, Barbara Stanwyck Theater (anthology drama series recapturing 30-min. local time segment).
To backstop the new crop of NBC-TV fall shows, an

expanded promotional campaign will be launched by the network, vp for public information Sydney H. Eiges told the affiliates. Among the new plans: "Station to station call" (a series of closed-circuit telecasts "devoted exclusively to audience-building plans & ideas"); "instant promos" (taped promotion spots sent the day of production by closed-circuit feed to affiliates for local playback the same day); and "all-night billboarding" (promotion of upcoming shows "by a new technique").

NBC Chmn. Robert W. Sarnoff and Pres. Robert E. Kintner, representing the network's top executive echelon at the meeting, made it clear to the affiliates that much of NBC-TV's programming this fall would be aimed at recapturing rating ground lost to ABC-TV (which was also holding a pre-NAB pep rally; see p. 11). However, the network heads made it clear that NBC-TV wasn't involved in a blind pursuit of ratings, and would still strike for "program balance."

Affiliates learned that NBC-TV is planning a counterattack on print-media attacks, particularly those that question the advertising effectiveness of the TV medium. NBC plans to make a series of agency-level presentations on the "inadequacies" of print media. In less than 25% of U.S. counties does Life magazine reach even a 10% county coverage level, while NBC-TV has an all-county coverage of at least 76%, pointed out an NBC preview of the presentation as one example of its planned counterattack.

NBC-TV has tied with CBS-TV for national daytime rating honors, fulfilling a trend predicted in Nielsen's 24city March measurements (Vol. 16:14 p9). In the latest (2nd March) Nielsen national study, average-audience standings are: NBC-TV-8.8; CBS-TV-8.8; ABC-TV-5.6. Because of coverage factors working in NBC's favor, NBC-TV is slightly ahead of CBS-TV in terms of audience share in the 10 a.m.-1 p.m., 2-5 p.m.: NBC-TV-37.9; CBS-TV-36.4; ABC-TV-21.5.

Canadian Pay-TV Report: It's too early to tell whether Telemeter's subscription-TV operation in Toronto's suburb Etobicoke (Vol. 16:14 p3) will be successful, but there's one thing sure: The people in charge of it are hep.

That was the word brought from Etobicoke to NAB's Chicago convention last week by NAB research mgr. Richard M. Allerton, who spent March 31 & April 1 in an onthe-scene inspection. In a report distributed to the convention delegates, he concluded: "It is my considered opinion that this Telemeter operation in Toronto is a professionally competent one, that they know just what they are doing, have planned well, and have a money-collecting device which works. There is just no comparison between this operation & the abortive one in Bartlesville, Okla. (Vol. 14:21 p20). Included in the facilities in operation is a studio, TV camera and Ampex tape recorder.

"It is much too early yet to judge whether pay TV as installed in Etobicoke will be a financially successful operation. In my opinion, it will be 6 months to a year before the Telemeter people themselves can begin to determine whether it is successful.

"From the point of view of subscribers to the service, the question arises whether after the novelty wears off, subscribers will be as eager to pay their money for what is offered. Here, of course, quality of pictures is important, and whether this quality can be maintained is a factor.

"The most important factor, of course, is whether income will be sufficient to return a satisfactory profit on the investment."

# **Auxiliary Services**

BARTLESVILLE MAY RISE AGAIN: Just back from a firsthand look at Telemeter's Toronto pay-TV installation. Pres. Henry Griffing of Video Independent Theaters Corp.-whose firm operated the Bartlesville, Okla. experiment in 1957—waxed enthusiastic on the Toronto setup last week and indicated he was ready to revive his pay-TV plans on the basis of the Telemeter system.

"Telemeter is the most exciting & revolutionary entertainment innovation since the advent of talking pictures," said Griffing, adding that the cash-for-each-program-viewed system "completely solves the problems" he encountered in Bartlesville. Among things Griffing admired in the Telemeter method: "The customer selects & pays for only those programs desired, with prices scaled according to the attraction," "Telemeter plans to offer a wide variety of programming in addition to current motion pictures," and the fact that the Telemeter unit "makes a recording, identifying each program purchased by a subscriber."

Griffing, whose theatrical exhibition chain covers 200 movie houses in 3 states and 14 CATV systems in 5 states, now holds "municipal franchises for pay TV in 36 cities & towns in the Southwest." He strongly recommended that "my fellow exhibitors visit & study the Toronto operation." He did not, he said, consider the Bartlesville try a failure; it was "only unsuccessful financially," largely because of customer resistance to the idea of paying a flat price (\$9.50 monthly) and the barrage of anti-pay-TV publicity launched against the experiment by the major networks and free-TV interests.

"I have felt in the past, and am more concerned than ever, that exhibitors have a great future in theater-in-thehome TV. As for me, I want to be in the vanguard, and am applying to Telemeter for a franchise in my area," stated Griffing.

Would Bartlesville rise again as a telemeter pay-TV town? There was a good chance that it might, Griffing admitted, since the wired circuits are still in existence and the town is included in his list of pay-TV franchises.

Zenith-RKO General agreement for the Hartford pay-TV tests (Vol. 16:14 p3) provides that RKO or its franchiseholder will buy decoders from Zenith. RKO will bear the programming & operating costs. Zenith's commitment is to tool up for decoder manufacture, estimated at \$1-11/2 million, and to promote pay TV nationally. RKO will be permitted to use the Zenith system, if FCC eventually permits. in 5 cities: One in the top 3 markets, one in the 4th to 6th, one in 7th to 9th, the balance unrestricted. RKO can terminate its contract if it doesn't get a go-ahead for the test from FCC within 3 years or if it spends \$2 million before the end of 3 years without getting FCC approval. It can also pull out from the agreement if it fails to sign up more than 50,000 subscribers by the end of the first year of operation or if it has spent \$10 million within 3 years and decides that pay TV doesn't pay. RKO is buying Hartford uhf WHCT for approximately \$900,000.

Stations, CATV & Duplication: "If CATV systems voluntarily stop duplicating programs carried by local stations, the whole problem will vanish." That's the position taken by William C. Grove, head of KFBC-TV Cheyenne & KSTF Scottsbluff, Neb. last week. Disclosing that his organization has filed for a CATV franchise in Scottsbluff in competition with one filed by Collier Electric Co., Denver, he stated:

"We have never contemplated destroying CATV. Both stations & CATV can prosper—if CATV doesn't duplicate our programs. We're willing to compete with their other programs. We don't like it, but we're willing to compete." In May, a city-wide vote will determine which company gets the franchise.

Grove showed copies of letters written by NBC vp David Adams & CBS vp Richard Salant to the mayor & council. Both emphasized their position that they have property rights in their programs and that CATV systems violate these rights when they relay signals without permission—and that permission has never been granted. They also pointed out conflicting public-interest aspects to CATV service: On one hand, the systems bring multiple service to those who can pay & are in reach of the cable. On the other hand, duplication of the local station's programs may weaken the station economically so that it will go dark—thus depriving outlying areas of any service & eliminating a means of local community expression. NBC has written a similar letter to the city council of Salisbury, Md., where an application for a franchise is pending.

Meanwhile, another competitive CATV situation has arisen—in Chippewa Falls, Wis., where WEAU-TV Eau Claire, local radio WAXX and theater owner Sheldon Grengs seek a franchise.

Montana telecaster Ed Craney (KXLF-TV Butte) filed a petition requesting amendments to FCC's microwave rules to: (1) Prevent CATV duplication of local stations' programs. (2) Allow microwave grants to CATV operators only if "a grant of the application would not adversely affect the continued operation or establishment of the only available TV station which provides locally originated programs in the applicant's service area."

### , Networks

### **Network Television Billings**

January 1960

(For Dec. report, see TELEVISION DIGEST, Vol. 16:8 p10)

Jan. 10.9% Ahead: Network TV opened 1960 on a rising curve as January gross-time billings climbed to \$57,756,267—10.9% ahead of the Jan.-1959 volume of \$52,-076,179, TvB reported last week. CBS led the networks in dollar volume with billings in excess of \$23.5 million. ABC, with a 24.2% gain, scored the most impressive percentage increase in Jan.-over-Jan.-1959 business.

Nighttime billings in January rose 20% to \$40,496,983, vs. \$33,754,900 in Jan. 1959. Daytime billings, however, sagged 5.8% to \$17,259,284 from \$18,321,279 in Jan. '59.

	NETWORK TEI	EVISION	
	Jan. 1960	Jan. 1959	% change
ABC	\$13,227,680	\$10,647,078	+24.2
CBS	23,578,557	22,129,248	+ 6.5
NBC	20,950,030	19,299,853	+ 8.6
Total	\$57,756,267	\$52,076,179	+10.9

Note: These figures do not represent actual revenues inasmuch as the networks do not divulge their actual net dollar incomes. The figures are compiled by Broadcast Advertisers Reports (BAR) and Leading National Advertisers (LNA) for TV Bureau of Advertising (TvB) on basis of one-time network rates or before frequency or cash discounts.

#### NETWORK SALES ACTIVITY

#### ABC-TV

Daytime programming, participations eff. April 1.

Chesebrough-Ponds (J. Walter Thompson) American Bandstand, 4-5:30 p.m., alt. quarter-hr. sponsor-

> ship, renewal. Carter Products (Sullivan, Stauffer, Colwell & Bayles)

Hey Jeannie, eff. June 30, 9-930 p.m., reruns, full sponship. Procter & Gamble (Grey)

Expedition, Tues. 9-9:30 p.m., 2 out of every 3 wks. Sponsorship (3rd wk. given to stations for local sponsorship).

Ralston Purina (Guild, Bascon & Bonfigli)

The Rebel, Sun. 9-9:30 p.m., renewal of co-sponsorship. Procter & Gamble (Young & Rubicam) Liggett & Myers (Dancer-Fitzg.-Sample)

#### CBS-TV

Bringing up Buddy, Mon. 8:30-9 p.m., eff. Sept. 1960, full sponsorship.

Scott Paper (J. Walter Thompson)

- Rawhide, Fri. 7:30-8:30 p.m., one-third alt. wk. sponsorship. Hamm Brewing Co. (Campbell-Mithun)
- Baseball Game of the Week, Sat. & Sun. afts., eff. April 16, half sponsorship. Falstaff Brewing (Dancer-Fitzg.-Sample)

Captain Kangaroo, 8:15-9 a.m., alt. wk. quarter-hr. sponsorship.

General Mills (Dancer-Fitzgerald-Sample) NBC-TV

- People Are Funny, Fri. 7:30-8 p.m. summer reruns, alt. wk.sponsorship. Block Drug (Grey)
- Laramie, Tues. 7:30-8:30 p.m., Riverboat, Mon. 7:30-8:30 p.m., one-third sponsorships in summer reruns.

California Packing Co. (McCann-Erickson)

The Lone Ranger, Sat., (time not set), pre-game sports programming, 26 quarter-hrs., daytime participations, 5 quarter-hrs. Mon.-Fri. all sponsorships eff. this fall. *General Mills* (Knox-Reeves)

# Film & Tape

### More about

**ONE DOWN, ONE TO GO:** SAG membership will meet in Hollywood April 18 to vote on ratification and an end to the strike (see p. 4), and if it does—as is believed a certainty—production could resume full scale at all studios the next day. Other highlights of the SAG-AMPP 3-year agreement:

A pension plan is established for actors, producers paying \$2,250,000 in 10 equal instalments over the next 10 years. (This is in lieu of post-1948-movies-to-TV payments, and the producer money actually gives actors a pension plan on a retroactive basis, since they have not been part of the industry pension plan in effect since 1953.)

A health & welfare fund for actors, producers making an initial payment of \$375,000 to set up the fund. To maintain the pension, health & welfare funds, producers will pay on work done after Jan. 31, 1960, an amount equal to 5% of actors' salaries, up to a maximum of \$100,000 per actor per picture.

Actors also received salary minimum hikes ranging from \$10-a-day hikes for day players to \$50 a week increases for weekly free-lance players. Negotiators on both sides termed the agreement "fair & equitable."

Meanwhile, despite numerous behind-the-scenes maneuvers aimed at bringing an end to the 3-months-old strike of Writers Guild of America against the Alliance of TV Film Producers, no progress was made in that area last week, and the outlook for peace was dim. Remarked one of the negotiators grimly: "Neither side is hurting enough."

#### WGA Stiffens on Foreign Residuals

WGA was dealing with intermediaries rather than with the Alliance in its efforts to find a solution to the problem. Both the William Morris Agency and Lew Wasserman, MCA's head man, were involved in the intricate moves. From a meeting between Alliance executives & producers and Wasserman emerged an informal peace bid to WGA-which was rejected. The plan was similar to the previously-rejected Alliance-Morris agency proposal, which had called for writers to get a 10% hike in minimums the first 2 years, another 5% the 3rd year and a 5% pension plan. The only difference was that the Alliance-Wasserman proposal tried to settle the difficult foreign residuals issue with language which noted the contrasting stands of WGA and the Alliance on the foreign issue and called for establishment of a joint fact-finding committee to explore the foreign field and report at the end of the contract period.

WGA, apparently encouraged by its membership's overwhelming rejection of the Alliance-Morris plan which also skirted payment to writers on foreign residuals and recognition of the foreign principle, stiffened its stand on this all-important issue. The Guild was reported preparing a new proposal which calls for payment of overseas residuals, and this proposal will be presented to the non-Alliance producers this week or next.

In its endeavor to get the foreign residuals, the Guild in its new plan will bypass the pension plan already offered in favor of the foreign.

WGA's strategy to tackle the non-Alliance group rather than the Alliance is motivated not only by the Alliance's refusal to pay foreign residuals, but by a desire to split the ranks of the producers. It feels that once some companies sign, the others will fall into line. The Alliance, aware of "split & splinter" tactics, has no intention of changing its policies because of such strategy. However, it is known that one Alliance company made overtures on its own to WGA seeking peace, and even offering recognition of the foreign principle, but this was rejected. It was the first break in what heretofore has been solid unity within the Alliance ranks.

The Alliance holds the position that WGA can have a "reasonable" offer anytime it wants it, but there was no question that the various machinations of the past week engendered a bitterness among producer ranks. Said one producer-representative of the foreign issue: "They persist in playing language games in this area. We will not play. It they persist, we will go into the Directors Guild of America negotiations and the writers will fade into the background." DGA's contract with the Alliance expires April 30.

Remarked the same executive: "We have indicated to the Guild that if the language on the foreign issue isn't acceptable, we will change the language. WGA chooses to use our offer as a basis for further improvement. The writers are trying to use the foreign issue as a weapon to raise their demands. Nobody likes to be made a public fool of. There are labor problems in other areas and we will approach them instead."

The Alliance was perturbed at WGA approaches via intermediaries to raise the minimums from those terms offered to 11% the first 2 years and 4% the 3rd year, and also to 12% the first 2 years and 3% the 3rd year. WGA executives denied that these were anything more than feelers.

Another point at issue is the date of expiration of a new contract. The Alliance wants a deal for 3 years and 9 months, while WGA wants it for 3 years and 6 months, which was flatly refused by the Alliance. Importance of this issue is that the WGA date would mean expiration of the contract in Oct. of the final year, so that if any strike were pending then it would hit producers in mid-production season. The Alliance is aware of this, and producers point to the traditional labor pattern in which contracts expire in Jan.

WGA still prefers the Wasserman-WGA plan which called for 2.2% of the gross, domestic & foreign, although that's been rejected by the Alliance. The writers contend this formula represents a gamble, since if a TV series or show is a failure, its writers would obviously get less money. They say they are willing to gamble, but the producers aren't.

Further complicating an already complex situation, a division arose within the higher echelon of WGA last week over the foreign issue. One faction thinks a producer recognition of principle is sufficient, while the other wants money as well as words. The latter faction appears to be winning the intra-Guild dispute.

Both sides conceded the outlook is pessimistic. Meanwhile, producers are feeling the shortage of scripts, and there is concern about next season's product. There was some talk that permission will be sought from agencies and sponsors to show reruns next fall, and push back the actual start of the season to Jan.

Pat Boone, on location in Europe to tape a quartet of shows for his Chevrolet-sponsored, Thur.-night ABC-TV series, admitted some of the budget hazards of such a venture to N.Y. *Daily News* TV reporter Kay Gardella in a Paris-to-N.Y. phone call last week. Each 30-min. show, Boone stated, was costing about \$80,000. "We're even being charged for lighting the Eiffel Tower at night—when it's already lighted," complained Boone (see Vol. 16:7 p16). **POINT OF NO RETURN:** TV film producers can wait 60 or 90 days to begin production on next season's product, but if WGA's strike hasn't been settled by then, there's apt to be a rash of fall reruns.

We checked a number of leading executives & producers to learn what they considered to be their "point of no return"—when changes in show plans could no longer be avoided. All agreed that normally they would now be working on scripts for next season, but with the strike preventing this, everything on the calendar is being pushed back. Some thought that if the strike continues for another month, quality next fall will suffer considerably, since this would necessitate hurried scripts once the strike ended, and there'd be no time for normal rewrites. Here's a sampling of comment:

Four Star Television Pres. Dick Powell: "We can start as late as July. We may wind up doing some of our shows live—if there is no strike against live TV."

Twentieth Century-Fox TV Pres. Peter Levathes: "July 1 is the latest. After that it's impossible."

A Revue executive: "It depends on the series, but July is the latest start date for most."

Desilu Productions exec. producer Bert Granet: "It depends on the series. We could start as late as July, but we should be working on scripts now for next season. We should be 10 scripts ahead on a series starting in July. Whether a series will be in trouble with a late start depends on how imaginative the producer is, how many writers he can get. The quality of shows will suffer because of the strike."

Screen Gems vp in charge of West Coast operations William Dozier: "July is the latest we could start."

Some series will begin reruns earlier than usual because of the strike—but not many, because a number have been interspersing first & 2nd runs. Several, such as *Maverick*, are 26-week deals. Consequently their reruns are following a normal seasonal pattern.

Reruns will begin earlier on Father Knows Best, which was short 5 scripts; Dennis the Menace, on which reruns will begin in mid-May instead of mid-June; The Real McCoys, 9 weeks earlier because 9 scripts short; The Betty Hutton Show, which begins reruns in April. Other decisions have still to be made.

**N.Y. Trials Winding Up:** Those 2 N.Y. TV film trials the govt. anti-trust action for alleged block-booking practices against 6 feature distributors and a restraint-of-trade action against Screen Gems in the feature-film area moved toward separate conclusions last week. But in both, the govt. was still as far as ever from having made its case (Vol. 16:14 p13&15).

On the block-booking legal front, the govt. wound up its case April 8, after spending most of the week submitting various types of documentary evidence (depositions, memos, recordings of conversations, etc.). This week (Monday) defense attorneys for the 6 film distributors are expected to make a motion for dismissal of the action. At orders of Federal District Court Judge Archie O. Dawson, court will be closed for the remainder of the week, because of Passover, Good Friday, and the fact that Judge Dawson has another case.

In the Screen Gems trial, the formal portion of the action was concluded last week. Federal District Court Judge William B. Herlands then set April 26 as the date for the filing of briefs & for summations from both sides. He is expected to render a decision soon thereafter. **NON-STRUCK TV FIRMS:** Although Writers Guild of America has struck the Alliance of TV Film Producers, major-studio TV and network film, there is still a workarea for TV writers—the non-Alliance independents. WGA, mindful of possible confusion, has notified its members which companies are not being struck.

On this list are such companies as Brad-Jacey Productions, filming Brothers Brannigan. Cayuga Productions, Twilight Zone. Cypress Productions, The Dennis O'Keefe Show. Family Films, This Is the Life and This Is the Answer. Don Fedderson companies, The Millionaire. Goodson-Todman, The Rebel. Hennesey Productions, Hennesey. Hobart Productions, the Frank Sinatra specials. Hope Enterprises, the Bob Hope specials.

Hope specials.
Hope specials.
Hope specials.
Also Sandy Howard Inc., Police Station, Congressional Investigator.
Hutton Productions, The Betty Hutton Show. J&M Productions, The Jack Benny Show. Lewis-Sharpe companies, Peter Gunn, Mr. Lucky.
The Mirisch Co., Wichita Town. One Step Beyond Productions, One Step Beyond. RonCom Productions, Tate, Happy and The Perry Como Show. Ross-Danzig Productions, Danger Zone. Schenck-Koch Enterprises, Miami Undercover. Teleworld Inc., Hannibal Cobb. Toreto Productions, Loretta Young Show.

Also these companies which will film or have filmed pilots: Walden Productions. John Payne's Window Productions. Davana Inc. Hampshire Associates Inc. Hollis Productions. Henry Jaffe Enterprises Inc. Le Mesa Productions. Jerry Lewis Enterprises Inc. Superman Inc. Westwood Productions Inc.

**Tape's Strange Bedfellows:** Network rivalries are blurred when it comes to custom-made tape commercials & shows, we've learned in a 3-network N.Y. checkup. Each network is developing a list of clients who come to the network for tape work, then use it on another network or in spot TV.

CBS leads the field in tape volume used elsewhere. By CBS-TV's estimate, "more than 50%" of its taped commercials, and even entire CBS-taped shows, are seen on the other 2 networks, in syndication, or transferred to film for spot campaigns. NBC-TV estimates that 43% of its current tape work is for non-NBC use, and ABC-TV puts its figure at "between 20 to 25%."

Backstage tape friendship creates TV paradoxes. CBS-TV actually handles physical production and video recording of *Dow Hour of Great Mysteries*, seen on NBC-TV and has produced Brown & Williamson commercials seen in ABC-TV's *Wednesday-Night Fights*, as well as spot-used tape commercials for accounts like Revlon, Liggett & Myers, Standard Oil, Colgate's Fab, Vick Chemical.

NBC-TV has done Allstate insurance commercials seen in CBS-TV's *Playhouse 90* specials and *Person to Person*, Chevrolet commercials seen in *Pat Boone's Chevy Showroom* on ABC-TV, and spot TV commercials for Crackerjack, Joy, and American Motors.

ABC-TV is the most recent entry in this area, and doesn't keep accurate score (as yet) of where ABC-made tape commercials are used outside the network. However, we've learned that Mogen David wine commercials taped by ABC for *The Charley Weaver Show* have been purchased by the client for further TV use. And participation advertisers in daytime ABC-TV shows—such as Johnson & Johnson, Drackett Co., Beech-Nut, Simoniz and various General Foods accounts—are reported getting extra mileage from ABC-made tape commercials in other TV buys.

One reason for tape-fence-crossing is that many agencies develop a "favorite network" with which to do business, including commercials, network officials told us. Thus, much McCann-Erickson tape work is done at CBS-TV, Leo Burnett assignments at NBC-TV, and Young & Rubicam tape spots at ABC-TV, to name a few.

Enough features for 6-7 years are on hand in WCBS-TV N.Y.'s film library, vp & gen. mgr. Frank J. Shakespeare Jr. stated April 7 during a forum staged by the Academy of TV Arts & Sciences at ABC's N.Y. hq. "Feature films," he added," will be a basic portion of station programming for at least a decade."

### NEW YORK ROUNDUP

MGM-TV has a new TV production head. He's Robert M. Weitman, who resigned April 7 as vp for independent production, CBS-TV, to become production vp for MGM's telefilm production. Weitman, now in N.Y., will shortly move to MGM's Culver City studios to take charge of production on such series as *The Islanders* (scheduled this fall by ABC-TV), *National Velvet* (which Rexall wants as a fall series) and *Asphalt Jungle* (still a potential network series for fall). The move gives MGM-TV two vps; the other, George Shupert, vp for TV (primarily a sales job), will continue to make his hq in N.Y. Shupert and Weitman are old friends. In the mid-1950s, Weitman was ABC-TV vp for network programming & talent, and Shupert is the former head of ABC Films.

Add syndication sales: WPIX N.Y.'s, station-produced 60-min. documentary "The Secret Life of Adolf Hitler" has been sold in syndication to 8 additional stations, bringing total U.S. station sales in 4 weeks to 28, reported vp & gen. mgr. Fred Thrower. The show's 49.7 Arbitron rating, highest ever achieved by a N.Y. documentary telecast, made recent rating history for the independent outlet . . . ITC's Interpol Calling (now also titled World Crime Hunt to avoid confusion with Man from Interpol on NBC-TV) has been sold in 83 markets in the first 10 weeks of selling. This is a gross sales level of \$1,250,000, stated vp for syndication Alvin E. Unger.

CBS Films has sold first-year production (36 episodes) of *Twilight Zone* to the Australian Bestg. Commission for telecasting, starting in Aug., on ABC's 6-station network ... Ziv-UA reported sales of 3 syndicated series at the NAB convention: *Home Run Derby*, newest Ziv-UA release, is now scheduled for 112 markets in 49 states. *Tales* of the Vikings, UA's original syndicated series launched last year, signed 9 new markets to reach a new station total of 124. Reruns of *Highway Patrol*, sold through Economee TV, are now scheduled on 132 U.S. outlets.

David Susskind & Desi Arnaz have been named producers, in N.Y. and Hollywood respectively, of the 12th annual Emmy Awards telecast . . . Worthington (Tony) Miner has been appointed exec. producer of NTA's *The Play of the Week* Production Co. replacing David Susskind. The NTA offshoot will handle development & production of TV tape series. Miner produced *Studio One*, *Medic* and *Frontier* . . . Alwin D. L. Zecha has been appointed Asian sales mgr. of Screen Gems, working through local offices of parent Columbia Pictures International.

Film syndicators in N.Y., hq for most international sales operations, are generally delighted that Japan's Finance Ministry is planning to boost the \$300 ceiling now existing on U.S.-made, 30-min. telefilm shows, even though the new ceiling may not top \$600-\$700. "It's ridiculous to think that Japan, with a thriving TV industry & several million sets, can only afford \$300 per film episode," an ITC sales executive told us recently, adding that "the U.S. syndication market is becoming a 'tough sell,' and an overseas improvement is welcome." Japan, according to our forthcoming Spring-Summer TELEVISION FACTBOOK No. 30, now has 4,288,000 TV sets.

Telenews scored 8 new station sales last week of news & sports shows, including the daily newsfilm service Weckly News Review and This Week in Sports, reported gen. mgr. Robert H. Reid.

### HOLLYWOOD ROUNDUP

Desilu's Guestward Ho series, starring Joanne Dru & J. Carroll Naish, is set for next season. ABC-TV sold half of it to Ralston-Purina, and will slot it at 7:30 p.m. Thurs. It's a comedy series. Desilu's Harrigan and Son, starring Pat O'Brien, has been sold by ABC to Reynolds Metals, and will replace Man From Blackhawk, the Screen Gems series. Desilu is also in negotiations for sale of a 60-min. series based on its "Six Guns for Donegan" segment of Westinghouse Desilu Playhouse. It has postponed until 1961 plans to sell its 60-min. series, Crime Commission, formerly called The 10 Most Wanted Men.

Revue Studios is planning to pilot Detroit Police, a crime series to be done in documentary style. Producerdir. Richard Irving is now casting the pilot, which will probably go into production in Detroit in early May. The Detroit police will cooperate in filming of the series. The show will be aimed at the syndication market. Another Revue syndication pilot, Texas State Trooper, goes into production in Texas in June, with Irving producer-dir.

Screen Gems' The Donna Reed Show has finished production for this season. Producer Tony Owen and his wife, Miss Reed, plan to go to Europe in mid-April where a minimum of 4 segments will be produced for next season ... Format Films will produce 100 Popeye shorts in color in association with King Features syndicate.

Sharpe-Lewis Productions has filmed a comedy pilot, *Three Wishes*, starring Diane Jergens, Gustavo Rojo and Wallace Ford. NBC-TV is partnered in the series. Bob Welch produced the pilot at Desilu Gower studios.

Goodson-Todman and Fen-Ker-Ada Productions have filmed a pilot, *The Earl of Durango*, which will be shown as a segment of its just-renewed *The Rebel* series. Production on next season's *Rebel*, which stars Nick Adams, is to begin May 1.

Musicians Guild of America has notified NLRB that it has withdrawn petitions for elections at a number of TV & movie companies, including Sherry TV Productions and the Mirisch Companies. Sherry is a subsidiary of MCA, as is Revue Studios, and AFM has jurisdiction at Revue.

Screen Gems has lined up a co-production deal with Walter Colmes of Chicago for a new series, *Championship Auto Races*... Desilu Productions has finished seasonal filming of its NTA series, U.S. Marshal, starring John Bromfield.

March movie attendance fell below the like 1959 period by 15%, according to Sindlinger & Co., which blamed drop on bad weather over most of the country. This is the first corresponding-month comparison drop since Feb., 1959.

Oscar telecast drew high ratings April 4, according to American Research Bureau Arbitron measurements, despite the late hour. In N.Y., the audience share level was a whooping 61.9; multi-city (7 markets) Arbitron was 51.0.

Jaguar Productions, owned by Alan Ladd, has signed Tom McAdoo as exec. producer of a new series, Wanted-Next of Kin.

Walden Productions' Secret Life of James Thurber, starring Arthur O'Connell, has been sold for half sponsorship to Alberto-Culver. A time slot for next season is now being set.

# Advertising

WHEN THE VIEWERS WATCH: More people are watching sets between 9-9:30 p.m. than in any other half-hour time period on the average weekday night. At that time more than 6 of every 10 U.S. TV homes (60.4%) have one or more TV sets going in the house. The story is virtually the same on Sat. night, with the same time period producing a peak of 60.3%. But on Sun. night, the peak shifts earlier, hitting a level of 60.3% from 8:30-9 p.m. Daytime TV shows 2 daily peaks. During the 10 a.m.-5 p.m. stretch, set usage builds from the 10-10:30 a.m. low of 13.5% to a luncheon 22.9%(12:30-1 p.m.); drops back in mid-afternoon; then re-builds to a 24.6\% high from 4:30-5 p.m.

These are the highlights of a new study shown to agencymen & clients last week by NBC-TV whose research dept. prepared it from Nielsen data for 1959. The detailed findings are summarized in these tables:

#### EVENING SETS-IN-USE\* MONDAY-FRIDAY 1959

	MUNDA	-r.m.DA1	1303		
Time Period	Jan Mar.	Apr June	July- Sept.	Oct Dec.	Year's Average
7-7:30 P.M.	57.7	38.8	31.2	51.4	44.8
7:30-8 P.M.	64.3	46.1	35.9	57.4	50.9
8-8:30 P.M.	67.7	51.9	41.4	61.1	55.5
8:30-9 P.M.	70.1	57.4	46.6	63.3	59.4
9-9:30 .P.M.	69.3	59.6	49.4	63.3	60.4
9:30-10 P.M.	66.3	59.2	49.6	61.2	59.1
10-10:30 P.M.	59.6	54.7	47.1	54.9	54.1
10:30-11 P.M.	49.7	46.3	41.6	45.6	45.8
7:30-11 P.M. Avg.	63.9	53.6	44.5	58.1	55.0

In daytime viewing, the Jan.-Mar. quarter, viewing hits 26.7% from 12:30-1 p.m. and rises again to 29.9 from 4:30-5 p.m.—almost as high as the early-evening level during the summer months:

#### DAYTIME SETS-IN-USE† MONDAY-FRIDAY 10-5 P.M., 1959

				•	
Time Period	Jan Mar.	Apr June	July- Sept.	Oct Dec.	Year's Average
10-10:30 A.M.	15.7	12.6	13.2	12.6	13.5
10:30-11 A.M.	18.4	14.6	15.2	14.3	15.6
11-11:30 A.M.	22.4	17.6	18.8	17.2	19.0
11:30-12 Noon	24.2	18.7	19.7	18.9	20.4
12-12:30 P.M.	26.3	20.6	20.4	20.8	22.0
12:30-1 P.M.	26.7	21.5	21.3	22.1	22.9
1-1:30 P.M.	-,		Available)		
1:30-2 P.M.			Available)		
2-2:30 P.M.	20.9	16.5	18.8	19.6	19.0
2:30-3 P.M.	21.6	16.5	18.3	18.8	18.8
3-3:30 P.M.	21.4	16.7	17.7	18.5	18.6
3:30-4 P.M.	23.0	17.9	18.1	19.6	19.7
4-4:30 P.M.	27.1	19.8	19.5	20.7	21.8
4:30-5 P.M.	29.9	22.0	22.2	24.3	24.6

Findings for weekend evenings are printed below:

EVENING SETS-IN-USE\* SATURDAY 1959

	SATU	RDAY 19	59		
Time Period	Jan Mar.	Åpr June	July- Sept.	Oct Dec.	Year's Average
7-7:30 P.M.	58.5	39.6	30.6	51.3	45.0
7:30-8 P.M.	67.7	50.0	36.4	59.8	53.5
8-8:30 P.M.	69.7	54.4	39.6	62.5	56,6
8:30-9 P.M.	70.4	57.2	44.2	63.1	58.7
9-9:30 P.M.	70.4	58.8	48.3	63.8	60.3
9:30-10 P.M.	69.3	60.4	51.2	63.7	61.2
10-10:30 P.M.	65.2	58.5	50.5	61.1	58.8
10:30-11 P.M.	55.9	49.5	45.1	51.6	50.5
7:30-11 Avg.	66.9	55.5	45.0	60.8	57.1
	EVENINO SUN	DAY 195			
Time Period	Jan Mar.	Apr June	July- Sept.	Oct Dec.	Year's Average
7-7:30 P.M.	61.2	41.4	33.3	57.6	48.4
7:30-8 P.M.	67.3	48.2	38.0	63.1	54.2
8-8:30 P.M.	69.7	53.9	43.8	65.3	58.2
8:30-9 P.M.	70.7	56.9	47.7	65.9	60.3
9-9:30 P.M.	68.8	57.5	48.4	64.9	59.9
9:30-10 P.M.	64.6	55.2	48.0	62.0	57.5
10-10:30 P.M.	58.7	51.3	46.6	56.9	53.4
10:30-11 P.M.	50.1	45.1	42.5	47.4	46.3
7:30-11 P.M.	64.3	52.6	45.0	60.8	55.7
* Eastern & Cent	ral Time Zone	es: New Y	ork Time.	Pacific T	ime Zone

local time, † Eastern & Central Time Zones: New York Time, Pacific Time Zone: local time, † Eastern & Central Time Zones: New York Time, Pacific Time one: 9 A.M.-3 P.M., local time. A. C. Nielsen is expanding its Canadian operations to provide coverage by the Nielsen Broadcast Index (as it's known in Canada) in 15 major markets covering 80% of Canadian TV homes. The same markets will "subsequently serve as the nucleus for national TV reports, a logical development from the 15-market measurement," said vp & bcst. div. mgr. George W. Ralph of A. C. Nielsen Co. of Canada Ltd. Montreal, Toronto, Vancouver and Winnipeg will be reported in Nielsen measurements this summer, and all markets will be actively measured by fall.

TV Audience Research (TAR), newest TV research firm in the field, has been officially launched. An offshoot of NTA Telestudios Ltd. (an NTA subsidiary which makes tape commercials), TAR was described by Telestudios Pres. George K. Gould as "a revolutionary in-home evaluation service developed to test the effectiveness of TV commercials & programming." Research for TAR will be conducted by Blankenship, Gruneau & Ostberg Inc., an independent qualitative research organization.

TAR's method: Test material will be included in the context of regular programming on WNTA-TV N.Y. Prior to a telecast, 200 households in the N.Y. area will be selected at random, contacted by phone, and alerted to watch a time segment that includes the test program. A small premium (as in many measurement processes, including Nielsen's) will be offered for cooperation.

Following a TAR telecast, viewers will be personally interviewed. Measurements will then include the degree to which the commercial creates "a memorable impression," the extent to which viewers are likely to buy the TV-sold item, a measurement of acceptance of ad claims, and an analysis of commercial recall.

**TvB VIEWS 1959 ACTIVITY:** A trio of new studies were issued by TvB last week charting activity by new-to-TV advertisers, TV spending by the auto industry, and the use of TV by insurance companies.

New network advertisers: TV is not a medium restricted only to giant-budget advertisers. Of the 59 advertisers which used network TV for the first time last year, 51 spent less than \$200,000 apiece. The parade of new TV money was led by some major firms, of course. Drug Research Corp. spent \$2.4 million, Massey-Ferguson \$1.7 million, and Equitable Life Assurance Society \$1.3 million. But the list also included such firms as F&F Labs. (cough remedies) with \$7,126 and South Penn Oil Co. with \$6,893.

Auto TV spending: TV expenditures by motor makers rose to new highs during 1959. Gross expenditures for network, and for national & regional spot TV, totaled \$48.9 million—up 6.2% over 1958. Notable also was the increasing use of TV to sell imported autos, with the 1959 spending of Renault Inc. (\$2,025,673 for network & spot) setting the pace. Heaviest auto advertiser (all divs.) was General Motors, with more than \$17 million, followed closely by Ford Motor Co. with more than \$16 million.

Insurance TV spending: Insurance companies showed a 44% increase in use of TV last year. The largest spender was Prudential Life Insurance Co. with a 1959 TV budget of \$3.7 million (primarily for CBS-TV's 20th Century series). Runner-up was Allstate Insurance Co., with \$1.9 million, mostly for participations in *Playhouse 90*. Allstate, incidentally, may make a bid for top position in 1960, sponsoring *Person to Person & The Texans*.

# Stations

18

Automated FM 'Network': A good-music service for FM stations, to provide 18 hours of programming daily for playing on automated equipment, is being spearheaded by veteran broadcaster Rogan Jones, pres. of radio KVOS Bellingham, Wash. He is also forming his own chain of 7 FM outlets on the West Coast.

Jones is offering his automated good-music programming to FM stations coast to coast—along with automation equipment to play the tapes and automatically key local commercials & announcements. Subscriber stations of his International Good Music Inc. (IGM) will receive 18 hours of good-music programming daily, recorded on tape for playing automatically, with special equipment to key local commercials, station breaks, etc. The system is designed for operation of the entire station with only a single fulltime employe, Jones told us last week at NAB convention.

Musical dir. of IGM is famed musician Alfred Wallenstein, who will supervise the selection of records in N.Y. to be re-recorded on automatic tapes in Bellingham for distribution to subscriber stations. The complete station automation package, including Ampex reproduction equipment, costs \$7,272—although stations may use other automation gear, if they wish. Rates for the program service vary from about \$500 to \$4,500 a month, depending on market. IGM will sell no time, provide no commercials, but provision is made for insertion of up to 6 commercials an hour. Jones estimates it will take a local station less than 30 minutes to record an entire day's commercials, station breaks & public-service announcements.

Jones will use the service for his 7-station chain, to be called the Heritage Group. His stations will accept no local commercials, being sold as a group only. His KGMI Bellingham is now on the air, KGMG Portland is ready to start broadcasting, his Seattle station will go on the air in a few weeks. In addition, Jones' group has applications with the FCC to take over CPs for KFMU Los Angeles & KFMW San Bernadino. It is in an FCC hearing for a San Diego station; is negotiating for an FM in San Francisco.

**Petry Public-Service Survey:** Stations represented by Edward Petry & Co. in 55 major markets contributed a whopping \$22,613,505 in public-service time & talent last year. This fact came to light in the survey released by the rep firm at the NAB convention. Said Pres. Edward E. Voynow: "We undertook [the survey] because we felt that too little recognition has been accorded to the manifold public-service endeavors of the broadcast industry."

Heading the list getting public-service support are various civic groups, which received more than \$2.9 million in airtime. Runner-up was religion, with \$2.7 million in announcements & programs, followed by Advertising Council projects with \$2.5 million. Heavy support was also given to education, health & medicine, and community fund projects. The survey also showed that Petry-repped stations contributed "more than 1,000 announcements a day and broadcast and/or telecast 45,994 public-service programs last year." The TV public-service total was nearly \$17.1 million, and radio's contribution was more than \$5.5 million. (The dollar differential, said Petry, "closely reflects the difference in time costs between the 2 media.")

Commercial Ch. 8, Waycross, Ga., granted to the Georgia State Board of Education (WEGS-TV), would be marked "reserved for education" under proposed FCC rule-making.

# Congress

**Celler Lauds N.Y. Stations:** Unexpected compliments for broadcasters' public-service work came last week from one of the severest Congressional critics of TV & radio practices—House Judiciary Committee Chmn. Celler (D-N.Y.). Himself the author of 2 new bills banning payola and authorizing FCC to discipline offending stations (Vol. 16:14 p10), Celler took to the House floor to present a long statement urging Congress to take "a balanced view of the entire situation" in broadcasting.

In his own efforts to keep his views balanced, Celler said, he asked 2 N.Y. TV stations—WRCA-TV & WOR-TV—and 7 radio stations (WQXR, WMCA, WCBS, WNEW, WABC, WRCA and WOR) to tell him what kind of public-service programming they did in 1959. He specified 3 "areas of inquiry"—time given to civil defense, non-commercial spots for charities, community-service programming. Results of the survey of the 9 stations indicated the "sheer magnitude" of what broacasters do freely, Celler said. He reported that their non-commercial spot announcements alone "aggregated over 90,000 minutes, valued at more than \$6.5 million, and provided more than 110,000 announcements to over 2,400 organizations."

"The facts which the study of the N.Y. stations [has] brought to light indicate that radio & TV have been utilized as an important instrument for the public good," Celler told the House. "These facts, I believe, deserve to take their place alongside some of the less creditable facts which have been developed in recent disclosures."

Ethics-in-govt. hearings by the House Commerce Committee (Vol. 16:14 p10) droned through another week, producing little sharp testimony-and no banner headlineson whether FCC & other agencies need more protection from ex-parte influences. Last week was lawyers' week on the stand. Biggest pitch for stiffer controls of backdoor contacts was made by American Bar Assn. witnesses Donald C. Beelar & Bryce Rea Jr., who argued for enactment of provisions of ABA's bill (HR-6774) barring ex-parte pressures. They said the agencies "have not been able to deal with the problem up to now." Other witnesses included Navy gen. counsel F. Trowbridge vom Baur, who appeared as an individual lawyer. He said that if Congress approves the ABA bill or another ethics measure (HR-4800) introduced by Committee Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.), the legislation should make it plain that members of Congress come under its ex-parte bans, too.

Anti-payola & quiz fraud bill (HR-11341) by House Commerce Committee Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.), introduced less than 3 weeks ago (Vol. 16:13 p3), will be given quickie hearings by his Communications & Power Subcommittee this week. Moving with unusual speed, Harris set April 12-13 for the hearings on the measure, which also would regulate pay-offs & swap-offs between license applicants and require public FCC hearings preliminary to licenses being granted. First scheduled witness is FCC Chmn. Ford, followed by CBS vp & gen. attorney Thomas K. Fisher—and probably other networks & NAB.

ABC-TV headliner Dick Clark's date with House payola investigators, first set tentatively for April 21 (Vol. 16:14 p10), was reset last week—again tentatively—for April 26. Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.) of the Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee announced that order of appearance by Clark & other witnesses hadn't been fixed.

Televi	sion Digest
WALTER H	RIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC. I. ANNENBERG, President dnor, Po., MUrroy 8-3940, TWX: Rodnor 1028
JAMES T. QUIRK, Business Monoger	MERRILL PANITT, Editorial Director HAROLD B. CLEMENKO, Managing Editor DAVID LACHENBRUCH, Associate Editor HAROLD RUSTEN. Associate Editor
WASHINGTON BUREA Wyott Building Woshington 5, D.C. Sterling 3-1755 ALBERT WARREN, Chief WILBUR H. BALDINGER WM. J. McMAHON Jr. MARTIN CODEL Associote Publisher	625 Modison Ave. New York 22, N.Y. Plozo 2-0195 CHARLES SINCLAIR, Chief
TELEVISION DIGEST. Publis For group rotes & other su	shed Mondoys. Subscription \$75 annually. Joscription services, write Business Office.
TELEVISION FACTBOOK TV Published Morch & Sept.	& AM-FM ADDENDA AM-FM DIRECTORY Published Saturdoys Published in Jonuory
Cöpyright 1960	, by Triongle Publicotions, Inc.

**Personals:** Thomas F. O'Neil, RKO General pres., named to new post of vice chmn. of parent General Tires & Rubber; Michael G. O'Neil promoted from General Tire vp to pres., succeeding his father William G. O'Neil who remains chmn.; John O'Neil named finance committee chmn.; L. A. McQueen promoted from sales vp to exec. vp.

Tom Bostic promoted from vp & gen. mgr. to pres., Cascade Bcstg. Co. (KIMA-TV & KIMA Yakima, Wash.; KEPR-TV & KEPR Pasco, Wash.; KBAS-TV Ephrata, Wash.; KLEW-TV Lewiston, Ida.; and radio KWIQ Moses Lake, Wash.). Jack Reber promoted from asst. gen. mgr. to succeed Bostic. A. W. Talbot promoted from pres. to chmn. Jim Nolan promoted from TV program dir. to TV programs vp. Bill Grogan promoted from gen. TV sales mgr. to TV sales vp. Joe Kendall named radio vp. Dick Glassaway named KEPR-TV sales mgr.

Donald C. Kamin, ex-Katz Agency, named Storer Bcstg. Co. Midwest sales mgr., succeeding George Lyons, who is being transferred to Storer N.Y. office as head of national sales for Storer's WSPD-TV Toledo . . . William V. Miller, ex-WFLA-TV Tampa, named local sales mgr., WLOF-TV Orlando; William T. Latham named WLOF-TV regional & national sales mgr. . . Ernest Lee Jahnke, NBC standards dir., elected to Society of TV Pioneers board.

John O. Downey, ex-KDKA-TV program mgr., named program dir., WCAU-TV Philadelphia, eff. May 1 . . . James A. Gustafson promoted to local sales mgr. of WTTG Washington, succeeding Ernie Tannen, resigned . . . William N. Early, ex-FTC trial attorney, joins Washington communications law firm of McKenna & Wilkinson . . . C. W. (Jack) Jackson, ex-KCMO-TV & KCMO Kansas City and 1954 National Assn. of TV & Radio Farm Directors pres., resigns May 1 as National Grange PR dir. to become exec. dir. of Agricultural Hall of Fame, Kansas City . . . John Daly, ABC news & public affairs vp, and his wife Margaret Neal Daly, were divorced last February, it was announced last week.

Mary Jane Morris, secretary of the FCC, who resigned April 1, will go into private law practice in Washington & her hometown of Midland, Mich. She'll be associated with her brother John C., who is a member of the Mich. House of Representatives, in the firm of Bower & Morris.

# The FCC

Two old cases terminated & denied by FCC recently: (1) Rule-making, started in 1950, intended to put a temporary limit on the number of hours of programming which a TV station could accept from one network. It was started during the freeze, when networks were jockeying for time on the few operating stations. It was designed to help ABC & Du Mont, primarily, and CBS to a lesser degree-because NBC held the dominant position (Vol. 6:40 p1). (2) FCC rule-making, started in 1955 at the request of a Miami uhf grantee, "to prohibit a TV station from broadcasting the programs of more than one network if another TV station in the same area is ready & willing to affiliate with & broadcast the programs of the second network." In denying the request, the Commission said that the uhf station "failed to indicate that the proposal was either necessary or appropriate and that the proposal was inconsistent with FCC rules designed to protect the freedom of stations affiliated with one network to broadcast programs of competing networks."

FCC's weathercasting-equal time decision involving KWTX-TV & KWTX Waco, Tex. (Vol. 16:12 p4) has been challenged in the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals. William H. Brigham, who is running for the Tex. House of Representatives against KWTX weathercaster Jack Woods, disputed FCC's interpretation of the amended Sec. 315 of the Communications Act. The Commission had concluded that Woods' broadcasts were "not political in nature" and that Brigham wasn't entitled to the same amount of broadcast time. Under expedited procedure because the primary is May 7, FCC's briefs are due April 15. As of now, no oral argument is scheduled, and the decision will be made on the basis of briefs.

Allocations petitions: By Penn Traffic Co., Johnstown, Pa. dept. store, to add either Ch. 3 or Ch. 8 there; by John R. Powley & Frank J. Hedrick of Austin, Tex., to switch city's educational assignment from Ch. 70 to Ch. 24, delete Ch. 70 and add Ch. 67.

Station CPs granted: Ch. 13, Hilo, Hawaii, to Kaiser Hawaiian Village TV; Ch. 19, educational, Kansas City, Mo., to school dist. of Kansas City; Educational Ch. 2, Vermillion, S.D., to State U. of S.D.; Ch. 76 translator, Libby, Mont., to Libby Video Club. CP for Ch. 9, Eugene, Ore. for Liberty TV Inc. was voted by FCC recently as it instructed the staff to write a decision favoring Liberty over KEED Inc., affirming the initial decision (Vol. 15:40 p5).

More FCC scrutiny: Radio KZUN Opportunity, Wash., had its March 16 license renewal set aside and was asked to supply "additional information concerning overall programming and policy with respect to spot announcements."

Ch. 13, Fargo, N.D., reserved for educators, has been dropped in by FCC in a final rule-making decision. At the same time, the Commission denied the petition of KFJZ-TV (Ch. 11) Ft. Worth which requested that Ch. 2 be switched from Denton to Ft. Worth, Ch. 11 to Denton.

Educators have Ch. 9 open for them in Evansville, Ind., now that FCC has ended the rule-making shifting it from Hatfield, Ind. The Commission dismissed the applications for the channel in Hatfield filed by radio WVJS & WOMI.

In TV allocations rule-making, the FCC: (1) Denied a proposal to add Ch. 13 to Mt. Vernon, Ill. (2) Proposed to add Ch. 32 to Louisville, Ch. 37 to Syracuse. 17- & 21-IN. TVs DIE HARD: Future of 17-in- & 21-in. TV may be bleak & short, but consensus of 10 industry leaders we interviewed last week is that 17s & 21s will lose sales ground slowly to newer 19s & 23s and remain sales factors for at leas another year or two. There's no evidence of mass abandonment.

Among majors, only Sylvania is completely out of 17 & 21 picture. Admiral has dropped 17 but is still going with 21. Conversely, Motorola & RCA gave oldies new life just last month (Vol. 16:13 p21) when former announced 6 new 21s and latter added four 17s. Most TV makers, we found, plan to include both 17s & 21s in upcoming lines, but primarily as price leaders.

"Death knell of 17s & 21s has been sounded," is way Westinghouse's forthright TV-radio div. mktg. mgr. Charles J. Urban sums situation. "In another year or possibly 2, they'll give way completely to 19s & 23s." As long as demand holds, Westinghouse will continue with 17s & 21s, at least into 1961 line.

Zenith also is staying with them, Sales Corp. Pres. Leonard Truesdell told us, but their place will be in "low-end tables & consoles." This price-leader role was echoed by GE's Herbert Riegelman: "As long as there's a difference in tube costs, 17 & 21 sets will have their definite place as price leaders. The 19s & 23s will serve as step-ups." Incidentally, Hoffman consumer products div. vp-gen. mgr Ray Cox told us we erred in earlier statement that Hoffman was planning to drop 17s & 21s (Vol. 16:13 p21). Motorola, of course, has made its position clear by recent introduction of new 17s & 21s. "We believe that there will be a strong demand for 17- & 21-in. sets for some time," we were told.

New-size TVs are making good sales progress, however, and could speed end of 17s & 21s. Philco told us "demand for 23-in. models has sold us out." Some 6 weeks ago, company laid off several hundred workers. Now it's rehiring, has added more than 100 to step up production of 23-in. TVs. Admiral told us its 19-in. business is "terrific," that 23-in. demand is "hot." March portable-TV distributor sales were 57% ahead of a year ago, and Admiral Sales Corp. Pres. Carl E. Lantz says most of the increase is attributable to "excellent acceptance" of the 19s. RCA, we understand, is cutting a real sales swath with its 23s, and all 19 & 23 makers we spoke with reported sets moving well.

Sylvania, Magnavox and Trav-Ler announced new-size TVs last week. Sylvania introduced industry's first TVs using 19-in. bonded tube—3 portables with suggested \$199.95 lists. Each can be converted to consolette by adding matching legs. Magnavox is bringing out three 19-in. table models, ranging from \$199.90 to \$239.90, and three 23-in. models—a \$249.90 consolette, \$339.50 console, \$575 stereo theatre. Magnavox is using non-bonded 19s & 23s. Trav-Ler is placing on the market a 19-in. non-bonded portable listing at \$199.95.

**JAPAN-TO-U.S. IMPORTS TRIPLE:** Japanese electronics exports to U.S. increased more than threefold from 1958 to 1959, totaling \$75.6 million last year. The biggest item by far was radio—accounting for \$62.4 million.

These figures have just been compiled by the electronics div. of Commerce Dept.'s Business & Defense Services Administration from preliminary Japanese customs data. They show that radio receiver exports to the U.S. jumped from 2.5 million in 1958 to more than 6 million sets in 1959. Average export price of radios in 1959 was \$10.33 per unit.

<u>Nearly 4 million of the radios exported to the U.S.</u> last year contained 3 or more transistors—slightly more than the number of transistor portable radios produced by U.S. radio makers last year, according to EIA figures (which include imports as well as domestic output under American radio-manufacturer labels). This indicates that Japanese exports of transistor portables handily outstripped U.S. production last year.

Electron tube & transistor exports to U.S. also showed big increases—tubes going from 1.2 million

VOL. 16: No. 15

units at \$314,000 in 1958 to 7.9 million at \$2.1 million. Transistors rose from virtually nil (11,000 units at \$7,000) to 2.4 million at \$1.6 million during the period.

Electronics exports constitute Japan's 2nd biggest dollar-earner, Commerce Dept. points out. No. 1 export to U.S. was clothing, valued at \$111.7 million; followed by electronics at \$75.6 million, and iron & steel at \$74.4 million. Last year Japan exported \$135.3 million in electronic products to all countries-of which Japan's best customer, the U.S., accounted for 56%.

In tabular form, here's the data on Japanese electronics exports to the U.S. for the last 4 years(1959 figures are preliminary): 11000

			(add (	)00)				
	11	956	19	957	19	958	19	959
Product	units	value	units	value	units	value	units	value
Total radio receivers	368	\$2,646	641	\$5,294	2,507	\$17,904	6,052	\$62,373
tube type	*	*	*	*	*	*	457	2,552
3 or more transistors	*		*	*	.#	*	3,990	57,272
other	24	*	*	*	*	:*	1,605	2,549
Radio-phonographs			1	7	2	59	21	547
Recorders & reproducers	t	5	1	109	8	449	41	1,617
Amplifiers	* *	* *	* *	* *	* *	* *	34	460
Microphones	33	73	137	276	80	177	161	321
Loudspeakers	40	75	113	293	129	420	455	1,155
Capacitors	281	26	1,974	169	6,166	288	8,925	533
Earphones	* *	* *	*:*	* *	* *	* *	2,741	619
Electron tubes	3	7	14	8	1,238	314	7,911	2,088
Transistors	†	4	1	1	11	7	2,393	1,581
Other semiconductors	* *	* *	* *	* *	* *	* *	597	92
Phono parts, accessories		165		646		757		824
Other electronic products		298		779		1,400		3,432
TOTAL		\$3,299		\$7,582		\$21,775		\$75,642

\* Not shown separately. \*\* Not reported separately; value included in "other electronic products." † Less than 500 units.

Conversion rate—360 yen = \$1.00

**TV-RADIO PRODUCTION:** EIA statistics for week ended April 1 (13th week of 1960):

	Mar. 25-Apr. 1	Preceding wk.	1959 week	'60 cumulative	'59 cumulative
TV	121,039	104,233	111,563	1,572,685	1,390,550
Total radio	300,559	343,023	263,316	4,460,752	3,597,676
auto radio	103,680	126,041	104,090	1,862,963	1,363,822

To combat consumer confusion about tape cartridges (Vol. 16:13 p18), Magnetic Recording Industry Assn.'s board has recommended that its member manufacturers stamp on recorders & tape packages assurance to the customer that they "will continue to honor your requirements for 7½-in.-per-sec. on open reel as long as a market exists." The board also urged "caution in speaking of laboratory accomplishments before they are fully evaluated."

International standard recommendation for commercial stereo records has been published by International Electrotechnical Commission. It is IEC publication 98-1, a supplement to publication 98. Both are available from American Standards Assn., Dept. PR 135, 10 E. 40 St., N.Y.C. 16. Publication 98 is \$4; publication 98-1 is \$1.60.

TV bulb & electronics business of Owens-Illinois and its subsidiary Kimble Glass Co. are "having trouble keeping up with demand," Chmn. J. P. Levis said last week in a speech to the N.Y. Society of Security Analysts.

Ambitious color-TV promotion by pioneer colorcaster KMTV Omaha & RCA Victor distributor Sidles Co., scheduled for Palm Sunday, was slated to saturate the Omaha viewing area with 17 hours of continuous color programming, from the 8:30 a.m. sign-on to the 1:15 a.m. close. Tying in with the "Spring Into Color" promotion, some 120 RCA Victor TV dealers in Omaha & Lincoln, Neb., and Des Moines & Waterloo, Ia. were to open Sunday for color demonstrations. (WHO-TV Des Moines planned a 9-hour color schedule.)

Institute of High Fidelity Mfrs. Pres. Raymond V. Pepe has named 3 committees: Promotion committee, headed by Arthur Gasman, British Industries Corp., "to bring the component quality story to all America;" code of ethics committee, under Rudy Bozak, R. T. Bozak Mfg. Co., to establish a set of ethical standards for the component hifi industry; publicity & public relations committee, headed by Albert Forman, Electronic Technician magazine.

Electronic military gadgets have multiplied to such an extent that they may jam themselves out of action on a battlefield, according to Maj. Gen. Robert J. Wood of the Army's research & development office. Testifying at a closed session of a House appropriations subcommittee on . an Army plan for a 2-year study of the problem, he said: "We know that if we go to war today, the Army Corps will have at least 20,000 electronic emitter devices in a square 60 miles on a side. This number does not include the devices of our sister services, the Air Force and the Navy, nor our allies. Nor does it include the contribution to the electronic environment caused by the enemy. In the presence of the electronic environment thus generated, we have no assurance that devices dependent on electromagnetic waves will operate properly." The "self-jamming" prospects, involving everything from handie-talkies to guided missile systems, are "of utmost importance to the national defense," said Gen. Wood. He urged Congressional approval of \$8.7 million to finance the special study to find out what devices may go haywire under what conditions-and to find out how to reduce jamming.

Canadian TV sagged in Feb. as distributor sales to dealers descended to 28,564 TV sets—from 31,701 in Feb. 1959. Although the Feb.-1960 sales were an increase over the previous month's 24,817 volume, Jan.-Feb. cumulative sales trailed the year-ago total—53,381 TV sets vs. 63,442. The 2-month breakdown (corresponding 1959 figures in parentheses): portables, 7,681 (9,777); table models, 10,-688 (20,363); consoles, 32,171 (30,083); combinations, 2,841 (3,219). For Feb. 1960 (vs. Feb. 1959): portables, 4,552 (5,482); table models, 5,692 (10,197); consoles, 17,-028 (14,848); combinations, 1,292 (1,174).

C-E-I-R Inc., Arlington Va. commercial computer service, has bought Telecomputing Services Inc., Cal. subsidiary of Telecomputing Corp., for \$940,000. C-E-I-R, largest independent commercial electronic computer service in the U.S., was incorporated in 1952 as the Council for Economic & Industry Research and changed its name in 1959. Telecomputing Services Inc. has a staff of 225 and had a \$2,850,-000 backlog at end of 1959.

Market research operates as "preventive medicine" in the development of effective marketing plans, RCA marketing research & development mgr. Wendell R. Smith told an EIA semiconductor marketing forum in N.Y. last week. As such, he said, the marketing research function is now beginning to take its proper place on the executive level of industry, as opposed to the "green-eyeshade factfinder" image that has prevailed in many companies. He predicted that this upgrading will continue during the 1960s, moving toward a more complete merger of market research & market planning.

Libel suit for \$600,000 has been filed by Scope Inc., Fairfax, Va. electronics firm, against Melpar Inc., Fairfax, Va., which had accused Scope in a \$500,000 damage action of misappropriating "proprietary data." The counter-action said Melpar had circulated "false & libelous matter" about Scope to suppliers, creditors, customers.

Retired military officers who take jobs with defense contractors within 2 years after they leave the services would be subject to court martial under amended "influence peddling" legislation (HR-10959) passed by the House.

Stromberg-Carlson names D'Arcy Advertising as its agency for commercial products & electronics divs. (hi fi, auto radios, intercoms, air navigation & communications systems, etc.). **Trade Personals:** Laurence I. Wood named GE gen. counsel, effective May 1, succeeding Ray H. Luebbe, retiring ... Marshall K. Evans elected Westinghouse vp, continuing as dir. of management services . . . George J. Saliba, vp of Bogen-Presto div. of Siegler Corp., retired April 1; duties of govt. contract administrator have been assumed by Theodore Karlin . . . William F. Conway, ex-Minneapolis-Honeywell, named production engineering mgr., Stromberg-Carlson commercial products div.

Harald T. Friis, retired high frequency & electronics research dir. of Bell Labs, named consultant to Wheeler Labs, a Hazeltine subsidiary . . . William I. Orr, editor of *Radio Handbook*, named amateur service dept. mgr., Eitel-McCullough, succeeding John Reinartz, retired . . . Varian patent dir. Paul B. Hunter, tube research & development mgr. Dr. Theodore Moreno, instrument div. field engineering mgr., Dr. Emery H. Rogers, named vps.

Walter O. Stanton, pres. of Pickering & Co., named vp of Institute of High Fidelity Mfrs., filing vacany created by elevation of Raymond V. Pepe to presidency . . . Dr. Clifford C. Furnas, U. of Buffalo chancellor and former asst. defense secy. for research & development, named chmn. of Army's Scientific Advisory Panel . . . Dr. Hector R. Skifter resigns as Pentagon's asst. dir. of defense research & engineering to return to Cutler-Hammer's Airborne Instruments Lab as pres.

GPE's board is being reduced from 18 to 14 directors, effective at the April 26 annual meeting. Current directors not included among the 14 nominees for the new board are Daniel O. Hastings, who resigned last month; Earle G. Hines, who is retiring, and Joseph A. Zock & George T. Link, who are not seeking re-election.

New RCA line of magnetic tape for home sound-recording is being marketed by the electron tube div. through electronics & photographic outlets. The new line, named the Vibrant series, is available in 5-in. & 7-in. reel sizes, in lengths of 600, 900, 1,200 and 1,800 feet, according to distributor products mgr. H. F. Bersche.

Mail-order sales of pre-recorded tape cartridges have been initiated by RCA for the benefit of owners of cartridge-tape players who are unable to buy the cartridges from their dealers.

Packard Bell reported a 70% jump in distributor sales of its home products div. for the week ending Mar. 12, compared with the year-ago period. Vp Kenneth R. Johnson attributed the increase to the new stereophonic line.

New trade publication, *Industrial Electronics*, will be issued for the first time by Sutton Publishing Co., with an initial circulation guarantee of 20,000-25,000.

Bill to license TV technicians has been defeated by the Delaware House of Representatives.

#### Obituary

Frank Albin Hinners, radio & TV pioneer, died April 4 of cancer at the Nassau County Community Hospital, Rockville Centre, N.Y. President of the Hinners-Galanek Radio Corp. (Cavalier radios & phonos), Forest Hills, N.Y., since 1952, he had previously been engineering vp of Jewel Radio Corp. and of Air King Products Co., the TV-radio manufacturer which was later purchased by CBS. He was a founder of IRE in 1912 and a founder & first vp of De Forest Pioneers, an organization of former co-workers of Dr. Lee De Forest. Surviving are his wife, 2 daughters, a brother & 7 grandchildren.

### Finance

Magnavox set new sales & earnings records in the last half of 1959 and kept right on going through the new year's first quarter. Pres. Frank Freimann reports that Jan.-Mar. 1960 sales were 22% ahead of the year-ago pace, earnings were even higher, and shipments of TVs, phonos and combos gained substantially. For March alone, he noted, "incoming orders for our TV sets were 100% greater than the orders we received in March 1959." March orders for phonos were up 80%. Freimann said Magnavox is shooting for 1960 sales of \$150 million and earnings of \$3 a share. It has changed its acounting period to a calendar year from a fiscal year ending June 30. In 1959 the company earned about \$4.6 million (nearly \$2) on sales of \$107 million, compared with \$2,433,000 (\$1.06 adjusted) on sales of \$78.5 million in 1958. In the table below, the 1958 figures are adjusted to reflect a 2-for-1 stock split in Nov. 1959, a 5% stock dividend in April 1959, and dividend payments on preferred stock then outstanding (all preferred shares were redeemed in Feb. 1959). For 6 months ended Dec. 31:

	1959	1958
Sales	\$60,480,587	\$45,113,798
Net income	3,539,902	2,221,940
Per common share	1.51	1.02
Common shares	2,351,602	975,523
For the quarter ended Dec. 31:	7, J	
Sales	\$34,819,365	\$27,741,814
Net income	2,295,989	1,500,055
Per common share	98¢	70¢

Wells-Gardner posted a 23% gain in earnings on a 22% sales rise in 1959. Pres. Robert S. Alexander made this statement to the 1,550 shareholders (up from 1,350 in 1958) in the annual report last week. The big privatelabel producer of TVs, radios, stereo-phonos and other electronic products derived more than 90% of its sales from civilian products, "in contrast to many companies in the electronics industry who are largely dependent upon defense business," Alexander pointed out. Wells-Gardner, he said, believes that "a preponderance of civilian business is a healthy condition, and we expect to maintain a favorable ratio of civilian to defense business." Alexander noted that a primary objective of the Chicago concern "is to continue to be the leading independent contract manufacturer of consumer-electronic products." Another major objective: "diversification into the industrial electronics field." This market, he added, "appears to be the most promising segment of the electronics industry for the future, and we can expect to observe its penetration into virtually all industries in the next decade." He said the company's program for "gaining entry into this segment of our industry [includes] studying the possibility of acquiring selected companies to provide proprietary products which can be distributed by Wells-Gardner." Alexander said that Wells-Gardner opened 1960 with a backlog totaling \$6,265,000, vs. \$4,369,000 a year ago, and forecast 1960-over-1959 sales & profit gains. For the 34th year ended Dec. 31:

	1959	1958
Sales	\$24,259,901	\$19,947,123
Earnings	747,728	608,515
Per common share	1.77	1.47
Common shares	421,800	414,300

 A. C. Nielsen more than doubled profit in fiscal-1960's

 first half ended Feb. 29:
 1960
 1959

 Gross revenue
 \$15,007,038
 \$12,782,389

 Net income
 1,090,029
 491,305

Per common share .....

1.91

Motorola's first-quarter sales & earnings topped the year-ago levels by "a little less than 10%," finance vp Edwin P. Vanderwicken told the Boston Society of Security Analysts last week. "So far 1960 is not living up to the earlier hopes of many people," he noted, "but Motorola is not too far away from our more conservative estimates. We have hopes that our TV business will exceed our good results last year, and already distributor sales to dealers of stereo hi-fi instruments & home radios are substantially ahead of 1959." Vanderwicken cautioned his audience "not to expect in 1960 anything like the 33% increase in Motorola sales that occurred in 1959." He foresees a 10% sales gain with "increased earnings," and will be "very much surprised" if Motorola does not become a \$500-million company "sometime in the present decade." He said that Motorola will probably invest more than \$10 million in additional plant facilities this year. The company is completing a new engineering & administration building in Franklin Park, Ill. for its "growing 2-way radio business & other industrial communcations products." Plans also are being drawn for a possible 200,000-sq.-ft. addition to Motorola's semiconductor facilities in Phoenix. The addition is needed, he added, "to realize a projected 5-fold increase in sales of transistors & other semiconductor devices in the next 2 years."

Hoffman Electronics had one of its best years in 1959, and the 1960 sales outlook is even more favorable, Pres. H. L. Hoffman confirmed in the annual report. Profit climbed 16% to a record \$1,990,165 on a 17% sales rise to \$46,359,832 (Vol. 16:11 p21). Principal contributors to the 1959 performance were the semiconductor div., which increased sales 60% & profits 44%, and the consumer products div., which tallied a 22% sales gain. The report notes that Hoffman "was the first in the industry to introduce the new 23-in. picture tube in 1959," that an expansion in distribution contributed to the overall increase in consumer sales, but that "sales of stereophonic high fidelity phonographs, on the whole, were disappointing." Other report items: Backlog of unfilled orders on Dec. 31, 1959 was at a record level, with military orders alone accounting for more than \$65 million. A record \$3,542,398 was spent for plants & equipment. Total cash dividends declared in 1959 amounted to \$865,562.

Westinghouse sales are running 5-10% ahead of 1959's rate, Pres. Mark W. Cresap told the company's annual meeting last week, and first-quarter profits will be higher than the comparable 1959 period. "Westinghouse management," he said, "is dedicated to improving profit margins & return on assets, not modestly, but substantially—and to do this in the next 2 or 3 years." In reply to a stockholder question, he said Westinghouse spends about \$39 million a year for advertising. As to its TV advertising plans, he said, *Desilu Playhouse* will be dropped and the company will specialize in political events "and other programs."

Webcor reported a decline in sales & earnings in the 6 months ended Dec. 31, 1959. Treas. Louis Garfinkle attributed the decrease to a drop in deliveries on govt. contracts, but noted that the dollar backlog on such contracts is about 20% higher than a year ago. He estimated that Webcor's phono div. sales were up some 3% over the July-Dec. 1958 performance. For the 6 months ended Dec. 31:

	1959	1958
Sales	\$17,879,000	\$18,239,000
Net earnings	302,000	372,000
Per common share	46¢	57c

1000

86¢

Republic Pictures celebrated rising earnings last week by putting its common stock on a regular dividend basis for the first time in the ex-film maker's 33-year history. The board voted a quarterly common dividend of 15¢ a share, payable May 16 to shareholders of record May 5. The dividend action followed the annual meeting during which Pres. Victor M. Carter reported that earnings had more than doubled in 1960's first fiscal quarter ended Jan. 31. "Our operating figures for Feb. & March," he added, "show that the 2nd quarter earnings will be the same or a little better than in the first quarter." Republic, which suspended picture-making several years ago, derives its revenues from distributing movies to TV, renting studios to movie producers, the production of plastics products and the processing of film. For the first fiscal quarter ended 1960 1959 Jan. 31:

WJR The Goodwill Station Inc. (WJRT Flint & radio WJR Detroit) reports 1959 sales of 3,966,000 up from 3,275,000. But net profit declined to  $40\phi$  a share from  $43\phi$ , due to operating expenses of the new (Oct. 1958) TV station and lower radio revenues in the first quarter, the company said. For year ended Dec. 31: 1959 1958

any said. The year chucu bec.	01. 1000	1000
Operating revenues	\$3,966,251	\$3,275,315
Net income	256,098	271,984
Per common share	41¢	45¢
Common shares	632,143	600,614

Fairchild Camera & Instrument Corp., which is acquiring Allen B. Du Mont Labs (Vol. 16:14 p4), more than tripled profit to a record level on a strong sales increase in 1959, and Pres. John Carter forecast continued gains in both areas for 1960. In the table below, both the 1959 & 1958 per-share earnings are based on the 1,036,890 shares outstanding at the end of 1959. For the year ended Dec. 31:

	1959	1958
Sales & rentals	\$43,443,000	\$31,674,000
Net income	2,071,225	544,393
Per common share	2.00	53¢
Common shares	1,036,890	476,597

Avionics Investing Corp., 1000 16th St., Washington, incorporated in Oct. 1959 to supply equity capital & long-term loan funds to small firms specializing in avionics, has been licensed by the Small Business Administration. Operating in D.C., Md., Fla., Mass., and N.Y., it will begin operations with \$305,000, of which \$145,000 will come from SBA in exchange for subordinated debentures of the company. Andrew H. Bergeson, ex-vp of General Dynamics' Stromberg-Carlson div., is Avionics Investing pres. Pres. William F. Rust of Good Neighbor Stations (radios WKBR-FM Manchester, N.H.; WTSN Dover, N.H.; WKBK Keene, N.H.; WNOW York, Pa.; WAEB Allentown, Pa.) is exec. vp. Lawrence C. Moore, Washington attorney, is secy. One of 10 directors is Martin Codel, associate publisher of TELEVISION DIGEST.

NAFI Corp. stockholders last week approved the purchase for \$40 million of boat-maker Chris-Craft Corp. (Vol. 16:12 p23) by the automotive-accessory & broadcast concern (KCOP Los Angeles, KPTV Portland, radio KXYZ Houston). NAFI will pay \$11,990,000 in cash, the balance as a 6% note payable over 5 years.

Philco anticipates that first-quarter sales will rise moderately over the \$95,558,000 level of Jan.-Mar. 1959, but earnings will be at about the same level of \$1,495,000 (39¢ a share), Pres. James M. Skinner Jr. told stockholders last week. He forecast that Philco will "do more business & make more money" this year than in 1959. He noted that consumer products business has been below expectations in recent weeks, but is improving, and said "we are confident our consumer-products division will have a good year." He added: "Our components business is doing well, as is our transistor business, which doubled in 1959 and has a good chance to double again in 1960." Earlier in the week, a company official noted that Philco hopes to produce 40% of its 1960 sales volume from electronic items, up from about 34% (or \$136 million) in 1959, and believes that computers will become an increasingly important source of earnings starting next year.

Skiatron TV & Electronics, in trouble with SEC for alleged stock registration misrepresentations (Vol. 16:14 p21), goes through another round with the market-policing agency in Washington this week. Counsel for SEC will submit proposed stipulations on Skiatron's business & financial dealings at a hearings April 13 in an effort to terminate the prolonged proceedings, which also involve Matty Fox & his Skiatron of America. If counsel for the pay-TV company agree to the stipulations, SEC will call no further witnesses and the hearings will be closed.

TelePrompTer earnings "have turned the corner [and] could be substantial" this year, Pres. Irving B. Kahn said last week. "This is guaranteed," he added, "1960 will be our best year in many ways." Final 1959 figures are expected to show gross revenue slightly ahead of 1958's \$3,-414,499 pace. However, unaudited earning figures would indicate a loss of \$25,000-\$75,000 as a result of acquisitions & start-up expenses. TelePrompTer reported 1958 earnings of \$41,956 (12¢ a share).

Reeves Soundcraft Co. scored record sales in 1959 but turned in an operating loss of \$336,110. The net loss was \$136,625 after giving effect to a \$135,000 tax credit and a special credit gain of \$64,485 from a fire claim. Sales climbed 12% to \$5,369,408 from \$4,786,228 the preceding year. The 1958 profit was \$105,829, after an income tax deduction of \$100,000. Reeves common shares outstanding on Dec. 31, 1959 totaled 3,018,690.

Reports & comments available: Magnavox, comments, Walston & Co., 74 Wall St., N.Y. 5 . . . CBS, review, Fahnestock & Co., 65 Broadway, N.Y. 6 . . . Raytheon, discussion, Green, Ellis & Anderson, 61 Broadway, N.Y. 6 . . . United Artists, analysis, Francis I. du Pont & Co., One Wall St., N.Y. 5... Crowell-Collier, analysis, Laird, Bissell & Meeds, 120 Broadway, N.Y. 5 ... Wells-Gardner, report, Shearson, Hammill & Co., 14 Wall St., N.Y. 5 . . . National Standard Electronics, review. William Norton Co., 9 Maiden Lane, N.Y.... RCA, discussion, Josephthal & Co., 120 Broadway, N.Y. 5 . . . Britton Electronics Corp., offering circular, First Philadelphia Corp., 40 Exchange Place, N.Y. 5 . . . Transistor Specialties Inc., offering circular, Transistor Specialties Inc., Terminal Drive, Plainview, N.Y. . . . "The Golden Age of Electronics," profile in April 6 Financial World.

Comm	on Stock	Divide	nds	
Corporation			Payable	Stk. of Record
A. C. Nielsen Co	Q	\$0.25	May 2	Apr. 11
EMI (U. S. share)	-	.04	Apr. 20	Apr. 12
Republic Pictures	Q	.15	May 16	May 5

WEEKLY TelevisionBr Digest

APRIL 18, 1960

© 1960 TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC.

VOL. 16: No. 16

3

The authoritative service for executives engaged in all branches of the television arts & industries

### SUMMARY-INDEX OF WEEK'S NEWS

#### Congress

TV & RADIO BILLS BATTERED by FCC & industry witnesses at House hearings, which leave payola & other issues unresolved. Outlook for measures: Dim (pp. 1 & 4).

### FCC

**STRONG PROSPECTS FOR FCC UHF** project emerge as House Appropriations Committee approves  $2 \text{ million for N.Y. } 2\frac{1}{2}$ -year 2 transmitter test (p. 2).

### **Auxiliary Services**

**TELEMETER SPORTS LAG** in Toronto test because of free-TV competition, but movies have been "successful," according to report on first 6 weeks (p. 5).

## Film & Tape

**NETS' WGA PEACE BID:** Major break in 13-week-old WGA telefilm strike seen as networks agree on live TV-radio terms, send firstteam negotiators to West Coast to discuss film demands; Alliance, WGA still deadlocked (p. 7).

WHY PILOTS CRASH-LAND is a source of real concern to telefilm producers. Several top agencymen explain why many pilots fail, few succeed, in an exclusive Television Digest report (p. 20).

### Foreign

**WORLD TV COUNT** soars to 91 million sets, as foreign set circulation increases 46% in year. Foreign TV stations total 1,220, as shown in new Television Factbook (pp. 3 & 13).

### Manufacturing & Distribution

APR 19

**TV REMOTE CONTROL** market is uncharted, but it probably amounts to 10% of TV set sales. Growth estimates are varied; high price seen limiting factor (p. 15).

**RETAIL SALES HIGH** for first 2 months of 1960, as TV sets sell at 6.5-million-a-year pace. Phono sales up 35%, home radios 20%, car radios 45% (pp. 16 & 17).

**JAPAN'S SHRINKING HOME MARKET** has set makers looking overseas; less than 20% of Nippon's 18 million households have TVs, but production is outrunning demand (p. 17).

### Advertising

NO FTC LET-UP, KINTNER WARNS broadcasters & advertisers in Academy of TV Arts & Sciences speech. Anti-deception-&-payola drive just started, he says (p. 10).

MORE CREATIVITY, LESS 'ERSATZ' will be the challenge tossed to admen in an open letter this week from the head of Robert Lawrence Productions, a leading commercial-maker (p. 10).

FILTER-TIPS, BEERS, TOYS all increased 1959 TV spending . . . and sales, reports TvB in a trio of new industry-category studies released last week (p. 9).

### **Other Departments**

NETWORKS (p. 11). PROGRAMMING (p. 11). EDUCATIONAL TV (p. 12). TECHNOLOGY (p. 13). STATIONS (p. 13). FINANCE (p. 18).

**TV & RADIO BILLS BATTERED:** Perplexing problems of legislating good vs. evil in broadcasting—especially when it comes to drawing firm lines of law on such questions as when payola isn't payola were seldom better illustrated than in House Commerce Communications Subcommittee hearings last week.

Nothing was settled in 2 days of legislative inquiry into provisions of 7 broadcasting measures including catch-all HR-11341—which Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.) had written himself and hopefully tagged for swift hearing run-through. (For details of the hearing, see p. 4.)

More questions were raised than answered by FCC & industry witnesses who took turns analyzing & objecting to proposals covering everything from TV quiz fixes to less-than-revocation discipline for wayward station license-holders. And Subcommittee colleagues did nothing to encourage Harris to think he could count on fast action on any TV & radio reforms. They posed nettlesome questions of their own. Do members of Congress commit payola sins when they send stations newsclips whose source isn't disclosed on air? Can license "pay-off" & "swap-off" conspiracies be defined legally?—and so on.

"It is one thing to uncover specific instances of wrongdoing," Harris himself said at outset of hearings. "It is quite another thing to write legislation which seeks to draw a clear line between conduct which should be outlawed & conduct which should be required in the public interest."

Harris statement stood unedited & underscored at end of hearings. Congressional session isn't over yet, and something could happen before Congress adjourns for July political conventions. But as of last week it looked as if Harris would go through another session without pushing through any legislation. **STRONG PROSPECTS FOR FCC UHF PROJECT:** FCC Chmn. Lee's colleagues thought they were merely humoring him when they went along with his proposal that Commission seek \$2,250,000 for a big 2½-year N.Y: City uhf research program (Vol. 16:10 p10).

But he surprised them and virtually everyone else because House Appropriations Committee last week recommended \$2 million for project, and chances of final full Congressional approval are very strong.

Bill (HR-11776) goes on House floor April 19. If approved there, it should have easy sailing through Senate, because Sen. Magnuson (D-Wash.), chmn. of Commerce Committee who has long urged FCC to do more of its own research and rely less on industry, is also chairman of Appropriations Subcommittee with jurisdiction over FCC.

Magnuson & majority of his committee, including Communications Subcommittee Chmn. Pastore (D-R.I.), have been pro-uhf at times and are eager to determine uhf's big-city capabilities once & for all. They're particularly eager because TASO stated frankly that it didn't do complete research job on uhf after manufacturers said they feared collaboration would invite anti-trust charges.

Lee's success wasn't complete surprise. House committee is his old stamping ground, where he was top staff member before appointment to FCC. Delighted with news, he said:

"Results of the project should put to rest for all time the speculation about whether uhf will work in large metropolitan areas. If the appropriation is approved, our responsibility will be to do the job well."

William C. Boese, chief of FCC technical research div. and organizer of project, looks forward eagerly to start. "We're not trying to sell uhf," he said. "We're trying to make a thoroughly objective study of whether uhf can provide satisfactory service." He said he hopes for cooperation from all interested groups.

Project would be unique for FCC—first major research job in its history. Its technical div. & labs conduct research continuously, but only at about a \$150,000-a-year clip, mainly for propagation analysis & transmitter type acceptance.

Commission proposes a megawatt or more transmission from Empire State Bldg., plus a 12-kw transmitter with 25-gain about 15 miles north, to test multicasting (use of multiple transmitters for one station).

FCC picked N.Y. because, as Comr. Craven told Appropriations Subcommittee Chmn. Thomas (D-Tex.): "If it works in New York City the chances are it will work any place else in the country."

Commission doesn't intend to do job itself. Rather, it would contract it out to competent research organization, seek cooperation of industry, educators, etc. It also proposes to give National Academy of Science \$75,000 for advisory work by its members, which include nation's most distinguished scientists.

Educators are hepped up about program, and Lee is consulting with top N.Y. men. Municipal WNYC N.Y., which holds CP for Ch. 31, is also excited. Reports mgr. Seymour Siegel: "The FCC proposes to duplicate programs from N.Y. stations. We hope that we can supply additional material of educational & governmental nature. The supt. of schools says he'd be happy to have sets put in the schools for special programs. The police & fire depts. are interested, and WNYC would help transmit police lineups, firemen refresher courses, etc." He said there are some 66,000 vhf-uhf sets in N.Y., unbeknownst to their owners who bought them when dealers ran out of vhf-only last Christmas. In addition, he said, there are about 10,000 master antennas on apartments & hotels; each needs only one uhf converter for all sets—producing substantial audience quickly.

Commission intends project to be directed by a \$20,000-a-year manager, secretary, transmitter consultant, advisory committee—with total personnel cost of \$200,000. Plan is to lease transmitters from GE unless RCA comes up with better deal. Empire State equipment would run \$369,000, its operation \$131,500. Multicasting station equipment, \$382,500; operation, \$65,000. Receiver research & development, \$341,500, includes hand-fabrication of 80 units using tunnel diodes, parametric amplifiers or other new devices, plus 20 sets employing low-noise German tuners. Receiver installation & observation, \$167,000. Field intensity survey, \$334,500. Analysis & report, \$25,000. Reserve for contingencies, \$234,000. That comes to \$2,250,000, but committee sliced it to \$2 million.

<u>Commission might try even more than 1 megawatt</u>. It noted that 100-kw uhf tubes have been developed for BMEWS program, that 8 of these, with proper antenna (not now available), could give 20 megawatts. Dr. Frank Kear, Empire State engineering consultant, said he believes room can be found on tower.

Total budget recommended for FCC was \$12,935,000—less than the \$13,500,000 sought by FCC but \$2,385,000 more than was appropriated last year. Extra money is for 31 of 62 new jobs asked by Commission. VOL. 16: No. 16

**ENLARGED TELEVISION FACTBOOK OUT TODAY:** More useful & convenient than ever, the new 1960 spring-summer Television Factbook is now off the presses and in the mail to all full-service and full-TV-service subscribers as part of their regular subscriptions to Television Digest.

This standard reference work of the industry—and incidentally the only complete data book wholly devoted to TV—is the 30th in a series which began in TV's infancy and since then has chronicled the growth of television. The new book is the biggest yet—500 pages—containing nearly 100 lists and tabulations of vital reference value to everyone in the TV, advertising, manufacturing and related industries.

Enhancing its usefulness are many new features, highlighted by an expanded marketing data section, which now includes a complete list of metropolitan county areas with area TV stations, TV homes, total retail sales and other information. Among other new items are market-by-market TV station financial data, a brief section on how to apply for a station, listings of stations whose programs are repeated on community antenna systems and translators, a table of govt. units exercising supervision over broadcasting operations, a college TV course directory, etc. Also bound into the Factbook for easy reference is the index to 1959 Television Digest newsletters, itself a departmentalized chronology of a crucial year in TV.

All the regular Factbook features have been revised & updated. To name a few: The big TV Station Directory, with complete data & rate digests of the 562 U.S. & 67 Canadian TV stations; video-tape & colorequipped stations; community antenna directory; TV station applications; communications attorneys & engineers; film & program source directory; station equipment manufacturers; allocations tables.

Of interest to the manufacturing and distribution trade are directories of U.S. & Canadian makers of TV, radios, phonos, tape recorders, picture & receiving tubes, transistors, and our expanded statistical section, with industry figures on production, sales, etc. Incidentally, many statistical tables have been put into graphic form this year for convenience and ease of comparison.

The Factbook's Foreign TV Directory has been expanded to 16 pages, listing licensee, power, channel and starting date of each station—operating or planned—in fast-growing overseas markets (see below).

Included with each Television Factbook is our updated 2-color Map of TV Stations & Network Routes, 23 x 25 in., suitable for wall hanging or framing. The Factbook is the only broadcasting reference work which is revised & updated twice yearly to keep pace with the fast-changing industry. Subscribers to our full services receive the 2 Factbooks yearly, plus weekly addenda to the applications & Station Directory sections. For newsletter-only subscribers, the Factbook is priced at \$5, or \$3.50 in quantities of 5 or more.

**WORLD TV COUNT SOARS TO 91 MILLION:** <u>TV's overseas population explosion contin</u>ues to increase in momentum each year, as more countries enter the "mushroom" stage of video growth. Analyzing the Foreign TV Directory section of our new spring-summer TV Factbook No. 30, we find that foreign TV sets zoomed 46% in 12 months to total 38,402,000 as of March 1. This is nearly 75% of the total of 52.6 million sets in the U.S. World total of TV sets stood at 91,002,800.

There are now 1,220 foreign stations, if you include boosters & satellites, compared with 562 regular U.S. stations. The foreign total is an increase of 60% in the 12 months to March 1. In sheer numbers, Italy ranks first in stations—counting 365—but 340 of these are satellites. West Germany has 182, Russia an estimated 137—both of these figures including many extremely low-powered repeaters. Outside of U.S., Japan has greatest number of regular stations, its total of 88 including few boosters & satellites.

Eight nations, in addition to U.S., now have more than a million sets each. After U.S., the top 10 TV countries are United Kingdom, 10 million sets; Canada, 5,534,000; Japan, 4,288,000; USSR, about 4 million; West Germany 3.5 million; Italy 1,666,400; France, 1.5 million; Brazil, 1 million; Australia, 825,000; Sweden, 678,100—followed closely by Mexico with 660,000 and the Netherlands with 650,000. (For tabulation of foreign stations & sets, see p. 13.)

Most impressive growth occurred in Japan, which just a year ago had only 1,660,000 sets, 2 years ago had less than a million. Sweden is new to the top 10, its sets-in-use more than tripling in 12 months.

TV is now reaching into smaller countries. Among areas where picture screens were put in use for first time during the past year are Haiti, Honduras, India, New Zealand, Nigeria, Okinawa, Panama. These & other countries are scheduled to start TV service during 1960: Costa Rica, Nationalist China (Formosa), Republic of Ireland, Kuwait, Malta, Netherlands Antilles (Aruba & Curacao), United Arab Republic.

# Congress

#### More about

**PAYOLA, PAY-OFFS & PENALTIES:** Everybody who spoke up on the subjects at House Commerce Communications Subcommittee hearings last week was against all sins of the broadcasting industry & shortcomings of FCC (see p. 1). But as Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.) put it wrathfully, getting definitions of them—let alone corrective legislation—was something else again.

It got so frustrating for Harris, midway through the 2nd day of 2-day quickie hearings on 7 TV-radio-FCC reform bills, that he exploded: "I get the impression that the industry is simply opposed to any legislation designed to close up loopholes which have been exposed."

NAB govt. relations mgr. Vincent T. Wasilewski was on the witness stand, NAB chief counsel Douglas A. Anello beside him, when the outburst came. "You think the industry ought to be left wide open," Harris said accusingly to Wasilewski, who had presented a 9-page statement analyzing the measures & finding faults in many provisions.

"You ought to be up here helping to work something out," Harris went on sternly. "I'd think the industry would recognize the facts of life & come forward."

Wasilewski squirmed uncomfortably in his chair, but stuck to his testimony, in which he had said: (1) "The overwhelming majority of this industry has been as greatly disturbed as you are about the misdeeds of the few." (2) "We stand ready to cooperate in every possible way to maintain this great & free system of American broadcasting which has no peer." (3) There are no legislative curealls for broadcasting or FCC.

#### Harris Bill Stirs Wide Objections

Main target of criticism by Wasilewski—and by Chmn. Ford who preceded him, and by CBS vp & gen. attorney Thomas K. Fisher who followed him to the stand—was an omnibus measure by Harris himself. It would ban quiz fixes under penalty of \$10,000 fines & 2-year jail sentences, forbid payola, require FCC to hold local license hearings, strictly regulate "pay-offs" & "swap-offs," permit FCC to discipline errant stations by suspending their licenses.

Also called up by Harris for the hearings were: (1) Bills (HR-10241 & 10242) by Rep. Bennett (R-Mich.) to prohibit deceit on the air and permit conditional renewals & suspensions of licenses. (2) Bills (HR-11397 & 11398) by Rep. Celler (D-N.Y.) to ban payola as "commercial bribery" and authorize probationary license renewals. (3) Bills (HR-7017 & S-1898) drafted by the Federal Communications Bar Assn. to substitute pre-grant procedures for protests.

Ranking minority member of the Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee, Bennett made a pitch at the hearings for his 2 measures—and urged Harris to give "early consideration" to another of his bills (HR-5042) which puts networks under FCC regulation. Harris has a similar network-control bill (HR-11340). At week's end Harris had scheduled no hearings on these measures.

Ex-FCC gen. counsel Warren Baker, the week's concluding witness, testified in behalf of the FCBA pre-grant plan, which already has passed the Senate. There was no time before the Congressional Easter recess for Harris to call other witnesses. But he left the hearing record open until April 19 for filing of additional statements on the batch of bills by NBC, ABC, other interested parties. Ticking off NAB's objections to Harris bill provisions, Wasilewski said the industry: (1) Isn't "opposed to the substantive idea" of making quiz rigging & payola illegal, but thinks the measure's terms are too broad & vague. (2) Opposes mandatory local public hearings as unnecessary. (3) Sees no need for additional authority to FCC to deal with "pay-offs" & "swap-offs" which "might be contrary to the public interest." (4) Opposes giving FCC power to suspend station licenses, believing that "death-penalty" revocations & threats of them are more effective than "the lifted-eyebrow technique of govt. regulation & supervision."

Similar testimony came from CBS's Fisher, who submitted a 31-page critical breakdown of the wide-ranging legislative proposals. He was accompanied to the hearings by asst. CBS gen. attorney Leon R. Brooks & network vp Geraldine B. Zorbaugh, gen. attorney for CBS Radio.

FCC Chmn. Ford had a 26-page statement plus an 8page appendix which made many of the same points stressed by Wasilewski & Fisher—with one notable exception. Ford said FCC would welcome authority provided in the Harris bill to discipline licensees with less-thanrevocation penalties, permitting 10-day suspensions.

Questioning of Ford by Harris & other Subcommitteemen about payola problems & what FCC proposed to do about them turned the hearing session into something resembling the FCC panel session at NAB's Chicago convention (Vol. 16:15 p 1).

"I think you can get to the point of being impractical about this business," Harris conceded after Ford had discussed varied interpretations of anti-payola Sec. 317 of the Federal Communications Act, which has been on the law books, virtually unchanged, since 1927.

Rep. Avery (R-Kan.) wanted to know if recordings offered by members of Congress to local TV & radio stations would have to be identified, under a strict reading of Sec. 317, as supplied by politicians.

"I hesitate to give an off-the-cuff answer," Ford said cautiously.

#### FCC to Submit Payola Law Proposal

After caucusing with other FCC members, Ford said Commission would submit to the subcommittee a draft of legislative recommendations on payola—and that FCC woudn't wait until all comments are in from the broadcasting field on its payola inquiry.

Ford's testimony brought one of the few laudatory things Harris had to say in the hearings: "I believe this Commission is dedicated—now—to doing the right thing."

As for the outlook for Congressional action this session on his bill or any of the others, Harris told reporters after the hearings that he didn't expect "too much trouble," that Subcommittee members thought they should get "some legislation." He made no predictions of action, however.

Meanwhile, Harris was faced with a revolt with his Commerce Committee on another front—an FPC investigation by the Legislative Oversight Subcommittee which is scheduled to be resumed May 2. Harris acknowledged that he gave advance approval to a flight by 3 FPC members to a Shreveport funeral in a plane owned by United Gas Pipeline, which seeks a multi-million-dollar rate hike.

The free flight was assailed by Reps. Moss (D-Cal.) & Dingell (D-Mich.), the latter protesting that Harris "had no right" to give "absolution" to the FPC members. Pointing out that ex-FCC Chmn. Doerfer lost his job under similar "influence" cirmumstances (Vol. 16:11 p2), the Washington Post observed tartly: "No one has empowered Mr. Harris to issue permits for impropriety."

TV & radio watchdog work by the Senate Commerce Committee would be financed by a separate \$45,000 budget under terms of a proposal submitted by Chmn. Magnuson (D-Wash.) to the Rules & Administration Committee headed by Sen. Hennings (D-Mo.). The Commerce Committee, whose Communications Subcommittee absorbed a Freedom-of-Communications Subcommittee in special March (Vol. 16:10 p10), adopted a resolution authorizing the fund for a "study [of] all information & complaints containing dissemination of news" on the air. Areas covered: "Political opinions, news, advertising, and presentation of political candidates." Sen. Yarborough (D-Tex.), who headed the original watchdog subcommittee set up to see how amended equal-political-time Sec. 315 of the Communications Act worked, probably will get an assignment to carry on with a subcommittee of the Communications Subcommittee, headed by Sen. Pastore (D-R.I.).

FCC nomination hearings for Edward K. Mills, picked by President Eisenhower to fill out ex-Chmn. John C. Doerfer's term to June 30, 1961 (Vol. 16:13 p2), and Comr. Robert E. Lee, named to another 7-year term (Vol. 16:9 p3), have been set for April 27 by the Senate Commerce Committee. At the same time, the Committee headed by Sen. Magnuson (D-Wash.) will question FTC Chmn. Earl W. Kintner, nominated for a full 7-year term starting in Sept. (Vol. 16:8 p15). All 3 nominees are Republicans. Committee sources told us that no open objections to them have been raised so far within the Committee. But confirmations could be delayed or blocked if Democrats in the Senate decide to wait out the Presidential election.

Pay-TV position of Rep. Harris (D-Ark.), chmn. of House Commerce Committee, hasn't changed from that of a year ago—in light of the Zenith-RKO General announcement of plans to test in Hartford (Vol. 16:14 p3). "I've never opposed the test of a new service," he told us last week. "I do not believe in stopping research. On the other hand, I'm not for blanketing the nation on the basis of a test." Last year (Vol. 15:13 p3), after FCC announced the conditions under which it would approve tests, Harris said that "it would seem unwise to prevent the conduct of test operations of any new developments."

Congressional communications with FCC & other regulatory agencies about pending cases would have to be recorded in a special public file under terms of a bill (S-3359) by Sen. Proxmire (D-Wis.). A witness last month in House Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee hearings on ethics-in-govt. bills (Vol. 16:12 p1), Proxmire said it was time to bring members of Congress under antiex-parte rules, along with lawyers & lobbyists. Other pending legislation isn't aimed specifically at Senators & Representatives who intervene in regulatory cases, he told the Senate, and "there are those who feel that this is a case of the pot calling the kettle black." Similar sentiments were expressed in the House by Rep. Barr (D-Ind.). He said members of Congress should "work in a fishbowl" when they contact govt. agencies.

TV summit conference between the Senate Commerce Committee and industry spokesmen, postponed last month because of the floor debate on civil rights (Vol. 16:10 p10), may be called before the end of April by Chmn. Magnuson (D-Wash.) Not date for the meeting with representatives of NAB, networks and advertising agencies was set when the Committee met April 13 in closed session to catch up on piled-up business. But Magnuson indicated then that he planned to send out invitations to the parley soon.

# **Auxiliary Services**

**TELEMETER SPORTS LAG:** Pay-TV sports are losing money—due to competition on free TV—Trans-Canada Telemeter reports after the first 6 weeks of operation of wired coinbox TV in Toronto suburb Etobicoke. Movies, however, have been "successful," Trans-Canada Pres. Eugene Fitzgibbons says, although it is too early to determine when the over-all system might be operating at a profit. First month's gross was said to exceed \$120,000.

The Telemeter system has been featuring hockey games, but they've also been shown simultaneously on free TV. The coin system's only advantage—besides a commercial-less game—has been that it carried all 3 periods, while commercial stations televised only 2. However, in this year's Stanley Cup playoff series between the Toronto Maple Leafs and the Montreal Canadiens, commercial TV showed all 3 periods.

The result: Thrifty pay-TV subscribers are "suffering" commercials to save a buck.

William Crampton, gen. mgr. of the pay-TV installation, conceded the firm lost money on the hockey matches, but he said the first 6 weeks operation of the new medium was a success.

Meanwhile, bad weather has hampered wiring of sets in the test area—southeastern suburban Etobicoke having suffered its heaviest snowfall of the century this year.

Only 2,100 installations have been made to date, but 20 crews are now working to clean up the backlog of more than 1,500 orders.

On the basis of the first 6 weeks of operation, Fitzgibbons estimates Telemeter subscribers deposit coins in their sets on an average of twice a week. Films have been the biggest attraction—"The 10 Commandments" scheduled as the Easter-week drawing card.

Although Canada does not yet permit colorcasting, those Telemeter subscribers with color sets (there are some because of the availability of colorcasts from across the U.S. border) will be able to see the Biblical epic in color. Telemeter, being a closed-circuit system, isn't subject to the Canadian govt. rules for TV broadcast stations.

Officials of Zenith inspected the Etobicoke closed-circuit installation last week, taking a look-see in preparation for the Zenith-RKO General broadcast pay-TV test in Hartford, Conn. (Vol. 16:14 p3).

Telemeter is now planning to invade the live drama production area to deliver new shows to its home-TV market. First project is a N.Y.-taped production of Gian-Carlo Menotti's musical drama, "The Consul," for which Telemeter outbid NTA's *The Play of the Week* for TV rights.

Jean Dalrymple, ex-dir. of the N.Y. City Center Light Opera & Theater companies, has been named producer of the Menotti work, which will be recorded in N.Y. (with kinescope copies made for distribution overseas and in theatrical showings) next month. "The Consul," she told us, will be shown as the first live pay-TV drama production in Toronto, and possibly later used as a TV attraction in a planned Telemeter operation in the N.Y. area.

Miss Dalrymple, who described the Toronto situation to us as "fantastic in response and acclaim," hopes to include Broadway hit "Thurber Carnival" on her Telemeter production agenda. Hartford Phonevision Co., newly organized by RKO General to conduct pay-TV tests in Hartford (Vol. 16:14 p3), filed for the purchase of WHCT (Ch. 18) last week. The agreement provides that an application for pay TV won't be filed until the FCC approves the transfer and the deal has been closed. If the sale isn't approved within 12 months, either party may cancel the agreement. The purchaser is paying \$150,000 for non-fixed, non-tangible assets of the station, and has agreed to a leasing arrangement which would bring the total cost to about \$900,000 in 10 years. The present owners of WHCT, Edward Taddei & his associates, have an option to acquire 10% of Hartford Phonevision for \$5,000. In the programming plans, 3 movie programs are listed daily—but it isn't specified whether they're toll TV or not.

TelePrompTer's \$500,000 bid for all ancillary rights to a Johansson-Patterson heavyweight title re-match hasn't been accepted by the sellers, Roy Cohn's Feature Sports Inc. A 2nd bid was also made by TelePrompTer for the closed-circuit TV rights only. Rights to the much-publicized bout had been abandoned 2 months ago by TelePrompTer when it ran into govt. trouble with an anti-trust suit. Tele-PrompTer anticipates no problems over its closed-circuit bid (particularly from rival Theater Network TV), and told us that it was virtually a certainty that the bid was "the highest." Late last week, however, Telescript CSP Inc., apparently was challenging TelePrompTer's position by requesting a set of written "ground rules" covering exactly what was being bid for in the heavyweight fight rights. Telescript Pres. Robert P. Swanson said that without such rules it was virtually impossible for his firm to come up with a firm bid. Like TelePrompTer, Telescript makes prompting devices.

# The FCC

Networks must eschew TV rep field, FCC insisted last week as it turned down petitions for reconsideration of last year's decision (Vol. 15:41 p1). NBC, one of the petitioners, promptly indicated that it would appeal to the courts. NBC had offered FCC an alternative to the flat interdiction, suggesting that the Commission could prohibit network repping whenever "such representation resulted from any threat to terminate or to refuse to enter into an affiliation agreement with the stations so represented . . ." Not good enough, FCC said: "It clearly would not eliminate the inherent conflict of interest which results when an organization operating a TV network also engages in direct competition with itself by functioning as a national spot sales representative for stations affiliated with its own network. It also would not lessen the incentive for the networks to subordinate the less significant spot sales operations to the primary network operations, or the likelihood that such subordination would take place."

FCC was sustained by the Court of Appeals in its denials of a petition by CP-holder WSLA (Ch. 8) Selma, Ala., for permission to modify specifications in its permit —including construction of a record-high 2,000-foot tower (Vol. 15:24 p6). With Judge Warren E. Burger dissenting, the court held that Commission had valid reasons for rejecting the proposals on grounds that WSLA hadn't arranged proper financing for the tower and that there was no showing that it would be structurally sound. Burger said WSLA's case "is admittedly not a strong one" but that he would remand it to FCC for further proceedings "to shed light on the total subject" of high towers.

Echoes of Lar Daly case were heard last week in the U.S. Court of Appeals, New Orleans, as FCC & Justice Dept. argued that weathercasting isn't politicking under 'amended equal-time Sec. 315 of the Communications Act. In briefs filed with the court, which agreed to expedite a decision without hearing oral arguments, Commission & Justice urged rejection of an appeal by William H. Brigham from FCC's ruling that he isn't entitled to equal time on KWTX-TV & KWTX Waco, Tex. (Vol. 16:15 p19). An opponent of the stations' weathercaster Jack Woods in the May 7 primary election for the Tex. Legislature, Brigham held that the Lar Daly amendments didn't provide equaltime exemptions for candidates who also are regularlyemployed broadcasters. He argued that the exemptions applied only to candidates who appear in and as the subject of legitimate newscasts. Such an interpretation of the law would "disrupt bona fide news operations which Congress intended to protect," FCC retorted. Pointing out that Woods is only identified on the air as the "TX Weatherman" and makes no political spiels, FCC said that if the court upholds Brigham the practical effect would be that no broadcaster could run for public office. The Justice Dept. supported FCC's brief with additional legal arguments that as a station employe Woods hadn't sought air time for political purposes and had no control over the subject matter of his broadcasts-weather.

CPs granted: Ch. 11, Santa Fe, to Santa Fe Telecasting Co.; Ch. 11, Grand Island, Neb., to Electron Corp.; Ch. 72, 78 & 82 translators to Miami Translator System Inc., Miami, Tex., to relay KGNC-TV, KVII & KFDA-TV Amarillo; Ch. 70, 77 & 83 translators to Windom Area TV Inc., Windom, Minn., to relay WCCO-TV & KSTP-TV Minneapolis, and KELO-TV Sioux Falls, S.D. Earlier, the FCC granted Ch. 8 CP, Jonesboro, Ark., to George T. Hernreich, finalizing initial decision.

FCC has granted protest filed by WBOY-TV Clarksburg W.Va. against the transfer of control of WJPB-TV Weston, W.Va., from J. Patrick Beacom to Thomas P. Johnson & George W. Eby (Vol. 16:13 p15). The effectiveness of the grant of transfer is postponed pending a hearing.

Payola & plugola bills in Congress, along with legislative proposals to ban ex-parte contacts with and insure ethical standards in FCC & other federal agencies, are on the Washington agenda of the Federal Communications Bar Assn. for a luncheon meeting April 22. Members of the FCBA's legislation committee will participate in a panel discussion in the Willard Hotel.

James B. Sheridan, asst. chief of FCC's economics div., was officially named last week as special asst. to Chmn. Ford. As reported earlier (Vol. 16:14), Sheridan's job is to keep Ford "informed on the progress of major projects of the Commission for the purpose of expediting them."

Pompano Beach Club near Hamilton, Bermuda, is the site of the May 9-10 annual meeting of the Assn. of Federal Communications Consulting Engineers. Members of the Federal Communications Bar Assn. have also been invited to attend.

Fifth application for Ch. 12, Wilmington, Del., filed last week, was by National Telefilm Associates. Total applications now on file number 103 (17 uhf).

Comr. Rosel Hyde will address the National Assn. of Educational Bcstrs. Region II conference inspirational luncheon in Atlanta, April 23, at the Atlanta Biltmore Hotel.

# Film & Tape

**NETS' WGA PEACE BID:** From a totally unexpected source—the networks—has come what may be a major development in Writers' Guild of America's 13-weekold strike against the Alliance of TV Film Producers, the networks' TV film operations and the TV operations at the majors. In the midst of getting-nowhere-fast talks between WGA and the Alliance, deadlocked over the issue of foreign residuals, the networks notified WGA it sought a resumption of negotiations and specifically would discuss the foreign area.

The networks' move followed immediately an agreement between the webs and WGA on a live TV-radio contract, the first WGA deal reached in the 13-week-old labor strife, although there has been no strike by writers against live TV. The pact includes a pension plan.

The intervention in the film strike was the first such approach by the networks since the strike began Jan. 16. As a result, full-scale negotiations between WGA officials in Hollywood and first-team negotiators flying there from N.Y. were scheduled to begin Monday (18).

WGA will resume negotiations with the Assn. of Motion Picture Producers (AMPP) earlier Monday seeking to end the writers' strike against the major studios which also began Jan. 16. AMPP called the talks, and is expected to offer WGA a deal akin to the Screen Actors Guild contract, which avoided the question of payment for post-1948 movies sold to TV via a pension plan. The post-1948 issue was the principal factor which prompted the WGA strike against the majors.

All in all, it was a week of frenetic labor activity in Hollywood, with Directors Guild of America also beginning negotiations—joint discussions with AMPP and the Alliance. DGA's pact with each group expires April 30.

#### **Request Boosts WGA Morale**

The networks' request for a resumption of talks with WGA jolted the Alliance, in the midst of negotiations with WGA, and boosted WGA morale. There was guarded optimism from WGA. The network request had swift behindthe-scenes repercussions, and caught a dissident WGA faction in dismay and surprise.

"There have been too many self-appointed negotiators. Too many on both sides are talking deals which they are not empowered to make," commented one insider acidly of the weird behind-the-scenes maneuvering.

Here's a blow-by-blow account of last week's events: WGA met with the Alliance, and offered it a 3-year contract, giving writers a 10% hike in minimums the first 2 years and 5% more the 3rd year, plus 2% of the foreign gross. (New WGA plan bypassed a pension in favor of the foreign, as we noted here exclusively last week). Alliance executives rejected it, and told WGA negotiators they had been "led astray" by others in the Guild. WGA was asked if it would reconsider its proposal, and substitute for its demand for 2% of foreign gross a clause recognizing the "foreign principle."

Meanwhile, behind the scenes, a dissident group led by writer Marion Parsonnct was collecting names of members on petitions, seeking to force WGA's negotiating committee to settle for a moderate stand on the foreign issue. The group claimed it had 100-150 signatures and demanded the negotiating committee be overruled and the Alliance offer accepted. Writers were told Guild negotiators were "irresponsible" and holding up a settlement.

In the midst of this campaign, the Alliance called for another negotiation session with WGA April 13, the day after WGA's proposal had been rejected. Just before this meeting, WGA received the network bid for a resumption of negotiations.

Aware of this and of the dissident group's campaign, the WGA negotiating committee, headed by Sam Newman, took the latest Alliance proposal "under advisement," instead of rejecting it outright. The only new aspect of the Alliance bid was that aside from noting the contrasting stands of each party in the foreign residuals area, it called for establishment of a study committee to study the entire industry residuals program. It would have been a 4-year deal.

The TV board of WGAW met the same evening and the dissidents presented their petitions protesting the stand of the Guild's negotiators. They were told they were defeating the purposes of the Guild with "irresponsible talk" and giving producers an erroneous impression of "a house divided." One WGA executive said the Parsonnet group had closer to 35 names than the 100-150 it claimed. Its move to override the negotiating committee failed.

Meanwhile, the negotiating committee presented to non-Alliance independent producers April 15 the proposals it had given the Alliance earlier in the week, the principal feature of which was its demand for 2% of the foreign gross.

#### 'Peace Feelers' From Independents

Confusing the picture were "informal" feelers and offers from a number of individuals, including producerwriter Cy Gomberg who said he represented Four Star Television, and Parsonnet. Just who Parsonnet represented was unknown.

WGA executives said the networks had been prepared to submit a package proposal, including the foreign residuals issue, last Jan., but didn't because of "certain pressures." The new network package plan will call for a raise in minimums, a pension plan and a slight concession in the foreign residuals area, it's believed.

Meanwhile, series such as The Donna Reed Show, The Ann Sothern Show, The Untouchables and Rescue 8 were ending production earlier than usual due to a script shortage.

No major problems were anticipated on another front, the negotiations between the Directors Guild of America and AMPP, because DGA will not press for any post-1948 payments.

DGA is putting most of its eggs into a pension plan conceived by exec. dir. Joe Youngerman, which calls for the producers to contribute 5% and directors another  $2\frac{1}{2}$ % of their earnings into such a pension fund.

George Stevens, chairman of DGA's negotiating committee, explained to us: "We need a good pension plan more than anything else. We've missed the boat on that. What existed was reasonable and a step in the right direction. We must credit the position the actors took in making such a pension plan possible."

Screen Gems has established two \$1,500 fellowships for theater arts students at UCLA in Los Angeles. They will include a 5-months stay at the SG studios to observe and take part in all phases of telefilm production. The two winners will be named this spring, the first to begin at SG next fall. The students' studio programs will be supervised by West Coast vp William Dozier. **Madison Ave. Film Festival:** More than 1,000 filmed or taped commercials have been entered as candidates in the forthcoming (May 18-20) American TV Commercials Festival in N.Y., festival dir. Wallace Ross stated last week. Deadline for entries, April 18.

From this pool of films, tapes & kinescopes, selections for the festival will be made by members of the 50-man "TV commercials council," a group of admen representing leading agencies, advertisers and broadcasting outlets. Films will be screened for festival events on standard portable projectors. Tapes will not be transferred to film and then screened, since "major network & independent tape producers will provide a closed-circuit feed of black-&white video tape to 21-in. monitors" at a cost of \$25 per commercial for festival showings.

The festival will be the first such event in U.S. TV history, Ross told us. An additional attraction will be screenings of "a collection of commercial classics culled from the infant days of the medium to the present."

More overseas film headaches lie ahead, syndicators tell us, even though the \$300 ceiling in Japan on U.S. telefilm imports may soon be lifted (Vol. 16:15 p16). Two trouble spots on the horizon: (1) The Cuban market, from which some \$300 per Spanish-dubbed episode could be made before the Castro regime began its "counter-revolutionary" crackdowns, has dwindled to little or nothing for U.S. syndicators. (2) Australian Actors Equity, alarmed at the number of U.S. telefilm shows (enough to program the average U.S. network at night for a full season) already bought by Australian telecasters, is pressuring for a 40%-Australian telefilm quota during prime viewing hours.

Bob Banner Associates, in a co-production deal with Garry Moore's Redwing Productions, will shortly start N.Y. filming of a new 60-min. series, *Diagnosis Homicide*, scheduled as summer replacement for *The Garry Moore Show*. Premiere date is July 5, 10-11 p.m. The show, one of the few N.Y.-produced filmed drama series due on the networks this season, will be produced for Banner by Leo Davis, whose credits include *GE Theater*, *You Are There* and *Suspense*. The series revolves around the head pathologist of a large N.Y. hospital who aids the police in solving crimes by the use of medical lab techniques.

Lancer Productions, owned by producer Herbert B. Leonard, and writer Sterling Silliphant's Edling Productions, will jointly produce *Route 66*, 60-min. series which has been bought by CBS-TV for next season . . . Anthony George has been signed to star in *Headquarters*, new series produced by Franklin Schaffner, to be filmed in N.Y.

Production has resumed on next season's segments of *The Rebel*, starring Nick Adams. Fen-Ker-Ada, in association with Goodson-Todman, produces the series, which is being filmed at Paramount Studios . . . NBC-TV has purchased Rexall's interest in MGM-TV's *National Velvet* series, and is planning to slot the series next season at 8 p.m. Sun. Rexall, which paid for the pilot, will be an alternate sponsor.

Another "robot music" bill, banning background use by TV & movies of foreign-made recordings, has been introduced by Rep. Holt (R-Cal.). The AFM-backed measure (HR-11658) is similar to one submitted earlier by Rep. Pelly (R-Wash.), who holds honorary membership in the musicians' union (Vol. 16:12 p13). **Doubles in Donchues:** Hollywood's time-tested TV trick of using a dramatic anthology series as a showcase for potential pilot films is likely to produce some red faces for Screen Gems executives on the night of April 25. On that night, thanks to a coincidence of scheduling, Screen Gems will be doing a remarkably similar story with the same star on 2 shows within a period of 2 hours. Here's the lineup:

Father Knows Best, CBS-TV, 8:30-9 p.m. Episode title: "Betty's Career Problem." Chief star: Elinor Donahue. Storyline: Miss Donahue, as Betty Anderson, decides to get a job, prior to college graduation, as the asst. merchandising buyer at Gorman's dept. store. Working with her is a handsome fellow student, with whom she's secretly in love. The pair are drafted as models in a wedding scene; Betty decides she really just wants to be a bride.

Goodyear Theatre, NBC-TV, 9:30-10 p.m. Episode title: "Marked Down for Connie." (Potential series title: *Calling Miss Peters.*) Chief star: Elinor Donahue. Storyline: This time, Miss Donahue, as Connie Peters, already has a job as a salesgirl in Cook's dept. store. Working with her is handsome salesman, to whom she's secretly engaged. Connie dreams up a sales stunt, it's successful, and she lets her boyfriend take the credit, for she really just wants to be a bride.

We called the N.Y. office of Screen Gems for a reaction to the situation. Reaction from one SG executive, who hadn't noticed the look-alike scheduling: "Oh my God!"

-----

Warner Bros., which previously filed suit against James Garner to prevent the star of Maverick from working elsewhere, has filed a similar suit against Jack Kelly, co-star of the Western series. Kelly and Garner claimed when WB exercised force majeure and took them off the payroll March 3, allegedly because of the writers' strike, this constituted a breach of contract. Warners insists the 2 are still under contract, but they say they are free agents as a result of the alleged breach. After WB filed its suit against Garner, he told us he intended to proceed with his plans to work elsewhere regardless. Last week Garner filed a cross-complaint against the Burbank studio, asking \$341,000 damages for alleged breach of contract and alleged interference with prospective contractual relations. He also sought an injunction enjoining WB from claiming he was under exclusive contract. Garner charged that Warners had interfered with his negotiations for a contract with NBC-TV.

Add syndication sales: Official Film's Almanac is apparently living up to predictions that it would bring a sale a day. Five more stations bought the 5-min. syndicated series last week, bringing the current market total to 57

Film People: CBS Films made 3 executive appointments last week: James T. Victory was named syndication sales dir., and James H. McCormick became Eastern sales mgr., both positions newly-created. David Sontag, who resigned from NBC-TV program dept., was appointed mgr. programs, N.Y. . . . Jerry Franken has been named to adpublicity-promotion exec. dir. at NT&T, reported company Pres. Gerald Cantor. Additional appointments include: Fay S. Reeder, ad-publicity-promotion dir., Martin Roberts, TV ad-promotion dir.; and Pete Latsis, theatrical ad-publicity dir. . . Fremantle International has appointed Robert Lapthorne to handle sales & services in the company's Australian accounts . . . Robert Seidelman named Screen Gems vp for syndication sales; Charles W. Fries named exec. vp . . . Kenneth E. Brighton appointed production mgr. of Newsfilm Inc., a Marathon TV Newsreel affiliate.

www.americanradiohistory.com
# NEW YORK ROUNDUP

David Dietz, who recently purchased a package of some 400 old silent-movie comedies from Hal Roach Sr., is preparing a syndication package of 78 *Our Gang* shorts to be launched in about 10 weeks through Dietz' National Telepix Inc. The Roach films will go through an "updating & streamlining" process at N.Y.'s Titra sound studios which involves addition of a new musical score by Jack Saunders (who supervised music for "Scent of Mystery") and the elimination of old printed dialog titles.

Allen Funt's Candid Camera segments in CBS-TV's The Garry Moore Show are due this fall as a 10 p.m. Sun.night series on their own. Last week, Jerry Lewis volunteered to cancel a \$7,000 Hidden Camera segment on his Timex-sponsored April 15 special on NBC-TV "in order not to embarrass Mr. Funt with his present contract." Funt, according to Lewis, had called his producer and asked that the film not be used "as a personal favor."

MCA-TV's 3 new mystery-adventure series gained additional market exposures this past week: Johnny Midnight scored 10 sales, Shotgun Slade added 5 stations to its syndication list and Coronado 9 trailed with 2 station sales ... Tel Ra Productions added 69 new markets to its sales of Telesports Digest, a syndicated sports show now in its 10th year on the air . . . ABC Films reported sales increases of 176.5% in domestic markets and 238.5% in foreign markets in the first quarter of 1960, compared with the similar period a year ago . . . Screen Gems' Ivanhoe series has now been sold in 25 major markets, the latest sale being to WABC-TV N.Y.

# HOLLYWOOD ROUNDUP

Hal Hudson has resigned as producer & exec. vp of Zane Grey Theatre, and has formed a new production company, which is negotiating a joint series-production venture with Paramount . . Frank Pierson, named producer of CBS-TV's Have Gun—Will Travel series, succeeding Ben Brady, now heading his own telefilm company, Davana Productions. Pierson is succeeded by Howard Joslin as associate producer on the series.

Carma Productions has been formed by TV actor John Smith ... Ray Anthony Enterprises Inc. has been formed for production of movies, plays and TV ... Edmond Chevie plans a taped series, *International Playhouse*, with Pasadena Playhouse and International Literary Services.

Jonsan Productions has been formed by John Vivyan, star of the *Mr. Lucky* series, for production of TV films. Vivyan is pres.; Murray Lerzman, vp; Sandy Comora, secy.; Merrill Bernbrock, treas. . . Dave Tytherleigh named asst. to AFTRA exec. secy. Claude McCue, replacing Harvey Palash, who joined business affairs dept. at ABC-TV.

Screen Gems begins production May 15 of its syndicated series, *Two Faces West*, starring Charles Bateman. Mathew Rapf is producer. SG will resume production on *Manhunt* as soon as the WGA strike is settled. Jerry Briskin is producer.

# Advertising

FILTER-TIPS, BEERS, TOYS ON TV: Another round of "category studies" was issued by TvB last week, summing up spending in 3 important categories:

Filter-tip cigarets: These now account for slightly more than half (50.5%) of the domestic cigaret market, and their rapid rise coincides with bigger spending on TV. They account for 3 out of 4 cigaret dollars spent for TV gross time in 1959. Tobacco TV spending is huge. In 1959 the industry racked up network & spot TV time billings (not including talent, production, commercials) of \$106.6 million compared with \$93.4 million in 1958. Leading network-&-spot cigaret spender last year was Lorillard's filter-tip Kent with nearly \$10.2 million. Brown & Williamson's Viceroy was runner-up with an estimated \$8.4 million. R. J. Reynolds' Camel (6th place spender) was far more heavily in network TV than in spot (\$5.3 million vs. \$809,-000) but Philip Morris-owned Parliament, a filter brand, split its TV spending between the two (\$2.3 million for spot; \$2.7 million for network) as 8th-place spender among the top cigaret brands.

Brewers: TV was the leading medium used by beer-&ale advertisers last year. Inasmuch as few beers are nationally distributed, most spending is in spot TV for syndicated shows, sports events, participations in feature films, and announcements. Gross time billings in spot for brewers was \$42.9 million against the 1958 level of \$40.3 million. In network, brewers spent \$6.0 million compared with \$5.8 million in 1958. Said TvB Pres. Norman E. Cash, citing a prime example of brewery spending: "The marketing ability of TV is illustrated by the case of Anheuser-Busch which tailored its distribution pattern for Busch Bavarian to the reach of the TV signal."

Toys: Having done considerable TV missionary work among leading toy manufacturers in the past few seasons, TvB reported with some pride that network-&-spot TV billings for the toy industry increased 77% during 1959 (\$6.2 million as against \$3.5 million in 1958). "Households with children under 10 account for 69% of all toys & games purchased, with the pre-school group the most important. And our TV homes are primarily in the younger age groups where toys are sold most often," stated Cash. As an instance of how TV can sell toys year-round, he cited Mattel Inc., whose ad budget prior to 1955 was seldom more than \$50,000. In that year, Mattel entered TV with \$500,000 and, by 1959, increased it to \$853,000. During this 5-yr. period, Mattel's gross sales increased 315%-mostly on a steady, non-seasonal basis. Top toy spenders in network-&-spot TV: Mattel (\$853,000), Remco Industries (\$765,175), Louis Marx & Co. (\$676,535).

The TvB studies were based on figures supplied by Leading National Advertisers, Broadcast Advertisers Reports, and N. C. Rorabaugh Co.

New reps: KWTX-TV Waco, Tex. and satellite KBTX-TV Bryan, Tex. to Venard Rintoul & McConnell March 16 from Raymer. KXII Ardmore, Okla. and Sherman & Denison, Tex. to Venard, Rintoul & McConnell April 10 from Pearson. WSIL-TV Harrisburg, Ill. to Meeker Feb. 1 from Walker-Rawalt. KIMA-TV Yakima, KBAS-TV Ephrata, KEPR-TV Pasco, Wash. and KLEW-TV Lewiston, Ida. to Young April 1 from Hollingbery. WXIX-TV Milwaukee to Young April 15 from Gill-Perna. WIMA-TV Lima, O. to McGavren April 1 from H-R Television. **NO FIC LET-UP—KINTNER:** It would be a big mistake for broadcasters & advertisers to think that FTC's "crash program" against deception & payola on the air is "a storm that would soon blow over," Chmn. Earl W. Kintner warned last week.

Noting signs of a "blitheness of spirit on Madison Ave." and press reports (notably by John Crosby in the N.Y. Herald Tribune) that the industry is getting back to business as usual as TV quiz scandals die down, Kintner said FTC has just started.

In a speech to the Baltimore chapter of the Academy of TV Arts & Sciences, he said he's not surprised that "the writing of epitaphs to the TV scandals has begun," but:

"Consequently, if the cynics are correct in saying that 'it's business as usual all over Madison Ave.' (with implications of illegal corner cutting), then I would be forced to the opinion that Madison Ave. has more audacity than judgment.

"I have a strong hunch, however, that the cynics may be unduly pessimistic insofar as improved advertising is concerned. I would be very much surprised if legal counsel for advertisers & for advertising agencies were not being consulted on the more breathless claims for products. Certainly, our monitors are encountering fewer objectionable claims in national advertising."

### How to Spot 'Verboten' Commercials

Kintner also drew a "conspicuous guiding line" for advertisers who complain that they can't tell from FTC's rules which broadcast commercials are legitimate.

"In the first place," he said, "any advertising claim on TV that the Commission would question would have to be a substantive claim that would go to the merits of the product or the competing product used for comparison.

"In addition—as a general rule of thumb—if an advertisement on TV gives to a product material virtues that it lacks, or if the advertisement falsely disparages—by picture or by word—a competing product, then the ad is illegally deceptive.

"On the other hand, simply to present a product's virtues in their most favorable light would not be objectionable—unless, at the same time, competing products are shown at an unfair disadvantage."

At the same time Kintner said FTC rejects proposals that it play "the role of a censor of advertising copy" by previewing it so that objectionable commercials could be stopped "without the need for a formal complaint & the attendant bad publicity."

There's "considerable merit" in this idea, Kintner conceded, but he argued: (1) Complaints & threats of them are needed to keep offenders in line. (2) FTC's staff "could be entirely occupied" with previews. (3) "Such a system represents an abdication of the advertiser's responsibility for self-policing." (4) Advertisers "are perfectly capable of abiding by the law without any hand-holding" by FTC.

Meanwhile in Washington FTC reported these developments on its payola case front:

Duke Records Inc., 2809 Erastus St., Houston, and

Pres. Don D. Robey and Marnel Distributing Co. Inc., 1622 Fairmount Aye., Philadelphia and Pres. Nelson Verbit signed consent orders forbidding them to make under-thetable payments to anybody to broadcast their records.

Robert's Record Distributing Co., 1906 Washington Ave., St. Louis, and its officers Robert L. Hausfater & Sam Rosenblatt conceded they have engaged in payola practices, but in a formal answer to an FTC complaint they said they did nothing illegal.

Ace Record Co. Inc. and Record Sales Inc., Millsaps Bldg., Jackson, Miss., asked FTC to dismiss its complaint against them. They said they "are not currently participating in any programs, 'payola' or otherwise, the outcome of which might be construed as a violation of law."

Chess Record Corp., 2120 S. Michigan Ave., Chicago, and its affiliated Argo Record Co., Argo Record Corp. and Checker Record Co. entered a general denial of charges.

**More Creativity, Less 'Ersatz':** Commercials are only getting about 7¢ out of every \$1 spent by advertisers for TV, but even this would be enough if more of the commercial dollar was "channelled in the right direction—into production creativity." So will state Robert Lawrence, independent N.Y. commercial producer whose 1960 billings will be in the \$5 million bracket, in an open letter being sent by his firm this week to 2,500 ad mgrs. and agency executives.

It's Lawrence's belief that creativity, in the producerlevel execution of ad-agency commercial concepts, is "too often replaced by *ersatz* ingredients" and "too often subordinated to a glitter that does not sell." The result, says Lawrence, is that "commercials are bigger than ever, more slick and spectacular, but hardly as effective as they should be at this stage of their development."

Instead of buying production gimmicks which can help a good commercial but "cannot resuscitate a dud," advertisers should aim more money toward "the utilization of top creative talent." The masterful commercial, says Lawrence, stands out sharply "amidst a plethora of pap."

One major drawback to production creativity, in Lawrence's opinion, is the widely practiced system of competitive commercial bidding which often puts an expensive agency storyboard creation in the hands of "the producer who will do it more cheaply than anyone else," ignoring the fact that "superior directors, cameramen, art directors rate greater remuneration than their less experienced and less talented counteparts."

Lawrence, who speaks his mind as few commercial producers usually dare in a business which depends on agency goodwill for existence, has recently become something of a celluloid Cecil Rhodes. There are now 5 Robert Lawrence companies (Robert Lawrence Productions, N.Y.; Robert Lawrence Animation Inc., N.Y.; Robert Lawrence Productions, Hollywood; Grantray-Lawrence Animation Inc., Hollywood; Robert Lawrence Productions, Canada Ltd., Toronto) in the commercial film industry, and his Canadian offshoot is planning to add RCA video-tape equipment in the near future. He also is affiliated with RKO General.

Ad People: George B. Park, Farish A. Jenkins, Neal Gilliatt promoted to senior vps, McCann-Erickson . . . William Siegel, ex-Revlon ad mgr., named to similar post at Schick . . . John Anderson, Henry Muller, John L. Southard Jr. named Benton & Bowles vps . . . C. James Fleming Jr., ex-Compton, and Kerry F. Sheeran named Ted Bates vps . . . Robert Betts, ex-William Esty, named Kudner vp.

www.americanradiohistory.com

# Networks

**(BS Blueprints 'Culture' Plans:** This season, it was NBC-TV, with about one out of 10 shows in its roster of 200 specials devoted to "cultural" TV fare, that spearheaded the more "arty" brand of TV at the network level. Next season, it will be CBS-TV's turn to go in for culture in a big way.

Nearly \$2.5 million has been budgeted by CBS-TV for a dozen cultural projects for the 1960-61 season in which the network virtually assumes a role of patron of the arts. Due to be slotted as periodic specials in prime time, shows in the series will be 60-min. length and will be under the supervision of program vp Oscar Katz.

Included in the CBS-TV projects: (1) A commissioned modern ballet, by Igor Stravinsky and George Balanchine, based on the "Noah" story. (2) A commissioned "drama with music," on a subject not yet selected, by Gian-Carlo Menotti, who has previously been considered a favorite entry in NBC-TV's cultural sweepstakes. (3) A Leonard Bernstein-Jerome Robbins ballet based on the Yiddish folk classic, "The Dybbuk." (4) A series of filmed-on-location art specials based on the lives and paintings of Picasso, Braque and Chagall. (5) An Orson Welles-produced, modern-dress version of "Julius Caesar," to be taped in London. (6) An opera by ace Hollywood composer ("Streetcar Named Desire," etc.) Alex North.

As soon as the project reaches a blueprint stage, CBS-TV expects to open a special sales campaign on it, seeking sponsors of the nature of American Machine & Foundry or Du Pont, we've learned.

At week's end, CBS Inc. Chmn. William S. Paley announced still another move by the network in the culture realm—a financial grant (amount unspecified, but said to be "large") to the N.Y. Philharmonic to support a 7-week, 26-city tour in the U.S., Hawaii & Canada. Conducting the 37-concert tour will be Leonard Bernstein, who's due to be showcased in the new CBS cultural series (see above). The tour opens Ang. 11 in Cleveland, and ends in Washington on Sept. 25.

NBC-TV will continue old, inaugurate new cultural offerings, we're told by officials there. Such weighty series as American Heritage, Hallmark Hall of Fame, and the NBC Opera will be back this fall. And although specials are being trimmed back for fall, the heaviest NBC trimming is being done on purely entertainment specials, with a number of serious-minded specials on music, art, dance and the theater planned. Among NBC-TV's longhair projects, we've learned, are 60-min. specials on the origins of American music, a study of jazz as an art form, the Pablo Casals festival in Puerto Rico, a 4-part series on modern art, a 4-part series on such scientific fields as meteorology and seismology, a report on new methods to improve the U.S. educational system, and a documentary on "cultural impressions made by the American theater at home and abroad."

The late William Golden of CBS-TV, ad-sales promotion creative dir. who died last Oct., was awarded a commemorative medal by the Art Directors Club of N.Y. April 12 at a luncheon held in conjunction with the 39th annual national exhibition of advertising & editorial art & design. The posthumous award was "in recognition of a lifetime of devotion to highest principles of creative design."

### NETWORK SALES ACTIVITY

### ABC-TV

American Bandstand, 4-5:50 p.m., participations beginning April 6. *Positan Corp.* (Kastor, Hilton, Chesley, Clifford & Atherton) *General Foods* (Foote, Cone & Belding)

Guestward Ho, Thurs. 7:30-8 p.m., 1960-1961 season, cosponsorship. Ralston Purina (Guild, Bascom & Bonfigli) Seven-Up Co. (J. Walter Thompson)

The Jeannie Carson Show, Thurs. 9-9:30 p.m. eff. June 30, full sponsorship. Procter & Gamble (Grey)

### CBS-TV

The Jack Benny Show, Sun. 9:30-10 p.m. eff. Oct., co-sponsorship. State Farm Mutual Insurance (Needham, Louis, & Brorby) Lever Bros. (J. Walter Thompson)

### NBC-TV

World Wide 60, three 60-min. specials eff. April and May, full sponsorship. Longines-Wittnauer Watch Co. (Victor A. Bennett)

Esther Williams at Cypress Gardens, one-shot special during Aug., full sponsorship. U.S. Brewers Foundation (J. Walter Thompson)

The Chevy Show, Sun. 9-10 p.m., eff. Oct., full sponsorship renewal. *Chevrolet* (Campbell-Ewald)

National Velvet, Sun. 8-8:30 p.m., eff. 1960-61 season, alt. wk. sponsorship. Rexall Drugs (BBDO)

# Programming

"Executives on Camera," a new organization formed to coach businessmen for TV appearances, has been established in N.Y. by John F. McCarthy. Convinced that corporate images make unattractive pictures (due to such executive mannerisms as head bobbing, teetering and excessive gesticulation), McCarthy will offer top corporation executives due to make TV appearances a series of special on-camera tutorial sessions.

Final telecast-date for programs eligible for the 1960 Emmy Awards of the Academy of TV Arts & Sciences was March 31, making this Emmy award "year" March 1, 1959 through March 31, 1960. Nomination ballots will be mailed by Price Waterhouse & Co. to more than 6,000 TV Academy members on April 22. Deadline for return of nominations is May 6. Nominees will be announced on May 23. The final ballot will be mailed on May 30 and must be returned to the Academy by June 10. Presentations will be made June 20.

Bob Hope hasn't yet signed a new contract with NBC-TV, although his pact with Buick has been renewed for 8 specials next season on the network. His NBC contract expires at the end of this season, and Hope tells us that his agent, James Saphier, is still negotiating for a new 5-year agreement.

# **Educational Television**

**Electronic Baccalaureate:** A 4-year college education, by means of TV lectures and a tutoring system modelled on those employed by British universities, is being explored by NYU. The project, directed by Washington Sq. College dean Dr. Thomas C. Pollack, is being conducted under a \$30,000 grant from the Ford Fund for the Advancement of Education.

Although the project bears the working title of "College for Independent Study at NYU," it won't be a new college on the university's campus. And after 8 months of study, Dr. Pollack still isn't sure whether a full recommendation will be given to the project.

Under the plan, students would watch TV lectures, such as those on NYU's *Sunrise Semester* series. Then, tutorial groups would meet in the N.Y. area to extend the lecture work. Science students would do lab work on the campus. Faculty supervisors would assign projects.

The study project is due to be completed in Aug. So far, there is no ETV station in the N.Y. area. ETV programs are telecast by commercial outlets.

Shift to video tape has been initiated by NET with the distribution to its 44 network affiliates of a taped series on the Presidential nominating process. The series, *Hats in the Ring*, was prepared by & features Malcolm Moos, White House speech writer & Johns Hopkins U. political science prof. It embraces seven 30-min. investigations of the U.S. political system, from the primaries through nominating conventions. In announcing the series, NET said it marks "the beginning of national distribution of ETV programs on video tape. Beginning with this series, the 8 hours of cultural programming distributed by NET will gradually shift to video tape."

Vigorous support of ETV by California Teachers Assn. was pledged by Frank O. McIntyre, at a recent UCLA panel discussion. The organization's PR dir. denied that CTA opposes ETV. Performer Steve Allen said criticism of ETV would be valid only if there were to be no teachers in the classroom. Ralph Richardson of the Los Angeles Board of Education said he had been subjected "candidly & regrettably" to tremendous faculty reluctance for & hostility against the entire idea of ETV. He added: "I do not think [this] is completely a manifestation of teachers' fear of being replaced. They have a concern for the quality of education." Also a panelist was Rudy Bretz, head of ETV at UCLA. Moderator was Syd Cassyd.

Grants-in-aid program for producers of educational radio material has been set up by the National ETV & Radio Center. The program will be administered by the National Assn. of Educational Bestrs., on whose recommendation NET will pay part of the production costs of approved series. Applications from any accredited educational institution or NAEB member must be submitted before May 1. Announcement of grants will be made by June 15. Additional information is obtainable from NAEB, 14 Gregory Hall, Urbana, Ill.

ETV is good antidote for TV's "mindless fare of murder & whodunits," Rep. McDowell (D-Del.) told the House. Urging enactment of a \$39-million federal-aid-to-ETV bill (HR-10609) by Rep. Roberts (D-Ala.), which won House Commerce Committee approval last month (Vol. 16:13 p4), McDowell said parents in particular should be "immensely heartened" by the Committee's action.

# Stations

TvB sales clinics, relating to improved TV usage by retail stores, have been scheduled for May & June. They begin in Greensboro, N.C. May 3. The clinics, 17 in all, will treat with the problems of department & specialty stores in TV advertising. They will emphasize the best local advertising prospects; how a station should study the prospect and create a TV plan; and how to prepare the TV presentation & follow through. The schedule:

Date	City	Hotel
May 3	Greensboro	King Cotton Hotel
May 5	Atlanta	Dinkler Plaza
May 10	Miami	McAllister, Hotel
May 12	Houston	Shamrock-Hilton
May 17	Minneapolis-St. Paul	Hotel Leamington
May .17	Kansas City	Muchlebach Towers
May 19	Chicago	Ambassador-East
May 19	Oklahoma City	Skirvin Hotel
May 24	Rochester	Sheraton
May 24	Baltimore	Sheraton-Belvedere
May 26	Boston	Sheraton-Plaza
May 26	Louisville	Sheraton
June 2	Detroit	Statler-Hilton
June 7	Denver	Cosmopolitan Western
June 9	Los Angeles	Sheraton West
June 14	San Francisco	St. Francis
June 16	Seattle, Washington	Olympic

Timebuyers like public-service shows, and nearly 3 out of 4 agency buyers (72%) would recommend locallyproduced public-service shows in national spot campaigns if they were supplied with more qualitative information. So stated the 6th and latest "NBC Spot Sales Timebuyer Opinion Panel Report" released by the network's rep offshoot late last week. Other highlights of a nationwide study of 225 ad agencies: 76% of timebuyers believe the quality of TV public-service programming "has increased over the past few years." Two out of 3 panelists (65%) believe that a station having a strong public-service image provides "greater sales effectiveness for advertisers." Panelists were virtually unanimous (95%) in the opinion that public service "is effective in molding a corporate image."

Search for successor to late NAB Pres. Harold E. Fellows (Vol. 16:15 p1) reached an "exploratory interview" stage last week, Chmn. C. Howard Lane (KOIN-TV Portland, Ore.) of NAB's selection committee told us. He said he & other members of the 8-man committee had taken on assignments to talk with some possible candidates for the job and report on their qualifications & availability. But Lane declined to name any of those interviewed, stressing that the field—narrowed to 60-70 names from 100-odd suggestions received from NAB members earlier —was still wide open. He said his committee, which has set no date for a formal post-NAB convention meeting on the succession problem, still wanted more suggestions.

NAB's field staff has been doubled from 2 to 4 full-time membership-recruiting representatives by the appointments of Robert W. Jonscher & James T. McKnight. Jonscher, assigned to the West, to be based in San Francisco, comes from radio WONN Lakeland, Fla., where he was gen. mgr. Earlier he was mgr. of radio WMAL Washington and a salesman at radio WTOP Washington. McKnight, assigned to the East, has been in radio 15 years, including 6 with WDAY Fargo, N.D.

Record series of RAB area sales clinics, embracing 52 meetings in 35 U.S. & Canadian cities, gets under way in N.Y. this week (20). RAB vp & member service dir. Warren J. Boorom said that the series of one-day clinics for salesmen & management executives of RAB's 1200 member stations will include a new radio presentation, new methods for meeting newspaper competition, other elements.

# Foreign

### More about

**FOREIGN TV GROWTH:** Set circulation in foreign countries could well equal that of the U.S. in about a year if growth continues at nearly the same pace of the last 12 months (see p. 3). However, there could be some leveling-off in numerical growth as most of the "have" nations build up closer to saturation of homes which can afford TV sets.

The tabulation below, excerpted from our just-published spring-summer TELEVISION FACTBOOK No. 30, summarizes the data on existing TV stations & sets in the FACTBOOK's Foreign TV Directory section:

Country	Stations	Sets	Country	Stations	Sets
Algeria	3	38,100	Luxembourg	1	4,000
Argentina	1	400,000	Malta <sup>6</sup>	_	7,000
Australia	12	825,000	México	20	660,000
Austria	16	111,000	Monaco	1	11,000
Belgium	5	350,000	Netherlands		650,000
Bermuda	1	8,500	Nicaragua		5,000
Brazil	19	1.000.000	Nigeria		1,000
Bulgaria	1	3,000	Norway		9,000
Canada	62	5,534,000	Okinawa <sup>1</sup>		
Chile <sup>1</sup>	2		Panama <sup>4</sup>		9,000
China (Mainld.)	4	5.000	Peru		33,200
Colombia	13	150.000	Philippines		30,000
Cuba	27	365,000	Poland		250,000
Cyprus	1	3.000	Portugal	5	35,000
Czechoslovakia	7	492,900	Rumania	2	20,000
Denmark	8	420,000	Saudia Arabia		4,000
Dominican Rep.	4	13.000	Spain		150,000
El Salvador	3	20,000	Sweden	32	678,100
Finland	11	50,000	Switzerland	15	85,000
France	56	1.500.000	Thailand		42,000
E. Germany	11	503,000	Turkey		1,000
W. Germany		3,500,000	United K'dom	34	10,000,000
Guatemala	2	20,000	Uruguay	1	15,000
Haiti	1	5,000	USSR	137	4,000,000
Honduras	1	3,800	Venezuela	13	200,000
Hong Kong <sup>3</sup>	1	4,500	Yugoslavia	5	15,000
Hungary	4	65,000			
India	. 1	-300	FOREIGN		
Iran	2	22,000	TOTAL	1,220	38,402,800
Iraq	1	35,000			
Ireland <sup>2</sup>	_	70,000	U.S	561	52,600,000
Italy	3655	1,666,400	U.S. Military <sup>1</sup>	34	
Japan	88	4,288,000			
Korea	1	7,000	GRAND	-	
Lebanon		8,000	TOTAL	1,815	91,002,800

<sup>3</sup> Sets-in-use estimate unavailable. <sup>2</sup> Viewers tune to British stations. <sup>3</sup> Closed-circuit cable system. <sup>4</sup> Viewers tune to Armed Forces stations. <sup>5</sup> Includes 340 satellite stations. <sup>6</sup> Viewers tune to Italian stations.

Third Asian Broadcasters' Conference will be held in Tokyo for 3 days beginning May 19 under the auspices of the Japan Bestg. Corp., and in cooperation with the Ministries of Postal Services and Foreign Affairs. (The previous meetings also were held in Tokyo.) One goal: to encourage more exchanges of programs & materials among Asian stations. JBC has exchanged 60% more programs since the first conference in 1957. Invited to attend this year's meeting are Afghanistan, Laos, Burma, Lebanon, Cambodia, Malaya, Ceylon, Nepal, Nationalist China, Pakistan, India, the Philippines, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Thailand, Iraq, Turkey, Israel, the United Arab Republic, Jordan, and South Vietnam. UNESCO has also been invited.

Asahi Art Film Co. plans to turn out short musical & other cultural films for Japan's 87 stations and distribution to world TV stations. The new company is headed by Iwao Takahashi, veteran promoter in ballet & music. Asahi expects to have in excess of 100 films ready before the end of 1960. Its first, already distributed to Japanese stations, features xylophone virtuoso Yoichi Hiraoka. U.S. stations wishing to know more about these films may write Iwao Takahashi, Kizan Building, 17, Doho-cho, Mita Shiba, Minatoku, Tokyo, Japan.

TV in Ireland has been approved by the Parliament. The govt. authorized telecasting to start within a year. Expansion in international TV-radio field is planned by Harry M. Engel's Intercontinental Services Ltd., through a new affiliate, International Services Ltd. The new company has established offices & agencies in Beirut, Bangkok, Hong Kong, Manila, Tokyo & Mexico City, Engel said. It will offer services in management, program, sales & technical facets of broadcasting, and is negotiating for station licenses "in various markets throughout the world." Engel owns KUAM-TV & KUAM Guam and his ISL represents several overseas stations in the U.S.

Deluge of American films on British TV has aroused the ire of the UK's leading movie & TV union. The Assn. of Cinematograph, TV and Allied Technicians has adopted a resolution calling for control of film imports. Gen. secy. George Elvin, who introduced the resolution, said the aim is to limit to about 30% the ratio of foreign films on British TV. "We are not being anti-American, but we think that British TV should be basically pro-British," it noted.

Greek TV system is planned by the govt. in Athens, with relays charted to Thesalonika & Patras and later to other points in the country at an initial cost of \$1.7 million. Installation bids will be called for soon, according to the Commerce Dept.'s Foreign Commerce Weekly, which said specifications may require that foreign firms accept Greek products in exchange for TV equipment and set up a TVradio assembly plant in Greece.

Israeli govt. radio is sampling spot commercials in a 6-month test limited to forty 15-sec. announcements daily. Voice of Israel dir. Harry Zinder reports virtually all April ad time sold out and less than half the schedule for the 6-month period still available. Although there had been some public opposition to commercializing the radio system, the test has the approval of the Israeli Cabinet.

"Free Berlin" TV station has received the go-ahead on a giant TV antenna tower which will increase appreciably the penetration of East Germany by West German TV programming. The proposed 700-ft. mast is not expected to be in operation before next year. Site is still unsettled.

# Technology

Description of the Toshiba TV tape recorder by 5 engineers of Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co. will be a feature of the SMPTE convention May 1-6 at Los Angeles' Ambassador Hotel. The 14 other papers on TV recording will include presentations on thermoplastic recording by its inventor, GE's W. E. Glenn, and on an improved Ampex device for splicing video tape employing special flux-sensitive heads and eliminating the use of "tape developer" to locate sync pulses. Another full session will be devoted to training personnel for TV & motion pictures.

Electronic recording products dept. has been formed by RCA to keep pace with "growing needs" for magnetic tape recording devices in TV broadcasting, business data processing, remote control telemetering, other fields. RCA veteran M. A. Trainer will manage the new activity. The dept. will function within the RCA broadcast & TV equipment div. Other staffers appointed: J. L. Grever, mktg. mgr.; Henry H. (Chip) Klerx, merchandising mgr.; G. F. Rester, sales mgr.; A. H. (Tony) Lind, engineering mgr.

"World's smallest color TV camera," using an RCA image orthicon tube, was displayed by Nippon Electric Co. at the recent International Trade Fair in Osaka.

	sion Digest
Televi	sion Digest
WALTER H	RIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC. . ANNENBERG, President dnor, Pa., MUrray 8-3940, TWX: Rodnor 1028
JAMES T. QUIRK, Business Manoger JAMES 8. DELEHANTY, Asst. Business Mgr.	MERRILL PANITT, Editoriol Director HAROLD B. CLEMENKO, Managing Editor DAVID LACHENBRUCH, Associate Editor HAROLD RUSTEN, Associate Editor
WASHINGTON BUREA Wyott Building Woshington 5, D.C. Sterling 3-1755 ALBERT WARREN, Chief WILBUR H. BALDINGER WM. J. McMAHON Jr. MARTIN CODEL Associate Publisher	U NEW YORK BUREAU 625 Madison Ave. New York 22, N.Y. Plaza 2-0195 CHARLES SINCLAIR, Chief DIANE SCHWARTZ WEST COAST BUREAU 6362 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood 28, Col. Hollywood 3-5210 DAVID KAUFMAN
For group rotes & other su	hed Mondays. Subscription \$75 onnually. ubscription services, write Business Office.
TELEVISION FACTBOOK TV Published March & Sept.	& AM-FM ADDENDA AM-FM DIRECTORY Published Saturdays Published in January
Copyright 1960	, by Triongle Publications, Inc.
• .•	

**Personals:** Edward J. DeGray has resigned as pres. of ABC Radio, eff. April 29. He's being replaced by Robert R. Pauley, now Eastern sales mgr., who'll have the title of vp for ABC Radio . . . Carl Lindemann Jr. promoted from NBC-TV daytime programs vp to program sales vp . . . Felix Jackson named NBC-TV West Coast programs vp ....John P. Taylor named to new post of mktg. administration mgr., RCA broadcast & TV equipment div.

Carl Burkland, TIO consultant, ex-CBS Spot Sales & WAVY-TV Portsmouth, Va., named TIO gen. mgr.; Louis Hausman continues as dir. . . Otis Chandler named publisher of the Los Angeles Times, succeeding his father, Norman Chandler, who remains as pres. of the Times-Mirror Co., owner of KTTV Los Angeles . . . David E. Eschelbacher named studio services mgr., ABC-TV production services dept., succeeded as studio effects & properties supervisor by Howard Citron . . . Edwin W. Pfeiffer, commercial mgr. of KOTV Tulsa, named sales mgr., WGR-TV Buffalo, N.Y. . . Eugene McCurdy named local sales dir., WBAL-TV Baltimore . . . Mary Lynn Herrick, ex-KBMB-TV Bismarck, N.D., joins WTOP-TV Washington as sales promotion dir., replacing Twila Walker, who transferred to radio WTOP.

Maurice E. McMurray, Storer national sales dir., named sales vp; Terry H. Lee, managing dir., WAGA-TV Atlanta, named vp. Other new Storer vps: Reginald B. Martin, managing dir., radio WSPD Toledo; Ben Wickham, managing dir., WJW-TV Cleveland; Bernard E. Neary, managing dir., radio WGBS Miami . . Elected to Storer board: Stanley L. Willis, pres.-gen. mgr. of Storer affiliate Standard Tube Co. Other new dirs.: James P. Storer, national sales mgr., radio WIBG Philadelphia; Peter Storer, managing dir., WSPD-TV Toledo; Bill Michaels, Storer vp & managing dir. of WJBK-TV Detroit; Lionel F. Baxter, vp & national radio dir.; Hugh Parks Rusk, vp & publisher of wholly-owned Miami Beach Sun Publishing Co.

Mark Evans, ex-WTOP Washington, named public affairs vp, Metropolitan Bcstg. Corp. . . . William V. Sargent named TelePrompTer exec. vp . . . Waldemar (Wally) Erickson, farm dir. of KFRE-TV & KFRE Fresno, Cal., and National Assn. of Radio & TV Farm Dirs. pres., named farm dir., Triangle Publications radio & TV div. . . . Elena Nelson promoted to publicity mgr., WPIX N.Y. . . . Dale Clark named news & public affairs dir., WAGA-TV Atlanta.

NAB's future book for board meetings & fall conferences, as set up following its April 3-6 Chicago convention: Board-June 13-17, Statler-Hilton, Washington; Feb. 6-10, 1961, El Mirador, Palm Springs, Cal.; June 12-16, 1961, Statler-Hilton, Washington; Feb. 5-9, 1962, Hollywood Beach, Hollywood, Fla. Conferences this year-Oct. 13-14, Biltmore, Atlanta; Oct. 18-19, Sheraton-Dallas, Dallas; Oct. 20-21, Mark Hopkins, San Francisco; Oct. 24-25, Denver-Hilton, Denver; Oct. 27-28, Fontenelle, Omaha; Nov. 14-15, Statler-Hilton, Washington; Nov. 17-18, Biltmore, N.Y.; Nov. 21-22, Edgewater Beach, Chicago. Conferences next year-Oct. 9-10, Jefferson, St. Louis; Oct. 12-13, Sheraton Dallas, Dallas; Oct. 16-17, Utah, Salt Lake City; Oct. 19-20, Sheraton Palace, San Francisco; Oct. 30-31, Robert Meyer, Jacksonville; Nov. 9-10, Somerset, Boston; Nov. 13-14, Pittsburgh-Hilton, Pittsburgh; Nov. 16-17, Leamington, Minneapolis. NAB's 1961 convention will be held May 7-11 in the Shoreham & Sheraton Park, Washington. NAB will return to the Conrad Hilton, Chicago, for its next 3 conventions-Apr. 1-5, 1962; Mar. 31-Apr. 4, 1963; Apr. 5-9, 1964.

National Assn. of FM Bestrs. re-elected its slate of officers, headed by Pres. Fred Rabell, KITT San Diego. Other re-elected officers: T. Mitchell Hastings, Concert Network, exec. vp.; Frank Knorr, WPKM Tampa, secy.; William Tomberlin, KMLA Los Angeles, treas.

Meetings next week: RTES roundtable luncheon on "How to Report a Political Convention" (April 27). Speakers: J. Gilbert Baird, Westinghouse major-appliance div. sales promotion mgr.; Paul Levitan, CBS News special-events dir., Hotel Roosevelt, N.Y. . . . Southern Cal. Bestrs. Assn. luncheon meeting (28), Hollywood Knickerbocker, Los Angeles . . . Ohio Bestrs. Assn. annual meeting (28-29), Pick-Ohio Hotel, Youngstown . . . Ala. Bestrs. Assn. annual convention (28-30), Miramar East Hotel, Ft. Walton Beach, Fla.

Prime Minister Diefenbaker denied in the Canadian House of Commons that political influence had won the Toronto TV license for Baton Aldred Rogers Bcstg. Ltd., (controlled by the *Toronto Telegram*). When the *Telegram* received the license in competition with 8 other applicants, political gossip called it a payoff for the newspaper's support of the Progressive Conservative government. The question came to a head in the Commons when Socialist member Douglas Fisher asked if the Prime Minister had influenced the Board of Broadcast Governors or any BBG member in favor of the *Telegram*. Said Mr. Diefenbaker: "May I say with all emphasis, no."

N.Y. realtor William Zeckendorf has filed an \$8-million damage suit against the trustees of The Outlet Co., owner of WJAR-TV & WJAR Providence. This is a postscript to a long legal battle brought by station mgr. Joseph S. (Dody) Sinclair which resulted in cancellation of sale of the dept. store & stations to Zeckendorf's group (Vol. 14:51 et seq.).

John C. Cohan, who recently sold KSBW-TV (Ch. 8) & KSBW Salinas-Monterey, Cal. and affiliated KSBY-TV (Ch. 6) San Luis Obispo for \$3.1 million (Vol. 16:13), has purchased radio KNGS Hanford, Cal. for \$360,000 in transaction handled by Hamilton-Landis & Associates. Sellers are A. J. Krisik & Ellsworth Peck.

## Obituary

Harold Day, 52, ABC-TV daytime TV sales dir., died April 15 of a heart attack in N.Y. He is survived by his wife and a son.

### VOL. 16: No. 16

# Trade Report . . .

APRIL 18, 1960

**TV REMOTE CONTROLS & CONFUSION:** The most popular TV "accessory"—remote control—is gaining in popularity, industry leaders tell us. But nobody knows how much it's gaining, or how many are sold annually. Consensus of 10 top TV makers we interviewed last week is remotes are here to stay and will account for an increasing percentage of set sales—and ulcers.

What should a remote control do? That's a question that perplexes both set makers and customers. Lack of standardization sometimes has buyer, dealer & manufacturer talking completely different languages when discussing price, function & type. Motorola told us that its research showed that one out of 3 TV buyers is interested in remote control, but only one out of 18 actually buys—because of high price, lack of dealer interest and confusion about what kind of controls should be on a remote.

Because remotes are wireless today, they are complex & expensive. They work either on radio or ultrasonic principle, both requiring a hefty (usually 8-tube) remote-receiving chassis in the TV set. Some hand-held remote units have battery & transistor circuits, others tuning forks to key the various responses in the receiver. The receiver must actuate motors to turn knobs, and the fine tuning must be accurately pre-set.

<u>Most manufacturers have 2 models of remotes</u>—a "limited function" unit and a "deluxe function," controlling various activities of the TV set, such as picture-on-off, volume, channel, sometimes contrast, in one case (RCA) "complete-off," in another (Admiral) complete on & off (except for a transistorized receiver chassis which is always on). There's no standardization of prices, but generally a set with "deluxe function" remote sells for approximately \$100 more than a comparable manual set, and the "limited remote" differential is priced at about \$50 more. The latter is finding increasing use in step-up portables.

• • •

How big is the remote TV market? Nobody really knows, and estimates obtained from industry leaders range all over the lot. Motorola says its research indicates only 350,000 of the 5.75 million TVs sold last year had remotes. Emerson Pres. Benjamin Abrams, who takes fairly dim view of remote business, estimates that no more than 15% have it—but even this estimate is higher than most. Averaging out estimates we obtained—including 2 reflecting concerted research by major manufacturers—we would guess that remotes account for perhaps 10% of sales right now.

Few manufacturers are willing to say how big their own remote business is—but they generally concede that Zenith is the leader in the field, many expressing the opinion that it is responsible for the majority of remote units sold. Zenith itself is silent on its percentage of remotes vs. non-remotes, Sales Co. Pres. Leonard C. Truesdell merely telling us that remote control is "very important in the price brackets where it applies—and we are glad to have it."

A couple of others were willing to answer the percentage question. Packard Bell estimates that fully one-third of its receivers are sold with remotes. One of the larger set makers, which has been featuring remotes for less than a year, tells us that less than 5% of its big-screen table models & consoles are sold with remotes, while the figure for consoles alone still comes "between 5 & 10%."

All manufacturers agreed on one point: Remote controls introduce no particular service problems. In fact, remote chassis and hand unit—for all their complexity—generally outlast the TV chassis in life tests.

The wireless remote control principle, as developed for TV (and already being used in some stereo sets), opens some intriguing new prospects in home electronics. Using similar circuitry, controls could be developed for such other household appliances as electric ovens, self-propelled vacuum cleaners, etc. And you can be sure somebody's working on this.

As for TV, there's no doubt the remote market will grow—but there's disagreement as to how much and how fast. Take these 2 opposing views, for example: GE radio & TV div. gen. mgr. Herbert Riegelman compares remote control with power brakes & power steering on automobiles, and says the public eventually will demand it on most sets. Emerson Pres. Abrams comments: "The remote has not been worked out to the point where it can be a big item. It will take hold when we have complete-function control at perhaps \$25-\$30 more than a non-remote set. Then we'll have a remote business."

Further comments of manufacturers on remote control will be printed next week.

**RETAIL TV-RADIO-STEREO SALES HIGH:** Dealers sold TV sets to the public at a better than 6.5-million-set-a-year clip during the first 2 months of 1960. On the basis of just-finalized 2-month EIA statistics, retail TV sales were 15% ahead of the same period last year.

All segments of consumer electronics shared in uplift. Phono retail sales (stereo & monaural) were 35% ahead of Jan.-Feb. 1959, and radio sales to consumers (excluding car radios) were 20% above the same year-ago period. Apace with this year's good auto sales volume, factory production of car radios climbed 44% above comparable period of 1959.

There appear to be no major inventory problems. In both TV and phonos, factory production was substantially below retail sales for the first 2 months. In radio, production was higher than sales, in a normal buildup for the spring season.

TV retail sales for first 2 months of this year were 1,098,540, nearly 150,000 more than the 949,877 registered in the same 1959 period. Historically, some 16.7% of the year's total TV sales are made in Jan. & Feb., indicating 6.5-million set pace on annual basis. Radio retail sales of 1,414,867 were nearly 240,000 ahead of last year, while phono sales totaled 967,780, almost 250,000 more than the 1959 period. Detailed tables of production & sales, with 1959 comparisons, will be found on p. 17.

	April 1-8	Preceding wk.	1959 week	'60 cumulative	'59 cumulative	
TV	106,149	121,039	106,691	1,678,834	1,496,649	
Total radio	301,196	300,559	254,390	4,761,948	3,851,196	
auto radio	104,946	103,680	99,188	1,967,909	1,462,886	

TV-RADIO PRODUCTION: EIA statistics for week ended April 8 (14th week of 1960):

**Low-Noise Tuner:** A transistorized TV tuner with extremely low noise level—designed to sell at prices low enough for use in commercial TV sets—has been developed by Philco's Lansdale div. and General Instrument's F. W. Sickles div.

The new tuner has a maximum noise level of 4.6 db on high channels and 3.3 db on low channels—said to be superior to the noise levels of the best TV tuners now available. The power gain varies from 32 to 45 db—similar to that of conventional tuners. The low noise level would make the new tuner highly sensitive to weak signals.

The new tuner was made possible through the development of extremely-low-noise Micro Alloy Diffused Base (MADT) germanium transistors, which can be mass-produced on Philco's automatic production lines at 350 per hour. They are of a new type, developed especially for the tuner, which is a modification of a standard Sickles Mark 6 tuner. Philco said the new transistors would be available "in the near future at prices competitive with those of existing transistor devices utilized in entertainment equipment."

Home sound-movie projector, to record & play back magnetic soundtracks on 8-mm films, has been announced by Eastman Kodak, to list at \$345. Earlier this year, Fairchild Camera & Instrument Co. announced a home sound camera & projector to sell at \$479, the projector alone at \$240.50 (Vol. 16:5 p21). New plants & expansions: Motorola is building a \$5million, 3-story addition to its Phoenix semiconductor facility which will add 307,000 sq. ft. of manufacturing space to the present 214,000-sq.-ft. The addition is scheduled for completion in April 1961. It will add 3,400 employes to increase the present Phoenix roster to more than 7,000 . . . RCA has dedicated at Van Nuys, Cal., a \$6-million electronics center which embraces 6 buildings & 240,000 sq. ft. of floor space for engineering & producing defense electronics . . . Sylvania has expanded transistor & diode manufacturing operations at its Hillsboro, N.H. semiconductor plant by enlarging the existing facility and leasing lab & production space at nearby North Branch.

RCA used closed-circuit TV for national introduction last week of 2 new electronic data-processing systems to audiences in 13 cities from N.Y. to L.A. The special telecast was produced by NBC Telesales and carried via TNT's TV projection facilities. Program narrator was NBC News's Chet Huntley. RCA Pres. John L. Burns introduced the small 301 & giant 601 systems, announced that 35 orders already were booked for the smaller one (designed for firms with as few as 300 employes) and 2 for the 601, and declared: "Our ascendancy in the field has been rapid and we expect to augment our growth in electronic data processing so that within the next decade the volume of our data-processing business will equal all of RCA's total business today." Some 3,000 persons reportedly viewed the 60-min. closed-circuit telecast.

### More about

**RETAIL SALES UP:** Increase in both retail sales & factory output of principal consumer electronic products is delineated in the just-released EIA figures for Jan. & Feb. 1960 (see p. 16). Here are the tables for 1960 and comparable 1959 months in TV, radio & phonos:

		TELE	visi	ION			
-			Production			<b>Retail Sales</b>	
		.1960		1959	9	1960	1959
January		526,49	4	437,0		590,867	501,704
February		503,45	3	459,4	92	507,673	448,173
JanFeb		1,029,94	7	896,5	18	1,098,540	949,877
		RA	ADIO				
		tal uction			Radio uction	(excl	l Sales . auto)
	1960	1959	1	960	1959	1960	1959
January	1,355,788	1.124.737	63	2,461	420,052	803,388	700,490
February		1,125,385	59	6,872	432,551	611,479	474,888
JanFeb.	2,798,156	2,250,122	1,22	9,333	852,603	1,414,867	1,175,378
		PHON	0 (1	960)			
		Fac	tory	Sales		Retail	Sales
		Monau		Stere	0	Monaural.	Stereo
January		118,40	0	341,3	29	150,688	368,964
February		90,85	4	824,6	66	100,268	347,860
JanFeb. 1960	0	209,25	4	665,9	95	250,956	716,824
JanFeb, 1959		349,02	0	366,0	86	402,556	315,691
	¥	P.	*		*		

TV picture tube sales for the first 2 months of 1960 were slightly ahead of the same months of 1959, while factory sales of receiving tubes declined during the same period. Here are the EIA figures:

	Pict	ure Tubes	Reccivit	ng Tubes
	Units	Dollars	Units	Dollars
January February	795,250 741,233	*\$15,831,430 14,495,480	31,367,000 32,734,000	\$26,872,000 27,881,000
JanFeb. 1960 JanFeb. 1959 * Revised	1,535,483 1,523,242	30,326,910 29,294,818	64,101,000 64,305,000	54,753,000 55,438,000

U.S. TV makers muddy their own sales waters by hitting consumers too soon & too often with new products, reports Britain's Wireless and Electrical Trader. These manufacturers, the magazine comments, "are finding that they will have to move fast to sort out the tangle that new products are causing. For some time the industry has been looking around for a 'lifesaver' to pull the sales picture together. Last year came the 23-in. bonded tube. Then came the 19-in. bonded picture tube. Between these two innovations, manufacturers were confident that the poor showing of TV receiver sales in very recent years could be rectified. However, there is a snag. Before the 23-in, picture tube development, producers had thought of the 17-in. portable as a means of salvation. Last summer it was promoted vigorously. Main emphasis was on the value of the portable as a second set in the home. Generally, these models have luggage-type cabinets and can be moved around with ease. With multi-channel reception available to most householders, the portable seemed a good way to ensure that members of the family saw the shows they wanted to see, even if all were not in agreement. Now, in some areas, the ball has bounced back. A number of manufacturers are discovering that portables are being bought as a family's first set. Reason given is that the buying public is fed up with innovations; it wants to wait until the product confusion has settled down before deciding on a more expensive purchase. Meanwhile, the portables save space and money. It's almost a case of being hoist with their own petard, as far as manufacturers are concerned."

**Japanese TV Pattern:** Although less than 20% of Japan's 18 million households have TVs, Nippon's set makers are casting about for new markets to conquer. The consensus is that the end of the domestic sales boom is in sight, that saturation is approaching although not many more than 4 million sets are now in use (see p. 3). In 1953 there were less than 1,000 registered TV sets in Japan. During the boom period, the nation's production capacity increased nearly 200-fold, from 15,000 sets in 1953 to 2,860,000 in 1959. With the major cities boasting upwards of 40% TV concentration and production gaining tempo, Japan seemingly is running out of its own home market.

Japan's top TV producer in 1959 was Matsushita, with 18.3% of total. Giant Toshiba was a close 2nd with 16.8%. Runners up: Hayakawa 13.9%, Sanyo 10.4%, Hitachi 9.5%, Yaou 9.2%, Mitsubishi 8%, Nippon Columbia 4.5%, Victor Co. of Japan (Nippon Victor) 3.9%. (Incidentally, RCA informs us that Nippon Victor is not an affiliate, as reported in our Vol. 16:14 p20 item; it's a licensee.)

Domestic prices of Japanese TV sets have dropped drastically (20-30%) in the past few years. The current price range: \$117-128 for a 14-in. set, average \$197 for 17-in., \$314 for 21-in. It should be pointed out, however, that Japanese TV prices include excise taxes and other charges not reflected in the pricing of export models.

Emertron Inc. is new wholly-owned Emerson subsidiary, formed to operate & expand its govt., commercial and industrial electronics businesses. The new company, based in Silver Spring, Md., is headed by Adm. John D. Small. (ret.) as chairman. It is capitalized with 250,000 authorized preferred shares (no par value) and 5 million authorized common shares (\$1 par value). Two million common shares were issued to Emerson for transferred assets. The new company acquires all facilities & assets of Emerson's electronics div., except for consumer products, plus its order backlog of more than \$20 million in military & industrial business. The Emerson subsidiary will design & produce communications & navigation equipment, radar systems. aircraft & missile devices, other electronic products. Emerson last week signed a long-term lease for a 15-acre site in the Washington (D.C.) National Pike Industrial Park. The lease plan includes plant facilities to be constructed by the Park owners.

Arvin Industries reports a 30%-plus increase in total sales of its private-label & house-brand radios in the first 9 weeks of 1960. Electronics & appliances div. sales dir. Themio Plakos said that sales of Arvin brand sets jumped 43% during the period. He attributed the rise to "customer reception of our American-made vest-pocket" transistor portable, a new AM-FM stereo radio, and the giveaway by dealers of a \$4.95 aluminum chair to each customer buying portable. Newest Arvin set: FM radio at \$29.95.

Sylvania has introduced a remote-control high-end version of its 17-in. Dualette portable TV at \$229.95—in addition to its early American and contemporary 19-in. furniture-fashioned table models, which use the reflection-free etched bonded-shield tube, at \$199.95 (Vol. 16:15 p20). Sylvania Home Electronics Pres. Robert L. Shaw told us that his company foresees a good future for the 17-in. tube in portable TV. Last week we erroneously reported that Sylvania had dropped the 17-in. size. Sylvania discontinued 21-in. sets last year, but its 17-in. portable line is still very much alive. **Trade Personals:** William B. Clemmens, ex-room air conditioner national sales mgr., appointed GE radio receiver dept. mktg. mgr., succeeding S. M. Fassler, recently named TV receiver dept. mktg. mgr.

John P. Gleason, sales mgr. of Kimble Glass Co.'s electronic products div., named asst. dir. for mobilization planning of Commerce Dept's containers & packaging div. ... William Hall named to new post of national distributor sales mgr., United Stereo Tapes, music tape distributor owned by Ampex Audio, headquartering in Bloomfield, N.J. ... William K. Carlson, ex-Raytheon, named planning & controls mgr., General Instrument semiconductor div.; William J. Feldman appointed chief industrial engineer for the div.; Eric J. W. Evans named chief germanium diode process engineer ... Marvin C. Lewis promoted from Raytheon commercial product planner to new post of commercial mktg. research mgr.

Stephen L. Levy promoted from commercial engineering mgr. to new post of asst. to the vp-gen. mgr., Philco Lansdale div. Other div. appointsments (all new posts): H. Kenneth Ishler, from engineering production mgr. to semiconductor operations gen. mgr. Raymond M. Cotter, from operations mgr. to semiconductor operations manufacturing dir. George W. Pratt, from tube operations mgr. to engineering service dir. John M. Palmer, from Spring City plant mgr. to tube operations gen. mgr. Charles Lupton, from special services mgr. to industrial relations dir. Cyrus H. Warshaw, from gen. sales mgr. to mktg. dir. William F. Maher, from military industrial sales mgr. to semiconductor operations sales mgr.

Robert T. Sperry named personnel supervisor, Sylvania Electronic Systems field engineering organization ... William S. Marks Jr., ex-Radiation Inc., named Zenith consultant for military communications-electronics . . . Alfred S. Buyer named Raytheon microwave cooking dept. ad & sales promotion mgr. ... George E. Althouse named customer service mgr., Heath Co. . . G. Leonard Werner named mkt. research dir., Astatic Corp. He continues temporarily as sales dir. . . O. F. Henning appointed Texas Instruments mktg. mgr. for instrumentation product group of the geosciences instrumentation div.

Another blow at "3-channel stereo" claims may result from the recent agreement between the National Better Business Bureau and Magnetic Recording Industry Assn. on standard stereo terminology. Among the standards, a channel is defined as "a complete electrical transmission path." Further, the standards note that "in a multi-channel system, the number of channels cannot exceed the number of tracks." Last year, in letters to about 100 stereo manufacturers, BBB questioned the practice of claiming "3-channel stereo" in their ads.

خبيته 🍙 ميبين

National Stereo Radio Committee Panel 5 on field testing will hold its organizational meeting 10 a.m., April 29, at EIA's N.Y. hq, 11 W. 42nd St. Chmn. A. Prose Walker, NAB engineering mgr., urges all interested parties to attend.

Japanese tape decks will be used in 15% of the tape recorders made by Concertone div. of American Electronics, Culver City, Cal. About 2,000 tape decks will be supplied to Concertone this year by Nippon Sound Equipment Co, and Tokyo Electro Acoustic Co.

Curtis Mathes Mfg. Co., Dallas TV-stereo manufacturer, has opened new warehouses in Boston and N.Y.

# Finance

GE sales & earnings slipped slightly during the first quarter ended March 31, but Chmn. Ralph J. Cordiner described the outlook for the balance of the year as "potentially favorable." Orders received during the period were 9% ahead of the year-ago volume. He attributed the firstquarter decline to sags in sales of heavy equipment and to a drop in defense business. In the table below, the pershare earnings are based on the average number of shares outstanding during the period. For the quarter ended March 31: 1960 1959

	1000	1000
Sales & services	\$957,433,000	\$976,568,000
Net income	52,614,000	52,778,000
Per common share	60¢	60¢
Avg. common shares	88,332,778	87,719,255

Standard Coil Products' 1959 sales (\$73.8 million) were the 2nd highest in the company's history. They produced nearly triple (\$1.5 million) the preceding year's profits (Vol. 16:11 p22), the annual report to stockholders confirmed recently. Pres. James O. Burke, commenting on highlights of the year, noted: "Over the past few years a number of important TV manufacturers have been added to the company's roster of customers. In 1959 RCA made initial purchases, while the company continued to supply, among others, such leading manufacturers as Zenith, Magnavox, Admiral, Emerson, Packard Bell and Wells-Gardner." Burke pointed out that Standard's miniaturized "Guided Grid" vhf TV tuner, which went into production during the year, "was purchased in large quantities by a number of leading set manufacturers. A new transistorized vhf TV tuner, also developed by our research, is now in full-scale production." Looking ahead, he told stockholders: "We are actively negotiating for the acquisition of other businesses which should substantially diversify our product lines & markets . . . Without taking into account the impact of acquisitions under negotiation, we anticipate an increase in sales for 1960 in the neighborhood of \$10 million, or about 15%, with a substantial increase in profits."

Transitron sales & earnings in the 3rd fiscal quarter ended March 31 were the highest for any 3 months in the company's history, Pres. David Bakalar reports. The outlook for the 4th quarter is even better, he added. For the 1960 fiscal year, he said, "we definitely expect sales to be the best in the company's history, with sales between \$45 million & \$50 million and earnings between \$1.10 & \$1.20 a share" (vs. Transitron's earnings of \$6,456,138—86¢ a share—on sales of \$30,913,376 in fiscal 1959). Commenting on Transitron's high profit margin—more than 17% in the current fiscal half and 20.8% in fiscal 1959—Bakalar said the company has been "extremely cost conscious" and avoids areas of the semiconductor business that don't have a high profit margin.

### **Common Stock Dividends**

Commit	IL DIOCK	Dividei	145	
Corporation	Period	Amt.	Payable	Stk. of Record
Allied Radio		\$0.08	May 20	May 6
AB-PT		.25	Jun. 15	May 20
Canadian GE	Q	2.00	Jul. 4	Jun. 15
Dominion Electrohome.		.10	May 31	May 16
Electronic Investment .	Q	.02	May 31	May 2
Electronic Investment .	ΥĔ	.51	May 31	May 2
Paramount Pictures	Q	.50		May 23
United Artists	QQ	.40	Jun. 24	Jun. 10
Wometco "A"	Q		Jun. 15	Jun. 1
Wometco "B"	Q	.06 1/2	Jun. 15	Jun. 1

www.americanradiohistory.com

Officers-&-Directors stock transactions as reported to SEC for March:

Allied Artists. Albert Zugsmith bought 100, held 144,200. American Bosch Arma. William P. Smith bought 400, held 1900. AT&T. William C. Bolenius bought 405, held 1,1005. Amper. Joseph R. McMicking acquired 300,000 and 160,000 more for

- trust in 3-for-1 stock split, received 60,000 as gift for trust, disposed of 60,000 as gift, sold 65,000 from trust, held 450,000 personally, 195,000 in trust
- Amphenol Borg. Harold R. Egenes sold 300, held 1,333. Lester M. Grether sold 1,100, held none. Collins Radio. M. L. Doelz bought 500, held 504. J. G. Flynn Jr. bought 500, held 1,508.

- bought 500, held 1,608.
  Cörning Glass. Paul T. Clark sold 1,965, held none. John L. Hanigan sold 1,400, held 181.
  Desilü Productions. Bernard Weitzman sold 500, held 500.
  Electronic Specialty. Richard H. DeLano sold 500, held 7,563.
  Filmways. Lee Goodman sold 3,000, held 7,784. Howard Magwood received 150 as bonus, held 170.
  GE. William S. Ginn sold 2,000, held 6,168 personally, 407 as trustee.
  William Allen. Mann sold 1,011, held 1,252. Clarence C. Walker sold 750, held 6,923.
  General Precision Equipment. Joel Dean sold 200, held 1,400.
  - General Precision Equipment. Joel Dean sold 200, held 1,400. General Telephone & Electronics. Leon C. Guest Jr. bought 658,
- held 1.473. General Transistor. Frank Fenmucci sold 4,000, held 26,400.
- Hoffman Electronics. I. J. Kaar exercised option to buy 2,000, held 2.000
- IBM. John G. Phillips sold 100, held 15,104. Albert L. Williams ex-ercised option to buy 745, held 3,745. International Resistance. Walter H. Powell exercised option to buy
- 500, held 1,120. ITT. Henry H. Scudder sold 230, held 2,200. Paul P. Swantee received 30 as bonus, sold 300, held 4,364. Lear. Paul Moore sold 939, held none. Joseph M. Walsh received 222

ITT. Henry H. Scudder sold 230, held 2,200. Paul P. Swantee received 30 as bonus, sold 300, held 4,364.
Lear. Paul Moore sold 939, held none. Joseph M. Walsh received 222 as incentive bonus, sold 523, held none.
Litton Industries. Charles R. Abrams Jr. sold 300, held 5,700.
Loral Electronics. Edward J. Garrett bought 400, held 11,000. Magmatox. Gerard M. Ungaro Sold 500, held 10,300.
National Theatres & TV. B. Gerald. Cantor sold 4,000 through Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., beld 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., beld 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor fitzgerald & Co., 1,000 in First Nevada Corp., bild 11,300 in Cantor Koon held 7,600 personally.
Reves Soundcraft. Milton F. Untermeyer sold 100, held 5,031.
Van A. Getting exercised option to buy 5,014, held 5,394.
Reeves Soundcraft. Milton F. Untermeyer sold 100, held 500.
Servomechanisms. Ernest A. Wester bought 290 and exercised option to buy 2,660 more, held 25,000.
Siegler. John J. Burke bought 1,030, held 5,047.
Standard Coil. Robert C. A. Eland sold 1,000, held 3,150.
Storer. John E. MCCOy bought 100, held 5,600.
TelePrompTer. Fred H. Barkau acquired 257 in exchange, held 40,641. Paul Garrett acquired 257 in exchange, held 40,641. Paul Garrett acquired 257 in exchange, held 50,305 personally, 3,150 in partnership. Hubert J.
Schlafty Jr. acquired 257 in exchange, held 34,656.
Teras Instruments. W. D. Coursey sold 810, held 5,945. W. F. Joyce sold 800, held 11,262. C. J. Thomsen sold 4

Muntz TV anticipates a sharp jump in earnings to about \$1 million (84¢ a share) on a sales gain to \$10 million in the 1960 fiscal year ending Aug. 31, Pres. Wallace A. Keil reports. In the 1959 fiscal, Muntz TV had earnings of \$420,894 (36¢) on \$6,728,906 sales. Keil noted that Muntz still owes some \$2.3 million in promissory notes, arising from the 1953 bankruptcy, which mature Jan. 9, 1964. The final instalment of \$1.5 million in taxes owed at the time of the bankruptcy is slated to be paid this week. The 790,759 preferred shares (\$1 par) issued to creditors at the time of reorganization will be repurchased at par value after the promissory notes are paid. Secy .treas. Dan Domin said the first payment of \$400,000 on the notes is expected to be made this June. He also noted that Muntz TV production of 24-in. models has increased to 24% of total, compared with 7-8% a year ago.

Admiral expects a 7% sales gain in the first quarter ended March 31, compared with a year ago, Pres. Ross D. Siragusa told the annual meeting last week. Distributor sales of TV alone were up 33%-vs. an industry gain of only 16%-he added. "If our operations continue to grow during the balance of the year as we believe they will," Siragusa announced, Admiral "will give serious consideration to the resumption of dividends by the year end." The company has not paid a dividend since Dec. 31, 1956. Reviewing the sales picture, Siragusa noted: "Color TV has been moving at an excellent rate and we expect to double our color sales this year. There has been an upswing in movement of top-of-the-line products such as color TV and TV-stereo-high-fidelity instruments. With the increased popularity of stereo reproduction we anticipate a much larger market for 3-way combinations." Noting that half of the 5 million transistor radios sold in the U.S., in 1959 were Japanese-made or used Japanese components, he said: "This constitutes a serious threat not only to American manufacturers but to labor as well." Admiral unveiled a new electronic room-status-control system for hotels which coordinates communications among front desk, cashier and housekeeper. The first system will be installed in the new 250-room Hyatt House Hotel, now building in Seattle.

P. R. Mallory registered healthy sales & profit gains in the quarter ended March 31 (per-share earnings are based on stock currently outstanding): 1960 1959

in stock currently outstanding	5/. 1000	1000
Net sales	\$22,410,026	\$20,511,471
Net income	1,073,068	978,518
Per com. share (after pfd.)	71c	64¢
Common shares	1,442,178	1,410,044

Audio Devices Inc. (recording tape & discs) reports a net loss in 1959, on higher sales than 1958. For the year ended Dec. 31: 1059 1958

	1000	1000
Net sales	\$6,166,344	\$6,015,845
Net loss (profit in '58)	(195, 445)	18,284
Per common share		$2\phi$

Storer Bestg. Co. posted a 39% gain in earnings on a 15% sales increase during 1960's first quarter, Chmn.-Pres. George B. Storer reported to the stockholders meeting last week. He said that prospects for a successful year are bright, that the company is in a sound financial position, that several of the Storer stations likely will top 1959's earnings. He told stockholders that the company is keeping a close watch on the progress of pay TV. For the quarter ended March 31: 1960 1959

ne quarter enaca march or.	1000	1000
Net income	\$1,423,079	\$1,024,183
Per common share	57c	41¢
Common shares	2,474,750	2,474,750

MGM Inc. net income declined to \$3,739,000 (\$1.47 a share) in the first 28-week period of fiscal 1960, ended March 17, compared with \$4,798,000 (\$1.80 on a higher number of shares) in the year-ago period. Pres. Joseph R. Vogel noted, however, that MGM earned  $76\phi$  a share in the quarter ended March 17, despite the strikes which affected production, tallying its 6th consecutive profitable period.

Paramount Pictures reports a sag in operating net income to \$4,410,000 in 1959 from \$4,567,000 the preceding year. In 1959's final quarter, however, the operating net rose to \$766,000 (45¢) from \$760,000 (43¢) in the yearearlier period.

IN RECENT SEASONS, telefilm producers, talent agencies, networks, independent star-name production companies and Hollywood majors have made the hopeful round of top advertising agencies armed with 200 or more telefilm pilots bearing the "available-for-fall" label. The production investment is enormous; as much as \$10 million in hard-earned cash, bank loans, and even notes from factors or Swiss banks is at stake.

Current Hollywood talent strikes, coupled with one of the earliest program buying seasons on record, have made the crop of 1960-61 season hopefuls smaller than usual; agencymen estimate it at somewhere between 140 and 170 show offerings. Although the field may be narrower, new pilots are facing the same grim set of odds as last year.

"We screened 220 pilots last season," C. Terence (Terry) Clyne, McCann-Erickson sr. vp and the agency's top TV executive, told us in N.Y. recently. "Of those, about 40 actually got on the air, because we bought them or someone else did. Of that 40, only about 20 were renewed the following season. That means that only about 10% of all new pilots actually become TV shows that are renewed at the network level."

No producer in his right mind ever makes a pilot that he knows will fail. Not a few producers, therefore, are bewildered by such statistics, and ask—with much justification—"what did I do wrong?"

### **Five Principal Reasons for Failure**

We put what amounts to the same question to a number of top agencymen in N.Y. representing everything from the very largest agencies to the smallest, and various agency TV philosophies ranging from the hardest of "hard sell" to ad shops noted for their low-pressure persuasion. This was the consensus on why so many pilots crash-land:

1. Over-produced pilot; too lavish to be sustained in a TV series.

2. Failure on the producer's part to guarantee delivery of the same production team that filmed a good pilot.

3. Carbon-copy production, in which shows riding in the Nielsen "top 10" are slavishly imitated in new pilots.

4. Lack of "point of view" in the pilot, so that it's impossible to project the series mentally from one episode.

5. Lack of "memory value" in the show's stars, story, or production gimmicks.

Agency TV executives, who have to screen as many as 9 pilots a day, 5 days a week during the height of the program buying season, have developed, almost as a defense mechanism, some short-cuts to pilot judgment. A typical agency formula was offered to us by Lewis H. Titterton, Mayfair-accented vp & dir. of TV-radio programming for Compton: "I always ask myself—if I were an average viewer, would I want to tune this show in again? Too often, the answer is 'no.'"

Producer-level inability to see TV through the eyes of the "average viewer" was stated by most agencymen we contacted as being perhaps the most common fault seen among new pilots—and one that has nothing whatever to do with technical perfection, or lack of it, in production.

"My whole program philosophy is based on 2 things-

that most people live humdrum lives and look to TV for escape, and that most nighttime program viewing decisions are made by women," stated TV-radio broadcast operations senior vp Richard A. R. Pinkham of Ted Bates. "I have therefore developed certain basic attitudes toward shows offered to me: I don't want shows starring women in a continuing lead role, on the order of the *June Allyson Show*. I don't like ultra-realism or neo-realism, because a show that's too uncomfortably close to real life won't attain widespread TV success. I prefer shows about beautiful people in beautiful places doing glamorous things."

Adman Pinkham, whose agency has a pronounced fondness for buying alt.-wk. or one-third sponsorships in prime-time action-adventure film packages, also has a strong viewpoint about telefilm star talent that's shared by many N.Y. agencies.

"We see a lot of pilots each year with truly competent leads," he told us. "I mean actors like Lee J. Cobb or James Whitmore. It's wonderful to watch people like these work —if you enjoy theater. But for national TV consumption, I'd rather have a sexy male like Efrem Zimbalist Jr. or Rory Calhoun. If he has sex appeal, women will watch, and women buy most of the household products."

Among Bates' buys in network TV this season (most are participations) are The Texan, Mr. Lucky, 77 Sunset Strip, Adventures in Paradise, Perry Mason, Bourbon St. Beat, Hawaiian Eye, Bachelor Father, The Untouchables.

### More Than a Pilot Is Needed

Even if a pilot's general theme and cast are likely to go over well with viewers, producers often innocently make a glaring mistake in giving the pilot no particular point of view. As Ted Bergmann, ex-Du Mont network head who's now pres. of the fast-growing Parkson agency, put it to us: "I've seen too many pilots where the producer tried to project the whole series through a single pilot film. It just can't be done. The general outline of a series should be in a presentation, not in a pilot, and the pilot should be representative of a single show in the series, nothing more." Bergmann's major new dramatic buy this season is the Russell Rouse-Clarence Greene *Tightrope* series on CBS-TV, which Pharmaceuticals Inc. co-sponsors with American Tobacco. Other Parkson shows include Groucho Marx's You Bet Your Life and Original Amateur Hour.

Good writing, although seldom noticed by TV audiences (unless there's a pronounced lack of it in a show), is a major touchstone in telefilm pilots among major agencies. McCann-Erickson's standard demand, according to sr. vp Clyne, is to see "at least 3 scripts and 6 storylines, in addition to the pilot, on any show in which we're seriously interested." Added Clyne: "You'd be surprised how many producers flunk this test." (And many producers would be surprised to find how many agencies share the McCann-Erickson 10-story viewpoint. A few we've spotted in our own researching: Young & Rubicam, J. Walter Thompson, Benton & Bowles, BBDO, Fuller & Smith & Ross, Dancer-Fitzgerald-Sample, among others.)

Also important in pilot judgment, many agencymen told us, is a producer's ability to come up with memorable running production gimmicks (or "schticks," as they were once known in vaudeville). Such production touches as the fast-shooting Winchester in the *Rifleman*, Kookie's comb in 77 Sunset Strip, the trick bullwhip business in the forthcoming Stagecoach West, or the Rodin "Thinker" scenes in The Many Loves of Dobie Gillis are memorable when integrated into the characterizations.

# **WEEKLY Television Digest**

APRIL 25, 1960

© 1960 TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC.

SPR 2 5 1960

VOL. 16: No. 17

# The authoritative service for executives engaged in all branches of the television arts & industries

# SUMMARY-INDEX OF WEEK'S NEWS

### Stations

**BIGGER 1960 FOR TV & RADIO** predicted by stations in NAB financial survey, which shows TV's expectations up 6.8%, radio's 3.2% above 1959 (p. 1).

JUSTICE DEPT. STUDIES KTVU SALE to NBC, examining KRON-TV allegations of NBC pressures, "tie-in," etc. (p. 5).

# FCC

FCC ASKS NEW POWERS from Congress to invoke 90-day license suspensions, impose 1,000-per-day fines, seek injunctions against offending broadcasters (pp. 2 & 3).

FCC \$2-MILLION UHF PROGRAM nearer after house approves funds. Go-ahead by Senate & President considered certain (p. 3).

# **Auxiliary Services**

**ANALYSIS OF CATV GROWTH** shows steady but unspectacular progress (p. 2).

# Advertising

"WHO IS TO BLAME?" asks FTC Comr. Tait, reviewing scandals in broadcasting. Nobody in TV, radio & advertising can escape responsibility, he answers (pp. 2 & 6).

# Networks

**3M BUYS MUTUAL** for about \$1.3 million in move which industry speculators feel will give leading tape-maker a "test tube" operation for new tape-recording equipment (p. 8).

# Manufacturing & Distribution

**SIGNS & PORTENTS** indicate good year, as clouds disperse from economic horizon with coming of spring. Increase in new housing starts seen encouraging (p. 14).

**2.4 MILLION TVs** were scrapped last year, Mansfield estimates. One-third of 1959's junked sets were 21-in.; figure expected to jump this year because of new 23-in. sets (p. 14).

**BATTERY-OPERATED TV**, direct-view transistorized model at \$250, goes into production at Emerson within a few weeks. Other manufacturers may show "true portables" soon (p. 15).

**REMOTE CONFUSION** reflected in comments by set manufacturers in our survey of TV remote-tuning market and potential. Summary of responses (p. 16).

# Congress

**DICK CLARK ON STAGE** for windup hearings by House payola probers, who'll call score of other witnesses. NBC, ABC file comments on broadcasting bills (p. 9).

# Film & Tape

WGA IS SPLIT OVER NETWORK OFFER: Proposal to end 14-weekold strike includes recognition of foreign residuals principle, pension plan (p. 11).

### Other Departments

TECHNOLOGY (p. 10). PERSONALS (p. 13). AUXILIARY SERV-ICES (p. 13). FINANCE (p. 18).

**BIGGER 1960 FOR TV & RADIO:** Total broadcasting revenues in both TV & radio will be higher this year than they were in 1959, NAB predicted last week on the basis of its annual financial survey of stations undertaken by broadcast personnel & economics mgr. Charles H. Tower.

Summing up 1960 estimates projected from first-quarter business trends, NAB reported: (1) Telecasters "expect an increase in total revenues but they do not anticipate as great an increase as they did last year." (2) "Radio broadcasters are more optimistic this year than last."

Across the country, TV stations filed returns in the survey, averaging out to a forecast that their revenues this year would be up 6.8% from 1959. Last year they had figured on a 7.2% increase over 1958 (Vol. 15:18 p9). On the same nationwide basis, radio stations predicted a median increase of 3.2% in 1960 vs. 2.5% in 1959, for the biggest jump in business since NAB started its surveys 4 years ago.

In TV, 85.3% of 250 stations participating in the annual guessing game said their 1960 business would be better, 1.5% reported it would go down, 13.2% settled for "no change." In radio, 69.3% of 750 stations expected revenue increases, 7.3% decreases, 23.4% "no change."

"Most hopeful group" in TV, according to NAB, was market category No. 5 (25,000-100,000), in which 93.1% of the stations anticipated better business, none expected it to be poorer, and 6.9% estimated that it would be about the same this year as last.

Market category No. 1 in TV (1,000,000 & more) figured on a 6.1% increase in 1960 vs. 9.7% in 1959; Category No. 4 (100,000-250,000) 8.8% vs. 6%; category No. 2 (500,000-1,000,000) 5.8% this year. In radio, market category No. 2 (1,000,000-2,500,000) was most optimistic—90% expecting better 1960 business. FCC ASKS NEW POWERS: FCC last week urged Congress to amend the Federal Communications Act in a manner that would allow the Commission to invoke 90-day suspensions of licenses, impose forfeitures and seek restraining orders in the courts as disciplinary measures against recalcitrant broadcasters.

In another followup to April 12-13 hearings on new broadcasting legislation by the House Commerce Communications & Power Subcommittee (Vol. 16:6 pl), FCC Chmn. Ford also wrote Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.) to propose a new subsection for the existing law's anti-payola Sec. 317. This would give Commission discretionary authority to waive mandatory announcement requirements—covering free records, etc.

The less-than-revocation penalties for offending stations—including \$1,000-per-day forfeitures by stations for continuing violations of rules—are needed as extra enforcement weapons, FCC said in legislative drafts prepared for the House & Senate.

"Current problems which have recently come to light in broadcasting make it apparent that some less drastic but effective deterring sanctions should be available to the Commission," a statement of "justification" accompanying the drafts said.

As for court injunctions to stop "an offending practice" by a station, FCC said it needed permission to apply for them in federal courts because mere cease-&-desist orders, already authorized but rarely invoked, may not be fast enough. FCC told Congress "a more expeditious means of dealing with an undesirable situation appears to us to be in the public interest."

Ford's letter to Harris on Sec. 317 was in response to pleas by Harris for help in drafting legislative language which would proscribe payola but recognize that black-&-white rules would be unenforceable. In effect, FCC suggested that Congress acknowledge that Commission will know best what to do.

For texts of FCC's proposed Communications Act amendments, see p. 3.

**ANALYSIS OF CATV GROWTH:** <u>Steady but unspectacular increase</u> in number of homes served by CATV may be noted by analyzing up-to-date CATV directory section of our new spring-summer Television Factbook No. 30 now in mails. Worth observing, too, is substantial percentage increase in Canadian TV—though industry there is quite small compared with ours.

Included in Factbook for first time is special 20-page list of specific CATV systems carrying each station's signal. In a few cases, list runs to 50-60 systems representing tens of thousands of homes. Herewith are significant figures derived from CATV directory:

(1) 660 U.S. systems operating, compared with 597 six months ago. In Canada, figure is 164 vs. 150.

(2) Total homes served in U.S.: 669,921 vs. 605,607 last Oct. Canadian figures: 105,841 vs. 84,622.

(3) U.S. potential is 1,180,351, this being sum of figures supplied by those giving estimates. In Canada, estimate is 253,570. In each case, figure represents potential of existing systems only.

(4) Average number of subscribers per system: 1,218 vs. 1,219. Canada: 962 vs. 846. In U.S., 549 operators supplied figures; in Canada, 110.

(5) <u>Average potential indicated by U.S. operators: 2,223</u> vs. 2,248. Canada: 2,243 vs. 1,487. Estimates were given by 531 U.S. systems, 113 Canadian.

Directory spans 30 pages of Factbook, includes name of company, address, phone, number of subscribers, potential, date of start, make of equipment, principal officer, stations received, and a separate list of firms or individuals owning 2 or more systems.

**'WHO IS TO BLAME?'** One of FTC's least-heard-from members—Republican lawyer Edward T. Tait —last week came out from his corner swinging at those in broadcasting who have looked elsewhere to find "who is to blame" for industry's troubles.

It's futile to hunt for scapegoats, Tait said in speech to Pittsburgh's Radio & TV Club (see p. 6), calling on broadcasters & admen for sterner "self-discipline & self-policing" to rescue themselves from "dilemma" brought on by quiz & payola scandals.

"It would be a mistake to rationalize that the current furor is spurious, that it has been brought on by critics or politics," warned Tait, who was on President Eisenhower's White House staff as special asst. when he was assigned to ad-policing agency in 1956. "Even leaders of your industry have admitted that at least some of the uproar is legitimate. Also, it would be most unwise to consider these various matters as being of passing interest or to believe that the unfair trade winds will blow out to sea. Only the naive can offer such false hope."

There's no escape from individual responsibility for curing industry's ills, Tait said. He scorned those who have: (1) "Looked at each other." (2) "Believed that the situation would have stayed under control if there had not been interference by some newspaper critics in the audience and some prompting by Congressional investigators in the wings." (3) Deceived themselves into figuring that "this nightmare would go away" if they just hid their heads & kept quiet.

No "national catastrophe" is involved, however, Tait added: "As is often the case, the machinations of a few can taint the whole in the eyes of many. Those involved, and the industry, will not soon forget the lesson they learned. No one wants to take this course again. But an over-all indictment of an entire industry should not be the order of the day."

Tait had praise for leaders of the industry who are "trying hard to sweep up the dust from the corners of [their] house." At same time, he cautioned that "expressions of good intentions, pious promises and the tendering of olive branches" won't be enough to clean up deceptive programming & advertising practices. "Platitudes alone will not suffice. Constructive efforts must be undertaken with sincerity & with vigor-and they must be pursued around the clock, not to beat the clock."

FCC \$2-MILLION UHF PROGRAM NEARER: FCC's \$2-million uhf research project (Vol. 16:16 p2) is almost a sure thing, now that House has approved it. It now awaits Senate action & Presidential signature-both considered about as certain as you could expect of any legislation at this stage.

FCC can't start spending money until it's actually in hand-which would be July 1-but plans are cooking. Sparkplug Comr. Lee hopes Commission will soon begin discussing details, begin selecting top-grade \$20,000-a-year man to run the 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-year show. No decision has been made on whether to employ an industry engineer or to detach an FCC man. At any rate, there's determination at Commission that project shouldn't degenerate into a bureaucratic boondoggle.

Transmitter & receiver manufacturers are beginning to jockey, meanwhile, angling for slice of the \$2 million and potential public-relations tringe benefits. There's talk of Commission turning all equipment over to N.Y. educators at end of project. There's an amusing sidelight, too: Some N.Y. viewers with conked-out receivers have impression Commission is going to spot special sets in man-in-the-street's homes-and they're writing in to get at head of list.

# The FCC

### More about

FCC'S REQUEST OF CONGRESS: Following is the wording of the amendments which FCC proposes that Congress make to the Communications Act (see p. 2):

On license suspensions:

"Sec. 312. (A) Any station license or construction permit may be revoked or suspended for a period not to exceed 90 days, and any such license or construction permit may be revoked or suspended:

be revoked or Suspended:
"(1) For false statements knowingly made either in the application or in any statement of fact which may be required pursuant to Section 308;
"(2) Because of conditions coming to the attention of the Commission which would warrant it in refusing to grant a license or permit on an original application;
"(3) For willful or repeated failure to operate substantially as set forth in the license;
"(4) For willful or repeated violation of, or willful or regulation of the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the United States; the United States; "(5) For violation of or failure to observe any cease-and-desist order

issued by the Commission under this section.

"(B) Where any person (1) has failed to operate substantially as set forth in a license, or (2) has violated or has failed to observe any of the provisions of this Act, or (3) has violated or failed to observe any rule or regulation

of the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the United States, the Commission may order such person to cease & desist from such action.

"(C) Before revoking or suspending a license or a permit pursuant to Subsection (A), or issuing a cease-&desist order pursuant to Subsection (B), the Commission shall serve upon the licensee, permittee, or person involved, an order to show cause why an order of revocation or suspension or a cease-&-desist order should not be issued. Any such order to show cause shall contain a statement of the matters with respect to which the Commission is inquiring and shall call upon said licensee, permittee, or person to appear before the Commission at a time & place stated in the order, but in no event less than 30 days after the receipt of such order, and give evidence upon the matter specified therein; except that where safety of life or property is involved, the Commission may provide in the order for a shorter period. If after hearing, or a waiver thereof, the Commission determines that an order of revocation or suspension or a cease-&-desist order should issue, it shall issue such order, which shall include a statement of the findings of the Commission and the grounds & reasons therefor and specify the effective date of the order, and shall cause the same to be served on said licensee, permittee, or person.

"(D) In any case where a hearing is conducted pursuant to the provisions of this section, both the burden of proceedings with the introduction of evidence and burdens of proof shall be upon the Commission.

"(E) The provisions of Section 9(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act which apply with respect to the institution of any proceeding for the revocation of a license or permit shall apply also with respect to the institution, under this section, of any proceeding for the issuance of a cease-&-desist order."

On forfeitures, a new Sec. 313:

"In any case where the licensee or permittee of a broadcast station has willfully or repeatedly failed to operate his station substantially as set forth in his license; or has willfully or repeatedly violated or failed to observe any of the provisions of this Act or of any rule or regulation of this Commission authorized by this Act or by any treaty ratified by the United States; or has willfully or repeatedly violated or failed to observe any cease-&-desist orders issued by this Commission; the Commission may order such licensee or permittee to forfeit to the United States a sum not to exceed \$1,000 for each day during which the Commission finds that such violation or offense has occurred."

On restraining order, a new section added to Title V— "Whenever the Commission has reason to believe:

"A. That any person or station licensee is engaged in, or is about to engage in, any willful violation of this Act or failure to observe any of the provisions of this Act or any rule or regulation of the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the United States, or for repeated failure to operate substantially as set forth in the licensee's instrument of authorization, and

"B. That the enjoining thereof pending the invoking of the provisions of Section 312 of this Act and until a showcause order shall have been dismissed by the Commission or set aside by the court on review, or the order of the Commission to cease-&-desist made thereon has become final, would be in the public interest, convenience, or necessity, the Commission may, by any of the attorneys designated by it for such purpose, bring suit in a district court of the United States or in the United States court of any territory to enjoin such offense or violation. Upon proper showing, a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction shall be granted without bond. Any such suit shall be brought in the district in which such person or licensee resides or transacts business."

### .

On announcements, a new Sec. 317 subsection:

"(B) The Commission may promulgate rules providing for the waiver of the announcements required by Subsection (A) a finding that the public interest would be served thereby."

.

Miami Ch. 10 conspiracy retrial, delayed by hospitalization of co-defendant ex-FCC Comr. Richard A. Mack (Vol. 16:12 p4), is scheduled to start April 25 before Judge Alexander Holtzoff in U.S. District Court, Washington. The first trial of Mack & Thurman A. Whiteside, accused of plotting to rig FCC's grant for National Airlines' WPST-TV, ended in a hung jury last year.

Weather isn't politics, the U.S. Court of Appeals in New Orleans agreed last week, upholding FCC in its rejection of an equal-time complaint involving KWTX-TV & KWTX Waco, Tex. (Vol. 16:16 p6). In a swift decision handed down to clear the case in advance of the May 7 primary election in Texas, the court held that William H. Brigham isn't entitled to match appearances on the air by the stations' weathercaster Jack Woods. Both are candiddates for the Tex. state legislature. Supporting FCC's ruling that equal-time Sec. 315 of the Communications Act couldn't be invoked by Woods, the court said: "There is not the slightest hint in the undisputed facts that this weathercaster's appearance involved anything but a bona fide effort to present the news. The weathercaster is not even identified by name, but only as the 'TX weatherman,' and his employment, is a 'regular job.' Certainly the facts do not indicate any favoritism on the part of the station licensee or intent to discriminate among candidates."

Short-spaced vhf proposal pending before the FCC (Vol. 16:2 pl, et seq.) was endorsed last week by the city of Akron, through Mayor Leo Berg who said that the city is one of the largest in the nation without its own vhf assignments. The Akron VFW council seconded his views. Two aviation groups also supported the proposal, hoping that it would produce fewer tall towers. The Aircraft Owners & Pilots Assn. stated: "With a reasonable limitation of the coverage area, the need for higher towers would be eliminated. The present trend towards erection of tall towers, which is producing an increasingly serious hazard for aviation, would cease." A similar opinion was offered by the National Aviation Trades Assn.

Equal-time rules don't apply to primary election candidates in different parties, FCC pointed out in rejecting a complaint involving WBNS-TV & WBNS Columbus, O. Robert J. Dieli, a candidate for the Democratic nomination for Congress, had protested refusal of the stations to give him equal time after Rep. Devine (R-O.), unopposed for renomination, had participated in a *Columbus Town Meeting of the Air* panel show. But FCC held "it does not have before it a situation involving 'opposing candidates' for the same office in the same party's primary." There's nothing in amended Sec. 315 of the Communications Act which changes its long-standing interpretation of the meaning of "opposing candidates," the Commission said.

Renewal of WTHI-TV (Ch. 10) Terre Haute, which has operated since 1954, and denial of an application by Livesay Bcstg. Co., Terre Haute, for a CP for Ch. 10 have been recommended in an initial decision by FCC examiner Herbert Sharfman. Livesay (radios WLBH Mattoon, Ill. & WHOW Clinton, Ill.) had argued—among other things—that its proposed new station would provide more TV service than WTHI-TV gives in the Terre Haute area. But Sharfman said this factor wasn't "controlling." He said more coverage "should not be permitted to deprive Terre Haute & environs of the service of a licensee which has otherwise demonstrated its superiority & claim to a renewal of its license." WTHI-TV holds initial decision to move to Ch. 2.

New FCC rule-making proposal would relieve TV & radio stations from the requirement of notifying the Commission when they leave the air for short periods because of technical difficulties—as long as they operate the minimum number of hours specified in the rules. Also proposed is a rule to eliminate the requirement that daytimeonly AM stations request a waiver of the rules when they want to sign off at 6 p.m. Comments are due May 20.

# Stations

Justice Dept. Studies KTVU Sale: Justice Dept.'s investigation of the sale of KTVU San Francisco to NBC (Vol. 16:9 p1) produced a flurry in the press last week after NBC officially notified Justice of the deal on April 8. Justice is required to examine the purchase, under terms of the consent decree which forced NBC to divest itself of WRCV-TV & WRCV Philadelphia (Vol. 15:39 p3). The decree provides that Justice must, within 30 days after notification of proposed purchase, be given an opportunity "to request a court determination (1) that no coercion has been exerted through use of NBC network affiliation power and (2) that no conduct has been engaged in which unreasonably restrains trade." Justice must decide whether to object by May 9.

KRON-TV San Francisco, due to lose the NBC-TV affiliation in the purchase, complained to Justice Dept., as it had indicated it would (Vol. 16:9 p1); and filed through Washington attorney Lloyd Cutler. NBC had offered \$8 million for KRON-TV, then bought KTVU when KRON-TV refused to sell. KRON-TV complained that the offer was too low. If KRON-TV refused to sell at NBC's price, it said, NBC left hanging an implied threat to buy KTVU and take the affiliation away from KRON-TV.

Justice is also studying whether the San Francisco purchase is an illegal "tie-in" deal, part of the stationswap arrangement between NBC & RKO General (Vol. 16:4 p13). NBC & RKO have agreed to exchange the Philadelphia & Boston TV-radio stations, with RKO also buying NBC's WRC-TV & WRC Washington for \$11.5 million. The NBC-RKO agreement was conditioned on NBC's acquisition of a station in a "suitable" market.

Also under Justice Dept. scrutiny is the question of whether RKO did anything—or anything wrong—to help NBC get KTVU; some of KTVU's principals were formerly associated with RKO.

Purchase of WRDW-TV (Ch. 12) Augusta, Ga. by the Friendly Group for \$1.475 million from Southeastern Newspapers Inc. (Vol. 16:6 p9) has been approved by FCC. Meanwhile, an SEC stock registration statement (File 2-16488) filed by Savannah Newspapers Inc. (Savannah News & Press) disclosed that Southeastern Newspapers will buy 192,000 shares (40%) for \$1,008,000.

Beaumont, Tex. Ch. 12 decision, in which the FCC awarded the channel to off-air uhf KBMT (Ch. 31) and denied Brown Telecasters (Vol. 15:45 p11), has been challenged in the Court of Appeals by Brown.

Lease of off-air WBPZ-TV (Ch. 32) Lock Haven, Pa. by WDAU-TV Scranton (Ch. 22) is proposed in an application filed with the FCC. WDAU-TV plans to operate the station as an unattended satellite. It would lease it for 3 years for a total of \$19,800—and it has an option to buy the equipment for \$56,700.

Deintermixture of Bakersfield, Cal. to all-uhf, the same as Fresno (Vol. 16:13 p4), is sought by KBAK-TV (Ch. 29), which petitioned FCC to shift KERO-TV from Ch. 10 to Ch. 45 and move Ch. 10 to Santa Barbara or the Lompoc-Santa Maria area.

FCC allocations actions: (1) Added Ch. 2 & educational Ch. 5 to Reno, Nev., making Ch. 21 commercial. (2) Proposed adding Ch. 26 to Hanover, N.H., Ch. 20 to Waterbury, Conn. **NEW & UPCOMING:** Two Puerto Rican TV stations began programming last week, raising the U.S. operating total to 564 (87 uhf), including 47 non-commercial educational outlets (13 uhf). They are WKBM-TV (Ch. 11) Caguas, which began programming April 22 and WOLE-TV (Ch. 12) Aguadilla, which received program-test authorization that day for start over the weekend. In Canada, CBC's French-language CBWFT (Ch. 6) Winnipeg, Man. began April 24 as companion to English-language CBWT (Ch. 3) there, also a CBC o&o outlet. The Canadian on-air total now is 68.

WKBM-TV has a 5-kw DuMont transmitter and 200-ft. Lehigh tower with 6-bay RCA antenna on Cerro Marquessa Mt. Studios are at 656 Condado St., San Juan. Owner & chief engineer is Ralph Perez Perry, who is also operator of WSUR-TV (Ch. 9) Ponce & radio WKVM San Juan. Base hour is \$265. Rep is Pan American Bcstg.

WOLE-TV has RCA transmitter and Alford antenna on 60-ft. Utility tower at Pico Atalay, about 4 mi. NW of Anasco. Studios are at 17 Munoz Rivera St., Aguadilla. Owners, each with ½ interest, are Hector Reichard (also owner of radio WABA Aguadilla); Winston-Salem Bestg. Co. (also 80% of WAPA-TV San Juan, 100% of radios WTOB Winston-Salem & WSGN Birmingham, Ala.); and partnership of Jose A. Bechera (also owner of radio WKJB Mayaguez), A. Giminez Aguayo (pres. & 41.4% of radio WPAB Ponce) & Raynald Barletta. John G. Johnson, WOLE-TV exec. vp, also is pres. & gen. mgr. of WAPA-TV and 13% stockholder in Winston-Salem Bestg. Mariano Angelet, ex-WSUR-TV Ponce, is operations mgr. Base hour is \$180. Rep is Caribbean Networks.

CBWFT has 500-watt RCA transmitter installed in the same building with CBWT and is multiplexing Ch. 3 & 6 signals into CBWT RCA superturnstile antenna on a 200ft. tower. As it doesn't have live microwave hookup with CBC French Network, programming will be with TV tape & film recordings, shown one week after release in East. J. R. Finlay, CBC Prairie Provinces dir., is in charge of gen. admin.; R. D. Cahoon, Prairie Provinces regional engineer; Leo Remillard, from CBWT, CBWFT program dir.; Yves Savignac, TV tape technician. Base hour is \$100.

In our continuing survey of upcoming stations, these are the latest reports from principals:

WGTV (Ch. 8, educational) Athens, Ga. has changed programming target to May 23, reports chief engineer Edward Graham Jr. for owner U. of Ga. It expects to have 25-kw transmitter installed by end of April. RCA 10-kw driver and 931-ft. Stainless tower with 18-section superturnstile antenna are ready for use. Microwave connecting studios (in use for closed-circuit programming since April 1957) to transmitter on Jacks Creek Mt. is also scheduled for completion by month's end. The station will be part of the University's Center for Continuing Education.

Kansas City, Mo., School Dist., holder of CP for Ch. 19, had equipment bids due April 21 and is shooting for Sept. 1960 target, reports J. Glenn Travis, admin, asst. to supt. of schools. Studios are to be in new board of education building, transmitter in City Hall, with antenna on roof.

KVOG-TV (Ch. 9) Ogden, Utah, hasn't a definite target date as yet, but has 5-kw Gates transmitter scheduled to arrive in Aug., reports David B. Affleck, asst. mgr. of radio KVOG & sales mgr. of KVOG-TV. It has studio-transmitter building ready, and RCA 6-bay antenna has been installed on 400-ft. Utility tower. Sales rep is Grant Webb.

# Advertising

### More about

**TART WORDS FROM TAIT—AND KINTNER:** "You will lose your audience and thereby your revenue" if the broadcasting industry defies public opinion by failing to clean up deceptive advertising practices itself, FTC Comr. Edward T. Tait told members of the Pittsburgh Radio & TV Club last week.

"You must eliminate advertising copy which is false or deceptive," Tait said in a slashing speech which called on all broadcasters to accept responsibility for the industry's faults (see p. 2). "You should not approve copy which is so close to the borderline of legality as to risk FTC action or as to risk offending the public."

While Tait was speaking in Pittsburgh, FTC Chmn. Earl W. Kintner was similarly laying down the law to advertisers in Springfield, Ill. in an address to the Ill. Retail Merchants Assn. Kintner said honest businessmen must protect the public from "competitors whose bargains are as rotten as their morals"— or the govt. will.

"Some legislation may be enacted," Tait warned the Pittsburgh broadcasters, reminding them of "what happened in an earlier era when the public, with good reason, lost confidence in the promotion & sale of securities following the crash of 1929."

Tait said that if Congress does pass broadcasting reform laws, their "extent will depend upon the effectiveness of your industry in convincing the Congress that selfregulation or self-discipline will work, and that you are resolute to make it work."

As did Kintner in Springfield, Tait promised no let-up meanwhile in FTC's campaign of "ferreting out & putting an end to those illegal practices which fall within our jurisdiction."

### Suggests Broadcasting Ad Guide

At the same time Tait proffered a helping hand to the broadcasting industry, proposing preparation by FTC as a "possibility"—of a TV advertising guide similar to those already issued to cover cigaret & tire industries and pricing & bait advertising practices generally.

He said such a TV manual could draw lines between legal & illegal demonstrations in commercials which don't prove that "a product is, for example, waterproof or fireproof." Tait said "a 2-fold benefit might accrue" to advertisers & broadcasters: (1) "Improve content of advertising demonstrations." (2) Guidance "to judge the advertising offered for dissemination."

In Washington, acting FTC exec. dir. Basil J. Mezines reported that as of April 19 FTC had issued 69 payola complaints since the drive against offending record manufacturers & distributors started last Dec. (Vol. 15:49 p12 et seq.). Cease-&-desist consent orders were obtained by FTC in 17 of the cases, including 2 in which cited firms first denied payola charges. Among the rest of the cases, 7 complaints were formally protested by the companies involved. Still pending on FTC's payola investigation docket: 100 cases. Latest payola consent orders approved by FTC were signed by:

Edward S. Barsky Inc., 2522 N. Broad St., Philadelphia, and Pres. Edward S. Barsky, vp Manuel Barsky and secy. Delaine Ginchoff. Edward S. Barsky has been identified by Rep. Bennett (R-Mich.) as co-owner of a record-carrier manufacturing firm with ABC-TV's Dick Clark, who is scheduled as a star witness in payola hearings by the House Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee this week. Bernard Love Enterprises Inc. 1405 Locust St. Philadelphia and

Bernard Lowe Enterprises Inc., 1405 Locust St., Philadelphia, and Pres.-treas. Bernard Lowe. Action Records Inc., 452 W. 46th St., N.Y., and Pres. Louis Klayman, treas. Morris Price and secy. Herbert Cohen. **Gasoline, Pet Food, Candy on IV:** TvB's "category studies" of TV spending (Vol. 16:16 p9) continued last week with reports on 3 more:

Gasoline & lubricants: A 17.3% increase in petroleumindustry TV billings was registered last year. TV spending topped all other ad media. Gross time billings rose to \$32 million from \$27 million in 1958. Gas-&-oil spot TV exceeded \$22 million in 1959, and network TV was close to \$10 million. "Service station sales rose 6.4% in 1959 for a record \$16.7 billion," TvB reported. Highlights of the year's oil industry activity on TV: Texaco sponsorship of NBC-TV's *Huntley-Brinkley Report*, Standard Oil of N.J.'s sponsorship of *Play of the Week* on WNTA-TV N.Y. & WTOP-TV Washington. (Gasoline & lubricant network TV spending for Jan. 1960 increased to \$1.1 million compared with \$263,000 in Jan. 1958.)

Pet products: Gross TV billings by pet-food makers last year were \$14 million, a 23.4% increase over 1958's \$11.6 million. Spot TV gross billings accounted for \$6.7 million in 1959 against \$4.4 million in 1958; network billings last year were \$7.7 million, up from \$7.2 million. Purina Dog Chow was top gross-time 1959 TV spender with billings exceeding \$2.5 million.

Confectionery: Among candy makers, TV was the leading national ad medium in 1959, reported TvB. Total gross time billings exceeded \$26 million (an increase of 23.6% over 1958) which were split about 50-50 between spot & network. Leading TV advertisers in this classification: Beech Nut-Life Savers; American Chicle Co., William Wrigley Jr. Co., Sweets Co. of America, Mars Inc.

In Other Media: Consumer magazine circulation gained 5% in 1959's 2nd half—to 166,157,651 from 158,208,134 in July-Dec. 1958—notes The Gallagher Report in an analysis of 167 A.B.C. publications with circulations of 100,000 & up. Subscriptions increased 6.8%, single-copy sales 3.7%. TV Guide scored the biggest jump in subscriptions among the top-10 magazines with a 14.7% (230,723) gain. Among those in this group, only leader Reader's Digest was down, a scant 0.1%, from the year-ago period . . . Longest TV-oriented feature in a national magazine could be May Coronet's 35-page picture story, "The Golden Age of Mark Twain," which has its basis in NBC-TV's Project 20 documentary, "Mark Twain's America," telecast April 22.

Westinghouse Bcstg. Co. vp for sales A. W. Dannenbaum Jr. launched last week the first of a series of agency presentations—"optional Equation Plan," a rearrangement of the station group's summertime discount structure to produce "efficiencies equal to those available at other times of the year." Under the plan, spot advertisers can buy at a 35% discount for the 13-wk. period starting June 5. If they do, they forfeit the right to WBC's regular 52-wk. "consecutive weeks" discount, earning 15% instead of the usual WBC 20% for the non-summer months, if they rcmain on the air all year. Full-term advertisers won't losc on such a deal, however; the arrangement works out to be the same 52-wk. billing as under the over-all 20% discount, although favoring clients who want to concentrate heavily in the summer months.

### **O**bituary

Jules Dion Parent, 44, a Young & Rubicam vp, died April 16 of a heart attack in Darien, Conn. He is survived by his wife, son, daughter, parents, a brother, 2 sisters. **4-As in Boca Raton:** "There has been considerable progress in elevating truth & taste in advertising," Robert E. Allen, pres. of Fuller & Smith & Ross, told 700 agency & advertising executives at the 4-As annual meeting at Boca Raton last week. Failure to get various "clean-up campaigns" off the ground, however, is due to "too much parochial selfishness," Allen charged. "We seem to be more concerned with who, or which group, is the spokesman or the great savior of advertising. We have had all the talk needed on this subject. What you need now is wrapped up in a simple word—action," said Allen.

In other 4-A business, Harry Harding, exec. vp, Young & Rubicam, N.Y., was elected chmn. New vice chmn. is Edwin Cox, chmn. of Kenyon & Eckhardt. Arthur G. Rippey, managing partner of Rippey, Henderson, Bucknum & Co., Denver was elected secy.-treas. All 3 are on the new 4-A operations committee. The Kudner award went to Geraldt Pat Steel, Young & Rubicam, for "excellence in creative writing for institutional advertising."

\*

TV revenue goal of \$3 billion by 1964, or double the 1959 total, was set by TvB's board at the Boca Raton meeting April 22. The industry's total advertising share will jump from its current 14% to 19%, should the goal be reached. Top-100 advertisers will be spending at least 25% more, TvB Pres. Norman E. Cash predicted. "Other advertisers will increase TV spending by a third, while retail advertising on TV will double," he estimated. Bureau membership for accredited colleges & universities on an associate basis also was approved. The bureau set its membership goal at 300 by 1961. Current membership totals 262 stations, networks & station reps.

Trial of a \$1.2-million cancer test-case damage suit, filed in Pittsburgh's federal court by a lung-cancer victim against Liggett & Myers, involves big TV names. Counsel for plaintiff Otto Pritchard said "deceitful advertising" was used in commercials delivered by Arthur Godfrey, Perry Como and Bing Crosby for Chesterfield cigarets. Pritchard contended that he contracted cancer after smoking Chesterfields and that the commercials indicated the TV personalities "smoked as many as 2 packs" per day. Link between smoking & cancer was emphasized by a medical witness, Dr. William F. Kremer, who testified his opinion that the cause of Pritchard's lung cancer "was his continued & heavy smoking over many years." Godfrey stated in a deposition that he had broadcast commercials which said that Chesterfield cigarets caused no irritation to the nose or throat. Under an arrangement with the sponsor, Godfrey explained, he read the commercials his own way, after studying the copy. "I changed the words but not the facts," the deposition quoted him.

Profile of Monday viewers has been offered by Sindlinger & Co., on the basis of a study of 13 Mon. evenings in the 1st quarter of 1960. Reported Sindlinger: 72% of all people 12 & older in the U.S. watch evening TV on Mon. The 35-44-yr. group (75%) and the 65-&-older group (76.3%) lead the field. Slightly more women than men watch TV (48 vs. 44.5 million). Heaviest viewing by occupation category is among "craftsmen, foremen and kindred" skilled labor (90.5%). High-school graduates (76.2%) & college graduates (73.6%) were the top categories by educational classification.

# Networks

**Stanton Talks Turkey to Press:** TV executives generally talk softly before large gatherings of newspaper editors. Not so CBS Inc. Pres. Dr. Frank Stanton, who minced few words in telling an American Society of Newspaper Editors meeting in Washington April 22 that the U.S. press was only doing part of its job, and not very well at that.

Stanton set the critical tone from the start. "Some of the most righteous indignation about violence on TV, for example, has come from newspapers which assign whole teams of reporters to uxoricides of sufficient thermal qualities while disposing pretty summarily of the latest disarmament proposal."

Replying to newspaper criticism that much of TV culture is buried on Sundays, Stanton noted that most papers contain "book reviews, art news and other cultural features that are lucky to get half a column in the weekday editions." Added Stanton slyly: "I am reluctant to conclude that this great gap between what you would wish of us and what many of you practice for yourselves results from a good, hard, practical concern for circulation."

Journalism, both electronic & print, shares an "important single problem," Stanton said. The problem: "freedom of access to the information that it is absolutely essential for the American people to have if this democracy, and democracy everywhere, is not to go under."

Increasing restrictions on information are making U.S. journalism "half-free & half-slave," Stanton continued, since journalism "is free to give out information; it is not free to take in information." There's a growing trend toward "journalism by handout," said Stanton, who cited a rise in "general release of pre-digested news, carefully controlled in both matter & manner, or . . . the device of admitting to a story one newsman who acts as an agent for all the others. This slow atrophy of journalism must be more actively resisted, or we will drift into habits of acceptance that will in another decade make journalism by handout the only journalism that we have."

Govt. news sources also came in for some Stanton criticism. "There is a corrosive tendency for govt. agencies to think that the availability of information to the people should be limited by such extraneous matters as whether its release would cause the public to support or question our foreign or defense policy," said the CBS Inc. president.

\*

\*

Mass-coverage news committee should be organized to prevent "mob coverage" scenes, such as those during Khrushchev's U.S. visit last summer, urged John F. Day, CBS News vp, in Washington April 23. Day, who made his proposal during a panel discussion at the ASNE, stated that such a committee "should be available to any press officer, whether govt. or private, in organizing press facilities for any major event." He was not suggesting, he added, pool coverage, which he termed "a substitute for careful planning by press officers."

TV does a better job than newspapers in performing "the vital service of informing the public," *Reporter* critic Marya Mannes asserted to the members of the American Society of Newspaper Editors. Sharing the speakers' table with Clare Boothe Luce at a Women's National Press Club dinner in honor of the ASNE in Washington, Miss Mannes said network TV doesn't give enough time to public affairs, but "it does a better job in that little time than the

APRIL 25, 1960

nation's press as a whole." Mrs. Luce was critical of the press, too, scoring what she termed "its failure to educate & elevate the public taste rather than following that taste like a blind-wallowing dinosaur." Their joint subject at the dinner was "What's Wrong With The Press?" Miss Mannes suggested that one answer might be: "TV may not have a Lippmann or a Reston, but then, what papers in America can claim a Sevareid & Murrow & a Huntley & a Brinkley & Edward Morgan?"

\*

NBC News commentators Chet Huntley & David Brinkley were awarded Missouri U. honor medals for "distinguished service in journalism" last week, marking the 30th year for the Dean Walter Williams awards.

. .

Showcase For Candidates: 'Democratic & Republican nominees will be invited to appear on 6 telecasts of NBC-TV's *Meet the Press* prior to Election Day. The Presidential hopefuls will have "an equal chance to reply to wellinformed questions by impartial, trained journalists," NBC Chmn. Robert W. Sarnoff last week told the Academy of TV Arts & Sciences in N.Y. All telecasts will be 60 min.

NBC has also decided to accept the offer of a televised, face-to-face debate between Democratic Sens. John F. Kennedy and Hubert H. Humphrey to be staged on or about May 5 by WTRF-TV Wheeling, W. Va. The occasion will be, said Sarnoff, "probably the last time in the campaign that any network will be able to afford the luxury of presenting a debate between candidates," under present interpretations of Sec. 315. CBS said it too has offered its TV facilities for a Humphrey-Kennedy debate in W. Va. The 2 Democratic hopefuls reportedly have accepted the CBS offer in principle, with a debate date still to be set. The network also is planning nine 30-min. fall shows which will be available for candidates.

By Sarnoff's reckoning, NBC-TV would have to offer "over 50 hours of evening time to the minor-party candidates" if NBC permitted on-the-air debates between Democratic and Republican candidates following the conventions. "The way to make such televised debates possible as a regular feature of our political life is simply to free such programs from the equal-time penalty of Sec. 315."

In his ATAS address, Sarnoff viewed the post-quizscandal criticism of TV as "a classic case of guilt by association." Ironically, he said, the criticism is coming "at a time when, in most respects, TV has gone further than ever in raising its sights." As for the quiz-show disclosures themselves, the TV industry has "faced up to the sins of omission that helped make them possible. We have long since taken vigorous & thorough measures to clean out house, and to keep it clean." Broadcasting's trial has "not been without its lighter side," he noted. "For example, the popular pastime of deploring TV has made some strange bedfellows: David Susskind & Jack O'Brian; Walter Winchell & Walter Lippmann; James Hoffa & the Attorney General of the U.S.; Henry Luce & Clare."

Although he credited the Harris House Subcommittee anti-payola probe with having performed "a genuine service," Sarnoff viewed with misgivings "legislative proposals ranging far afield from these ethical issues." He was hopeful, he said, that "responsible legislators will discard the type of bill that threatens to create far more serious problems (i.e., regulation-by-censorship or decree) than it seeks to solve." WHAT WILL 3M DO WITH MUTUAL? Industry speculation varies widely about last week's purchase of MBS —the 5th in 3 years. Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co. bought the oft-faltering network for \$1.3 million. And Robert F. Hurleigh, MBS pres. since last July when he took over all MBS stock and placed the company in bankruptcy under Chapter XI (Vol. 15:27 p7), will continue in that post.

One school of opinion holds that 3M is "currently girding for a battle with RCA for dominance in the recording tape field," while others believe the purchase will "give 3M an ideal 'test-tube operation' for trying out new tape-recording equipment and electronic innovations."

Officials of 3M, when we called them, termed the above speculations "interesting." And the market value of 3M common stock has risen 5% points since the purchase.

Mutual had been owned since last July by Albert G. McCarthy Jr., realtor-builder; Chester H. Ferguson, Tampa, Fla. attorney; and Albert G. McCarthy 3rd. The network presently has 458 affiliates and basically provides a news & music service. Under the reins of Hurleigh, Ferguson & McCarthy, Mutual came out of bankruptcy in N.Y. District Court Dec. 23, 1959. Since then the network has added 14 new-to-Mutual advertisers including American Machine & Foundry, Chrysler Corp. & GM.

At the April 20 CBS stockholders meeting, the question of how the 3M purchase will affect CBS was posed to CBS Inc. Pres. Dr. Frank Stanton from the floor. Dr. Stanton replied that the purchase "added new enthusiasm to the medium and would probably make MBS more competitive to CBS Radio." When asked whether CBS would now continue to buy tape products from 3M, Dr. Stanton said: "We buy our tape on the recommendation of our engineers and on the basis of quality, and we will continue to do so."

Recently-formed Central American TV Network (Vol. 16:8 p9) and ABC's international div. will play host to agency & advertiser personnel at presentations in Mexico City & Chicago this month. On April 27 a CATVN Spanish-language presentation will be held in Mexico City with Kevin Corrigan, ABC international div. account exec., and William Campbell of TG-BOL-TV Guatemala as hosts. The Chicago presentation will be delivered April 29 with Bert Briller, ABC-TV dir. of sales development, at the helm. The Mexico City meeting according to Donald W. Coyle, ABC vp for international div., "is a further indication of the growing business bond between the U.S., Mexico & Central America."

Direct regulation of networks by FCC, proposed in a pending bill (HR-11340), will be discussed by the Commission this week as it prepares to give its views to the House Commerce Committee (Vol. 16:16 p4). Also due for discussion is the question of ex parte contacts in rulemaking cases—which kinds should be taboo, which not.

ABC-TV has optioned exclusive rights to games of the newly-formed American Football League for \$125,000 per game (up to 17 games). The deal, however, is contingent upon ABC-TV's reaching a 60% sales level for the package. Deadline is May 15. This would bring the network's time-&-talent sports investment for next season to \$15 million, of which the recently-signed agreement with Gillette Inc., involving weekly boxing & other sports events, represents around \$8 million revenue to the network.

### NETWORK SALES ACTIVITY

### ABC-TV

- My Three Sons, Thurs. 9-9:30 p.m., eff. Sept. 29. Chevrolet (Campbell-Ewald)
- Coke Time, Mon. 9:30-10:30 p.m., June 27th. Coca-Cola (McCann-Erickson)
- Adventures in Paradise, Mon. 9:30-10:30 p.m., participations eff. April 18.

Procter & Gamble (Young & Rubicam)

- Sugarfoot/Bronco, Tue. 7:30-8:30 p.m., partics. eff. May 3. Procter & Gamble (Young & Rubicam)
- The Alaskans, Sun. 9:30-10:30 p.m., partics. eff. Apr. 17. Procter & Gamble (Young & Rubicam)
- N.C.A.A. Football, Sat. afternoons eff. fall 1960, one-thirds. Humble Oil & Refining (McCann Erickson) Liggett & Myers (Dancer-Fitz.-Sample)
- Liggett & Myers (Dancer-Fitz.-Sample) The Alaskans, Sun. 9:30-10:30 p.m., partics. eff. Aug. 3. General Foods (Benton & Bowles)

### CBS-TV

- Route 66, Fri. 8:30-9:30 p.m., eff. fall 1960, half sponsorship. Chevrolet (Campbell-Ewald)
- Candid Camera, Sun. 10-10:30 p.m., eff. Oct., co-sponsors. Bristol-Myers (Young & Rubicam) Lever Brothers (J. Walter Thompson)
- Baseball Game of the Week, Sun. afternoons, one-quarter. Colgate Palmolive (Ted Bates)

Daytime programming, quarter-hr. alt. wk. sponsorships in total of 6 shows.

Standard Brands (Ted Bates)

### NBC-TV

- Tab Hunter Show, Sun. 8:30-9 p.m., eff. fall, alt.-wk.

   P. Lorrillard (Lennen & Newell)
- Wagon Train, Wed. 7:30-8:30 p.m., eff. 1960-1961 season. Participation sponsorship renewal. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco (William Esty)
- This Is Your Life, Wed. 10:30-11 p.m., eff. 1960-1961 season, full sponsorship. *Alberto-Culver* (Wade)

MD USA, Fri. 8:30-9:30 p.m. May 27, full sponsorship.

- Smith Kline & French Labs (Doremus-Eshleman)
- Barbara Stanwyck Theater, Mon. 10-10:30 p.m., eff. fall 1960, full sponsorship. Alberto-Culver (Wade)

iver to-Cuiver (Wade)

Bonanza, Sat. 7:30-8:30 p.m., eff. 1960-61 season, one-third. American Tobacco Co. (BBDO)

Untitled bowling show, Mon. 10:30-11 p.m., eff. fall 1960, full sponsorship.

Bayuk Cigars (Wermer & Schorr)

"TV is giving the people a darn sight better than what they deserve," declared Bennett Cerf in opening the April 19 presentation of the annual George Foster Peabody Awards in N.Y. But producer David Susskind, in his acceptance speech (TV entertainment non-musical, for NBC-TV's *The Moon & Sixpence*) rebutted: "It is not the mission of TV to give the people what they want. We must give them what we think is good." President Eisenhower sent congratulations to Dr. Frank Stanton (Peabody Special Service Award) in a letter to Cerf, chmn. of the Peabody Awards advisory board. Other winners:

TV news: Khrushchev Abroad, ABC. TV entertainment (non-musical); The Play of the Week, WNTA-TV Newark. TV entertainment (musical): The Bell Telephone Hour, NBC and Great Music from Chicago, WGN:TV Chicago. TV education: The Population Explosion, CBS and Decisions, WGBH-TV Boston. TV contribution to international understanding: The Ed Sullivan Show and Small World, both CBS. Local TV public service: WDSU-TV New Orleans. Radio news: The World Tonight, CBS. Radio public service: Family Living '59, NBC. Local radio public service: WCCO-TV Minneapolis.

# Congress

**DICK CLARK ON STAGE:** ABC-TV's Dick Clark, the country's foremost disc jockey, takes the center stage on Capitol Hill this week in what the House Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee bills as the climactic windup of this session's headline-making payola probes (Vol. 16:15 p18).

"We ought to rent the National Guard armory for it," one Subcommittee source told us. Instead, Chmn. Harris (D-Ark.) & his Oversighters will move into the big, highceilinged caucus room in the Old House Office Bldg.

S.R.O. crowds of spectators, lining up for a chance to see the star of ABC-TV's American Bandstand & The Dick Clark Show in person, are expected for public hearings opening at 10 a.m. April 26. The Subcommittee's script calls for a 4-day run, with morning & afternoon sessions, ending April 29.

At last week's end, the order of appearance by Clark and up to 20 other witnesses hadn't been set by Harris, but it was unlikely that Clark himself would be the lead-off man. The Oversighters & their counsel Robert W. Lishman planned to build up the Clark case with other performers before putting the star on the stand.

Identities of the full cast were withheld by Harris, and Subcommittee staffers were under instructions not to disclose the list in advance of the hearings. But it was learned that AB-PT & ABC Pres. Leonard H. Goldenson was high on the prospective list as a voluntary witness. Others: (1) Production associates of Clark. (2) Officials of companies he organized in connection with on-&-off-air enterprises. (3) Employes. (4) Officers of record distributing companies—including Philadelphia's Edward R. Barsky Inc.—which have been accused by FTC of practicing payola (see p. 6).

### Philadelphia Area Probe Put Off

"I think it will be a very informative proceeding," we were told by a Subcommittee staffer who has been working for weeks on Clark hearing preliminaries. The Oversighters at first intended to center the week's spotlight on the general payola picture in the Philadelphia area, where Clark got his start. But the subcommittee's operational plan was changed to make Clark's activities the main subject after Rep. Bennett (R-Mich.) demanded that he be subjected to a full-dress investigation.

Early in the Harris unit's payola investigations (so far they have swept through Cleveland & Boston, leaving stations damaged and disc jockeys out of work), ABC-TV publicly announced that Clark had quit music-publishing firms in which he had interests (Vol. 15:47 p5). And Clark himself had denied that he took payola.

Meanwhile, payola and how to prevent it figured largely in statements submitted by NBC & ABC to Harris in connection with April 12-13 hearings by his Communications & Power Subcommittee on a half-dozen bills to reform broadcasting & FCC (Vol. 16:16 p1). FCC last week also followed up the legislative hearings by sending Congress drafts of proposed Communications Act amendments (see pp. 2 & 4).

Supplementing Communications Subcommittee testimony from the stand a week earlier by CBS vp & gen. attorney Thomas K. Fisher—and echoing much of it—NBC & ABC agreed in memoranda that the professed objectives of the proposed measures were estimable, but challenged many of their provisions. As ABC put it in a 30-page analysis: "With the aims & purposes of a number of legislative proposals contained in these bills [HR-11341, 10241, 10242, 11397, 11398 & 7017 and S-1898], ABC is in complete agreement. With respect to certain other provisions, ABC questions the need or wisdom of rigid legislation of the character proposed, and with respect to still other provisions it questions the adequacy or desirability of the particular language there used."

The bills ranged wide over the broadcasting industry lot, from banning payola to requiring mandatory local public license hearings by FCC; from making quiz-fixing illegal to permitting FCC to suspend instead of to revoke station licenses for infractions of rules.

On payola, NBC said in a 29-page document that the "need for corrective legislation has been clearly established." The network expressed preference for broad payola bans in a bill (HR-11341) by Harris himself to an antipayola "commercial bribery" measure (HR-11397) by House Judiciary Committee Chmn. Celler (D-N.Y.), who submitted a statement backing his proposals.

But NBC said it had doubts about both the Harris & Celler bills, pointing to "the difficulty of preparing a statute which will outlaw what is wrongful without also barring legitimate procedures in complex program production processes of broadcasting."

NBC tried its hand at drafting payola-corrective legislation, filing 2 suggested bills with Harris. One covered "announcement that matter is paid for," tightening the Communication Act's Sec. 317. The other constituted a new "commercial bribery statute" making paying & accepting payola a criminal offense.

ABC offered no legislation. "However," the network said, "ABC stands ready, in any way that its services may be thought helpful, to assist the Subcommittee & its staff in drafting language to carry out such policies as the Subcommittee concludes should be covered at this time by express legislation."

The full Commerce Committee met April 21 in closed session to discuss pending bills, including those in the TVradio-FCC area, but did nothing about the broadcasting measures. This inaction made it likely that none of the TV-radio-FCC bills will come up again on the committee's agenda until mid-May, at least. This week's payola hearings will be followed next week by FPC hearings, and Harris wasn't expected to call the full committee into another executive session on legislation until the public proceedings have been concluded. And after that, adjournment of Congress will be little more than a month away.

Monroney TV-debate bill goes to hearings May 12 before Senate Commerce Communications Subcommittee under Sen. Pastore (D-R.I.). The bill (S-3171) would require each network to give 2 hours weekly—for 8 weeks—to discussions of campaign issues by major Presidential candidates (Vol. 16:12 p17). Witnesses are expected to include heads of the Republican & Democratic national committees, heads of networks, FCC members.

FCC-requested legislation amending the Communications Act to authorize Commission regulation of CATV receiving towers (Vol. 16:14 pl1) has been introduced by Senate Commerce Committee Chmn. Magnuson (D-Wash.). Accompanying the bill (S-3343) was a letter from FCC Chmn. Ford pointing out that FCC now lacks control over installation, height and location of receiving towers unless they are component parts of licensed transmitting facilities. Music-copyright royalties which represent more than 50% of publishers' income are excluded from application of personal holding-company taxes, under an amended House-approved bill (HR-7588), passed without debate by the Senate. It was sent back to the House for concurrence in new language to make it clear that copyright royalties don't include income from leasing of movies to TV & theaters. Under existing law, such income is defined as rents not royalties. The House bill was amended by the Senate Finance Committee after independent Hollywood TV & theatrical producers formed a committee to point out that, under the original House bill, they might be subjected to personal holding-company taxes on royalties. The House passed the revised measure and sent it to the White House.

Case of ex-FCC Chmn. Doerfer, who was forced out of his job after he accepted plane-&-yacht hospitality from broadcaster George B. Storer (Vol. 16:11 p2), was cited in the Senate's confirmation fight over appointment of CAB Chmn. James R. Durfee to the U.S. Court of Claims. Sen. Proxmire (D-Wis.), leading floor opposition to Durfee, pointed out that Storer "did not have any cases" before FCC when he entertained Doerfer, but that Doerfer "was denounced, and had to resign." Durfee accepted plane rides & entertainment from airlines which had cases pending before CAB, and should be refused confirmation, Proxmire argued. Durfee was confirmed 69-15.

TV won't clean itself up, chief counsel Robert W. Lishman of the House Commerce Legislative Oversight Subcommittee predicted in a speech to the Savannah Civitan Club. He said he doubted that network & station officials "can or will do their own housecleaning." Reviewing the Subcommittee's exposes of quiz shows last year, Lishman told Civitan members that "it is unlikely that TV officials, who appear to be so thoroughly amazed about the rigged shows that went on under their noses, will suddenly climb down from their ivory tower and become alert guardians of the public interest."

# Technology

**'TV Relay' to Orbit:** The forerunner of a planned group of satellites which would serve as TV-radio space relays will be fired May 5 from Cape Canaveral in an attempt to place it in a 1,000-mi. orbit above the earth. The firing will be another in a series of experiments with passive satellites conducted by the National Aeronautics & Space Administration and Bell Labs under the name of "Project Echo."

The satellite will be an aluminized balloon 100 ft. in diameter—by far the largest object to be orbited. No TV experiments are planned with the first shot, although they may be tried in the next 2 scheduled in the series, NASA tells us. Voice & continuous-wave signals will be bounced from this first satellite.

Senate majority leader Lyndon Johnson (D-Tex.) waxed enthusiastic about the project in a speech April 21 in Houston. "The day will come," he said, "when one program can be seen & heard simultaneously in every livingroom in the world."

The Army was active last week in video rocketry, meanwhile. A Redstone ballistic missile carrying a TV camera & transmitter was successfully fired at White Sands Missile Range, the Army announced. The system is designed to show actual target damage inflicted by a missile. The TV gear was developed by RCA, while Chrysler Corp. supplied the missile.

# Film & Tape

**NETWORK OFFER SPLITS WGA:** Network negotiators offered outright recognition of the principle of foreign residuals last week in contract talks with the striking Writers Guild of America—a concession WGA had failed to gain in long negotiations with the Alliance of TV Film Producers and TV operations at major movie studios. But WGA's negotiating committee was divided in its reaction to the network proposals, because the offer contained fewer concessions than expected in other areas.

In addition to recognition of the controversial foreign principle, the network package provided that any showings abroad would be regarded as a second run in what is known as a "one-world concept."

The network package also offered WGA a 10% hike in minimums the first 2 years and a 1% hike the 3rd year, less than the 10 & 5 offered previously by the Alliance. The package also provided for a pension plan, with the Guild to receive 5% of minimum the first 2 years and 5% of initial compensation (not to exceed double minimum) the next 2 years.

Whether the 2nd longest strike in Guild history (longest was a 105-day strike against the Alliance in 1952) will end next week depends on the intra-Guild hassling. One group wants a set of counter-proposals to be made to the networks to forestall a membership vote which could result in acceptance; the other likes the network package, wants a membership vote on it Thurs. (28).

### Alliance Expected to Go Along

Developments in the network-Guild negotiations created consternation among Hollywood producers both within & without the Alliance fold. They complained that while they had held firm against WGA demands for foreign recognition, the networks had pulled the rug from under them by bowing to this demand. Producers we checked admitted frankly that if a WGA-network deal is made, they will have no alternative but to go along with a similar deal, even though they don't relish it.

One result of such a deal would be to slow down sales of U.S. telefilms abroad, because producers will be reluctant to sell in areas where the market can provide only limited returns—if the Guilds are to be cut in on reruns a number of executives said.

Alliance producers said their offer of a 10% hike the first 2 years with 5% the 3rd year, a 5% pension plan and language recognizing the contrasting stands of the groups in the foreign areas was a better deal than the network package, and they made no attempt to conceal their bitterness over the network maneuvering. Also in the network package was a proposal calling for establishment of a committee to study the entire residuals situation, and WGA told the nets it had no objection to such a committee so long as its findings were not binding. Alliance producers pointed out that when they offered a similar plan to WGA, it had been rejected.

Said one independent film executive harshly: "They [WGA] will claim a victory which is unjustified. If the writers persist in this spurious bias for network proposals as against others, they will lead to their own destruction. They have an emotional attitude which blinds them to favorable offers from one group, in favor of another which offers a less favorable settlement." Actually, the network offer of rccognition of the foreign principle did represent a victory for WGA, since this has been the single controversial point which prolonged the strike for over 3 months. Hollywood producers had steadfastly refused outright recognition, and this was not achieved until the networks granted it last week. Equally true, however, was the complaint of producers in Hollywood that, had the networks not intervened, such recognition probably would not have been forthcoming.

Transcending all the bitterness and even the intra-WGA infighting was a feeling in Hollywood that the lengthy strike was finally near its end. Once the network-WGA deal is made, and there was every evidence it would be, most of the other employer groups will sign with the Guild and producers will be able to get down to the business of buying stories for next season's product—something long delayed by the walkout. There were reports that the only employer group which may not sign would be the TV operations at the majors.

Other strike developments:

Directors Guild of America and the Alliance held a negotiation session Fri., and there was every indication an agreement will be reached.

Screen Actors Guild-Alliance negotiations on a TV film contract will begin early in May. Guild's extended contract expires May 31. Meanwhile, SAG board members and executives from N.Y. and Chicago met in Hollywood last weekend to discuss terms to be presented telefilm producers.

SAG's membership overwhelmingly ratified the new movie contract with the Assn. of Motion Picture Producers, thereby formally ending the strike which began March 7. Aside from previously disclosed terms, such as a pension fund in lieu of post-1948 payments, it was revealed raises in some categories are as high as 81%.

WGA, having resumed negotiations with AMPP, which it has been striking since Jan. 16, was believed ready to drop its demands for payments for post-1948 movies sold to TV, in view of SAG's inability to win such revenue. However, WGA does not want to give in on pay-TV demands, it was reported.

American Federation of Musicians petitioned the NLRB for an election of musicians employed in the major studios in an attempt to decertify the contract of Musicians Guild of America, which expires Dec. 31, 1961.

Jet-transported tape Olympics coverage will be a feature of CBS News telecasts of the Rome-originated games, allowing U.S. audiences to see events on the same day they are recorded. CBS News Pres. Sig Mickelson announced a team-up with RAI, the Italian state network, for the tape coverage. An on-the-spot production team, headed by CBS sports dir. William C. MacPhail, will be flown to Rome. RAI facilities available to CBS News include permanent TV lines, installations in the various stadiums & amphitheaters, 10 mobile units, 39 cameras and other technical gear, Mickelson reported.

Movie fans stayed home to watch the Academy Awards telecast April 4, reports Sindlinger & Co., Philadelphiabased business analysts. Movie attendance that evening was off 20% from the average of the 4 previous Mondays. Sets-in-use figures showed 82.6% tuned in on the show for 5 or more mins.; 67.5 million people watching; and 87% of these viewing the entire 90-min. program.

# NEW YORK ROUNDUP

Film-industry defense witnesses took the stand last week in the govt.'s block booking prosecution in N.Y. Federal District Court before Judge Archie O. Dawson. Main contention of leading defense witness Charles C. (Bud) Barry, vp for talent & programming, Young & Rubicam, and formerly vp, MGM-TV, was that "stations didn't have to buy the features in packages if they didn't want to." Other witnesses included Richard Harper, assistant to Barry at MGM-TV; Arnold Stream, vp & gen. counsel, C&C Super Corp.; Irwin H. Ezzes, vp & gen. sales mgr., C&C; and Robert W. Norvet, MGM-TV. Defense counsel Louis Nizer apparently called them in an effort to challenge a govt. contention that stations had to buy feature films they didn't want in order to get features they wanted. The govt. rested its case 2 weeks ago, and Judge Dawson anticipates the trial will continue for at least 3 more weeks.

UAA has prepared a new package of 6-min. cartoons for TV distribution. Produced on the West Coast by Bob Clampett, they are titled *Mel-O-Toons* and are based on already-in-distribution children's records. Supervising production for UAA will be Albert G. Hartigan, who last week was named dir. of program development.

Allied Artists has formed a commercial films div., for production of TV commercials, industrial and educational films and named Jack L. Copeland its exec. producer. Roy M. Brewer will be its Eastern rep., stationed in N.Y.

# HOLLYWOOD ROUNDUP

TV editing device—the "TVola"—claimed to make tape editing as simple as film editing, has been developed by Paramount TV Productions' KTLA Los Angeles and will be manufactured by Paramount subsidiary Autometric Corp. for marketing in about 6 months. Heart of the TVola is a portable 200-transistor console coupled to 4 Hughes memory tubes, which freeze a single TV frame for up to 20 minutes. The device helps the editor to locate accurately the position of any single TV frame and marks the tape for editing at the desired frame pulse on the tape. The TVola's principle is similar to that of Conrac's Video-Chek Multi-Frame Storage Unit, demonstrated earlier this month at the NAB convention in Chicago (Vol. 16:15 p10).

Production of next season's *Gunsmoke* series begins this week (25) at Paramount-Sunset studios. Jim Arness stars in the CBS-TV series... Production began last week at Metro on another CBS-TV series, *Rawhide*, produced by Charles Marquis Warren.

Screen Gems is launching another package of *Three* Stooges comedy shorts in syndication. The newest group, 72 episodes, brings to 190 the number of slapstick comedies in the SG-distributed TV series. Currently, 165 stations are airing the package.

ITC will produce at least 6 new series during the next 2 years on outside-U.S. locations. "Each series will have a large budget and will be aimed at getting a mass audience," said ITC-ATV British heads Val Parnell & Lew Grade. Stripping Danny Thomas: Danny Thomas, who began his TV series on ABC-TV 7 years ago, then moved to CBS-TV, last week completed the circle. Reruns of 218 Thomas films were sold to NBC-TV for \$5,450,000 by his agents (William Morris). Packeger is Louis Edelman. In what may be the most productive rerun deal ever consummated, NBC-TV agreed to pay Thomas \$25,000 per episode for 4 network runs, and to buy reruns of next season's episodes yet to be filmed. CBS-TV had a chance to buy the reruns, but passed it up.

NBC-TV will daytime-strip the Thomas series, beginning next Oct. Already cutting into CBS-TV's hold on the afternoon audience with reruns of NBC-TV's nighttime Loretta Young Show, NBC-TV plans to schedule the 251-episode (33 yet to be produced) package of Thomas repeats in a Mon.-Fri. 4 p.m. slot, against CBS-TV's The Brighter Day, this fall. The network expects to run the series over a 4-yr. span, and will sell availabilities on a participation basis. Recently, ABC-TV closed a similar long-range deal for reruns of the Rodney-Young Father Knows Best series, and CBS-TV has done well with morning reruns of the old I Love Lucy series.

**How Skelton Will Use Chaplin Studio:** Red Skelton, like Lucille Ball & Desi Arnaz, now owns his own studio, having bought the old Charles Chaplin lot last week from Security Pictures for \$1.5 million. The deal is in escrow and Skelton and his business manager, Charles Luftig, will take possession May 6. For Skelton the deal has a sentimental value. Chaplin has long been his idol, and Orson Welles once described Red as "the greatest comic since Chaplin."

······ = ····

Luftig told us he & Skelton plan to produce movies, telefilm series and taped TV shows. Already definite on their schedule are 3 specials Skelton will do for CBS-TV next season. The new ownership team takes over a compact 3 sound-stage studio in the heart of Hollywood. Observed Luftig: "It's a perfect studio for TV. Chaplin was 30 years ahead of his times."

Warners Mending Fences: Warner Bros., which holds the dubious distinction of having more TV star walkouts than any other studio in Hollywood (Edd Byrnes, James Garner, Jack Kelly), last week was trying to rope the mavericks back into the corral one by one. Byrnes, the popular "Kookie" of 77 Sunset Strip who has been away from the series since Nov. (when he walked out in a demand for more than \$400 a week), was back at the Burbank plant finally. Both sides declined to discuss terms, merely using the Hollywood cliche that the agreement was "amicable."

Warners then began negotiations with Kelly, co-star with Garner in *Maverick*. Kelly had termed himself a free agent after WB cited the writers strike to exercise *force majeure* and take him off its payroll March 3. WB sued Kelly to keep him under contract, but now is pursuing more diplomatic tactics to avoid a court fight. There have been conversations between Jack M. Warner and Kelly, and there appears to be a possibility for peace.

As for Garner, Warners hasn't approached him as yet, its tactics apparently being to woo each walkout singly. WB had also sued Garner after he declared himself a free agent following Warners' invocation of *force majeure*. Garner counter-sued, claiming breach of contract.

<b>Television Digest</b>
PUBLISHED BY TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC. WALTER H. ANNENBERG, President
PUBLICATION OFFICE Radnor, Pa., MUrroy 8-3940, TWX: Radnor 1028
JAMES T. QUIRK, Business Manager HAROLD B. CLEMENKO, Managing Editor DAVID LACHENBRUCH, Associate Editor
JAMES B. DELEHANTY, HAROLD RUSTEN, Associate Editor Asst. Business Mgr. PAUL STONE
WASHINGTON BUREAU       NEW YORK BUREAU         Wyatt Building       625 Madison Ave.         Wäshingtan 5, D.C.       New Yark 22, N.Y.         Sterling 3-1755       Plaza 2-0195         ALBERT WARREN, Chief       CHARLES SINCLAIR, Chief         WILBUR H. BALDINGER       DIANE SCHWARTZ         WM. J. McMAHON Jr.       WEST COAST BUREAU         6362 Hallywaad Blvd.       6362 Hallywaad Blvd.
Hallywaad 28, Cal. MARTIN CODEL Hallywaad 5-5210 Associate Publisher DAVID KAUFMAN
TELEVISION DIGEST. Published Mondays. Subscription \$75 annually. For group rates & other subscription services, write Business Office.
TELEVISION FACTBOOK TV & AM-FM ADDENDA AM-FM DIRECTORY Published Morch & Sept. Published Soturdays Published in January
Copyright 1960, by Triangle Publications, Inc.

**Personals:** Malcolm C. (Mal) Klein resigns as vp-gen. mgr. of NT&T's WNTA-TV & WNTA Newark-N.Y. to become vp-gen. mgr. of RKO General's KHJ-TV Los Angeles . . Oliver Treyz, ABC-TV pres., has been nominated to AB-PT board, to succeed the late H. Hugh McConnell . . . Joseph S. (Dody) Sinclair, vp-mgr. of WJAR-TV & WJAR Providence, elected pres. of parent Outlet Co. dept. store (also continuing temporarily as station mgr.), succeeding Alfred Darby, who becomes chmn.; Bruce Sundlum, attorney who represented Sinclair in his successful court battle to block sale of the Outlet Co., elected secy.

Orville Shugg, ex-ad & PR dir. for Dairy Farmers of Canada, named CBC sales planning & promotion dir. . . . Gideon Klein, ex-KCMT Alexandria, Minn., named sales mgr., KWWL-TV Waterloo, Ia. . . Jules C. Stein, MCA chmn. and a former practicing ophthalmologist, will head a new national organization called Research to Prevent Blindness Inc., 598 Madison Ave., N.Y.

Andrew Heiskell named Time Inc. chmn., succeeding Maurice T. Moore; James A. Linen appointed pres., replacing Roy E. Larsen, who becomes chmn. of the exec. committee; David Brumbaugh named exec. vp . . . Ralph de Toledano, ex-Newsweek, named dir. of new Taft Bcstg. Co. Washington news bureau, 512 Munsey Bldg., assisted by John Chambers, ex-Washington Daily News. He also starts column for King Features Syndicate . . . Louis J. Riggio named sales development dir., CBC Radio.

Charles L. Glett, NT&T vp, has resigned to form his own broadcasting company; he is also pres. and a dir. of NT&T subsidiaries National TV Investments Inc., and National-Missouri T.V. Inc. He will continue in those offices until June 29, effective date of his resignation . . . Dale Hart, KOTV (Tulsa) program dir., named acting station commercial mgr.; Sid Lasher, weatherman & staff announcer, appointed acting program dir.

David Shuirman, ex-CBS-TV, named gen. mgr. of radio KSFE Needles, Cal., and Frank Strauss, also ex-CBS-TV, will be news and prog. dir. They are officers of S. H. S. Bcstg. A vp of the company is KNXT Los Angeles news reporter Grant Holcomb... Lillian Brown, TV-radio dept. dir., George Washington U., Washington receives *McCall's* magazine "Golden Mike" award for production of Classroom 9: Russian series on WTOP-TV (Ch. 9) Washington. Thad Brown, NAB TV vp, resigns as of June 17 to enter private law practice & acquire interests in stations. No successor has been selected; consideration is being given to members of the NAB staff. Clair R. McCollough, chmn. of the NAB policy committee, accepted the resignation "with regret," saying: "He has been connected with TV since its infancy and has served the NAB & the medium well." Before joining NAB in 1951, Brown was associated with the Washington firm of Roberts & McInnis, counsel for the old TV Broadcasters Assn. and the DuMont net.

### Obituary

William J. Norfleet, 74, retired FCC chief accountant, died April 14 of complications following a broken hip. Native of Amelia Courthouse, Va., he started with the govt. in 1917, working for ICC. In 1935, he joined FCC as chief of the accounting & tax dept., became chief accountant the following year and held the position until he retired in 1955. Surviving are his widow & 2 daughters.

# **Auxiliary Services**

CATV affects some stations more than others, according to William C. Grovet, operator of KFBC-TV Cheyenne & KSTF Scottsbluff, who recently discussed the problems raised when CATVs duplicate the programs of local stations (Vol. 16:15 p13). Although he believes his stations can compete with big-city signals brought in by CATV, he writes, CATVs may prevent the construction of stations in smaller communities-even if there is no duplication. "Even with non-duplication," he says, "the advent & existence of some CATV systems is undoubtedly having the effect of preventing the construction of additional smalltown free-TV stations." Some towns are so small, he says, that a local station could survive only if it had little or no competition from signals brought in by CATV. He concludes: "This, of course, presents the question of whether it is more in the over-all public interest that a few have a multiple choice of TV programs for pay than a larger number have one program service for free. There is undoubtedly considerable disagreement as to which of the 2 is in the public interest, with broadcasters generally of the view that the free service to all is preferable to the multiple choice of service to the few for pay."

Biggest closed-circuit network ever—that's TelePromp-Ter Pres. Irving B. Kahn's prediction for the June 20 Johansson-Patterson championship rematch. TPT emerged last week as top bidder with a \$700,000 minimum guarantee for ancillary rights to Feature Sports Inc., promoters of the fight. Kahn's bid shut out his closest contender, Theatre Network TV, and topped by \$200,000 TPT's earlier contract with the fighters. Because fight rights were secured by competitive bidding, Kahn stated his belief that TPT was now "fully vindicated" in its position vis-a-vis N.Y. state anti-trust actions brought in Feb. Kahn also stated that he anticipates "high financial returns" from the event. Sale of motion picture [newsreel] and radio rights "will be announced soon."

Vhf booster rules are still blocked by FCC's inability to muster a majority vote for a course of action. It's understood that the Commission stands 3-3—Comrs. Ford, Hyde & Craven for the adoption of rules similar to those originally proposed (Vol. 15:49 p4); Lee & Bartley for requiring all new boosters to be uhf-only; Cross for waiting until Congress acts on pending booster legislation.

# Trade Report . . .

**SIGNS & PORTENTS FOR A GOOD YEAR:** Economic outlook for rest of year—from consumer buying standpoint—is picking up. The feeling of guarded optimism which prevailed during first quarter is giving way to more positive expectations of continued good business.

While March TV-radio-stereo sales at retail probably didn't quite sustain sharp rise from 1959 shown by Jan.-Feb. figures (Vol. 16:16 p16), preliminary first-quarter figures still show TV retail sales sustaining a 6.4-million-set pace on annual basis, with radio sales about 400,000 units ahead of first-quarter 1959.

TV inventories were slightly over the 2-million level as of April 1, some 400,000 sets higher than the year-ago figure, which was considered too low and over-cautious. Industry statisticians call 2 million "safe."

One particularly encouraging sign with coming of spring is that a dark spot on the home furnishings horizon seems to be brightening—the home-building outlook. An important factor in TV-set purchases as well as indicator of economic health, new housing starts rose to 93,800 in March from February's 74,400.

<u>Number of new housing starts still trails last year</u>—but March's pick-up came in spite of unusually bad weather over most of country. Really encouraging are signs of expansion in home-loan commitments for first time since last summer, along with first indications of slight lowering of mortgage rates in some areas. United Business Service predicts at least 1.2 million new housing starts this year—only a modest decline from 1959's total of a little over 1.3 million.

Almost all economic research services—which we scan weekly for trends—are now forecasting excellent retail business this year. Most of them see further gains in personal income, and outlook still is for all-time record retail sales.

Wall Street Journal joined the spreaders of cheer this week, reporting in its April 22 issue on its own survey of more than 300 middle-income consumers in 15 cities. Journal found few signs of any slowdown in current heavy spending rate. Slightly more than 44% of individuals, it found, plan to make a major purchase (more than \$150) during 2nd quarter; 43% made such purchases a year ago. "Consumers in the main," said the Journal, "seem almost unaffected by the prevailing uncertainties" (listless stock market, increased unemployment, lower steel output, etc.).

Coming of spring, after a rough winter, seems to be reflected everywhere by strong consumer confidence. This climate is as pleasing to industry marketers as the first week of real sunny spring weather.

2.4 MILLION TV SETS SCRAPPED LAST YEAR: Gone, but certainly not lamented: 2,439,000 old TV sets in 1959—replaced by new ones. It's estimated that another 3.4 million will fade away next year.

Last year's scrappage figures—as estimated by Sylvania research dir. Frank W. Mansfield, chairman of EIA's statistical committee—dramatizes the value of the new-size 23-in. tube. For the first time, 21-in. sets account for fully one-third of all sets scrapped last year.

Considering that about two-thirds of the 53.3 million sets-in-use now are 21-in. sets, it's good bet that the 23-in. size will be big factor this year in inducing 21-in. set owners to replace their big-screen sets—for it's axiomatic that the public likes to "trade up" to a bigger size.

Breakdown of 1959 scrappage figures: 15-in. & less, 446,000; 16-18-in. 1,156,000; 19-21-in., 814,000; 22-in. & over, 23,000. In 1958 only 526,000 of the 2,010,000 sets scrapped were 19-21-in. (predominantly 21-in.).

Last year's scrappage didn't quite set a record. In 1957, when 6,560,000 sets were sold at retail, a high of 2,750,000 old sets were junked. But percentagewise, 1959 was best replacement year—the scrappage figure indicating that about 42% of the sets sold at retail were for replacement.

Replacement rates and average life of TV sets are intriguing subjects, which haven't yet fallen into any set pattern. Mansfield estimates that average set life currently is about 10 years—same figure as last year. In 1954, the average set was replaced after 7 years, and the average life has been increasing since then. Mansfield sees it continuing to rise, leveling off at 11 years by 1963.

Obsolescence, in terms of valuable innovations in new sets, can shorten life-span of old ones, of course. Potential of replacement market is indicated by estimate that 1.3 million sets sold to the public in 1950 are still in use, as are 2.7 million sets sold in 1951, 4.1 million in 1952 and 5.9 million in 1953.

The American TV industry, as of Jan. 1, 1960, had produced a cumulative total of 70,480,000 sets, had sold 67,145,000 to the public, of which 14,238,000 had been scrapped—leaving a total of 53,290,000 sets in use. Of the sets currently in use, 66.4% have screens of 19-in. or greater, 26.6% are 16-18-in., 7% are 15-in. or smaller. (Distributor sales in 1959 were 65.4% 19-in. & bigger, 26.6% 16-18-in., 7% 15-in. or less). As of the beginning of this year, 5,509,000 sets with screens 15-in. or less had been scrapped, plus 6,176,000 of 16-18-in. size, 2,516,000 measuring 19-21-in. and 37,000 of 22-in. and over.

Most of these figures estimated by Mansfield, along with EIA statistical data, are printed in tabular form in our new spring-summer Television Factbook, just published.

			•	
April 8-15	Preceding wk.	1959 week	'60 cumulative	'59 cumulative
105,251	106,149	95,023	1,784,085	1,591,081
311,974	301,196	270,658	5,073,922	4,120,886
91,642	104,946	98,141	2,059,551	1,560,904
	105,251 311,974	105,251106,149311,974301,196	105,251106,14995,023311,974301,196270,658	105,251106,14995,0231,784,085311,974301,196270,6585,073,922

**TV-RADIO PRODUCTION:** EIA statistics for week ended April 15 (15th week of 1960):

**DIRECT-VIEW BATTERY TV:** Emerson announced its longawaited direct-view battery-operated transistor TV last week—but didn't show the set or disclose many details. More than a year ago (Vol. 15:13 p19, March 28, 1959) we reported that Emerson had a "true portable" in the works.

The new Emerson set, which goes into production "in a few weeks," will retail at around \$250, according to Pres. Benjamin Abrams. Significantly, its screen size will be 10 in.—already a bigger screen than the promised 8-in. Japanese imports, slated to sell here at somewhat above \$200 (Vol. 16:4 p15). While the Emerson set will have the advantage of bigger screen size, it also is more than twice as heavy as the tiny Sony portable we saw at the IRE convention—28 lb. vs. 13 lb.

Emerson's portable will be housed in a luggage-type cabinet, and like the Sony set will use rechargeable batteries and operate either from line power or from its own self-contained battery pack. No details have been released on number of transistors, characteristics of the picture tube, battery life, etc.

Philco actually was the first—by perhaps as much as a year—with a battery-operated set. Its Safari, which uses a mirror-projection system to give a large apparent picture from a 2-in. picture tube, came out last summer. Though Philco officials won't comment, there seems to be a possibility that Philco may introduce another transistor portable this year with a direct-view tube. Philco is understood to have developed—with Kimble Glass Co.—an 18-in. direct-view tube suitable for transistor operation, but the design is believed to have been dropped (Vol. 16:9 p16). What other designs are in the works is a tight secret.

Other set makers may have transistor TVs scheduled for this year, but they're playing their cards close to the chest. Last July we predicted that Motorola would introduce a battery portable this spring (Vol. 15:28 p16)—and we know of no development since then to change this estimate. At any rate, the next 2 months should tell the story. **8-in. Import at \$85?** A 28-tube portable TV with 8-in. screen will be imported by Star Lite Merchandise Co., N.Y. beginning next month, to retail for "somewhat less than \$89." So we were told at week's end by Star Lite co-owner Al Dayon, just returned from Tokyo.

Star Lite, he said, has entered a partnership deal with Riken Television Industry Co., which will ship about 1,000 sets monthly. The Riken-made set replaces the receiver announced earlier by Star Lite to list at \$69.95 (Vol. 16:11 p17). This receiver, which was shown at last month's Variety Merchandise Fair in N.Y., generated much interest—but the new set is "a much better set," according to Dayon. It was understood, too, that the receiver shown last month was a one-of-a-kind item, and it was impossible to arrange for mass deliveries at the stated price.

Dayon said Star Lite & Riken are also working on 2 battery-powcred transistorized sets to be priced "much lower than Sony." Sony has stated that its 8-in. battery set will be priced "somewhat above \$200" in the U.S.

The Star Lite 8-in. portable, which weighs 18½ lb., will be shown at the NAMM Music Show July 10-14 at Chicago's Palmer House, at the Housewares Show the same dates in Atlantic City, at the Jewelry Shows in Chicago July 17-21 and in N.Y. Aug. 14-18.

RCA & the Italian govt. have joined in a \$25-million project to establish an electronics manufacturing complex in Southern Italy. Under a 5 to 10 year contract with the Instituto per la Ricostruzione Industriale, which will furnish the funds, Montreal-based RCA International Ltd. will direct the project to expand existing facilities & build new ones for the production of tubes, semiconductors and other electronic components & equipment. The first step will be the expansion of a tube-producing plant at Aquila. The large-scale electronic industrialization is aimed at a poor & predominantly agricultural area. Italy's \$200-million-ayear electronics industry is concentrated principally in the industrial north. **REMOTE CONFUSION:** How big is the market for remote-control TV? Our industry roundup last week indicated there's no general agreement on how many are being sold today but that most manufacturers think it's a market well worth pursuing (Vol. 16:16 p15). A good guesstimate is that perhaps 10% of sets now being sold have some form of wireless remote TV. Few manufacturers were willing to be quoted on details of their own experience with remotes, or even on their size-up of the industry. Here, however, is a summary of quotable comments & facts from those set makers willing to talk on the record:

Admiral: The low-end "limited function" remote control set is priced \$50 higher than non-remote sets in the 19-in. portable line. In the 21- & 23-in. lines, the deluxe Sonar-equipped sets run \$120 to \$200 more than non-remote receivers, although the sets aren't directly comparable—the remote sets having other extra deluxe features.

Emerson: Pres. Benjamin Abrams believes that price is the major barrier to consumer acceptance of remote controls. The variety of different types of controls, he says, is misleading to the public. When the all-function remote comes down from its \$100 perch to a mere \$25-\$30 premium, he adds, "then we'll have a remote business." Emerson's full-function remote unit adds \$100 to the price of a set; its partial-function unit with 2-step sound is less.

GE: TV receiver dept. gen. mgr. Herbert Riegelman tells us he has "no reservations" about saying that remote tuning will become extremely important in the future. He says the public eventually will demand remotes, as it does certain extra-cost features (such as power steering) on medium- & high-priced cars.

Hoffman: "The public likes remote controls and they are becoming increasingly popular," says consumer products vp Ray B. Cox. "I expect more sets to be sold with remote units." Hoffman's remote units add about \$50 to the retail price of a TV set.

Motorola: Research estimates indicate that some 350,-000 sets were sold last year with remote control. While one out of 3 potential TV buyers is interested in remote, only one of 18 ends up buying it. The main reason, Motorola believes, is the higher cost (\$50 to \$100 extra in the Motorola line), but there are 2 other reasons: (1) Failure of dealers to carry remotes or a sufficient stock of them. (2) No two consumers seem to want the same features in a remote; lack of standardization of remote functions. "We are very optimistic about the future of remote-control TV," says TV sales mgr. Charles P. (Chuck) Lloyd, "and we are now confident that our Golden Satellite remote TV is as reliable as it is humanly possible to make it."

Packard Bell: Consumer products vp K. R. Johnson says that one-third of Packard Bell's sets are now sold with remote units. Industry-wide, he believes that eventually remotes will account for 30% of the market. His company's price differential for remotes runs \$40-60.

Zenith: Generally conceded to be the far-&-away leader in remote tuning, the company would give no estimate of its remote volume or percentage. Indicating the belief that remote tuning will always be a limited-appeal high-end item, Zenith Sales Corp. Pres. Leonard C. Truesdell tells us: "In the price brackets where it applies, remote tuning is very important to us and we are glad to have it. But it doesn't apply to the whole line. A remote tuning control must be a highly engincered & well-developed unit. Any shortcuts will reduce the performance." **Color Sales Up 40%:** RCA color-TV set sales for the first quarter of 1960 were up "more than 40%" over the same 1959 period, consumer products exec. vp P. J. Casella announced last week. This was the biggest quarterly upturn in the 6-year history of color set sales, he said.

He added that plans are now under way to start up a 2nd color-set production line at the TV-receiver plant in Bloomington, Ind.; that the RCA tube div. is now producing twice as many color picture-tubes as it did a year ago; and that a further tube output increase will be necessary this summer. Color set inventories, he said, are "very low." He added that dealers in Boston, N.Y. & Indianapolis report doubling of color sales in some months of this quarter.

RCA Sales Corp. Pres. J. S. Beldon predicted to us last week that RCA's color-set sales for the full year will be 50% higher than 1959.

**I** -

Every TV-appliance dealer should have the opportunity to "service what he sells"-including the availability of warranty allowances and parts-NARDA said in a statement aimed at encouraging dealers to "take a positive attitude toward service." The existence of manufacturers' centralized service operations, or independent centralized service, "is not reason in itself to withdraw servicing dealer privileges or to withhold these privileges," NARDA declared, adding that a dealer's franchise conditioned on his using centralized service "tends to create a monopoly and is not in the public interest." Centralized service, said NARDA, "must be recognized as a major competitive threat in increasingly large areas of the U.S., challenging the ability of dealers to remain in the service business." When manufacturers "elect to sell a commodity direct to consumers, they do so in competition with their own dealers in every sense of the word, and dealers must prepare to meet this competition in order to hold their position in the marketplace."

Philco introduced three 19-in. sets in special showings to distributors, ending last week. Featuring a "new design concept," the sets are equipped with their own decoratorstyled "tele-stands" as standard equipment. Two of the stands have wheels for easy mobility. The 19-in. sets, only 13¼-in. deep, use a tube with a new Philco-developed electron gun and 114-degree deflection. The tubes are "forward-mounted" and have a "wraparound non-glare safety filter lens," but Philco did not announce whether the safety glass actually is bonded to the tube. The basic models, available in 6 different color styles, are priced at \$189.95, \$199.95 & \$209.95.

Fair trade legislation, stalled in the Senate since last July (Vol. 15:30 p19), has struck a roadblock in the House. The traffic-controlling House Rules Committee voted to ditch a bill (HR-1253) by Rep. Harris (D-Ark.) giving Federal sanction to minimum retail price-fixing by manufacturers. Endorsed by NARDA & other retail organizations, the Harris measure was approved last May by the House Commerce Committee (Vol. 15:20 p21), but faced stiff floor opposition. The Rules Committee's rejection of the bill probably doomed it.

Prices of most capacitors were increased 10% by Sangamo Electric Co. because of "steadily increasing costs of labor & material." Included in the boost, effective April 25, are paper & plastic film, mica and electrolytic capacitors.

Electro-Voice Inc. enters the electronic organ field with 2 home models, at \$445 & \$545.

Richard E. Krafve promoted from exec. Trade Personals: vp to pres., Raytheon, succeeding Charles F. Adams, elected to new post of chmn. . . . Roy Sambrook named ad mgr., Philco of Canada . . . Dr. Sidney J. Stein, International Resistance research & engineering dir., elected a vp ... D. Joseph Donahue named advanced development mgr., RCA semiconductor & materials div., succeeding E. O. Johnson, recently named chief engineer; George J. Feder named Somerville operation services mgr. for the div. . . . John H. Hutchings, pres. of National Electronics, Eitel-McCullough subsidiary, named to board of the parent company . . . A. Donald Arsem, ex-GE and ex-Stewart-Warner engineering mgr., elected a Wurlitzer vp, continuing as engineering & research mgr. ... Richard W. Couch named mgr. of Sylvania Electronic Systems' new systems engineering & management operation.

Peter J. Morahan, ex-eastern regional mgr., named acting sales mgr., Andrea Radio, replacing J. M. Dierkes, now on leave . . . Dell A. Love, ex-cathode ray tube finance mgr., named mgr.-replacement tube operations, GE cathode ray tube dept. . . . Jack Kuhner, gen.-mgr. of Hoffman Electronics military products div., named vp; Dr. John J. Myers, senior scientist at Hoffman Electronics Science Center, promoted to dir. of engineering, military products div. . . Glenn W. Bailey, ex-Chrysler, and Paul G. Richards, ex-IBM, named mgr. of mfg. administration and mgr. of mfg. facilities, respectively, at ITT . . . William D. Dixon promoted by FTC to legal advisor on industry guides covering fictitious pricing & bait advertising practices.

Shortage of management men "who understand change and can adapt themselves effectively to it" was cited last week by RCA Pres. John L. Burns as a potential shortage more serious than that of engineers. The great challenge to the manager of the future, he said, is to adjust to the changing world imposed by the "3 Cs—computers, controls & communications—while at the same time never losing sight of the need for working effectively with & through people." Addressing the 50th anniversary celebration of the cooperative education plan at Northeastern U., Boston, he declared that the most far-reaching change in the business system is "the broadening role of science & technology."

First overseas promotion by EIA membership will be launched this month (29) in Lima, Peru and San Juan, Puerto Rico to promote TV, radio & hi-fi as Mother's Day gifts. The 2-week push, developed by the foreign promotions committee of EIA's international dept., debuts with full-page newspaper ads in major markets. Local distributors of participating U.S. manufacturers will follow with tie-in ads. EIA's promotional assistance to distributors in the 2 markets includes window streamers, suggested copy & layout for dealer ads, scripts for radio spots.

Industrial TV equipment will be featured at the 2nd Industrial Photographic & TV Exhibition in London Nov. 21-25. Brochures describing the fair are available from the Trade Development Div., Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Commerce Dept., Washington 25. Inquiries may be addressed to Industrial & Trade Fairs Ltd., Drury House, Russell St., London W. C. 2.

Pentron Electronics is making its first departure from tape-recorder manufacturing with a portable air purifier, scheduled for introduction around mid-June. The unit, named PentronAire, will sell for \$79.95.

New plants & expansions: Motorola has earmarked a \$1 million, 2-year expansion program for its auto-radio tuner production plant at Arcade, N.Y. Construction begins soon, for late-summer completion, of a 30,000-sq.ft. addition to the 80,000-sq.-ft. plant . . . Hoffman Electronics is building a \$1-million, 15,000-sq.-ft. science center at Santa Barbara, Cal. "to step up its product-oriented research in all areas of electronics, particularly in solid-state electronics." The center is slated for July completion and will employ some 50 scientists & supporting personnel . . . Ling-Altec Electronics has announced a \$500,000 plant expansion & remodeling program for its Dallas-based subsidiary Continental Electronics Mfg. Co. . . . Sylvania will break ground next year for a campus-type electronic dataprocessing center on a 180-acre site at Newton, Mass. The center's initial structure, a 200,000-sq.-ft. research & engineering building, will be completed early in 1962 and will employ 1,000 scientists, engineers and technicians.

Philco will have independent distribution in N.Y. for the first time in 27 years when its Philadelphia distributor, John M. Otter Co., acquires the company's N.Y. & northern N.J. branches on June 1. Otter, onetime Philco exec. vp, emphasized: "Philco has no interest in the distributorships, financial or otherwise. We are not a branch or a satellite, but a completely independent distributorship." Otter's new N.Y. & N.J. functions, together with the Philadelphia operation, will be incorporated & operated as autonomous organizations. The only activity slated to be centralized in Philadelphia is accounting.

British TV-radio dealers have little to learn from their U.S. & Canadian counterparts, in the opinion of Midlands TV dealer Alex Owen. Commenting in Britain's Wireless & Electrical Trader on his recent trip to N.Y. & Ontario, Owen declared: "Dealers across the Atlantic would find much to learn in Britain. In some ways, dealers in general in the places my wife & I visited are well behind the more progressive dealers in Britain. I was surprised at the number of displays, which we would consider poor, and the dusty & scratched cabinets on display. But the trip was worthwhile if only to learn what not to do."

Webcor is importing Japanese transistor radios, and anticipates quantity delivery of its first 2 units by month's end. The sets will be market tested under the Webcor Citation brand in N.Y., L.A. and Chicago. The initial line comprises a 6-transistor pocket radio with a suggested list of \$34.95 and an AM-shortwave unit at \$44.95. Both prices include batteries & carrying case.

Sonic Industries Inc., Lynbrook, N.Y. manufacturer of phonographs and other electronic products, has filed a Chapter XI bankruptcy petition in Brooklyn federal court, listing liabilities at \$475,000, assets at \$250,000.

Columbia phonograph dept. has named Donahue & Coe as its ad agency, switching the \$500,000 account from McCann-Erickson.

Prototype production of tunnel diodes is now under way at Philco's Lansdale div. The first unit is priced at \$10.

Hazeltine Corp. has opened a new office in Washington, at 1710 H St., N.W. (EXecutive 3-4966), headed by electronic div. vp V. A. Radom.

"Microwave Components—Production & Related Data, 1958," compiled by the electronics div. of Commerce Dept.'s Business & Defense Services Administration, is available for 10¢ from the U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington.

# Finance

**Texas Instruments At Peak:** "With every division showing a gain in both sales & earnings over its record pace a year ago," Texas Instruments rolled to record first-quarter volume & profit in 1960's initial 3 months, Pres. Patrick E. Haggerty informed the annual meeting in Dallas last week.

Sales & earnings climbed 32% to get the new year off to a healthy start. Haggerty forecast that 1960 sales would jump 25-30% over record 1959 (to \$240-250 million from \$193.2 million) and produce a record profit as high as \$18,-750,000 vs. 1959's \$14,142,788 earnings.

Transistor costs are "coming down about as expected," Haggerty noted. However, he added: "New technology slows the overall downtrend because we keep adding entirely new devices," and it takes time for innovations to develop the wide usage needed for mass production.

Haggerty conceded that imports of Japanese-made semiconductors represent "serious competition" in the area of "entertainment" products, but emphasized that "we have been able to meet this competition." He also pointed out that entertainment products comprise the smallest segment of TI's electronic market. He said transistors & other semiconductors are penetrating consumer-goods fields at an increasing pace, and forecast that semiconductors will begin to take over many functions in automotive circuitry in 1961-62. He also pointed to increasing use of transistors by TV-radio manufacturers.

In the table below, the 1959 report reflects a pro forma adjustment to include the results of Metals & Controls Corp. which was merged into TI in April 1959; per-share earnings are based on stock now outstanding. For the quarter ended March 31: 1960 1959

uarter ended March 31:	1900	1909
Net sales	\$56,198,000	\$42,731,000
Net income	3,930,000	2,983,000
Per common shares	99¢	76¢
Common shares	3,916,921	3,934,441

Westinghouse chalked up its most profitable first quarter since 1954, its net rising 35% above the year-ago period on a 4% sales increase, Chmn. Gwilym A. Price & Pres. Mark W. Cresap Jr. announced. Each of the company's product groups shared in the gain, which was led by atomic equipment, general industrial products and apparatus products. Billings of consumer products, Cresap stated, "compared favorably with a year ago despite adverse marketing conditions, and prospects for consumer products appear to be encouraging for the remainder of the year." For the quarter ended March 31: (1959 shares & earnings per share adjusted for 1960 stock split): 1960 1959

ujusteu tot 1000 stock spirt,	1000	1000	
Net sales	\$458,817,000	\$440,072,000	
Net income	19,496,000	14,409,000	
Per com. share (after pfd.)	55¢	41¢	
Common shares	34,706,577	34,387,216	

Wells-Gardner & Co. changed its name to Wells-Gardner Electronics Corp. last week following an approving vote by stockholders at the annual meeting. Pres. Robert S. Alexander reported that first-quarter sales & earnings dropped markedly (to 5¢ a share on \$3,801,337 sales from 17¢ on a \$4,804,264 volume a year ago), but forecast that sales & earnings for the first half will be "close to the 1959 figures." He attributed the first-quarter decline to shipments withheld at the request of customers who wanted to reduce inventories. The private-label manufacturer produces TVs, radios and other products to order.

Motorola's export volume jumped 40% in 1959 over 1958, reported Thomas P. Collier, pres. of wholly-owned subsidiaries Motorola International S.A. and Motorola Overseas Corp. "Motorola's income last year from products exported or manufactured overseas was based on sales of about \$15 million," he said. "This year we expect a 20% increase in sales of Motorola brand products which are either made in this country for export or manufactured abroad for foreign markets." The 2 relatively new subsidiaries (Vol. 16:3 p17) reflect Motorola's plans to increase its penetration of foreign markets through export sales and overseas manufacturing & licensing arrangements. Motorola International, based in Zurich, Switzerland, will extend the company's foreign business through licensing & manufacturing abroad. It "will be in a position to take advantage of the rapidly growing opportunities in the European Common Market & the 'Outer Seven,' in addition to markets elsewhere in the free world," Collier explained. The 2nd subsidiary, Motorola Overseas Corp., will conduct the direct export sale of consumer products, semiconductor products, 2-way radio and other communications systems to authorized Motorola distributors in 60 foreign countries. Heretofore, Motorola's export business had been conducted through independent export firms.

Du Mont Labs turned the profit corner in 1959, with earnings of \$1,315,904 in its first profitable year since 1954. The company, whose sale to Fairchild Camera & Instrument Corp. awaits approval by directors & stockholders (Vol. 16:14 p4), realized a gain of \$1,532,929 from the sale of its East Paterson (N.J.) plant, which was partially offset by an operating deficit of \$217,025. The company has tax-loss carry-forward & future tax benefits totaling \$8.5 million. Pres. D. T. Schultz reported "further substantial improvement" in the company's financial condition in 1959—current liabilities were reduced by \$6.7 million, working capital at year's end amounting to \$8,392,000. For the fiscal year ended Jan. 3: 1959 1958

	income		\$30,165,988
Profit	(loss in '58)	1,315,904	(9,503,698)

Standard Coil Products scored a 47% profit gain on a 32% sales increase in the first quarter of 1960, Pres. James O. Burke reports. "Based on incoming orders and outlook for some of the company's new products," he adds, "results for the balance of this year should not only keep pace with the first quarter increases, but exceed them. Last year I predicted a 1960 sales increase of about 15% for the year, but our current projections show my estimates have been on the conservative side." In the table below, per-share earnings are based on 1,983,533 shares outstanding at the end of the quarter. For the quarter ended March 31:

	1960	1959
Net sales	\$21,871,820	\$16,591,852
Net income	572,125	390,397
Per common share	29č	20c
Common shares	1,983,533	1,849,779

Skiatron TV & Electronics hearings before SEC examiner Robert N. Hislop on charges that it filed a misleading stock registration statement (Vol. 16:15 p24) may wind up April 28. That date for resumption of the proceedings was set April 13 at a brief session at which no witnesses were heard. SEC attorneys reported more time was needed to try to reach agreement with company counsel on stipulations covering Skiatron's business which has not been explored in testimony.

ITT's 39th annual report paints in interesting detail the 1959 performance which produced record revenue, sales and profit (Vol. 16:13 p23). A diversity of products contributed to the total gross revenue of more than \$765 million, ranging from air conditioners to zener diodes-with TVs, radios, hearing aids and a host of electronic components, equipment and systems in between. The report spotlights ITT as a world-wide manufacturer & seller of TVs, via such affiliates as Bell Telephone Mfg. Co. in Belgium; the Schaub div. of Standard Elektrik Lorenz Aktiengesellschaft (Germany), which increased total TV-radio sales 18% in 1959; Kolster-Brandes Ltd., England, which produced more than 100,000 TVs last year and is also emphasizing production & marketing of transistor radios: Companhia Telefonica Nacional in Brazil; Standard Telephones & Cables Pty., Australia; others. ITT is also a partner in Japan's growing electronics industry, having a 22% interest in Nippon Electric Co. Ltd. and about 13% of Sumitomo Electric Industries Ltd. Domestically, ITT's components div. at Clifton, N.J. "introduced new rectifier products for the TV-radio distributor market and expanded production of industrial power tubes." More than 100 new semiconductor products went into pilot production and, the report noted, "our labs in England & the U.S. have done further research on the tunnel-diode principle originated by the Japanese scientist Esaki."

Dominion Electrohome Ltd. profit fell 31% in 1959 (to \$381,794 from \$551,957) despite record sales of \$15.4 million (Vol. 16:9 p19), the Toronto-based TV-radio-appliance-furniture manufacturer confirmed in the annual report last week. Pres. Carl A. Pollock said that TV sales were below the 1958 level, that "importations of transistor radios from Japan captured a large part of the Canadian market," that sales of stereo hi-fis "improved most satisfactorily" but failed to attain anticipated volume. Pollock said Electrohome plans a substantial invasion of the U.S. hi-fi market this year, and "some shipments" of stereos already have been made. The Canadian concern "looks forward to good business in 1960," Pollock reported, but forecast a further decline in b&w TV sales. Re color TV: "Color sets will be offered by more manufacturers and there will be pressure on broadcasters to offer color to Canadian viewers. Total units sold should increase in 1961." He termed stereo phonos "a bright spot" for 1960 business, explaining: "The confusion in the minds of both dealers & public regarding stereo is slowly being resolved."

Indiana General Corp. stockholders have approved an increase in the authorized capital stock to 1.5 million shares from 700,000, opening the way to a possible 2-for-1 stock split. Chmn. Paul R. Doelz told the annual meeting last week that discussions have been held with the NYSE for a possible listing of the stock and that Indiana's board would consider issuing an additional share for each share now outstanding to meet NYSE requirements. Pres. Robert F. Smith reported that first-quarter sales & earnings were records-"about 15% ahead of the comparable period last year." He added: "We anticipate that 1960 sales will meet or excccd our 1959 record [Vol. 16:11 p23], providing, of course, that general business conditions continue at their present level." Indiana General was formed last year by the merger of Indiana Steel Products Co. (permanent magnets) and General Ceramics Corp. (magnetic materials.)

Zenith has submitted an SEC registration (File 2-16449) for 180,000 common stock shares issuable under its employe stock purchase Plan 1.

GT&E posted the best quarter in its history as sales & revenues and profit increased sharply in the Jan.-March period, Chmn. Donald C. Power notified the annual meeting last week. He attributed much of the improved profit picture to efficiencies resulting from the merger of GT&E and Sylvania last year (Vol. 15:7 p13). Based on the first quarter performance, he added, there is "every indication that the year will bring further gains in sales & revenues and in earnings" over the 1959 levels. Stockholders paved the way for a 3-for-1 split of common stock by authorizing an increase to 90 million shares (\$3.33<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> par value) from the current 30 million (\$10 par value). They also approved a proposal to authorize the issue & sale of up to \$100 million principal amount of convertible debentures. The debentures will be used to finance construction & expansion, but Power said there are no current plans to issue them. For the quarter ended March 31:

	1960	1959
Sales & revenues	\$277,009,000	\$246,679,000
Net income		14,811,000
Per common share	$78\phi$	75¢

Muter Co. reported a sharp decline in net income as sales sagged in 1960's first quarter. Pres. Leslie F. Muter attributed the poor performance largely to a slackening in the automotive field & a drop in demand for auto-radio speakers. But he forecast that 1960 sales & earnings of the Chicago-based TV-radio parts manufacturer would be "considerably" ahead of 1959's levels. In the table below, the 1959 shares & per-share earnings are adjusted to reflect payment of a 5% stock dividend in Jan. 1960. For 3 months ended March 31: 1960

inded March 31:	1960	1959
Net sales	\$3,076,944	\$3,318,701
Net income	41,154	119,374
Per common share	5¢	14¢
Common shares	839,523	840,329

Clevite Corp. has acquired Shockley Transistor Corp., Palo Alto, Cal., from Beckman Instruments for an undisclosed cash sum. Clevite Pres. William G. Laffer told the recent annual meeting that the acquisition will "materially increase Clevite's semiconductor research & development capability and add new products." Laffer said Cleveland-based Clevite expects to report a record first quarter with earnings of \$1.15 a share on sales of more than \$25 million (vs. 1959's first quarter profit of 76¢ on approximately \$20 million sales). For 1960, he forecast profits per share equal to \$3.75 or more on sales of \$95 million (vs. 1959's \$3.36 profit on \$84.6 million sales).

Allied Radio Corp., Chicago distributor of electronic parts & equipment, is acquiring closely held components distributor Electronic Supply Corp of Pasadena & Long Beach, Cal. The acquisition will be made through an exchange of stock, with value to be determined after completion of inventory, according to Allied Radio Pres. A. D. Davis. Electronic Supply, which has an annual volume of several million dollars in distribution of industrial electronic components, will be a wholly-owned Allied subsidiary.

Roller Derby TV Inc., 125 W. 14th St., N.Y. organized last July to market TV tapes & films of Roller Derby contests, has asked SEC to register 277,000 common stock shares, 117,000 to be offered for public sale. The rest of the shares are held now by 26 persons who may put them up for sale following termination of the company's offering. Terms of the proposed offer were to be supplied in an amended SEC statement. **CBS INC. NET DIPS:** First-quarter earnings of CBS Inc. were slightly below those of the same 1959 period, Pres. Frank Stanton told the company's annual meeting in N.Y. last week. However, he said, the drop was less than expected, and he predicted that the year as a whole would show higher sales & earnings than 1959's all-time record (Vol. 16:12 p24). Last year's firstquarter profit was more than \$7 million (87e a share). Other highlights of the meeting:

1. CBS-TV network plans new & broader public-affairs programs this fall, including 2 new series (*Face the Nation*, Mon. 10:30-11 p.m., 2 out of 3 weeks; a Fri. 10:30-11 p.m. news-in-depth show) and expansion of an old one (*CBS Reports*, semi-monthly in prime time).

2. CBS-TV stations div. outlets lead their markets in 4 out of 5 cases, with the 5th (WCAU-TV Philadelphia) in "a close competitive struggle."

3. CBS radio div. has "justified our confidence" with the CBS radio network averaging "18 of the most popular 25 sponsored programs" during 1960's first 3 months.

4. Columbia Records boosted its dollar volume by 25% last year, now does 20% of the business in the recordedmusic field, Stanton said. He said Columbia is planning a new mail-order consumer service—offering slide projectors & slides in the same way the Columbia Record Club now distributes records. Columbia Records, he added, will market the new Minnesota Mining-CBS Labs-developed pre-recorded tape cartridge (Vol. 16:13 p18).

5. More diversification is in store for CBS Electronics div. in areas of semiconductors, industrial & military tubes, and micro-circuitry & memory systems. In June, the div. will launch a new line of packaged phonos with prices ranging from \$19.95 to \$450.

6. CBS Labs div. has a new contract to produce 4 "vidiacs"—"high-speed precision character generators"—for Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.

7. CBS International div.'s future won't lie in product sales, but rather "from foreign factories & licensing arrangements" because of tighter competition, better credit terms of foreign rivals, said Stanton.

8. Even oilwells loom on CBS horizon, with drilling now starting at CBS-TV's "Television City" in Los Angeles in a 27-acre CBS area within 100-acre potential oilfield.

Now-familiar minority stockholder ruckus was caused by music-industry team of Gloria Parker & Barney Young (who are still suing CBS for total of \$9.6 million for alleged music-rights damages). Snapped Chmn. William S. Paley: "They're hoping to get a settlement this way. They won't get one penny unless it's through the courts."

- 11

Reports & comments available: Polarad Electronics, study, Herbert E. Stern & Co., 52 Wall St., N.Y. 5 . . . Control Electronics Co. Inc., prospectus, Milton D. Blauner & Co., 115 Broadway, N.Y. 6 . . . Philco, review, Green, Ellis & Anderson, 61 Broadway, N.Y. 6 . . . Raytheon, analysis, Oppenheimer & Co., 25 Broad St., N.Y. 4 . . . ITT, report, Robert Garrett & Sons, South & Redwood Sts., Baltimore 3 . . . AB-PT and United Artists, reports, Hayden, Stone & Co., 25 Broad St., N.Y. 4 . . . Leeds & Northrup, analysis, Ingalls & Snyder, 100 Broadway, N.Y. 5 . . . Metropolitan Bcstg. Corp., prospectus, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., 30 Wall St., N.Y. 5 . . . Sunair Electronics Inc., prospectus, Aetna Securities Corp., 111 Broadway, N.Y. 6.

RCA has registered 706,616 common stock shares with SEC (File 2-16494) for use under its stock option plan.

**Record Quarter for AB-PT:** American Broadcasting-Paramount Theatres' net operating earnings for firstquarter 1960 soared 44% over the 1959 period to a record 3,336,000 (80¢ a share), Pres. Leonard H. Goldenson estimated last week in a report to stockholders. The comparable figure for first-quarter 1959 was \$2,313,000 (54¢). Operating income (before taxes) was up \$2,250,000.

Goldenson said that ABC's improvement was the principal factor in the increased profits, although over-all results of other operations were also ahead. ABC-TV network gross time sales, he added, were 20% higher than first-quarter 1959. For the 1960-61 season, he said, "sales to advertisers are already substantially ahead of last year's levels at this time." For 3 months ended April 2:

	1960	1959
Operating income	\$7,275,000	\$5,025,000
Net income	3,336,000	2,313,000
Per com. share (after pfd.)	80¢	54¢
Common shares	4,149,362	4,149,362

United Artists Corp. worldwide gross revenues & earnings set records in 1959 for the 9th successive year, reports Pres. Arthur B. Krim. "Returns for the first quarter of 1960 are ahead of the comparable period of 1959," Krim added, "and we are confident that our world gross for 1960 will exceed the gross for all previous years." In the table below, per-share earnings are based on 1,664,218 shares outstanding at the end of 1959. For the year ended Dec. 31: 1959 1958

cc. or.	1000	1000	
Gross revenues		\$84,072,467	
Net earnings	4,111,004	3,701,963	
Per common share	2.47	2.22	

Times-Mirror Co. (KTTV Los Angeles, Los Angeles Times, Los Angeles Mirror) sharply increased its net income in the 12 weeks ended March 20 to \$857,000 ( $71\dot{e}$  a share) from \$578,000 ( $50\dot{e}$ ) in the same 1959 period. A special meeting of stockholders has been scheduled for May 3 to vote on a proposal to increase the authorized common to 5,907,000 shares from 4,407,000 and to create a new issue of preferred, totaling 100,000 shares of \$100 par value. Pres. Norman Chandler said there are "no present commitments for the issuance of any of the proposed new shares." He noted that the authorization would enable the company "to take better advantage" of future diversification opportunities.

Crowell-Collier Publishing Co. (radios KFWB Los Angeles, KDWB Minneapolis-St. Paul, KEWB San Francisco-Oakland) estimates increases of 39% in sales & 41%in pre-tax profits in the first quarter ended March 31. Chmn. Wilton D. Cole noted that radio div. sales were up 60.8%. However, in 1959's first quarter, Crowell-Collier owned only one station. The 3 radio stations accounted for some 10% of the first-quarter sales increase to \$9.1 million from \$6.5 million a year ago.

Liberty Records Inc., Los Angeles record maker & distributor, seeks SEC registration (File 2-16423) of 130,000 common stock shares to be offered for public sale by an underwriting group headed by Crowell, Weedon & Co.

### Common Stock Dividends

Corporation	Period	Amt.	Payable	Stk. of Record
Federal Pacific Elec	<u> </u>	\$0.20	Jun. 15	Jun. 1
GT&E (new)		.19	Jun. 30	May 31
Magnavox		.25	Jun. 15	May 25
TV Shares M'g'm't		.20	May 31	May 6

# **Television Digest**

APRIL 25, 1960

① 1960 TRIANGLE PUBLICATIONS, INC.

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT No. 5

16% - 15

------

LAAY 1060

### **Financial Data on Television-Electronics Companies** Statistical Summaries of Reports of Leading Publicly-Owned Companies Including Major Component Parts Makers and Broadcasters Compiled for TELEVISION DIGEST by Greenebaum & Associates, Financial Consultants in Electronics, 30 West Monroe St., Chicago 3, Ill., Financial 6-2137 NYSE-New York Stock Exchange ASE-American Stock Exchange Pacific-Pacific Coast Stock Exchange Midwest-Midwest Stock Exchange ACOUSTICA ASSOCIATES INC. (Unlisted) Year ending February 28 Capitalization-Common: 10 cents par, 320,177 shares. Pre-tax Net Net Per Total Price Sales Year Earnings Profit Dividends Range Share Assets \$ 34,038 347,105 1956\_\_\_\_\_ 12.802(d)none \_\_\_\_ \$ .11(d) 144.825 1957 23,602 (d) 19¾ - 3¾ 40½ - 19 ---------none 1.096.760 20,636 140,250 1958\_\_\_\_\_ .08 none 353.384 \_\_\_\_\_ 1959 4.857.999 1,960,119 \_\_\_\_\_ .44 none 1960 (8 mo.) \_\_\_\_\_ 2.893.503 15.763 281/2 - 191/2 .05 none ------(d) Deficit. ADMIRAL CORP. (NYSE) Capitalization-Debt: \$5,162,747. Common: \$1 par, 2,405,471 shares. 1950\_\_\_\_\_ \$230,397.662 \$37,775.281 \$18,767.554 \$1.00 \$ 67,960,665 31 % - 131/4 \$7.95 1951\_\_\_\_\_ 185,925,058 18,725,621 4.06 231/2- 161/4 9,586,833 68,756,734 .88 190,724,326 250,931,605 8,711,133 8,213,165 87,530,549 107,642,418 $26\frac{3}{8} - 19\frac{3}{4}$ $32\frac{3}{4} - 18\frac{5}{8}$ 1952\_\_\_\_\_ 18.942.133 88 1.00+20% stk. 1953\_\_\_\_\_ 21,340.965 3.48 219,565,089 202,361,797 15,581,974 5,752,144 6,547,974 2,282,144 29<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> - 18<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> 30<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> - 20<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> 1954\_\_\_\_\_ 2.77 1.00 109,126,766 1955\_\_\_\_\_ 1956 (a)\_\_\_\_\_ .97 1.00 104,823,433 105,404,038 102,115,752 221/8 - 12 1/8 185.880.606 2.740.024 1.504.024 .64 1.00 1957\_\_\_\_\_ 172,663,167 .176,067 965,067 14 %-61/2 .41 none 1958\_\_\_\_\_ 19%- 7 29%- 17 170,777,126 2 956 317 1 375 017 101,999,284 none 1959\_\_\_\_\_ 1960\_\_\_\_\_ 199.605.609 8,198,450 4.108.450 1.71 none 111.115.159 23 % - 18 44,739,388 .30 none (a) Restated to include domestic real estate subsidiary and all foreign operations in Italy, Mexico and Brazil. AEROVOX CORP. (Unlisted) Capitalization-Debt: \$2,643,000 notes. Common: \$1 par, 857,205 shares. \$2.51 1.11 1.35 1950\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 23,751,172 \$ 3,428,572 \$ 1,749,418 \$ .30 \$11,682,140 121/4 -1951\_\_\_\_\_ 1952\_\_\_\_\_ 22,574,370 22,460,917 10½-10%-61/2 779,353 12,640,361 1,610,182 .60 12,633,317 14,314,803 1,987,215 940,440 .60 61/2 1.074.582 12 34 -9 1953\_\_\_\_\_ 27.064.814 2.185.824 1.54 .60 8 % 860.828 1954\_\_\_\_\_ 1,520,120 1.04 15,266,982 15,896,999 12 -13%-28,016,539 .45 5% stk. 8 1955\_\_\_\_\_ 1956\_\_\_\_\_ 994.003 25.480.214 480.956 .55 1,633,693(d) 909.893(d) 1.05(d) 15,379,924 8<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-6<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-4 27/8 25,095,656 none 1957\_\_\_\_\_ 20.892.597 409.778 276.272 .32 none 12.835.934 $6\frac{3}{8} - 3\frac{1}{4}$ 18 - $6\frac{1}{8}$ 416,360 216,690 .25 12,696,067 1958\_\_\_\_\_ 17,488,082 none 6% 1050 22,212,531 1,341,858 668,394 .78 none 13,175,239 -----14% - 10 1960\_\_\_\_\_ ---.05 ------(d) Deficit. (ASE) ALLIED CONTROL CO. INC. Capitalization-Debt: \$220,000, loan. Common: \$1 par, 472,142 shares. 1,890,156 \$ 1.254,251 2.011,046 181,926 \$ 121,926 \$ .21 1950\_\_\_\_\_ \$ \$ none .67 1951\_\_\_\_\_ 3.560.128 592,268 329.235 none - 2<sup>3</sup>4(a) 1952\_\_\_\_\_ 7,146,610 796.532 257.910 .51 none 2.680.725 $\frac{1}{2} - 3$ (a) 9 $\frac{1}{4} - 7\frac{1}{8}$ 9 $\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{1}{4}$ 2.755,572 7,105,272 6,907,705 1953\_\_\_\_\_ 584.304 184.304 none 710.177 2,526,405 1954\_\_\_\_\_ 322,177 .64 .10 \$ 651,124 1,733,163 3.233.731 1955\_\_\_\_\_ 7.362.112 384.721 78 .40 10,887,047 1034 - 2644 -6 % 9 ¾ 1956\_\_\_\_\_ 793,163 1.69 3,603,247 .40 1957\_\_\_\_\_ 13,199,549 1.957 291 1.015.447 2 02 .5716 4.183.479 531,207 231/4- 1634 .80+215% stk. 4.352.942 9,755,173 1.026,500 1958\_\_\_\_\_ 1.06 1959\_\_\_\_\_ 11,083,664 905,066 441.721 .94 .50 4.670.128 3274-16 1834 - 1544 1960\_\_\_\_\_ ---(a) Preferred stock. ALLIED RADIO CORPORATION (Unlisted) Year ending July 31 Capitalization-Common: \$1 par, 1,019,729 shares. \$ 11,180.000 1952\_\_\_\_\_ 305.000 ŝ .31 \_\_\_\_\_ 322,000 .32 1953\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ 12.991.000 --------------14,346,000 16,102,000 1954\_\_\_\_\_ 389.000 \_\_\_\_\_ \_-----533,000 -----1955\_\_\_\_\_ ---------------20,198,000 638,000 .64 1956\_\_\_\_\_ -------------1.775,883 .89 1957\_\_\_\_\_ 886.883 23.509.300 \$ ------------1958\_\_\_\_\_ 27,070,464 1,676,947 3.131.741 833,947 .83 181/4 - 14 \$ .08+2% stk, \$ 9,544,058 1.15 1959\_\_\_\_\_ 1960 (6 mo.) \_\_\_\_\_ 29 682 110 1.151.741

www.americanradiohistory.com

592.815

17.448.535

1.210,805

### AMERICAN BOSCH ARMA CORP. (NYSE)

Capitalization Debentures: \$414,000, 3%s, due Nov. 1964 Preferred: 5% cumulative serial preferred Series A & B, \$100 par, 15,900 shares

Preferred: 5% cumul Common: \$2 par, 1,8		ed Series A & B, \$10	00 par, 15,900 share	es			
Year 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 (d) Deficit.	Sales \$ 35,643,481 75,898,047 90,539,243 79,367,771 74,416,211 73,805,025 122,237,735 134,339,863 115,877,176 119,957,956	Pre-tax Earnings \$ 5,200,242 7,894,820 846,048(d) 3,648,439 4,938,370 6,533,568 9,666,357 10,510,387 8,244,723 7,360,594	Net Profit \$ 2,545,242 2,607,820 509,708(d) 1,678,439 2,570,370 3,383,568 4,626,357 5,080,387 4,100,723 3,532,530	Net Per Share \$1.88 1.91 .54(d) 1.02 1.58 1.86 2.43 2.67 2.14 1.83 	Dividends \$1.05 1.20+20% stk. .90+ 2% stk. none 4% stk. 1.00+ 2% stk. 1.00+ 5% stk. 1.05 1.20 .30	Total Assets \$ 22,135,487 45,580,299 48,983,258 43,783,734 39,951,806 44,290,499 54,593,088 66,114,872 64,360,840 60,979,936	Price Range 20 - 10¼ 17½- 12¾ 15¾- 10% 12¾- 6¼ 15¼- 9 22¼- 14¼ 23¾- 16½ 27 - 16½ 27 - 16⅓ 37%- 19% 39%- 23¾ 30 - 21⅓
AMERICAN BROADCA Capitalization	STING-PARAMOUN	T THEATRES INC.	(NYSE)				
Debt: \$56,805,246 Preferred: 5% cumul Common: \$1 par, 4,14		) par, 71,231 shares					
1950* 1951*	\$ 45,879,660 58,983,129	\$ 132,605 741,943	\$ 84,605 368,943	\$ .05 .22	none none	\$ 26,491,261 31,025,927	14¼ - 7% 14% - 10%
1952 1953†	167,136,730 172.018,661	13,578,802 8,980,587	6,961,113 4,376,626	1.34 2.14	\$1.25 1.00	141,124,092 137.754,108	$21\frac{1}{4} - 11\frac{1}{2}$ $15\frac{1}{8} - 12\frac{1}{8}$
1954 1955	188,795,705 198,350,068	9,826,142(a) 16,011,623(a)	4,721,787(a) 8,218,017(a)	1.11(a) 1.89(a)	1.00 1.20	138,376,649 138,593,905	25¼ - 14½ 33½ - 22⅓
1956 1957	206,915,705 215,877,026	15,724,544(a) 9,779,524	7,734,545(a) 4,894,524 6,116,000	1.78(a) 1.10	1.30 1.00 1.00	146,192,447 154,125,813	$32\frac{1}{2} - 21\frac{7}{8}$ $24\frac{7}{8} - 11\frac{6}{8}$
1958 1959 1960 (3 mo.)	244,821,241 287,957,411	12,016,010 19,012,143 7,319,000	6,116,060 8,154,163 3,380,000	1.40 1.92 .80	1.00	155,880,143 175,271,178	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
*1950 and 1951 figure	es for ABC only.						00/3 -0/4
†Merger of ABC and (a) Excluding capit:		Theatres, Inc. effecti	ve Feb. 1953.				
AMERICAN ELECTRO	NICS INC. (ASE	)					
Capitalization Debentures: \$3,458,00 Debt: \$95,850 notes Common: \$1 par, 874		ordinated 5¼s, due	1973				
1950 1951	\$ 340,000 1,510,000				none		
1952 1953	2,518,964 3,900,300	\$ 245,118 452,489	\$ 62,774 180,879	\$ .12 .36	none		
1954 1955	6,109,380 5.935,104	583,957 519,013	297,783 265,013 275,138	.59 .51	\$ .22½ .50	\$ 3,204,561 4,110,513 7,870,677	$15\frac{1}{4} - 4$ $17 - 10\frac{1}{2}$
1956 1957 1958	10,379,641 17,908,135 17,396,064	758,109 1,180,042 3,333,447(d)	376,128 603,650 2,285,826 (d)	.73 .78 2.63(d)	.50 .50 .25	7,879,677 14,484,448 13,917,622	$13\frac{3}{4} - 11$ $21\frac{1}{2} - 11$ $15\frac{1}{8} - 9\frac{3}{4}$
1959 1959 1960	25,019,132	609,599	609,599	.70	none none	17,433,837	$19\frac{34}{15} - 11\frac{1}{8}$ $15\frac{3}{8} - 11\frac{7}{8}$
(d) Deficit							
AMERICAN MACHINE	& FOUNDRY CO.	(NYSE)					
Preferred: 3.90% cur	benture 4s, due 196 mulative, \$100 par, lative, \$100 par, 16	9; \$4,259,800, conv. s 62,000 shares	ub. debenture 5s d	ue 1977			
1950 1951	\$ 27,577,242 54,203,434	\$ 3,405,846 6,182,000(a)	\$ 2,015,846 3,352,962	\$.76 1.16	\$ .40 .40 + $1\frac{1}{4}\%$ stk.	\$ 30,306,000(a) 53,691,000(a)	85% - 6% 10% - 7
1952 1953	105,821,447 139,200,765	8,647,077 10,009,000(a)	4,167,077 5,275,611	$1.02 \\ 1.22$	.40 + 2½ % stk. .50 + 2½ % stk.	78,709,000(a) 89,377,000(a)	$12\frac{1}{8} - 8\frac{3}{2}$ $12\frac{3}{8} - 9\frac{1}{2}$
1954 1955	126,507,387 145,000,977	7,868,022 9,789,016	4.023,022 4,774,016	.82 .83	.50 + 1¼% stk. .50 + 1% stk. .52½ + 2% stk.	105,662,000(a) 132,625,000(a) 182,385,380	$14\frac{1}{8} - 10\frac{3}{4}$ $18 - 11\frac{5}{8}$ $20\frac{3}{8} - 12\frac{1}{8}$
1956 1957	198,057,542 261,753.625 220,877,241	18,976,676 24,447,000(a) 23,412,258	8,975,676 12,093,000 (a) 11,423,258	1.51 1.80 1.66	.65 .85	222,779,000(a) 228,979,425	20% - 12% 21% - 14% 29% - 16%
1958(a) 1959 1960 (3 mo.)	230,877,341 283,753,618 70,533,000	40,457,423	19,043,423 6,643,000	2.52 .85	1.07½ .32½	264,419,369	52 % - 26 % 63 % - 49 14
(a) Adjusted by co			-,				
AMERICAN TELEPHO	NE AND TELEGRA	PH CO. (NYSE)	ø				
Capitalization Debt: \$6,432,275,000 Subsidiary preferred: Common: \$33½ par,							
1950 1951	\$3,261,528,032 3,639,462,365	\$ 587,720,864 704,221,388	\$346.962.051 364,874,176	\$4.04 3.67	\$3.00 3.00	\$11,575,966.607 12,774,216,000	53 % - 48 % 53 % - 50
1952 1953	4,039,644,218 4,416,729,614	798,087,900 937,599,573	406,661,306 478,512,265	3.48 3.77	3.00 3.00	13,997,345,000 15,434,549,000 16,515,526,000	53% = 50% 53% = 50% 59% = 52
1954 1955	4,784,500,427 5,297,043,174	1,058,836,919 1,291,183,107	549,931,223 664,243,416	3.81 4.37 4.39	3.00 3.00 3.00	16,515,526,000 14,479,641,983 16,206,571,233	59% - 52 62% - 57% 63% - 55
1956 1957 1958	5,825,297,685 6,313,833,200 6,771,403,000	1,451,160,747 2,098,371,577 2,435,455,000	755,933,854 829,779,296 952,305,000	4.39 4.33 4.67	3.00 3.00 * 11 3.00	17,677,875,672 19,493,951,000	60 - 53% 75% - 56
1958 1959 1960 (a)	6,771,403,000 7,392,997,000 1,908,089,000	2,435,455,000 2,803,441,000 544,346,000	1,113,152,000 283,226,000	5.22 1.32		20,807,001,000	89 - 74 % 96 % - 79 %
(a) 3 mo. to Feb.			ئە ئە بە يەرىكە بەتلەر ئەتلە ئەتلە بەتلەر ئەتلەر ئە			.2.	
		in Sectors New	- 2 -			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- Yern S
			www.amoricanradiohie	tony com			

4

I

AMP INCORPORATED Capitalization Notes: \$1,000,000, 4½	(NYSE) % Promissory Not	e due 1969 Commo	An: \$1 par 2 017 406	charoc			
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total	Price
1950	\$ 5,480,538	\$ 1,342,201	\$ 590,201	\$ .31	none	Assets	Range
1951 1952	10,188,612 11, <b>5</b> 45,957	1,776,868 1,509,646	458,868 342,646	.23 .17	none none		
1953 1954	15,312,235 16,040,373	1,644,021 2,102,032	409,561	.21	none		
1955	21,647,301	3,709,128	902,032 1,605,588	.46 .83	none none	\$12,108,805	
1956 1957	32,299,301 36,097,574	6,587,742 6,542,779	3,227,742 3,350,779	1.66 1.66	none \$.50+4%	15,411,029 16,687,337	$19\frac{1}{2} - 16\frac{1}{4}$ 30 - 14\frac{1}{2}
1958 1959	31,377,891 43,189,634	4,802,933 8,713,674	2,596.933 4,605,674	1.29 2.28	.50	17,838,358	241/2 - 17
1960					.60 .20	22,762,638 	$63 - 22\frac{1}{4}$ $55\frac{1}{8} - 45$
AMPEX CORPORATION Year ending April So Capitalization							
Debt: \$6,759,000. Com		943 shares.					
1950 1951	\$ 387,514 968,472	\$ 129.931	\$ 60,601(d) 114,931	\$ .03(d) .06	none none		
1952 1953	2,301,707 3,548,593	167,823 202,020	76.823 88,520	.04	none		
1954	5,418.373	70,191	25,691	.05 .01	none none	\$ 2,156,234 3,769,231	
1955 1956	8,163,663 10,196,967	762,622 607,275	365,736 311,275	.09 .08	none none	4,749,525 6,301,532	2% - 1% 5% - 2%
1957 (a) 1958 (a)	20,266,000 32,202,000	1,452,000 3,380,000	1,205,000 1,595,000	.20	none	15,017,000	8 - 4
1959 (a)	46,273,000	5.532.000	2,843,000	.40	none none	21,635,000 42,630,000	6% - 5%。 45% - 20%。
1960 (9 mo.) (a) Pro-forma inclu	46,271,000 ding Orr Industri	4,328,000 es Inc., for years (	2,446,000 ending Feb. 28, (d)	.34 Deficit	none		42¼- 31⅛
AMPHENOL-BORG ELE				2011010.			
Capitalization Debt: \$840,000, notes.	Common: \$1 par	, 1,172,044 shares.					
1950 (a)	\$ 12,944,833	\$ 2,020,833	\$ '920,833	\$2.30	\$ .70	\$ 7,757,607	10 % - 6 1/8
1951(a) 1952(a)	25,495,624 36,406,697	3,441,866 5,687,347	941,868 1,279,290	2.35 3.19	.80 .90	14,621,200 16,065,195	$12\frac{1}{4} - 9$ $17\frac{3}{8} - 10\frac{3}{4}$
1953(b) 1954(b)	54,211,645 42,261,849	5,744,998 4,589,024	2,361,401 2,185,553	2.03 1.88	1.00 .75	16,637,597	19 - 13
1955(b)	43,026,299	4,546,981	2,229,981	1.92	.571/2	15.066,063 13,540,996	$15\frac{1}{8} - 9$ 19 - 12\frac{3}{8}
1956(c) 1957(c)	47,080,916 54,127,643	5,538,886 7,591,819	2.638,886 3,519,590	$2.27 \\ 2.00$	.95 1.15	13,705,913 22,442,042	19½- 15¼ 33%- 19½
1958 1959	46,430,851 56,451,533	4,432,434 5,819,605	2,279,434 2,926,605	1.96 2.50	1.20 .50	38,864,438 42,480,630	371/2 - 223/8
1960					.35		46 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 30 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> 46 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> - 33 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
(a) Amphenol Electryears.		Pro-forma including	g Geo. W. Borg Cor	p. merged Ja	n. 1, 1959. (c) Includ	es Geo. W. Borg Cor	p. for June 30
Capitalization							
Debt: \$4,000,000. Comm 1950	non: \$2.50 par, 1,12 \$ 53,684,138	9,709 shares. \$ 6,940,396	\$ 3,605,126	\$3.24	\$1.34	\$ 23,565,630	24% - 141/2
1951	63,997,212	6,841,405	2.691,063	2.42	1.60	26,578,148	32 3 - 18 4
1952 1953	64.289,781 73,395,197	4,459,536 4,692,147	2,209,733 2,255,001	$\begin{array}{c} 1.78 \\ 2.02 \end{array}$	1.60 1.60	27,364,995 27,135,716	$26\frac{1}{4} - 20\frac{1}{8}$ $26\frac{1}{4} - 20\frac{3}{8}$
1954 1955	53,372,759 67,421,583	4,630,593 8,445,322	2,231,198 4,052,091	2.00 3.64	1.28 1.36	27.978,690 32,033.832	$21\frac{5}{8} - 16\frac{3}{4}$ $21\frac{1}{4} - 19\frac{1}{4}$
1956	64,612,775	7,875,165	3,784,839	3.22	1.60	32,122,082	2314 - 2112
1957 1958	69,705,700 54,015,225	7,676,370 3,085,970	3,689,976 1,487,878	3.28 1.32	1.60 1.00	31,346.329 32,090,290	$29\frac{1}{4} - 22\frac{3}{8}$ $23\frac{7}{8} - 18\frac{7}{8}$
1959	66,174,567	4,186,889	2,031,058	1.80	.95	36,171,011	291/2 - 2256
1960					.25		271/2 - 217/8
Capitalization	isted)						
Debt: \$259,875 Preferred: 4% cumulati	ve preferred, \$10 p	ar, 3,900 shares					
Common: 10 cents par,	645,000 shares				<i>(</i> <b>1</b> )	<i>(</i> <b>,</b> ),	
1951 1952	\$ 1,461,687 2,421,216	N.A. N.A,	\$ 33.331 100,648	\$.05 .05	(b) (b)	(b) (b)	(b) (b)
1953 1954	3,164,983 3,421,760	N.A. \$ 569,231	118,252 287,431	.18 .43	(b) (b)	\$ 836,579 1,206,212	(b) (b)
1955	4,101.170	607,639	296,339	.46	\$ .20	2,174,924	5 - 316
1956 1957	5,128,525 4.841,129	460,519 352,708	<b>227,519</b> 185,708	.35 .29	.40 .20	2,281,279 2,202.612	$4\frac{5}{8} - 3$ $3\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{5}{3}$
1958 1959	4,147.744 5,066,126	83,079(d) 61,964(d)	24,079 (d) 24,264 (d)	.04(d) .04(d)	.07½ none	2,064,232 2,307,901	$3\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{3}{8}$ 4 - 2
1960					none		$4^{3}8 - 2^{5}8$
	b) Privately owne	a. (d) Deficit.					
AUDIO DEVICES INC. Capitalization	(ASE)	06 706 charas					
Debt: \$124,516. Commo	n: 10 cents par, 8 \$ 1,992,176	\$ 307,216	\$ 172,216	\$.34	\$ .15 1/2	\$ 840,732	
1951	2,159,595	173,441	92,441	.18	.15	879,164	
1952 1953	2,338,602 2,612,719	207,713 174,398	131,713 101,898	.26 .20	.12 .09	910,749 1,184,444	$2\frac{14}{38} - 2\frac{34}{28}$
1954 1955	2,453,750 3,472,871	89,906 426,955	58,906 219,955	.11 .36	.08+10% stk. .05+10% stk.	1,141,416 1,296,624	$3\frac{7}{8} - 2$ $3\frac{7}{8} - 2\frac{1}{2}$
1956 1957	3,707,576 4,774,523	417,457 283,241	212,457 151,241	,33 ,19	.05+5% stk. .05+5% stk.	1,645,225	6 % - 2 1/2
1958 (a)	5,575,971		371,299	.47	.05	2,646.083 3,358,357	$   \begin{array}{r}     12 \frac{9}{8} - 4 \frac{7}{8} \\     17 \frac{5}{8} - 7 \frac{1}{4}   \end{array} $
1959 (a) 1960	6.166.344	420,445(d)	195,445(d)	.35(d) .24(d)	none	3,377,629	26½ - 15 17½ - 12⅓
			oivision. (d) Deficit.				

### www.americanradiohistory.com

AVCO CORP. (NYSE) Ycar ending November 30 Capitalization Debentures: \$15,000,000 convertible sub. debenture 5s, due 1979. Debt: \$21,259,000, promissory notes Common: \$3 par, 10,056,186 shares						
Year         Sa           1950         \$256,9           1951         286,5           1952         326,5           1933         414,7           1954         375,4           1955         299,3           1956         320,5           1957         314,8           1958         (a)           1959         306,0           1960         (3 mo.)	Pre-tax           les         Earnings           66,971         \$28,735,633           98,113         22,089,214           85,641         21,578,927           83,527         5.868,598           05,820         7,509,436           32,434         2,168,311           56,285         18,112,847 (d)           82,677         10,552,601           196,640         13,526,090           48,377         18,488,416           05,358         4,369,590	Net Profit \$12,635,633 10,089,214 11,028,927 3,368,598 3,639,436 758,311 16,387,847 (d) 12,832,794 11,596,603 9,588,416 2,087,590	Net Per Share \$1.47 1.10 1.20 .34 .37 .05 1.84(d) 1.38 1.23 .95 .20	Dividends \$ .50 .60 .30 .10 .20 none .10 .40 .25	Total Assets \$222,980,159 186,877,718 167,434,839 143,787,065 200,878,864 198,417,760 181,728,051 159,752,919 183,029,175 100,638,970	Price Range 9% - 5% 8% - 6% 8% - 6% 8% - 4% 8% - 4% 8% - 5% 7% - 5 7% - 4% 13% - 5% 17% - 10% 15% - 12%
<ul> <li>(a) Excludes \$7,233,011 sales of discontinued operations.</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> </ul>						
1935       5,8         1956       5,1         1957       6,1         1958       7,3         1959       7,7		\$ 314,000 458,000 148,000 (d) 163,000 230,000 301,437 141,000	\$ .47 .69 .22(d) .24 .34 .50 .23	\$ .07½ none none none none none	\$ 1,269,000 1,990,000 2,305,000 3,541,000 3,668,000 2,211,229	$\begin{array}{c}$
AVNET ELECTRONICS CORP. Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$30,815, notes Common: 10 cents par, 749,532 1935 (Incorporated July 22)		17,000 (4)	•	none		
1957         2,0           1958         3,5           1959         6,3	03,484 37,897 27,452 \$ 426,476 72,595 1,530,926 35,000 1,012,000	\$ 17,228(d) 66,737 234,883 767,620 509,700	\$ .03(d) .11 .39 1.10 .68	none none 7% stk. 7% stk. none	\$ 1,701,057 3,397,450	$\frac{37\frac{1}{4}-17}{32}-25\frac{1}{4}$
<ul><li>(a) 11 months to June 30.</li><li>(d) Deficit.</li></ul>						
BAIRD-ATOMIC, INC. (Unlis Year ending September 30 Capitalization Debt: \$161,500; \$638,000 5½% Common: \$1 par, 714,280 share 1950 N.A	subordinated debentures, due es.		\$ 00(d)			61/ 51/
1955       3,0         1956       4,8         1957       6,7         1958       7,0         1959       8,3	93,324     \$     36,339(d)       82,137     301,642(d)       71,214     187,375       01,936     141,900       35,934     206,811       39,280     76,914       00,000	\$ 38,007 (d) 279,689 (d) 185,927 93,600 121,591 36,511 85,000	\$ .09(d) .65(d) .40 .19 .24 .05 .12	none none none none none none none	\$ 1,994,546(a) 2,641,919 3,379,497 3,395,500 5,510,132	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(N.A.) Not available. (a) As of Dec. 31. (d) Defic						
1957       1,2         1958       1.8         1959       2,7	(ASE) 96,500 152,255 16,614 24,522 28,417	\$ 99.529 (d) 142.270 175.842 227,961 151,390	\$ .33(d) .47 .59 .70 .46	none none none none none	\$ 1,314,270 1,602,372	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
BECKMAN INSTRUMENTS INC. Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$9,924,286						
1952(a)       5,7         1953       16,4         1954       18,6         1955       21,3         1956       29,3         1957       38,0         1958       39,8         1959       44,8'		\$ 694,853 628,090 326,648 756,089 920,280 1,322,050 1,744,856 209,432 946,923 (d) 1,771,689 1,262,172	\$ .69 .58 .30 .70 .85 1.06 1.36 .16 .70(d) 1.30 .93	<pre>\$ .13 none none none 3% stk. 3% stk. none none none none</pre>	\$ 5.990.000 7,148,028 10,247,769 12,726,495 16,930,012 21,859,411 36,256,196 35,792,392 31,279,198	(b) (b) $17\frac{4}{2} - 10\frac{16}{2}$ $17 - 11\frac{5}{2}$ $27\frac{16}{2} - 13\frac{5}{2}$ $29\frac{3}{6} - 19\frac{3}{6}$ $43\frac{16}{2} - 25\frac{16}{4}$ $43\frac{16}{2} - 25\frac{16}{4}$ $43\frac{16}{2} - 13\frac{16}{4}$ $43\frac{16}{2} - 18\frac{16}{4}$ $78\frac{16}{5} - 62\frac{16}{2}$

\*12 months ending Dec. 31. (a) 6 months to June 30. (b) Privately owned. (d) Deficit.
BELL & HOWELL CO. (NYSE)\*

Capitalization Debt: \$15,629,676

Preferred: 44% cumulative, \$100 par, 13,828 shares 4%% cumulative, \$100 par, 13,600 shares Common: no par, 3,561,649 shares

Year	501,01	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	s Total Assets	Price Range
1950 (a)	\$	2,808,571	\$ 654,801	\$ 364,604	\$ .72	\$ .30+15% st		131/4 - 91/8
1951 (a)		5,614,550	1,062,591	437,591	.67	.40	\$ 5,081,75	14% - 13%
1952 (a)		8,000,841	1,028,512	501,512	.56	.40	12,201,2	58 17½- 10¾
1953 (a)		14,074,064	919,906	510,406	.57	.40	11,796,34	16 15¼ - 10%
1954 (a)		15,644,520	1,633,363	842,863	.94	.40	13,096,9	$30  31\frac{1}{2} - 13\frac{1}{2}$
1955 (a)		17,124,429	1,489,912	803,696	.85	.40	15,885,6	
1956 (a)		25,036,689	2,208,263	1,283,263	1.35	.50	23,535,5	
1957 (a)		30,541,382	1,178,729	771,729	.73	.40	31,413,6	
1958 (a)		32,079,116	2,470,196(d)	1,178,496 (d)	.11(d)	.40	31,048,1	
1959*	1	05,145,072	9,930,834	4,904,834	1.34	.31	87,624,67	
1960					-,	.10		- 50 - 37%

1

(d) Deficit. \* Merger with Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. approved by stockholders of both companies January 12, 1960, effective January 15. Consolidated figures shown above are on a Pro-forma basis for 1959. (a) Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. only. (d) Deficit.

## BELOCK INSTRUMENT CORP. (ASE)

BELOCK INSTRUMENT	CORP. (ASE)						
Year ending October	81						
Capitalization							
Debt: \$576,500, conver	tible sub. debentur	e 5¾s, due Dec. 1,	1973; \$105,542, cor	itract payable			
Preferred: 6% cumula	ative, \$100 par, 187	0 shares. Common:	50 cents par, 889	,625 shares.			
1950	Incorporated No	v. 14, 1950					
1951(a)	\$ 907,308		\$ 196,062(d)	\$ .27(d)	none		(e)
1952	3,542,365		78,319	.09	none		(e)
1953	8,154,821	\$ 289,371	155,251	.19	none		(e)
1954	10,259,380	745,810	348,375	.45	none	\$ 4,746,583	(e)
1955	14,896,878	1,426,310	679,443	.89	none	7,423,344	27% - 11%
1956	13,801,336	977,763	457,403	.58	3% stk.	9,447,002	191/8 - 121/2
1957	13,255,083	280,950(d)	132,330(d)	.18(d)	3% stk.	9,244,144	$14 - 6\frac{1}{4}$
1958	12,731,300	483,743	202.743	.24	11/2 % stk.	10,438,904	13 - 7%
1959	15,794,325	711,988	349,988	.38	2% stk.	12,099,735	227/8- 103/8
1960					2½% stk.		171/8- 131/8

(a) 10 months to Oct. 31. (d) Deficit. (e) Privately owned.

#### BENDIX CORP. (NYSE)

## Year ending September 30

Capitalization-Debt: \$1,210,000. Common: \$5 par, 5,106,731 shares.

1950	\$219,419,794	\$30,599,434	\$16,954,116	\$4.00	\$2.371/2	\$143,366,391	26 3 - 17 4
1951	340,540,415	32,037,957	11,818,601	2.79	2.25	209,534,918	30 23 1/4
1952	508,701,892	50,660,972	15,295,159	3.61	1.871/2	259,320,862	32 - 22 %
1953	638,244,637	61,758,844	17,352,710	4.10	1.50	328,746,687	34 1/8 - 25
1954	607,711,607	61,796,575	25,537,771	5.35	1.50+7% stk.	285,430,724	52 3 - 30
1955	567,249,923	58,717,287	25,888,599	5.39	2.371/2	278,064,860	591/2 - 45
1956	581,418,734	48,348,993	24,278,263	5.04	2.40+5% stk.	321,783,177	643/8- 481/2
1957	711,237,146	59,366,546	27,499,034	5.44	2.40	370,089,085	66 3 - 42
1958	623,731,537	42,734,746	21,171,902	4.18	2.40	325,561,339	741/2 - 441/2
1959	684,692,312	59,737,082	27,404,274	5.37	2.40	384,669,181	89 - 61
1960 (3 mo.)	197,490,837	12,217,200	5,753,583	1.13	.60+5% stk.	379,992,608	7412 - 6215

## BRITISH INDUSTRIES CORP. (Unlisted)

Capitalization-Debt: \$17,000. Common: 50 cents par, 363,951 shares.

1950 N.A 1951 N.A 1952 N.A							
1953 N.A							
1954 N.A							
1955	\$ 3,104,703		\$ 138,146	\$ .69	\$ .30		
1956	5,404,612		303,497	1.09	.30+20% stk.	\$ 1,753,619	5 3/8 - 3 3/4
1957	6,998,340		464.243	1.67	.37½+15% stk.	2,429,053	1714 - 478
1958	8,232,313	\$ 1,021,188	533,399	1.77	.60+8% stk.	2,731,835	$31 - 13\frac{5}{8}$
1959	7,581,422	956,262	508,168	1.40	.62½ +8% stk.	3,584,761	$39\frac{3}{4} - 21\frac{1}{2}$
1960					.121/2		291/2 - 181/8

### N.A. Not available.

BURROUGHS CORP. (NYSE)

Capitalization

Dependures: \$25,000,000	), 4%s, due 1983;	\$29,876,100, 41/2s, d	lue 1981; \$22,051,000,	3%s, due 197'	7. Common: \$	5 par, 6,626,048 shares.	
1950	\$ 86,931,628	\$12,865,289	\$ 8,019,916	\$1.60	\$ .90	\$ 73,137,809	15 % - 12 %
1951	127,368,125	25,170,378	10,615,378	2.12	.90	103,157,757	18% - 13%
1952	150,817,423	19,097,484	9,001,984	1.80	.90	129,201,094	181/2 - 16
1953	162,035,781	17,766,148	7,826,148	1.58	.80	134,688,043	17% - 13 1/2
1954	168,651,347	17,836,514	9,146,514	1.83	.85	138,083,933	25 % - 15 1/4
1955	220,402,452	25,177,753	11,831,504	1.97	1.00	181,807,279	34 1/4 - 22 7/8
1956	272,879,246	27,064,021	14,197,021	2.35	1.00	233,787,716	46 % - 28 1/2
1957	282,773,950	17,834,594	10,074,594	1.67	1.00	271,537,006	523/8- 277/8
1958	294,085,078	10,959,934	6,407,934	1.02	1.00	299,648,367	43 % - 27 %
1959	359,778,068	16,109,567	7,109,567	1.07	1.00	325,772,360	45 % - 28 %
1960					.50		35% - 28%
CHICAGO AERIAL INDI	USTRIES INC.	(Unlisted)					
Capitalization—Debt: \$2			\$2 par. 527.200 shar	es.			
Capitalization—Debt: \$2 1950	7,637, 6% mortgag	ge note. Common:			e 09	(2)	(0)
1950	7,637, 6% mortgag \$ 1,170,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000	\$ 100,000	\$.25	\$ .02	(a)	(a)
1950 1951	7,637, 6% mortgag \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000	\$ 100,000 109,000	\$.25 .27	.02	(a)	(a)
1950 1951 1952	7,637, 6% mortgag \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906.000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000	\$.25 .27 .49	.02 .02	(a) (a)	(a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953	7,637, 6% mortgag \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000	\$.25 .27 .49 .49	.02 .02 .02	(a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	7,637, 6% mortgag \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000	\$.25 .27 .49 .49 1.28	.02 .02 .02 .04	(a) (a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955	7,637, 6% mortga; \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000 7,149,000	ze note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000 893,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000 426,000	\$ .25 .27 .49 .49 1.28 .96	.02 .02 .02 .04 .10	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	7,637, 6% mortgas \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000 7,149,000 8,839,000	ze note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000 893,000 1,123,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000 426,000 538,000	\$ .25 .27 .49 .49 1.28 .96 1.21	.02 .02 .02 .04 .10 .13	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957	7,637, 6% mortgas \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000 7,149,000 8,839,000 8,629,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000 893,000 1,123,000 1,168,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000 426,000 538,000 556,000	\$ .25 .27 .49 1.28 .96 1.21 1.25	.02 .02 .02 .04 .10 .13 .19	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)
1950	7,637, 6% mortgas \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000 7,149,000 8,839,000 8,629,000 8,721,393	ze note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000 1,123,000 1,123,000 1,168,000 1,095,536	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000 426,000 538,000 556,000 534,536	\$ .25 .27 .49 .49 1.28 .96 1.21 1.25 1.22	.02 .02 .04 .10 .13 .19 .19	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (5,156,276	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957	7,637, 6% mortgas \$ 1,170,000 2,001,000 3,906,000 6,035,000 6,617,000 7,149,000 8,839,000 8,629,000	ge note. Common: \$ 248,000 232,000 550,000 803,000 1,158,000 893,000 1,123,000 1,168,000	\$ 100,000 109,000 215,000 211,000 568,000 426,000 538,000 556,000	\$ .25 .27 .49 1.28 .96 1.21 1.25	.02 .02 .02 .04 .10 .13 .19	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)

(a) Privately owned.

CLAROSTAT MFG. CO. Capitalization—Debt: M		Common: \$1 par, 437, Pre-tax	867 shares. Net	Net Per		Totai	Price
Year 1950	Saies \$ 5,985,241	Earnings \$ 772,999	Profit \$ 406,780	Share \$ .99	Dividends \$ .16	Assets \$ 2,199,374	Range 6½ - 2¼
1950 1951 1952	5,914,310 5,584,513	968,763 506,115	316,265 235,282	.76 .56	.30 .30	2,336,293 2,468,194	$6\frac{1}{12} - 4\frac{1}{12}$
1953 1954	7,255,606 5,682,093	625,345 107,823	247,556 57,920	.59 .14	.10 .25	2,452,220 2,309,990	$7 - 4\frac{3}{12} - 5\frac{3}{12}$
1955 1956	6,415,740 7,468,492	338,315 467,539	164,235 227,924	.39 .55	none .20	2,680,205 2,565,910	$8 - 4\frac{1}{8}$ 5 - 3\frac{1}{8}
1957 1958	7,207,102 6,808,779	276,543 51,588	132,710 20,861	.32 .05	.15 none	2,472,742 2,984,557	$5 - 2\frac{1}{2}$ $5\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{3}$
1959 1960 (3 mo.)	8,998,940 2,032,559	503,262	265,512 88,591	.60 .21	none 3% stk.	3,396,181	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
CLEVITE CORP. (N Capitalization	YSE)						
Debt: \$9,645,551, 314% Preferred: 41/2% cum	, notes, due seria ulative, \$100 par,	ally until 1971 42,827 shares					
Common: \$1 par, 1,87 1950	2,429 shares \$ 42,187,243	\$ 7,914,228	\$ 3,914,228	\$2.88	\$1.30	\$ 25,283,265	15% - 11%
1951 1952	49,463,559 53,307,874	7,687,826 8,144,240	2,887,826 3,444,240	2.03 1.97	1.15 1.15	39,212,523 53,748,600	$\frac{19}{27\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{15\%}{17\frac{5}{8}}$
1953 1954	70,528,107 59,20 <del>4</del> ,627	7,978,611 5,618,648	3,478,611 2,668,648	1.77 1.33	1.15 1.15	59,439,142 58,536,954	25% - 18 24% - 18
1955 1956	71,935,883 73,581,604	10,004,753 7,671,593	4,854,753 3,971,593	2.54 2.06	1.25 1.15	61,832,282 61,465,000	25 % - 20 ½ 24 ¼ - 18
1957 1958	71,368,224 63,354,991	7,408,539 5,899,246 12,802,061	3,988,539 3,109,246 6,402,061	2.08 1.60 2.36	1.15 1.15 1.15	59,342,564 57,828,463 68,225,415	$25\frac{1}{2} - 15\frac{1}{8}$ $25\frac{1}{8} - 15\frac{1}{8}$
1959 1960 (a) (3 mo.)	84,650,821 25,000,000	13,893,961 	6,493,961 	3.36 1.15	1.15 .30	68,235,415	$59\frac{1}{4} - 24\frac{3}{4}$ 57 - 42
(a) Estimated by co	ompany.						
COHU ELECTRONICS, Capitalization—Debt: \$6		\$1 par, 1,414,141 sh	iares.				
1950 (a) 1951 (a)	\$ 37,149 118,594	\$ 25,750	\$ 3,538 21,691		none		
1952 (a) 1953 (a)	148,385 275,345	27,653(d) 7,149(d)	23,594 (d) 7,149 (d)		none none		
1954 1955	864,249 1,611,278	238,588(d) 12,635	239,022(d) 6,891	\$1.48(d) .01	none		
1956 1957	3,422,613 5,428,093	264,035 345,177	252,035 190,177	.41 .22	none	\$ 3,545,248	
1958 1959	5,628,698 8,112,655	1,767,163(d) 853,791	1,623,987 (d) 853,791	1.63(d) .60	none none	4,589,078 4,972,663	9% - 6% 12 - 5¾
1960 (3 mo.)	1,492,287	 eet May 31, 1959. (d	5,098	nil	none		10 <sup>1</sup> /s- 8
(a) Kay Lab only.	(b) Dalance Sile	co may or, 1999. (u	Denere.				
COLLINS RADIO CO.	(NYSE)						
COLLINS RADIO CO. Year ending July 31	(NYSE)						
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula	\$3,638,000, 5½%; convertible subo	rdinated 5s, due 1977	7, \$12,000,000, conv	ertible suborc	dinated 434s, due 198	0	
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,933 1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%; convertible subo; tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 1977 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765	\$.26	none	\$ 8,523,681	3%- 1
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,93: 1950 1951	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 1975 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139	\$.26 .46 1.17	none \$ .10 .10	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050	4 % 3 6 % - 3 %
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,935 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½ %, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 referred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369	\$.26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67	none \$ .10 .13 .14	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,93 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930	\$.26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,93 1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d)	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975*	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54*	none \$ .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,93 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1955 1955	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%; convertible subo; tive convertible p</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946	\$.26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34	$\begin{array}{c} \$, 523, 681 \\ 19, 125, 921 \\ 31, 116, 050 \\ 34, 398, 396 \\ 42, 794, 136 \\ 47, 558, 771 \\ 59, 127, 378 \\ 72, 485, 543 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$3,506,477 notes Debentures: \$3,903,700 Preferred: 4% cumula Common: \$1 par, 1,93 1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31           Capitalization           Debt: \$3,506,477 notes           Debentures: \$3,903,700           Preferred: 4% cumula           Common: \$1 par, 1,933           1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 SC. (NYSE)	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31           Capitalization           Debt: \$3,506,477 notes           Debentures: \$3,903,700           Preferred: 4% cumula           Common: \$1 par, 1,933           1950           1951           1952           1954           1957           1958           1958           1959           1956           1957           1958           1956           1957           2060 (6 mo.)           *After tax refund.           COLUMBIA BROADCAS'           Capitalization           Debt: \$41,364,000, note	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>s; \$3,134,363, mor</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 SC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 er deferred liabiliti	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common:	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$2.50 par, 8,382,239 s	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares.	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$ 12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 SC. (NYSE)	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1953         1954         1957         1958         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1960 (6 mo.)         *After tax refund.         Columbia BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1952         1951         1951         1953         1954         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1951         1951         1951         1951         1953         1953         1954	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 er deferred liabiliti \$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none * .53 .53 .53 .62 .63	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,93         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1959         1950         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1955         1955         1956         1955         1956	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>(s; \$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 AC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 er deferred liabiliti \$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .90+2% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$; \$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197; preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,522,813	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 * deferred habiliti \$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462 22,193,367 24,428,812	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .90+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1956         1957         1958         1959         1950         *After tax refund.         Columbia BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1952         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1954         1955         1956         1957         1956         1957         1956	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 er deferred habiliti \$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462 22,193,367	\$ .26 .46 1.17 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .90+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi convertible suboi</li> <li>tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li></li> <li>RONICS INDUST</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187 	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 Fr deferred habiliti \$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462 22,193,367 24,428,812 25,267,187 	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 * .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10 3.11	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25+3% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,93         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1950         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1960 (6 mo.)         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1950         1951         1952         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1956         1957         1958         1959         1951         1952         1953	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>(s; \$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>\$251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li>RONICS INDUSTI</li> <li>r 30</li> <li>627,790, notes. Communication</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187 	<ul> <li>7, \$12,000,000, conv</li> <li>796 shares</li> <li>\$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447</li> <li>er deferred liabiliti</li> <li>\$ 4,105,329 6,360,097 6,445,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462 22,193,367 24,428,812 25,267,187 </li></ul>	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .90+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25+3% stk. .35	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1960 (6 mo.)         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1952         1953         1954         1955         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li></li> <li>RONICS INDUSTI</li> <li>r 30</li> <li>627,790, notes. Co</li> <li>\$14,759,568</li> <li>12,432,733</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage: \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187  RIES CORP. (NYS	<pre>7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares</pre>	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 * .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10 3.11	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none \$ .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. .00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25+3% stk.	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633 	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July 31         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1958         1959         1950         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>\$19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$ \$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li></li> <li>RONICS INDUSTI</li> <li>r 30</li> <li>627,790, notes. Co</li> <li>\$14,759,568</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 IC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187 	<pre>7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares</pre>	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .80 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10 3.11  \$2.45 .78 1.06(d) 1.74(d) .72(d)	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none 4% stk. none 53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25+3% stk. .35 \$ .50 .90	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633 	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1959         1950         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1950         1951         1955         1954         1955         1954         1955         1956         1951         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, convertible subointive convertib</li></ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197; preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 IC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187  RIES CORP. (NYS pmmon: \$1 par, 2,791, \$ 517,208 299,035(d) 486,953(d) 202,190(d) 1,755,488 4,556,597	7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares \$ 415,765 753,732 1,836,139 1,580,307 2,599,369 3,347,059 3,195,930 2,192,946 1,081,975* 3,718,817 4,211,447 9 9 6,360,097 6,345,506 8,894,642 11,414,645 13,397,427 16,283,462 22,193,367 24,428,812 25,267,187  E) 675 shares. \$ 716,365 222,208 299,035(d) 486,953(d) 202,190(d) 861,989 2,156,597	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none * .53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25 + 3% stk. .35 * .50 .90 .15 none none	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633  \$ 4,460,578 3,373,604 3,380,371 2,913,557 10,074,593 15,294,178	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1957         1958         1959         1960 (6 mo.)         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1955         1954         1955         1954         1951         1952         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1951         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1951	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi tive convertible p</li> <li>5,749 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$\$3,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li></li> <li>RONICS INDUSTI</li> <li>7,80</li> <li>627,790, notes. Co</li> <li>\$14,759,568</li> <li>12,432,733</li> <li>7,203,564</li> <li>7,581,023</li> <li>6,824,076</li> <li>11,018,537</li> <li>27,592,951</li> <li>28,537,288</li> <li>27,518,665</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197; preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 NC. (NYSE) tgage: \$6,052,406 other \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187  RIES CORP. (NYS pommon: \$1 par, 2,791, \$ 517,208 299,035(d) 486,953(d) 202,190(d) 1,755,488 4,556,597 4,186,931 2,876,814	<pre>7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares</pre>	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10 3.11  \$ 2.45 .78 1.06(d) 1.74(d) .72(d) 1.30 3.12 2.50 1.84	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none * .53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .53 .53	\$ 8,523,681 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633 	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Year ending July \$1         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,506,477 notes         Debentures: \$3,903,700         Preferred: 4% cumula         Common: \$1 par, 1,933         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1960 (6 mo.)         *After tax refund.         COLUMBIA BROADCAS'         Capitalization         Debt: \$41,364,000, note         1952         1954         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1951         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1951         1952         1953         1954	<ul> <li>\$3,638,000, 5½%, convertible suboi convertible suboi</li> <li>convertible p</li> <li>convertible p</li> <li>convertible p</li> <li>f49 shares</li> <li>\$12,534,018</li> <li>19,325,837</li> <li>64,130,371</li> <li>80,028,767</li> <li>90,300,464</li> <li>108,164,689</li> <li>125,141,055</li> <li>127,490,768</li> <li>107,569,379</li> <li>117,864,139</li> <li>91,779,750</li> <li>(d) Deficit.</li> <li>TING SYSTEM IN</li> <li>\$13,134,363, mor</li> <li>\$124,105,408</li> <li>192,384,608</li> <li>251,594,490</li> <li>313,908,771</li> <li>373,380,139</li> <li>316,572,766</li> <li>354,779,843</li> <li>385,409,018</li> <li>411,800,203</li> <li>441,311,357</li> <li></li> <li>RONICS INDUSTI</li> <li>r 30</li> <li>627,790, notes. Cot</li> <li>\$14,759,564</li> <li>7,581,023</li> <li>6,824,076</li> <li>11,018,537</li> <li>27,892,951</li> <li>28,537,288</li> </ul>	rdinated 5s, due 197 preferred, \$50 par, 18 \$ 1,075,177 1,093,704 5,913,432 6,870,809 7,398,976 7,194,145 6,506,001 4,825,012 179,846(d) 7,662,958 9,304,523 AC. (NYSE) tgage; \$6,052,406 othes \$ 9,555,329 13,618,942 15,938,724 22,687,288 23,214,645 29,897,427 35,083,462 48,593,367 53,528,813 52,967,187 	<pre>7, \$12,000,000, conv ,796 shares</pre>	\$ .26 .46 1.17 1.01 1.67 2.15 1.89 1.25 .54* 1.95 2.16 es. Common: \$ .30 .91 .92 1.27 1.62 1.79 2.13 2.82 3.10 3.11  \$2.45 .78 1.06(d) 1.74(d) .72(d) 1.30 3.12 2.50	none \$ .10 .10 .13 .14 .34 .34 .34 none 4% stk. none * .53 .53 .53 .53 .62 .63 .77+2% stk. 1.00+3% stk. 1.00 1.25+3% stk. .35 * .50 .90 .15 none none none	\$ $8,523,681$ 19,125,921 31,116,050 34,398,396 42,794,136 47,558,771 59,127,378 72,485,543 71,297,869 93,373,840 113,615,075 hares. \$ 53,833,265 101,481,809 111,720,900 136,040,997 169,298,915 180,089,502 196,097,774 222,870,272 231,422,335 247,903,633 	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

(d) Deficit.

CONTROL DATA CORP.	(Unlisted)		•*				
Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$50,000							
Preferred: 6% cumulat	ive, \$25 par, 14,000	shares					
Common: 50 cents par,	967,493 shares	Pre-tax	Net	Net Per		m (	
Year	Sales	Earnings	Profit	Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1957 Incorporated July 8					Diriacinus	1135015	Avening C
1958	\$ 785,823		\$ 192,023(d)	\$ .29(d)	none		10 - 1
1959 1960 (6 mo.) (a)	4,588,174 9,003,969	\$ 1,049,505	283,214 562,225	.34 .56	none none	\$ 2,373,779 5,043,010	34 - 9½ 35 - 24¾
COOK ELECTRIC CO.	(Unlisted)						
Year ending June 30							
Capitalization Debt: \$1,441,000,5%% 1 Preferred: 6% prior c	umulative, \$100 r	ar, 20,000 shares					
Common: \$2.50 par, 1,		0 004 000		0 14			
1950 1951	\$ 4,496,000 6,390,000	\$ 334,000 879,000	\$ 204,000 334,000	\$.14 .24	\$.13 .08		
952	11,396,052	1,647,839	447,839	.32	.10	\$ 5,419,995	6 % - 3
953	12,459,152	1,389,558	427,058	.30	.07+stk.	6,593,552	3 - 23
954 955	14,103,369 15,253,052	1,216,664 506,386	511,664 251,386	.36 .18	.12 + stk.	7,292,342	$6^{3}_{4} - 6$
956	15,218,090	208,101	103,101	.07	.06 + stk. .04	7,492,651 7,819,576	$6\frac{34}{5} - 4\frac{1}{3}$
957	24,583,521	1,753,099	858,099	.58	.08+stk.	11,246,916	71/2 - 43
958 959	30,106,685 40,324,392	455,062 3,207,324	250,062 1,545,016	.16	.06	12,008,240	141/2 - 61
1960 (6 mo.)	20,303,590		210,612	.89 .09	.17+stk. none	17,128,840 	$22\frac{1}{2} - 13\frac{1}{2}$ $22\frac{3}{1} - 16$
CORNING GLASS WOR	KS (NYSE)						
Capitalization Debentures: \$9,300,000, Preferred: 3½% cumul Common: \$5 par, 6,754	lative, \$100 par, 5						
1950	\$116,473,981	\$35,670,787	\$17,612,355	\$2.51	\$.80	\$ 81,362,634	1914 111/
951	115,750,172	23,862,664	10,141,164	1.48	.80	84,691,192	$18{8} - 11{4}$ $31{4} - 15{4}$
952	126,455,784	28,516,156	10,323,156	1.51	.80	105,635,436	35 - 26%
953 954	149,294,036 147,938,842	34,039,395 35,991,191	12,681,395	1.86 2.57	.80	113,463,467	36 1/4 - 28
955	157,663,837	38,966,671	17,490,191 18,626,671	2.76	1.20 1.50	122,407,348 130,872,665	62 <b>% - 35</b> 73 <b>% - 54</b>
956	163,053,554	37,732,753	18,432,753	2.72	1.50	137,263,623	871/2- 601/
957	159,069,721	31,033,404	16,533,404	2.43	1.50	142,696,906	106 1/4 - 57 1/4
958 959	159,137,729 204,887,424	32,763,543 44,635,899	17,163,543 24,335,899	2.52 3.57	1.50 1.62½	164,650,215	102 1/2 - 74 5
960 (3 mo.)	51,169,075	11,667,782	5,947,782	.87	.37 <sup>1</sup> /2	168,076,376 	154 % - 89 % 147 ½ -124
CRAIG SYSTEMS INC.	(Unlisted)						
Year ending July 31 Capitalization—Common:							
1950 (a)	\$ 802,207	\$ 91,835	\$ 56,573	\$ .11	none	(c)	(c)
951 (a) 952 (a)	1,952,471 4,192,332	187,197 386,402	69,559 111,616	.14	none none	(c) (c)	(c)
953 (a)	4,591,654	354,323	104,989	.21	none	(c) (c)	(c) (c)
954 (a)	4,887,828	512,081	209,435	.42	none	(c)	(c)
955 (b) 956 (d)	11,506,053 9,570,015	1,431,113	696,913	.95	none	\$ 5,835,985	(c)
957	12,840,360	975,173 1,058,841	463,673 502,841	.64 .68	\$ .40+2% stk. .30+2% stk.	6,511,853 6,678,837	9 - 7 $9\frac{1}{8} - 4\frac{1}{4}$
958	12,975,620	770,547	361,047	.48	.25	6,057,648	978 - 476 7 - 376
959 960 (6 mo.)	12,081,619 7,110,000	813,887	380,887 285,000	.50 .37	.30 none	6,905,470	$12\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{3}{2}$ $10\frac{7}{2} - 8\frac{5}{2}$
<ul> <li>(a) Craig Machine Co,</li> <li>(b) Pro-forma, Year</li> <li>(c) Privately owned ut</li> <li>(d) Consolidated; incl</li> </ul>	only. ending Nov. 30. ntil Feb. 7, 1956.				none		1078- 07
DAYSTROM INC. (N	YSE)						
Year ending March \$1	13 808 notes Data	ntures. \$7 709 000	convertible antersti	antal 49/a to	<b>11</b>		
Capitalization—Debt: \$40							
1950 1951	\$ 32,763,201 42,397,508	\$ 1,829,136 5,432,331	\$ 1,174,136 2,436,331	\$1.88 3.90	\$1.25 1.50	\$21,800,160	$16\frac{1}{2} - 10\frac{3}{4}$
1952	38,592,157	1,779,854	770,854	2.03	1.00	25,487,642 38,198,973	20¼ - 15 16% - 13½
[953	46,155,154	2,946,154	1,405,300	2.25	1.00	45,821,250	$16\frac{78}{8} - 11\frac{72}{12}$

1000	φ 02,100,201	\$ 1,049,100	φ 1,1(4,100	91.00	51.25	\$21,800,160	$16\frac{1}{2} - 10\frac{3}{4}$
1951	42,397,508	5,432,331	2,436,331	3.90	1.50	25,487,642	
1952	38,592,157	1,779,854	770,854	2.03	1.00	38,198,973	
1953	46,155,154	2,946,154	1,405,300	2.25	1.00	45.821.250	
1954	62,472,896	3,106,924	1.458.924	2.33	1.00	44,156,237	
1955 (a)	73,816,645	3,581,216	1,716,216	2.61	1.35	32,120,760	
1956	63,192,498	3,544,181	1,784,181	2.01	1.20	40.244.242	
1957	74,402,239	5,183,811	2,458,811	2.77	1.20	47,607,250	
1958	81,713,986	4,785,493	2.333.493	2.57	1.20	52,685,322	
1959	76,639,523	2,106,846	1,206,846	1.32	1.20	50,500,504	
1960 (9 mo.)	65,524,000	2,854,000	1,405,000	1.54	.60	00,000,001	44 % - 35 %
		=,=====================================	-,-50,000	1.01	. 30	1	<b>14 78 - 30 74</b>

(a) Weston Electrical Instrument Corp. merged into Daystrom May 16, 1955.

## DESILU PRODUCTIONS INC. (ASE)

Year Ending April 30 Capitalization—Debt: \$3,447,668, notes, etc. Common: \$1 par, Class A and B, 1,155.940 shares

	 	 		, 0.400	TT WILL D	, 1,100,0	10 5114	100				
1950 Incorporated in Cal.	N.A.	N	.A.		N.A.		N.A.	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.
1951	N.A.	N	.A.		N.A.		N.A.	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.
1952	N.A.	N	.A.		N.A.		N.A.	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.
1953	N.A.	N	.A.		N.A.		N.A.	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.
1954	\$ 4,668,660	\$ 31	5,679	\$	143,933		\$ .16	none		N.A.		(b)
1955	6,388,641	59	2,978		261,511		.29	none		N.A.		(b)
1956	9,361,130	1,41	6,695		674,728		.75	none		N.A.		(b)
1957	12,166,741	4,49	9,865		3,183,367		.54	none		N.A.		(b)
1958	15,094,806	20	8,213		92,336		.10	none	\$	13.050.350	1	41/2 - 10
1959	 20,470,361	<sup>7</sup> 49	6.266		249,566		.22	\$ .60	*	14.134.803		9%- 13%
1960 (c)	17,553,899	1,35	7,521		708,121		.61	.15	4	, .		$4\frac{3}{4} - 12$
	r-				-,						1	

N.A. Not available. (b) Privately owned. (c) 39 weeks ending Jan. 30.

DUMONT (ALLEN B.) LABORATORIES INC. (ASE) Stockholders to vote on merger with Fairchild Camera & Instrument Corp. (Officially separated from DuMont Broadcasting Corp. Oct, 10, 1955 and recapitalized at that time.)

Capitalization

Mortgages Payable: \$638,794 Preferred: 5% cumulative convertible; \$20 par, 122,016 shares Common: \$1 par, 2,361,092 shares

Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950	N.A.	N.A.			\$1.00		27 - 131/8
951	N.A.	N.A.			.25		19 - 14%
952	\$ 62,013,191	\$ 2,897,903	\$ 1,424,603	\$ .72	.25		19% - 15
953	72,305,202	3,093,362	1,544,362	.62	none		173/4- 81/2
954	71.457.950	1,739,102	870,273	.35	none	\$63,251,041	16% - 9%
955	57.826.809	3.674.397(d)	3,674,397(d)	1.56(d)	none	45,103,385	171/8 - 91/8*
956	46,646,878	5,230,441(d)	3,886,734(d)	1.65(d)	none	39,349,410	$10 - 4\%^{*}$
957	42.691.148	534,616(d)	534.616(d)	.23(d)	none	35,412,247	61/8 - 3*
958	30,165,988	11.677.698(d)	9,503,698 (d)	4.08(d)	none	20,600,411	814-3
959	19,467,469		1,315,904	.56	none	2010001111	$9\frac{7}{8} - 6$
960					none		10 - 614
							10 - 0 2
N.A. Not available.	(d) Deficit. * E	x DuMont Broadcas	ting Stock.				
DYNAMICS CORP. OF	AMERICA (ASE	)					
Capitalization							
Debt: \$6,500,000, V los Preferred: \$1 cumulat Common: \$1 par, 2,7	ive convertible, \$2		2% installment no	tes, 1961-1968			
.950	\$ 14,780,757	\$ 1,287,895	\$ 1,287,895	\$ .51	none	\$13,751,583	$7\frac{1}{8} - 1\frac{7}{8}$
951	20,876,762	1,938,851	1,938,851	.77	none	19,549,402	53/4- 33/4
		A AAF ODE	0 550 005	1 00	mama	01 000 500	
952	35,660,419	4,005,285	2,559,285	1.02 .54	none	24,983,569	$6 - 4\frac{1}{4}$

1953	40,719,686	4,402,468	1,402,468	. 5-1	\$ .20+5% Stk.	27,089,811	5 3/4 - 3
1954	36,440,014	3,289,575	1,451,575	.55	.40	28,457,912	8 % - 4
1955 (a)	41,894,958	4,038,398	2,012,071	.61	.40	29,418,501	9%- 61/2
1956	44,177,220	3,474,054	1,848,054	.54	.40	29,827,494	83/8- 5%
1957	38,914,418	710,356	539,647	.02	.20	28,634,607	7% - 21/2
1958	32,386,037	1,084,664	921,145	.16	none	22,226,253	51/2- 27/8
1959	37,606,308	2,604,902	1,475,285	.36	none	29,613,023	12 34 - 4 5%
1960					none		13% - 10%

(a) Reeves-Ely Laboratories merged into Dynamics Corp. Jan. 20, 1956; effective for accounting purposes Dec. 31, 1955

EITEL-McCULLOUGH INC. (Unlisted)

EITEL-MCCULLOUGE	I INC. (Unlisted)						
			<i>c</i>		ures, due Nov. 1974. C	Common: \$1 par, 1,65	0,542 shares.
1950 1951	\$ 7,944,472 7,099,430	\$ 2,408,717 748,021	\$ 1,170,925 378,680	\$.78 .25	none		
1952	10,137,692	1,531,646	613,094	.42	none	\$ 5,597,669	
1953	11,576,674	1,132,166	596,871	.40	none	5,511,877	
1954	9,452,689	1,263,099	622,761	.38	\$ .09	5,562,560	
1955 1956	8,950,179 13,879,779	1,351,810 2,644,722	645,844 1,254,488	.43 .80	none .12½ +2½% stk.	6,455,077 8,677,895	171/2- 734
1957	15,786,229	1,624,859	736,376	.45	21/2 % stk.	9,599,480	181/4 - 10
1958	16,186,849	508,060	212,928	.13	none	11,619,966	14 % - 11 %
1959 (8 mo.)	15,927,273	1,742,363	792,363	.48	none		321/2 - 131/2
1960					none		341/2 - 25 3/4
ELECTRO INSTRUMI	ENTS, INC. (Unli	sted)					
Year ending May	81						
Capitalization Debt: \$115,642, note							
Common: \$1 par, 57							
1955	\$ 244,829		\$ 37,954	\$.07	none		
1956	942,928		80,609	.15	none		
1957	3,356,277		569,374	1.04	none	\$ 1,663,643	121/2 - 97%
1958	3,623,886 6,006,010	\$ 814,793 1,219,728	384,793 609,728	.74 1.11	none 5% stk.	2,532,027	251/4- 9
1959 1960 (9 mo.)	7,150,538	1,219,728	717,671	1.24	none	3,395,636	$68 - 22\frac{1}{2}$ 60 - 46
	.,,						
ELECTRONIC ASSOC	IATES INC. (Unl	isted)					
Capitalization Debt: \$248,240 mort Common: \$1 par, 72	gage and notes 22.721 shares						
1950	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
1951	\$ 989,461	N.A.	\$ 75,668	\$.34	none	N.A.	N.A.
1952	1,069,849	\$ 175,115	96,758	.23	\$.04	\$ 2,684,882	$2\frac{3}{8} - \frac{11}{4}$
1953 1954	4,273,726 4,059,927	260,804 498,037	130,686 244,558	.28	.05 .06	2,728.241 3,676,912	$3\frac{3}{4} - 2\frac{1}{2}$ $7\frac{1}{4} - 2\frac{7}{8}$
1955	5,484,287	1,012,548	491,523	.86	.12	4,480.672	181/2 - 121/2
1956	8,816,953	2,018,529	929,811	1.61	.12+2½% stk.	8,447,474	33 - 1534
1957	12,298,274	2,134,344	1,001,998	1.64	5% stk.	10,491,739	59 - 27 1/4
1958 1959	10,216,003 14,495,000	641,225	3 <sup>-</sup> 13,880 803,000	.49 1.11	2% stk. 3% stk.	10,830,091 14,214,061(a)	$52 - 32\frac{1}{2}$ $55\frac{1}{2} - 29$
1960			000,000		none	11,214,001(u)	39 - 26
				2 47 2			
(a) Balance sheet	June 30, 1959						
ELECTRONIC SPECIA	LTY CO. (ASE)						
Year ending March	31						
Capitalization							
Debt: \$500,000 notes							
Common: 50 cents r				<b>N</b>		e 91.000	
1950 (a) 1951 (a)	\$ 173,000 236,000	÷	\$ 10,000 8,000		none	\$ 31,000 41,000	
1952 (a)	359,000		16,000		none	130,000	
1953 (a)	1,147,000		53,000		none	322,000	
1954 (a)	1,565,000		119,000	\$ .75	none	571,000	
1955 (b) 1956	1,625,000 2,115,000		71,000 13,000 (d)	.19 .08(d)	none	808,000 887,000	
			10,000 (U)	.00(4)			
1957	3,293,994		356,409	.99	none	1,543,705	131/2 - 4 %
1957 1958	3,293,994 4,741,010		320,135	.84	none	1,864,837	131/4- 812
1957 1958 1959 1960 (9 mo.)	3,293,994						

(a) Years ending August 31. (b) Annualized.

Capitalization Debt: \$1,475,000 5% notes; \$2,000,000 5½% sub. conv. notes Preferred: \$100 par 6% non-cumulative 4,586 shares

	6% non-cumulative 4, 735.328 shares		5				
		Pre-tax	Net	Net Per	n:_ii-a	Total	Price
Year 1950	Sales \$ 1,536,217	Earnings \$ 41,379	<b>Profit</b> \$ 36,520	Share \$.50	Dividends none	Assets	Range (b)
1951	1,836,768	85,283	36,207	.05	none		(p)
1952 1953	3,627,215 4.636,565	191,342 349,031	76.884 190,906	.11 .27	none none	\$ 2,943,858	(b) (b)
1954	5,590,209 11,302,456	375,956 876,840	159,899 446,840	.19 .59	none none	4,749,828 7,487,253	$16\frac{1}{4} - 11$ 23 - 11 $\frac{1}{2}$
1956	6,973,306	1,024,152(d)	476,467(d)	.71(d)	none	9,536,045	24 1/4 - 95/8
1957 1958	8,037,553 6,639,121	367,487 241,644	182,653 208,001	.22 .25	none none	8,398,768 8,496,581	$12\frac{7}{8} - 5\frac{1}{2}$ $16\frac{1}{4} - 6\frac{3}{8}$
1959	6,391,343	416,941	175,767	.21	none	8,299,923	16 % - 7 1/2
1960					none		16 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
(b) Privately owned	d. (d) Deficit.						
ELECTRO-VOICE	INC. (Unlisted)						
Year ending Febru		Jus 1000		482 (50 short			
1950	\$375,000, 4 % % Promis \$ 1,201,100	N.A.	N.A.	, 473,650 share N.A.	N.A.	N.A,	(a)
1951	2,228,178	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	(a)
1952 1953	2,830,740 2,755,631	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	(a) (a)
1954 1955	4,201,822 4,339,782	\$ 164.049 275,156	\$ 63,540 135,455	\$.21 .39	\$.04 .04	N.A. N.A.	(a) (a)
1956	5.765,059	465,484	230,532	.61	.04	N.A.	(a)
1957 1958	7,863,814 9,379,132	777,636 710,618	381,210 353,188	.98 .89	.04 .04	N.A. \$ 3,737,095	(a) (a)
1959	11,764,676	1,275,578	620,519	1.31	none	5,378,040	2234 - 1114
1960 (9 mo.)	7,738,375		167,792	.35	none		14 1/4 - 11
N.A. Not available.	(a) Privately owned						
EMERSON ELECTRIC	C MANUFACTURING (	CO. (NYSE)					
Year ending Septen		<b></b> (N13E)					
Capitalization	onvertible subordinated	depenture 5165 d	uo 1977 \$5.645.000	notes \$2 000	000 5% promissory n	otes due 1078	
Common: \$2 par, 1	,771,506 shares	uebenture 5.23, 0	ue 1977. \$0,040,000	100003, \$2,000		otes uue 1010.	
1950	\$ 40,651,495	\$ 4,456,348	\$ 2,073,767	\$1.74 1.24	\$.53 .56	\$ 20,526.096 24,419,403	91/s - 53/s 87/s - 63/s
1951 1952	44,008,692 55,368,442	4,087,532 1,974,006	1,497,507 1,467,506	1.22	.56	23,392,004	6 <sup>7</sup> /8 - 5 <sup>1</sup> /2
1953 1954	55,844,449 44,718,095	3,310,652 2,213,495	1,448,152 1,013,495	1.11 .76	.56 .56	27,029,553 23,219,061	7 <sup>3</sup> % - 5 <sup>3</sup> % 8 <sup>1</sup> % - 5 <sup>3</sup> %
1955	40,347,929	2,528,263	1,228,263	.93	.56	28,014,736	117/8- 7 %
1956 1957	56,498,889 65,341,252	4,562,926 4,409,191	2,247.926 2,369,716	1.74 1.70	.60 .78	31,809,083 39,143,070	$16\frac{1}{4} - 10\frac{1}{8}$ 17 - 11
1958	72,040,054 91,332,950	5,314,395 8,238,717	2,527,395 3,938,717	$1.75 \\ 2.26$	$.80 + 1\frac{1}{2}\%$ stk.	42,807,609	$28\frac{1}{4} - 14\frac{1}{2}$
1959 1960 (3 mo.)	91,332,930			2.20	.85 + 2% stk. .25	51,496,009	$38\frac{4}{4} - 24$ 43 - 33
	BUONOGRAPH COR						
Year ending Octobe	PHONOGRAPH CORP	(NYSE)					
Capitalization	7 31						
Debt: \$5,200.000. Common: \$5 par, 2,	115 906 shares						
1950	\$ 74,188,297	\$11,969,778	\$ 6,514,716	\$3.70	\$1.10	\$27,320,398	183%- 73%
1951 1952	55,797,963 57,664,201	6,875,877 4,651,625	3,592,397 2,262,556	1.86 1.17	1.00 .70	36,527,980 26,148,595	16¼ - 12¾ 15¾ - 11½
1953	75,926,546	6,499,485	2,988.432	1.54	.50	38,344,638	14 - 10
1954	80,559,994 87,383,028	3,449,209 4,770,140	1,884,976 2,468,063	.97 1.28	.60 .60	40,971,196 43,559,520	$15\frac{1}{8} - 9\frac{1}{2}$ $16\frac{3}{8} - 11\frac{3}{4}$
1956	73,882,029	331,748	84,852	.04	.30+1% stk.	44,280,455	13¼ - 5¾
1957 1958 (a)	54,803,069 58,401,179	222,586 2,828,707	138,431 1,410,009	.07 .72	none 3% stk.	41,326,467 38,557,594	6 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 3 <sup>8</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 16 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
1959 1960 (b)	67,442,399	5,551,214 1,362,989	2,668,682 675,512	1.30 .32	3% stk. none	44,767,162	26 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 12 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> 18 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 13 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
(a) includes Cons	sumer Products Div. o;	Anen B. Dumont	Laps, mc., irom J	uly 2, 1998, Q	are of acquisition, (D	, 13 WEEKS IU JAII. SU	•
EPSCO, INC. (Unli	isted)						
	\$1,772,000, notes paya						
1954 (a) 1955	\$	\$ 28,887(d) 142,794(d)	\$ 28.887 (d) 142,794 (d)	\$ .12(d) .58(d)	none none	*	
1956	1,495,670	154.992	154,992	.92	nonè	\$ 1.605,007	
1957 1958	3,022,918 3,934,423	66,800 	41,800 195,430 (d)	.13 .52(d)	none none	3,126,444 5,559,245	44 4 - 15 1/2
1959 (9 mo.) 1960	5,740,237		305,653	.77	none none	7,593,969(b)	$44\frac{1}{2} - 28$ 30 - 20
	Dec. 31. (b) Balance						
(a) II WORLD'S CO	Det. 31. (b) Dalance	. Succe suite 30.					
ERIE RESISTOR COR	P. (Unlisted)						
Capitalization							
Debt: \$1,270.000. 4% Preferred: 90 cents	7/2 notes, due 1973. \$20 cumulative convertible	12.500, 5% mortgag 8, \$12.50 par 100.00	e note 0 shares. Common	: \$2.50 par, 76	58,083 shares.		
1950	\$ 13,671,728	\$ 2,264,051	\$ 1,075,234	\$1.40	\$ .03	\$ 5.303,827	(b)
1951 1952	14,171,426 14,486,281	1,713,828 1,540,262	881,465 571,684	1.15 .74	.22 .29	4,989,653 5,356,564	$6_{8}^{3} - 5_{2}^{12}$ 7 - 5 $3_{8}^{3}$
1953	17,073,856	1,819,021	827,616	1.08	.33	6,531,130	734 - 634
1954 1955	14,866,836 22,358,644	700,418 1,771,490	317,767 959,433	.41 1.25	.39 .24	9,951,069 11,609,028	$8\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{1}{2}$ $8\frac{7}{8} - 5\frac{1}{4}$
1956	23,300,749 24,737,643	1,793,147 1,009,998	956,452 542,811	1.25	.39 .37+3% stk.	13,261,328 13,497,514	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1957 1958	21,202,186	1,109,438	510,441	.55	.14+4% stk.	14,064,053	9 3/8 - 5 3/4
1959 1960	24,506,569	679,002	359,340	.35	.10+4% stk. none	14,648,311	$   \begin{array}{r}     11\frac{14}{9} - 7 \\     9\frac{3}{8} - 7\frac{14}{4}   \end{array} $
(b) Privately owned							

Þ

FARRIN	GTON MA	NUFAC	TURING	CO. (Unliste	ed)		
Capitali							
Debt:	\$1,914,730,	notes:	\$6,000,000	subordinated,	convcrtible	debcntures,	1970

Debt: \$1,914,730, notes: \$6,000,000 subordinated, convertible debentures, Preferred: \$1,375 cumulative, no par, 41,235 shares. 5<sup>15</sup>/<sub>4</sub> non-cumulative 2nd preferred. \$5 par. 24,984 shares.

-----

5½% non Common: No par, 1,5		preierred, \$5 par, 24	1,984 shares.			
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets
1950	\$ 7,008,507	\$ 683,334	\$ 406,765	\$ .85	\$ .19	\$ 4,874,813
1951	7,881,423	211,098	212,134	.38	.15+1¼% stk.	5,433,940
1952	11,865,451	636,592	273,508	.50	.15	5,914.304
1953	14,177,159	708,308	348,108	.56	.17	6,348,875
1951	9,944,842	433,619	248,619	.38	.20	6,519,520
1955	10,009,128	899,354(d)	416,719(d)	.71(d)	.05 + 112% stk.	7,247,520
1956	11,701,787	316,668	498,446 (d)	.71(d)	2% stk.	7,592,432
1957	11.848.122	292,668	304.688	.32	none	7,362,383
1958	8,459,432	230,070(d)	250,765 (d)	.39(d)	none	7,509,765
1959	10,912,633	1,275,214(d)	1,282,314 (d)	.87(d)	none	10,420,942

N.A. Not available. (d) Deficit.

1960\_\_\_\_\_

#### FXR, INCORPORATED (Unlisted)

Capitalization-Debt: \$127,500, 6% debentures, due 1972. Common: \$1 par, 445,579 shares.

------

1952 Incorporated June 13 1953 (N.A.) \_\_\_\_\_ 2,891,784 2,551,220 1954\_\_\_\_\_ 86,960 \$ .26 none \$ \$ ----\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 33,112 138,426 168,961 .10 1955\_\_\_\_\_ ----none -----------1956\_\_\_\_\_ 3,758,316 .42 none -----\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 1957\_\_\_\_\_ 4.296.499 none 1958\_\_\_\_\_ 4,152,110 \$ 389,922 193,005 .58 none 2,028,797 \$ none 3% stk. 1959. 5,749,711 725,543 373.875 .86 4,272,751 \_\_\_\_ 1960\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ --------\_\_\_\_\_ ----

------

Price

Range N.A. N.A.

N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.

 $\begin{array}{r}
20 - 12\frac{3}{8} \\
42 - 16\frac{1}{8}
\end{array}$ 

.

10,420,942

.

---

---

(N.A.) Not available

FAIRCHILD CAMERA & INSTRUMENT CORP. (ASE) Stockholders to vote on acquisition of Allen B. DuMont Laboratories, Inc. Capitalization-Debt: \$2,800,000, notes, etc. Common: \$1 par, 1,036,890 shares. £ 50 . ......

1950\$         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1960	10,163,582 16,843,359 25,549,096 25,694,982 42,439,864 33,069,647 42,969,036 36,989,284 31,674,356 43,443,000	\$ 979.718 558.210 1,490.097 1,697,741 3,251,790 850.743 2,176,324 1,799.093 1,553,395 	\$ 482.320 317,736 759,610 883,549 1,606,790 791,743 910,324 799.093 544,395 2,071,225	\$ .70 .38 .91 .97 1.76 .83 .96 .84 .57 2.00	\$ .37½ .12½ .12½ .12½ +5% stk. .25 .50 .37½ .25 .25 .50 none	\$ 8,855,012 18,446,929 21,074,222 21,311,213 17,791,152 19,160,746 24,238,825 20,746,336 23.214,797	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
FRIDEN INC. (Pacific)	0.001		40.280 shores				
Capitalization—Debt: \$6,443 1950\$ 1951 1953 1953 1954 1955 1956 1956 1958 1958 1960 N.A. Not available. GABRIEL CO. (NYSE)	2,921 hotes. Co 5 16,467,239 25,241,464 21,923,873 23,004,213 25,616,663 31,437,755 50,624,940 56,655,526 60,338,844 74,199,120	mmon: \$1 par, 1,1 N.A. \$5,880,695 4,294,249 3,223,112 3,773,208 4,828,659 7,641,694 8,165,377 7,035,572 8,261,885 	\$3,380 Shares \$ 1,582,152 1,846.608 1,775,916 1,540.000 1.850,144 2,376,982 3,591,625 4,013,966 3,445,844 3,954,862	\$2.07 2.41 2.32 2.01 2.42 3.11 3.60 3.84 3.19 3.44	\$ .44 .50 .50 .50 .65+2% stk. .95 1.00+5% stk. 1.00+2½% stk. 1.00+2½% stk. .25	N.A. \$14,224,704 14,113,067 14,744,864 16,714,680 19,387,179 30,481,834 37,468,030 40,626,148 46,358,711	$\begin{array}{c} 35 & - 23 \\ 50 \frac{1}{2} & - 34 \frac{1}{2} \\ 71 \frac{3}{4} & - 38 \\ 67 & - 39 \frac{7}{8} \\ 76 & - 55 \\ 76 & - 51 \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$
Capitalization Debt: \$160,000 notes, \$2,5 Preferred: \$5 cumulataive Common: \$1 par, 675,838	e pfd. \$10 par,	ate debenture 5¾s 29,529 shares	, due 1974				
1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1959         1959         1950         1950         1950         1950         1950         1950         1950         1950         1960	\$ 12,670,521 15,795,488 17,888,893 22,668,000 19,190,000 16,215,000 20,641,000 24,665,000 22,825,684 28,836,253	\$ 1.591,672 1,009,112 21,127 N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 1,030,066 1,104,906	\$ 824.272 591,992 13,927 163,000 10,000(d) 274,000 434,000 783,000 545,066 536,906	\$2.20 1.07 .04(d) .20 .06(d) .38 .62 1.15 .80 .77 	\$ .55 + 10% stk. .45 .50 none .30 .15 .60 .60 .55 .60 none	\$ 6.331,749 10,382,922 11,165,324 9,974,912 8,486,682 8,808,983 10,186,071 8,890,317 12,825,581 17,779,716	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

(a) Pro-forma, including Talco Engineering Corp., acquired Dec. 3, 1958. (d) Deficit.

#### GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP. (NYSE)

(Merger with Stromberg-Carlson effective in July, 1955)

Capitalization-Debt: \$155,101,536. Common: \$1 par, 9,944,488 shares.

				(b)	(c)		
1950 (c)	\$411,155,000	\$ 24,102,000	\$ 13,817,000	\$1.39	\$ .40	\$ 51,963,237	10% - 8
1951 (c)	527.355.000	32,135,000	15,315,000	1.54	.32	62,917,034	137/8- 87/8
1952 (c)	664.513.000	41,460,000	20.256.000	2.04	.75	94,715,067	227/8- 121/4
1953 (c)	749,278,000	44,051,000	20.845,000	2.10	1.00	110,690,126	23 1/8 - 151/2
1954 (c)	822.031.000	62,079,000	30,347,000	3.05	1.17	234,446,340	411/8 - 18
1955 (c)	814.851.000	62,629,000	29,505,000	2.94	1.47	294,816,011	53% - 24%
1956 (a)	1.183.961.000	89,311,000	48.074.000	4.93	1.73	487,577,843	59 % - 45 1/8
1957 (a)	1,666,652,000	109.358,000	55,689,000	5.60	2.00	570,604,595	68 % - 46 %
1958 (a)	1,626,015,489	90.738.876	48,395,158	4.97	2,00	714-118.591	673/8- 55
1959 (a)	1,811,871,384	54,156,069	31,056,069	3.12	2.00	861,294,249	661/2 - 42%
1959 (8)	1,011,071,304	34,130,009	31,030,003	0.12	1.00		53 % - 40 %
1960							

(a) Pro-forma; includes operations of Material Service Corp., merged on Dcc. 31, 1959. (b) Based on shares now outstanding. (c) Adjusted.

Capitalization Debt: \$274.382,000 3½% debentures, due 1976; other liabilities, \$42,190,334 Common: No par, 88,332.778 shares

Year	Sales	Pre-Tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950	\$2,233,800,000	\$397,100,000	\$179,700.000		\$1.27	\$1,335,415,000	16 3/4 - 13 3/4
1951	2,619,600,000	434,100,000	143,700,000		.95	1,588,070,000	$21\frac{1}{2} - 16\frac{1}{2}$
1952	2,993,400,000	447,000,000	164,900,000		1.00	1,579,523,878	241/4 - 181/8
1953	3,510,600,000	497,500,000	173,800,000		1.33	1,696,588,736	30 % - 22 %
1954	3,334,708,206	407,164,027	204,371,317	\$2.47	1.47	1,691,979,938	481/2 - 377/8
1955	3,463,734,419	385,203,709	208,908.054	2.42	1.60	1,727,553,319	57 % - 46 %
1956	4,090,015,685	423,756,849	213,756,849	2.46	2.00	2,221,146,920	651/2- 52 3/4
1957	4,335,664,061	507,851,871	247,851,871	2.84	2.00	2,361,318,604	72 % - 52 %
1958	4,120,796,801	487,678,333	242,942,533	2.78	2.00	2,420,939,218	79% - 57
1959	4,349,508,529	555,290,438	280,242,123	3.19	2.00	2,561,492,596	99% - 74
1960 (3 mo.)	957,433,000	104,985,000	52,614,000	.60	1.00		99% - 84%

#### GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORP. (NYSE)

#### Year ending February 28 Capitalization

Debt: \$1,393,600, 4% promissory notes; \$421,263 subsidiary mortgages Common: \$1 par, 1,416,173 shares

oommon. or par, i, i	io, ito shares						
1950	\$ 13,634,582	\$ 107,184(d)	\$ 107,184(d)	\$ .22(d)	\$ .40	\$ 8,749,655	131/2- 81/4
1951	25,850,231	2,639,099	1,229,099	2.02	.20	9.093,442	11% - 71/4
1952	18,527,974	1,158,558(d)	993,558(d)	1.63(d)	.25	8,349,061	111/8- 61/4
1953	30,407,530	1,986,332	1,275,864	2.10	.75	10,185,345	14 1/2 - 9 3/4
1954	32,502,305	1,695,559	926,903	1.13	.75	11,278,619	$12\frac{1}{4} - 8\frac{1}{8}$
1955	22,795,029	830,393(d)	399,448 (d)	.49(d)	.621/2	8,749,655	13 - 7%
1956	28,928,604	607,435	322,782	.23	.37 1/2	12,386,859	10 1/8 - 6 3/8
1957	33,254,735	923,421	424,409	.31	.25	15,747,899	81/8- 4
1958 (a)	39,195,749	1,001,673	1,001,673	.73	.15	20.591.980	22 % - 4 %
1959	46,562,300	2,004,808	1,317,828	.93	.15	22,711,355	38 % - 16 1/2
1960 (9 mo.)	41,277,875	2,991,433	1,378,233	.90	none	25,961,606	$31 - 22\frac{1}{2}$

(a) Includes Radio Receptor Co. Inc. from March 1, 1957, date of acquisition.

(d) Deficit.

#### **GENERAL PRECISION EQUIPMENT CORP.** (NYSE)

\$9,333,000, 5½ \$2,445,000, 5% Preferred: \$4.75 cumu \$1.60 cumu \$3.00 cumu	% notes due, 1970 % notes due, 1974 notes due 1961 lative, no par, 92,6 lative convertible, lative convertible, ertible, no par, 105,	no par, 59.224 sha no par, 193,989 sha:					
1950	\$ 27,072,360	\$ 1,591,899	\$ 1,141,098	\$1.45	\$1.00	\$ 26,371,314	21 % - 12 1/8
1951	29,872,429	1,056,546	1,010,042	.99	1.00	33,671,209	27% - 171/2
1952	54,326,849	2,955,278	1,255,278	1.88	1.00	47,620,429	241/2- 167/8
1953	87,763,925	7,840,349	3,436,349	5.09	1.00	57,101,143	27% - 21%
1954	123.332.634	11,725,090	5,488,090	5.54	1.90	91,357,754	521/4 - 25
1955	133.337.819	5.363.758	2,530,758	2.05	2.40	100,887,108	71 1/2 - 36 1/2
1956	153.261.864	5,194,729	2,394,729	1.64	2.40	119,117,579	531/2 - 341/2
1957	185,093,842	8,994,949	4,263,949	3.03	2.40	132.373.853	4714 - 3014
1958	168.333.316	484.267	304,267	.74(d)	.85	132.010.677	41 - 27
1959	215,588,430	8,968,200	4,198,200	2.63	.25	146,355,442	60 - 31 1/2
1960 (3 mo.)	55,000,000			.84	.25		54 % - 44 1/4

(a) Includes \$163,436 capital gains (\$.12 per share)(d) Deficit.

1959

GENERAL TELEPHONE & ELECTRONICS CORP. (NYSE) Adjusted for 3-for-1 stock split voted April 20, 1960

Capitalization apitalization Debentures (general): 4% convertible, due 1971, \$21,119,000 4½% convertible, due 1977, \$23,880,000 4½% sinking fund, due 1975, \$8,220,000 Debentures (mfg. subsidiaries): 3¾% sinking fund, due 1978, \$15,497,000 4% sinking fund, due 1978, \$15,497,000 4%% sinking fund, due 1975, \$5,640,000 4¾% sinking fund, due 1980, \$19,000,000 4¾% sinking fund, due 1988, \$19,000,000 5½% sinking fund, due 1984, \$25,000,000 Debt (general): 3¾% notes, due 1960,64 \$6 230,000 Debt (general); 3 %% notes, due 1960-64, \$6,230,000 Debt (mfg. subsidiaries); \$5,068,000 Debt (mig, subsidiaries); \$5,068,000 Funded Debt: \$505,165,000 Preferred: 4.25% convertible, \$50 par, 52,602 shares 4.36% convertible, \$50 par, 180,672 shares 4.40% not convertible, \$50 par, 3,255 shares 5.28% convertible, \$50 par, 4,644 shares 5.28% convertible, \$50 par, 117,861 shares Subsidiary preferred: \$173,145,000 Common; \$3,2314 par, 68,688,592 shares Common: \$3.331/3 par, 68,688,582 shares 1950\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 70,080,262(a) \$12,961,343 \$ 4,135,727 1951\_\_\_\_\_ 84,796,003(a) 102,004,210(a) 18,478,234 26,168,493 5,528,812 8,763,425 1952 \_\_\_\_\_ 13,952,116 36,096,000(b) 1953\_\_\_\_\_ 127,946,088(a) 38,753,190 1954\_\_\_\_ 625,680,000(b) -----698,320,000(b) 780,208,000(b) 1955 48,189,000(b) ------------1956\_\_\_\_\_ 57,226,000(b) -----1957 842,551,000(b) 57,378,000(b) -----158.871.000 1958\_\_\_\_\_ 895,161,000 1,081,056,000 59,543,000 72,253,000

277,009,000

(a) Telephone subsidiaries only.

-------

1960 (3 mo.) \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Pro-forma, including Sylvania Electric Products Inc., merged March 5, 1959.

183,622,000

-----

17,427,000

\$ .39

.39

.59 .76(b)

.97(b)

1.05(b) 1.04(b)

1.02

1.13

.26

\$ .30

.30

.33

---

----

.67 .70

.37

4½-4¾-5¼-6¾-8 -5 -

3%

4 3%

5 1/8

6% 7%

4

\$306.606,171

373,751,529 369,288,812

419,646,338

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

1,559,578,000

-----

#### GENERAL TIME CORP. (NYSE)

Capitalization Debt: \$2,300,000, notes. \$6,197,000, 434% convertible subordinated debentures, due 1979. Common: \$2.50 par, 2,004,884 shares.

Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950	\$ 37,020,517	\$ 7,596,472	\$ 3,806,472	\$1.96	\$ .82 1/2	\$ 26,036,498	10 % - 514
1951	38,587,406	6,350,986	2,540,986	1.31	.821/2	27,305,480	$10 - 8\frac{1}{1}$
1952	38,067,854	3,116,498	1,906,498	.98	.6212	31,138,584	9 - 634
1953	50,817,969	4,735,540	2,076,540	1.07	.50 .50	32,285,711	734 - 63%
1954	46,563,346	4,750,182	2,260,182	1.12	.50	33 143,720	10 %- 6 %
1955	49,163,497	4,757,412	2,110,412	1.04	.50	33,151,126	9%= 7%
1956	50.046,672	4.032,148	1,972,148	.99	.50	36,385,309	8 % - 7 %
1957	49,463,436	1,821,060	834,060	.42	.371/2	33,991,339	7 % - 3 %
1958	47,619,165	1,137,647	571,647	.29	.25	34,362,303	8 % - 4 %
1959	59,191,987	3,434,729	1,819,729	.66	.25	45,817,082	29 % - 8 %
1960 (a)	14,751,556	327,927	205,751	.10	25		31 34 - 23

(a) 12 weeks to March 19.

#### GENERAL TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY (NYSE)

Year ending November 30 Capitalization Debentures: 5½% subordinated, due 1982, \$25,500,000; subordinated 6s, due 1982, \$10,532,000; 4¼% subordinated, due 1981, \$1,055,300; 4¾% subordi-nated, due 1981, \$3,419,300; subsidiary debenture 5s, \$965,000. Debt: \$46,199,000, notes, etc. Preferred: 5½% cumulative, \$100 par, 99,718 shares; 4½% cumulative, convertible, \$100 par, 2.763 shares; \$5.00 cumulative, \$100 par, 101.508 shares; 4¼% cumulative, \$100 par, 4,195 shares; 3¾% cumulative, \$100 par, 1.029 shares.

4¼% cumulative, \$100 Common: \$2.50 par, !		14% cumulative, \$10	0 par, 1.029 shares				
1950	\$125,375,837	\$15,718,416	\$ 8,557,616	\$2.10	\$.45	\$ 75,027,859	514 - 278
1951	170,771,522	19,992,236	7,016,641	1.69	.53	98,452.324	91/4- 51/8
1952 1953	185,914,247 205,371,098	12,378,477 10,010,134	6,147,918 6,275,158	$1.46 \\ 1.48$	.60	113,206,476 120,241,084	$10 - 7\frac{1}{2}$ $10\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{1}{3}$
1954	216,986,110	7,542,980	4,502,645	.96	.60	150,811,696	$10^{72} - 0^{78}$ $14^{1}/_4 - 8^{3}/_4$
1953	295,731,096	19,738,731	9,704,731	2.09	.67 + 31/3% stk.	183,243,797	21% - 1378
1956	390,471,772	21,823,129	10,860,129 11,300,355	2.30	.67	237,908,652	$22\frac{1}{4} - 15\frac{3}{4}$
1957 1958	421,165,147 469,782,099	19, <u>3</u> 00,355 23,879,117	11,279,117	2.12(a) 2.06	.67½ +4% stk. .70+2% stk.	261,349,571 276,834,832	$32\frac{7}{8} - 18\frac{3}{12}$ 50 $\frac{1}{4} - 22\frac{1}{4}$
1959	676,942,133	49,124,080	25,491,292	4.84	.77½ +2% stk.	326,719,314	86 34 - 44 15
1960 (3 mo.)	167,518,280	11,348,523	6,448,523	1.16	.25		81 3/8 - 58
(a) Includes RKO Te	eleradio Pictures In	nc.					
GENERAL TRANSISTO	R CORP. (ASE)						
Capitalization	070 -1000						
Common: \$1 par, 884							(2)
1953 (Incorporated Nov. 1954	\$ 130)	\$ 18,512(d)	\$ 18,512(d)	\$ .06(d)	none		(a) (a)
1955	112,573	5,720(d)	5,720(d)	.02(d)	none		(a)
1956	1,131,748	335,035	183,055	.32	none	0.100.100	534- 114
1957	3,946,095 6,867,879	764,865 1,170,412	359.865 591,365	.56 .77	none none	\$ 2,473,499 3,889,107	$15 - 4\frac{3}{5}$ $25\frac{7}{8} - 8\frac{5}{5}$
1958 1959	10,278,585	1,127,306	537,306	.61	none	7,570,514	46 % - 24 1/2
1960					none		31 % - 20 %
(a) Privately owned.	(d) Deficit.						
(a) Flivately Owned.	(u) Denciu.						
GIANNINI CONTROLS Capitalization—Debt: \$ Preferred: 5½% cum	113,123 note.	\$20 par, 25,480 share	·s.				
Common: \$1 par, 357							
1950	\$ 952,418		\$ 83,990(d)	(b)e8. \$	none		
1951	2,571,379	A 997.000	194,619 263,726	1.46 1.91	none	\$ 2,191,793	
1952 1953	4,704,034 4,334,195	\$ 827,909 328,247	103,247	.39	none	1,873,305	
1954	4,308,467	222,617(d)	52,617(d)	.27(d)	none	2,138,192	
1955	6,436,330	620,787	290,787	.94	none	2,845,110	9% - 4%
1956 1957	9,510,091 10,553,918	715,521 604,736	339,521 310,736	1.05	\$ .25 + 200% stk. none	4,253,037 4,183,512	$13\frac{3}{4} - 9\frac{1}{2}$ $21\frac{1}{2} - 11$
1958	10,675,410	791,096	390,096	1.09	none	4,352,776	261/2- 12
1959	13,070,501	1,051,423	482,423	1.25	none	5,777,625	4612 - 21
1960					none		46 % - 32 1/1
(d) Deficit.							
<b>GLOBE-UNION INC.</b>	(ASE)						
Capitalization—Debt: \$	3,307.000. Common	: 5 par, 837.415 sha					1
1950	\$ 41,348,440	\$ 5,399,747	\$ 2,699,747	\$4.22	\$1.90	\$22,531,771	25% - 11
1951 1952	40.686.581 45,877,113	2,743,136 3,254,071	1,508,136 1,608,071	2.25 2.40	1.00	20,864,200 22,921,907	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1953	48,180,147	3,392,276	1,682,276	2.35	1.10 + 21/2% stk.	23,359,305	27% - 22
1954	44,106,364	1,209,280	569,280	.79	1.20	20,125,253	24 - 191/2
1955 (a)	56,622,579	3,800,510	1,671,996	2.03	1.20 1.20	23,595,716 27,315,879	$24\frac{\%}{2} - 20$ 22 - 16\frac{\%}{2}
1956 (a) 1957	58,667,310 65,036,285	2,366,383 2,879,282	1,166,383 1,339,282	$1.42 \\ 1.62$	1.20	27,570,612	20 34 - 16 58
1958	59,246,085	3,091,621	1,466,621	1.78	.80	26,739,170	211/2- 1458
1959	65,170,127	4,904,746	2,269,746	2.72	1.20	28,130,500	291/4- 201/4
1960 (3 mo.)	14,368,111		442,600	.53	.25		3434 - 25
(a) Includes WICO E	lectric Co. acquired	d June 14, 1956, for	both years.				
GROSS TELECASTING							
Capitalization—Common	n: \$1 par, 200,000 s	hares; Class B, \$1 p	ar, 200,000 shares.				
1950	\$ 515,317	N.A.	\$ 107,149	\$ .27	none	N.A. N.A.	
1951 1952	906,524 1,452,531	N.A. \$ 749,599	196,508 357,077	.49 .89	none none	N.A. N.A.	
1953	1,857,326	927,933	419,891	1.05	none	N.A.	
1954	2,241,589	1,320,464	639,464	1.60	none	\$ 2,992,157	
1955	2,607,530 2.815,408	1,499,947 1,568,926	724,947 741,926	1.81 1.85	\$ .90 1.30	3,268,490 4,015,248	20 - 15
1956 1957	2,733,846	1,399,239	674.239	1.68	1.60	3,865,137	21 % - 14 %
1958	2,769,918	1,581,373	766,373	1.91	1.60	4.399,563	18 12 - 14 4
1959	2,562,605	1,307.392	672,418	1.68	1.60	4,533,350	$24\frac{16}{16} - 18\frac{14}{18}$ $21\frac{16}{16} - 18\frac{14}{18}$
1960					.40		MANAGE TON

N.A. Not available.

÷.

HAZELTINE CORP. (ASE) Capitalization Debt: \$132,817 Common: No par, 1,538,826 shares

Common: No par, 1,53	8,826 shares	_					
Year 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1958 1958 1959 1959 1960	Sales \$ 4,078,001) 6.957,344 9,237,190 10,057,032 8,525,768 5,947,166 6,918,475 55,700,484 58,869,907 54,408,191	Pre-Tax Earnings \$ 2,783,741 4,938,790 6,578,732 7,256.906 5,733,264 3,531,824 4,240,162 4,296,612 4,722,227 5,344,773	Net Profit \$ 1,428,431 1,459,490 2,006,790 2,085,706 2,666,264 1,604,824 2,007,162 2,030,612 2,246,227 2,724,773	Net Per Share \$1.02 1.05 1.44 1.49 1.91 1.12 1.40 1.39 1.50 1.77	Dividends \$ .44 .75 .75 1.00 1.00 .70 + 1¼% stk. .70 + 1¼% stk. .70 + 1¼% stk. .75 + 2% stk. .20	Total Assets 25,090,342 25,862,549 26,266,608 25,560,204 22,798,931 27,535,758 32,139,811 27,432,313 30,913,531	Price Bange $6\frac{1}{4} - 4$ $11\frac{1}{8} - 6$ $13\frac{1}{4} - 8\frac{3}{4}$ $15 - 9\frac{1}{2}$ $29\frac{5}{4} - 13$ $29\frac{5}{4} - 13$ $29\frac{5}{4} - 18\frac{1}{4}$ $24\frac{1}{2} - 16\frac{1}{2}$ $30\frac{1}{4} - 15\frac{1}{4}$ $37\frac{1}{2} - 27\frac{1}{4}$ $30\frac{5}{3} - 23\frac{1}{4}$
(a) 1957 figures reflect	t gross sales, prior	years are reported	a on a net basis.				
HERMES ELECTRONICS Year ending January Capitalization Preferred: \$5 cumulat Common: 10 cent par, 1955 (Incorporated May 2 1956 (a) 1957 1958 1959	<i>31</i> tive convertible, no 993,220 sharcs	9 par, 3,665 shares \$ 96,151(d) 532,739(d) 71,931 70,287	\$ 96,151 (d) 532,739 (d) 71,931 70,287	\$ .19(d) 1.01(d) .12 .10	none none none none	 \$ 1,447,287	  11 <sup>3</sup> 8- 4 <sup>1</sup> 2
1960	3,829,957	203,691	203,691	,21	none	2.466,597	15°- 85,
(a) 9 Months to Jan	.31. (d) Deficit.						
HEROLD RADIO & ELI Year ending February Capitalization Debentures: \$1,500,000 Preferred: \$5 par, 73, Common: 25 cents pan 1950 (incorporated March	y 28 subordinate conve 428 shares. r, 543,301 shares		ne 15. 1974.				(8)
1951 1952	\$ 929,152		\$ 99,038(d)	\$ .32(d)	none		(a) (a)
1953 1954	1,810,169 4,337,362		36,584(d) 82,804	.12(d) .27	none		(a) (a)
1935 1936	3,634,548 5,332,154		65,252 27,693	.21 .09	none 2% stk.	\$ 1,808,306	$     3\frac{1}{4} - 2 \\     2 - 1 $
1957 1958	8,313,252 10,111,253	\$ 270,063	171,538 166,063	.55 .34	\$ .15 .05	2,631,579 5,045,593	$3\frac{1}{8} - 1\frac{3}{4}$ $8\frac{1}{6} - 2$
1959 1960 (6 mo.)	10,491,781 3,894,728	353,782	185,782 106,100(d)	.31 .20(d)	none	7,094,187	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(a) Privately owned.							
(d) Deficit. <b>HEWLETT-PACKARD C</b> <b>Year ending October</b> Capitalization Debt: \$630,708. Common: \$1 par, 3,264 1950 1951 1953 1954 1955 1955 1956 1957	\$1 4,333 shares \$ 2,301,744 5,538,889 10,952,980 12,839,406 12,599,096 15,338,179 20,161,621 27,948,790	\$ 2,337.955 2,579,544 1,491,784 2,874,057 3,738,990 4,998,443	\$ 705,839 765,868 640,770 1,316,236 1,083,266 2,402,557	* .23 .25 .21 .43 .59 .80	 none none none none none none	\$14,190,515 14,661,504	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) 17 - 15 <sup>3</sup> 2
1959	35,653,353 47,745,073	8,148,315	2,571,952 3,899,941	.79 1.20	none none	15,795,237 26,326,394	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1960 (3 mo.)	13,271,451	w	1,145,621	.35	none		78 - 41 <sup>s</sup> .
(a) Privately owned.							
IIIGH VOLTAGE ENGIN Capitalization Debt: \$808,645 Common: \$1 par, 391,5 1950		(Unlisted) \$ 97,311	\$ 54.213	\$.15	\$ .10		
1951 1952	1,155,250 1,113,336	115,837 120,268	62,631 59,520	.17 .16	.10		
1953 1954	1,452,557 1,881,004	126,193 171,446	66,651 86,998	.21 .28	.10 .10	\$ 1,450,595 1,479,327	
1955 1956	2,007,101 2,812,885	205,453 322,852	106,452 167,852	.29 .45	.10 .10	2,749,132 4,642,995	31 - 20 1/2
1957 1958	4,894,075 5,768,509	664,986 884,139	330,436 434,139	.89 1.12	.10 .10	5,539,492 5,913,120	$27 - 17\frac{1}{2}$ 50 - 23
1959 1960	7,087,916	1,261,062	626,062	1.60	.10 3% stk.	6,702,271	$77\frac{1}{2} - 47$ 123 - 56
HOFFMAN ELECTRON1 Capitalization Debt: \$1,800,000 Common: 50 cents pa:		 E)			5% Sta.		123 - 55
1950 1951	\$ 29,5 <del>14</del> ,473 20,355,999	\$ 3,768,567 202,839	\$ 1.980,994 231,619	\$1.34 .19	$12^{1}2$ .12 <sup>1</sup> 2	\$10,720,620 11,936,215	$10\frac{7}{8} - 3\frac{1}{2}$ 7 - 3 $\frac{3}{4}$
1952 1953	36,566,955 50,415,146	3,662,318 3,036,380	1,765,272 1,167,380	1.19 .79	.12 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> .50	18,543,902 15,657,392	7 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> - 5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> - 8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
1951 1955	42,647,008 44,416,673	3,202,513 3,241,596	1,485,513 1,560,596	1.00	.50 .50 .50	16,272,669 22,472,037	12 - 6% 15% - 10%
1935 1936 1957	46,580,279 40,968,617	3,241,556 3,330,883 3,517,372	1,560,596 1,601.974 1,655,372	1.08	.50 .50 .50	18,446.923 18,669,699	$12\frac{5}{8} - 9\frac{1}{4}$ $12\frac{5}{8} - 9\frac{1}{4}$ $12\frac{7}{8} - 8\frac{5}{8}$
1957 1958 1959	40,908,617 39,544,064 46,359,832	3,632,509	1,712,509	1.16	.50	19,709.241	223/4- 101/2
1959 1960	46,359,832	4,130,165	1,990,165	1.31	.5712 .15	32,040,222	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

2

## HYCON MANUFACTURING CO. (Unlisted)

Year Ending January 31 Capitalization Debt: \$225,000 5% debentures due 1965 Preferred: 5½% Cum. conv. pfd., \$10 par, 38,872 shares Common: 10 cents par 3,521,690 shares

Common: 10 cents pa		ai, 30,012 Shares					
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1951	\$ 1,880.906	\$ 30,768		\$ .10	none		
1952 1953	4,154,039 3,953.000	203,196 192,282	\$ 82,282	.02 .07	none	\$ 2,115,124	2 - 7/8
1954 1955	12,115,300 11,943.793	124,433 982,592	67,733 443,592	.03 .21	none	4,829,717 6,806,110	$7\frac{5}{4} - 1\frac{5}{8}$ $10\frac{3}{4} - 6\frac{5}{8}$
1956 1957	8,946,386 7,899.262	190,217 3,488,433(d)	80,217 2,822,337(d)	.01 1.07(d)	none	6,622,694 6,782,079	7% - 3
1958	10,564,907 6,163,230	1,163,588(d) 610,057	1,163,599(d) 610,057	.39(d) .16	none	4,613,745 4,238,492	$3\frac{1}{5} - 17_{8}$ $4^{3}s - 17\frac{7}{8}$
1960 (9 mo.)	4,650,925	412,687	412,687	.11	none		$3\frac{1}{4} - 2\frac{1}{4}$
(a) Includes \$451,300 : (d) Deficit.	non-recurring prof	it from sale of Hycon	-Eastern Inc.				
INDIANA GENERAL CO	)RP. (Midwest)						
Capitalization Debt: \$375,000 Notes I Common: \$1 par, 562,							
1950 1951	\$ 6,071,293 7,840,671	\$ 1,075,740 1,836,326	\$ 500,740 586,326	\$1.76 2.06	\$.40 .62½	\$ 3,115,307 3,365,448	$5 - 3\frac{1}{2}$ $9\frac{1}{4} - 9\frac{1}{8}$
1952 1953	6,385,912 8,092,637	888,565 1,463,866	306,565 335,925	1.08 1.18	.55 .683⁄4	3,666,359 3,806,534	8 <sup>3</sup> /8- 6 12 <sup>1</sup> /4- 7 <sup>1</sup> /4
1954 (a) 1955 (a)	11,027,000 13,552,000	1,090,000 1,764,000	467,000 801,000	1.78 2.78	.75 .95	4,129,037 4,744,532	$19\frac{5}{8} - 9$ 23 - 18 $\frac{14}{2}$
1956 (a) 1957 (a)	16,578,000 17,943,000	2,235,000 2,288,000	1,027,000	2.05 2.16	1.20 1.25	6,729,812	2514 - 1914
1958 (a)	15,283,018	1,876,245	888,245	1.77	1.20	6,677,868 6,603,996	$\begin{array}{r} 23\% - 17\% \\ 35 - 17\% \\ \end{array}$
1959 (a) 1960	19,865,219	3,012,879	1,552,449	2.76	1.20 .30	12,682,219	$94 - 31^{3}_{4}$ 91 - 73
(a) Pro-Forma, incl	uding General Ce	ramics Corp., mergee	d Nov. 1959.				
ITEK CORP. (Unlist	ed)						
Capitalization Debt: \$2,550,709 Common: \$1 par, 838,	207 shares						
1950 (a)	\$ 11,924,944	\$ 1,396.630	\$ 774,438	N.A. N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
1951 (a) 1952 (a)	13,729.661 13,563,909	1,626,628 1,277,399	688,704 633,552	N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.
1953 (a) 1954 (a)	14,197,814 14,246,273	1,099,730 672,684	550,225 353,457	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.
1955 (a) 1956 (a)	15,964,429 17,478,890	969,663 819,231	488,301 408,812	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A,
1957 (a) 1958 (b)	18,409,758 25,429,203	14,310(d) 84,663(d)	49,010 (d) 95,337	N.A. \$.18	N.A. none	N.A. \$ 15,489,450	N.A. 15 - 2 <sup>1</sup> 2
1959 1960	25,056,879	585,611	475,483	.57	none none	13,299,702	$63 - 31 \\ 56 - 41$
	only. (b) Pro-for	rma, including Photo			ot avallable.		
INTERNATIONAL BUSI	NESS MACHINES	CORP. (NYSE)					
Capitalization Debentures: 2%%, du	1e 1965, \$20,000,00	0					
Debt: 3½% note, due 3% note, due 1	1971, \$50,000,000						
37/8% notes, du	le 1974, \$30,000,000 e 1977, \$50,000,000	0					
3 34 % note, due	2052, \$115,000,000						
3%% note, due	2055, \$100.000,000 1983, \$25,000,000	)					
Common: No par, 18,2	\$ 214,916,717	\$ 61,101,309	\$33.301,309	\$2.11	\$ .70	\$ 299,952,591	64 - 49%
<b>1951</b> 1953	266,798,483 409,989,104	77.292,090 92,319,210	27,892,090 34,119,210	1.76 1.89	.73	394,119,472 428,228,982	$61\% - 53\frac{1}{4}$ 65% - 49%
1952	333,728,245	78,474,541 98,336,625	29,874,541	2.17	.81	520,438,451	$67\frac{7}{8} - 62\frac{3}{8}$ 100 - 52 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
1954	461,350,278 563,548,792	117,672,633	46,536,625 55,872,633	2.95 3.37	.99 1.04	565,475,154 629,510,998	120 - 934
1936 1937	734,339,780 1,000,431,597	143,784,510 186,291,589	68,784,610 89,291,589	4.15 4.91	1.27 1.47	769,049,451 1,086,969,222	150 - 99 s 251 - 166
1958 1959	1,171,788,199 1,309,788,037	256,191,858 300,133,212	126,191,858 145,633,212	6.93 7.97	.93 + stk. 2.03 + stk.	1,261,146,905 1,390,637,247	368 -200 488 -329
1960 (3 mo.)	339,852,677	72,028,509	35,178,509	1.92	.75		47114-40714
INTERNATIONAL RECT		(Unlisted)					
Year ending June 30 Capitalization Common: \$1 par, 1,19				v			
1950 (a) 1951 (a)							<u></u>
1952 (a) 1953 (a)							
1954 1955	\$ 4,538,889 5,180,103	\$ 687,857	\$ 199,723 341,162	\$.20 .33	none none	(a) (a)	(a) (a)
1956	7,857,009 8,001,962	1,260,793 1,246,763	616,161 609,489	,59 ,58	none	(a) (a)	(a) (a)
1958 1959	8,766,173 10,870,038	1,515,383 1,809,146	735,783 877,371	.70 .79	none 5% stk.	\$ 3,790,167 5,493,896	$22\frac{1}{4} - 14$ 35 - 20
1960 (6 mo.)	6,396,201	1,260,725	602,915	.53	none	6.885,804	41 14 - 26 14

(a) Privately owned.

ł.

Common: 10 cents pa	ar, 1,379,398 shares						
		Pre-tax	Net	Net Per		Total	Price
Year	Sales	Earnings	Profit	Share	Dlvidends	Assets	Range
1950 1951	\$ 11,085,109 12,973,170	\$ 2,209,584	\$ 1,056,638	\$1.01	\$.30	\$ 7,550,975	$6 - 2\frac{1}{8}$
1952	11,778,836	2,134,675 1,372,017	754,675 577,877	.71 .44	.40 .30	6,465,078 6,394,361	$6\frac{5}{4} - 4\frac{5}{4}$ $5\frac{3}{4} - 4$
1953	12,755,041	1,083,348	508,058	.38	.20	7,438,253	5 1/8 - 3 %
1954	13,207,649	1,254,817	603,320	.45	.30	7,750,524	5 1/8 - 3 3/4
1955 1956	15,684,722 16,787,913	1,043,138 1,208,599	533,296 523,416	.40 .39	.20 .20	9,131,582 8,821,231	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1957	15,374,721	1,160,818	469,870	.35	.20	8,149,869	61/8 - 35/8
1958	13,743,865	1,189,268	504,268	.37	.20	7,964,981	91/2 - 33/4
1959 1960	19.810.403	3,763,859	1,783,859	1.29	.35 .05	10,391,298	23½ - 7 25% - 18¼
							10/0 10/4
INTERNATIONAL TEL	EPHONE & TELEC	GRAPH CO. (NY	SE)				
Capitalization							
Debt: \$10,491,500, 4%	% convertible subord	dinated debentures	due 1983. \$15,000,	000, 15-yr. 3%	debentures, due May	15, 1961, \$4,260,000, 5	½% loans, due
1968 Subsidiary Debt: \$13	35.760.982. Subsidiar	v preferred: \$9.610	.555.				
Common: No par, 15			,				
1950	\$253,136,029	\$ 33,800,000	\$ 13,259,000	\$1.02	\$ .07½ + stk.	\$451,731,000	8 - 45/8
1951	297,952,113	42,401,000	17,992,000	1.30	.321/2	512,580.000	91/2 - 61/2
1952 1953	397,562,175 408,029,558	54,171,000 55,338,000	22,147,753 22,377,611	1.55 1.56	.40½ .50	579,705,657 602,761,430	10% - 7% 10% - 6%
1954	423,830,623	51,863,576	20,068,525	1.40	.50	636,969,623	13 % - 8 %
1955	502,760,050	62,851,571	23,070,327	1.61	.65	687,451,677	151/2~ 117/8
1956 1957	559,625,294 653,469,480	73,347,000 63,870,680	28,109,946 22,412,814	1.96 1.56	.90 .90	760,837,677 799,873,050	$18\frac{3}{4} - 14\frac{5}{8}$ $18\frac{7}{8} - 12\frac{7}{8}$
1958	703,010,679	69,009,755	26,600,168	1.85	.90	869,005,965	32 % - 14 %
1959 1960	780,666,408	74,378.624	29,035,688	1,90	.97½	932,268,809	$45\frac{1}{2} - 28$ 42 - 32
1000	*				.50		42 - 52
INTERSTATE ENGINE	ERING CORP.	Unlisted)					
Year ending April		Cinfisteu)					
Capitalization							
Debt: \$430,626							
Common: \$1 par, 1.04							
1950 1951	\$ 2,864,000 3,809,000	\$ 209,000 314,000	\$209,000 180,000	\$.21 .18	none none	\$ 1,303,034 2,181,778	$3 1\frac{1}{4}$ $2\frac{1}{4} - 1\frac{3}{4}$
1952	6,692,000	372,000	168,000	.17	\$ .04	3,388,716	$2\frac{3}{8} - 1\frac{5}{8}$
1953	9,336,000	614,000	210,000	.21	.07	3,839,242	3 1/8 - 2 1/8
1954 1955	8,774,000 7,027,000	875,000 666,000	369,000 328,000	.37 .33	.11 .25 + 10% stk.	3,558,025 3,143,210	$5\frac{1}{8} - 2\frac{3}{4}$ $6\frac{3}{8} - 5$
1956	7,077,000	617,000	313,000	.32	.26	3,191,137	5 1/2 - 3 1/2
1957	11,859,000	777.000	431,000	.44	.07+2% stk.	5,062,684	31/8 - 21/4
1958 1959	13,880,081 16,216,237	940,936 1,700,703	520,367 1.033,487	.53 1.05	.14+2% stk. 38¼	5,651,617 7,625,466	$10\frac{1}{8} - 2\frac{1}{4}$ 26 - 9 <sup>3</sup> 4
1960 (10 mo.)	16,744,128	1,100,103	1,036,437	.99	.10		21 4 - 16 4
JEFFERSON ELECTRIC	C CO. (Midwest)						
Capitalization							
Debt: \$1,960,000, 3%		due Aug. 1, 1968					
Common: \$5 par, 496		£ 1 460 720	e 710 720	64.44	e1 50	0 7 010 500	177/ 193/
1951	\$ 12,444,850 13,947,432	\$ 1,468,730 1,426,516	\$ 718,730 459,516	\$4.44 2.90	\$1.50 1.88	\$ 7,016,500 7,198,125	17% - 12% 21% - 15%
1952	11,438,103	551,475	370,475	.70	1.13	8,052,106	81/4- 71/2
1953 1954	14,666,906 14,298,178	120,736 155,878	71,222 93,878	.14	.48	9,533,434	$7\frac{3}{4} - 4\frac{1}{4}$
1955	15,761,194	698,750	348,750	.18 .66	.48 .15	8,487,076 9,079,994	$6\frac{1}{4} - 3\frac{7}{8}$ $5\frac{3}{8} - 3\frac{3}{4}$
1956	18,206,349	1,604,901	774,901	1.46	.23	9,584,121	$9 - 5\frac{1}{4}$
1957 1958	18,075,748 18,266,430	1,564,532 1,174,010	754,532 529,010	1.43 1.00	.48	9,849,593	9 - 6 9 - 5%
1959	21,279,353	1,274,006	674,006	1.36	.48 .48	10,352,651 10,565,225	$14\frac{1}{4} - 9\frac{3}{4}$
1960					.15		15 1/2 - 12 1/4
JERROLD ELECTRONI	C3 CORP. (Unli	sted)					
Year ending Februar	-y 28						
Capitalization-Debent	ure: \$2,702,000, con	vertible subordinate	ed 6s, due 1975. (	Common: \$1 p	oar, 1,269,500 shares		
1951	\$ 840,808	N.A.	\$ 18,609	\$ .02	none	N.A.	N.A.
1952 1953	984,209 1,280,851	N.A. \$ 67,804	18,696 24,514	.02 .02	none none	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.
1954	2,483,639	335,456	167,663	.15	none	N.A.	N.A.
1955	2,816,634	405,784	202,226	.18	\$ .10	\$ 4,645,300	4 - 2%
1956 1957	3,703,065 5,142,702	248,474 166,134	169,422 161,529	.15 .15	none	4,579,568 4,805,297	$3\frac{3}{4} - 1\frac{3}{4}$ $3\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{5}{8}$
1958	6,055,647	132,322	105,281	.10	none	4,802.609	$5\frac{72}{14} - 1\frac{3}{8}$
1959	7,658,371	621,417	397,656	.36	none	5,812,391	91/4 - 31/8
1960 (9 mo.)	6,312,502	**	727,685	.57	none		$10 - 6\frac{5}{8}$
N.A. Not available.							
LABORATORY FOR	ELECTRONICS INC	(Unlisted)					
Year ending April 3	0						
Capitalization Debt: \$1,191,000 conv	sub depenture 5	168 due 1072					
Preferred: 6% cumu			es				
Common: \$1 par, 458	3,429 shares						
1950	\$ 905 305	6 43 633	e 93 082	¢ 00	none	NT A	

ø

1950	\$ 905,395	\$ 43,633	\$ 23,983	\$ .09	none	N.A.	
1951	2,001,072	93,961	52,561	.20	none	N.A.	
1952	2,759,187	280,637	124,137(d)	.83(d)	none	N.A.	~~~~~~~~
1953	5,129,772	89,549	49,549	.19	none	N.A.	
1954	5,641,386	5,338	11,783	.05	none	\$ 3,725,994	
1955	6,402,708	77,304 (d)	160,174(d)	.61 (d)	none	4,285,627	14 - 9
1956	6,136,726	1,010,386(d)	985,011(d)	3.41(d)	none	4,154,837	$7\frac{1}{4} - 2$
1957	7,057,638	166,988	84,968	.29	none	4,355,528	$8 - 2\frac{3}{8}$
1958	9,429,155	96,346	51,346	.18	none	5,200,822	26 - 3%
1959	20,410,492	1,192,926	577,926	1.21	none	9,412,921	441/2 - 23
1960 (a)	26,257,000	1,541,000	744,000	1.57	none	10,785,816	40 1/2 - 29 1/2

(a) 9 months to Jan. 22. N.A. Not available. (d) Deficit.

LEAR INC. (NYSE) Capitalization—Debt: \$1,4	35,036, notes. \$680,	,000, 4¼% subordin Pre-tax	ated debentures, d Net	ue 1970. Com Net Per	mon: 50 cents par, 2,6		The I is
Year	Sales	Earnings	Profit	Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1930 1951	\$ 7,952,666 21,227,093	\$ 81,132(d) 1,595,631	\$ 81,132 (d) 798,631	\$ .04(d) .40	none	\$ 7,617,298 10,978,105	4% - 1 13/16 6% - 3%
1952 1953	44,065,980 50,693,691	3,873.543 6,023,154	1,097,543 1,665,154	.53 .78	.10 .15	16,755,709 28,179,410	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1954	54,435,637	5,002,227	2,305,727	1.05	\$ .30	24,395,795	9% - 3%
1955 1956	54,600,273 63,900,786	4,360,811 3,406,018	2,115,811 1,506,018	.93 .65	.30 .30	27,109,187 33,526,685	$13\frac{1}{10}$ - 74 104 - 7%
1957 1958	64,692,576 63,627,475	2,108,921 3,257,751	853,921 1,607,751	.36 .68	.15 .10	32,418,665 37,923,376	8 <sup>3</sup> 4- 4 10 <sup>1</sup> 4- 4 <sup>1</sup> 4
1939 1960	87,002,497	4,542,022	2,407,022	.91	.40 .10	51,513,529	$23\frac{1}{4} - 9\frac{1}{3}$ $20\frac{1}{4} - 15$
(d) Deficit.					.10		2074- 15
	(11-11-4-3)						
LEEDS & NORTHRUP CO Year ending May 31	(Unlisted)						
Capitalization Debt: \$5,350,000							
Preferred: 5% cumulativ							
Common: 50 cents par,		ies B, \$25 par, 28,	,130 Shares				
1950 1951	\$ 14,750,000 21,327,000	\$ 954,000 2,706,000	\$ 570,000 1,015,000	\$.65 1.41	\$ .50 .63	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.
1952	30,974,000	4,422,000	1,092,000	1.43	.50	N.A.	N.A.
1953 1954	31,913,450 30,488,550	3,287,832 2,654,539	929,134 875,186	1.12 1.02	.41 .44	N.A. \$17,292,748	N.A. N.A.
1955 1956	27,688,440 30,516,523	1,901.535 2,267,816	800,439 1,163,816	.94 1.92	.44 .45	17,734,747 22,443,345	$14 - 12\frac{1}{27}$ $27\frac{1}{2} - 13\frac{1}{2}$
1957 1958	37,156,180 35,261,501	3,612,552 2,610,527	1,649,152 1,112,127	2.23	.60 + 2% stk. .60	26,483,273 25,349,961	$35 - 20\frac{1}{4}$ 28 - 19%
1959 1960 (9 mo.)	35,961,718 28,424,000	2,976,576	1,353,176 1,139,445	1.67	.60 + 1% stk. .30	27,470,858	$\begin{array}{r} 41^{1}/_{4} - 27^{3}/_{4} \\ 42 - 35^{1}/_{4} \end{array}$
LING-ALTEC ELECTRON			1,100,110	1.55	.50		12 - 5574
Capitalization (a) (b)							
Debt: \$413,920, \$5.000,00 Preferred: 6% cumulati	0, 6% senior note: ve_convertible, \$1	s, due 1974. Deben par. 36.953 shares.	tures: \$2,200,000, 5 Common: 50 cent	%% subording s par. 1.610.82	ated, convertible, due : 20 shares.	Sept. 1970.	
1953	Incorporated Nov	. 4, 1953					
1954 (c) 1955 (c)	\$ 22,151 237,776	\$ 25,888(d) 66,334(d)	\$ 25,888(d) 66,334(d)	\$ .04(d) .11(d)	none		·
1956 (e) 1957 (a)	389,717 1,109,144	27,163(d) 1,224,106	27,163(d) 577,793	.03(d) .48	none	\$ 429,267	8 - 7 8 - 7
1958 (a) 1959 (b)	14,044,161 48,086,785	952,772 3,139,639	487,271 1,866,466	.38 1.31	none	13,154,872 32,530,403	$18\frac{4}{2} - 5$ $44\frac{1}{2} - 16\frac{1}{2}$
1960					none		41 34 - 28
(a) Pro-forma giving	effect to merger, y	ears ended July 31.	(b) Changed to	calendar year	in 1959. (c) Year e	nded October 31, L	ing Electronics
	e) 9 months ende	u July 31.					
LITTON INDUSTRIES IN	C. (NYSE)						
rear enging July 31							
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Depentities: \$835,000 c	onvertible, due 19	ዋ5. \$4 700 በዑ <u>ዓ.</u> 4½ <i>%</i>	6 convertible subo	dinated deba	ntures due June 1974.	\$6 000 000 5 <sup>1</sup> / %	onvertible sub-
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c	lebentures, due De		6 convertible subo	rdinated deber	ntures, due June 1974;	\$6,000,000, 5¼% c	onvertible sub-
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par	lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares		6 convertible subo	rdinated debe	ntures, due June 1974;	\$6,000,000, 5¼% c	onvertible sub-
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75	lebentures, due De ,000. 7, 28,370 shares 52,848 shares	cember 1974	6 convertible subo	rdinated deber	ntures, due June 1974;	\$6,000,000, 5¼% c	onvertible sub-
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1954 (a)	lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 52,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051	cember 1974 . 4, 1953 \$ 347,420	\$ 154,420	\$.22	none	\$ 4,200,176	
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 52,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980.051 8,898,797 14,920.050	cember 1974 4, 1953 \$ 347,420 679,413 1,995,703	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703	\$ .22 .22 .48	none none none	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182	7½ - 4¾ 16¼ - 7¾
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1,00 par, 3,75 1953	lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473	cember 1974 5. 4, 1953 \$ 347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04	none none none none none	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861	$7\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{3}{4}$ 16 $\frac{1}{4} - 7\frac{3}{9}$ 28 $\frac{5}{6} - 18\frac{3}{4}$ 45 $\frac{5}{6} - 18\frac{1}{2}$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par; 3.75 1953 1954 (a) 1955 1956	lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603	cember 1974 5. 4, 1953 \$ 347.420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74	none none none	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383	$7\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{3}{4}$ 16 $\frac{1}{4} - 7\frac{3}{6}$ 28 $\frac{3}{6} - 14\frac{3}{4}$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par; 3.73 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 28,370 shares 28,848 shares Incorporated Nov 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000	cember 1974 5. 4, 1953 \$ 347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439 11,826,756 6,232,000	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85	none none none none 1¼% stk. none	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.73 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 10,2980,051 10,2980,051 10,200,050 28,130,603 125,525,561 125,525,561 177,401,000 (b) All figure	cember 1974 5. 4, 1953 \$ 347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439 11,826,756 6,232,000	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85	none none none none 1¼% stk.	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE)	<ul> <li>. 4, 1953</li> <li>\$ 347.420 679.413 1.995.703 3.232.493 7.044.439 11.826.756 6.232.000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> </ul>	\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Mont	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating	none none none none 1¼% stk. none	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figures SE) nstallment notes,	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347.420</li> <li>679.413</li> <li>1,995.703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044.439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn : \$1 par, 2,353,571</pre>	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares.	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu	\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.	$7\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{3}{4}$ $16\frac{1}{4} - 7\frac{3}{4}$ $28\frac{3}{6} - 18\frac{3}{4}$ $45\frac{3}{6} - 18\frac{1}{4}$ $75\frac{3}{8} - 36\frac{3}{8}$ $81\frac{1}{2} - 57\frac{3}{6}$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1955 1955 1956 1957 1958 (b) 1957 1958 (b) 1959 1960 (6 mo.) 1960 (6 mo.) 1960 (6 mo.) 1960 (0 NY: Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1950	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 10,2848 shares 10,000 in the shares 10,000 in the shares 10,000 in the shares 12,525,561 12,525,555	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn : \$1 par, 2,353,571 \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237</pre>	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18	none none none none 1½% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254,170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 12,848 shares Incorporated Nov 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figures SE) nstallment notes, \$ 31,716.630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044.439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn : \$1 par, 2,353,571 \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337</pre>	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18,854,075 29,824,144</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par; 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE) Installment notes, \$ 31,716.630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669 62,974,430 55,071,765	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420</li> <li>436,413</li> <li>1,019,703</li> <li>1,806,492</li> <li>3,702,203</li> <li>5,975,031</li> <li>3,248,000</li> <li>cquisition of Monn</li> <li>: \$1 par, 2,353,571</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982</li> <li>2,233,237</li> <li>1,343,760</li> <li>2,238,337</li> <li>2,102,530</li> <li>2,426,087</li> </ul>	\$ .22 .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .59 .59	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1,00 par, 3,75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 10,2980,051 10,980,051 11,920,050 28,130,603 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE) nstallment notes, \$ 31,716,630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669 62,974,430	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn : \$1 par, 2,353,571 \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530</pre>	\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09	none none none none 1½% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18.854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par; 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1008. 1009. 100	<ul> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 322,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>1,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628</pre>	\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 10,2980,051 10,980,051 10,920,050 11,920,050 12,130,603 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,561 125,525,645 125,071,765 125,052,646 125,071,765 125,052,646 125,071,765 125,052,646 125,052,646 125,052,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,559 125,557 125,559 125,557 125,557 125,557 125,557 125,559 125,557 125,	<ul> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> <li>6,932,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>.cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902</li> </ul>	\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 toe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1955 1956 1957 1958 (b) 1959 1960 (6 mo.) (a) 9 months to July 31 MAGNAVOX CO. (NYS Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1956 1957 1955 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1955 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1956 1957 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1957 1956 1957 1957 1959 1950 1950 1957 19	lebentures, due De 1000. 1, 28,370 shares 2,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE) Installment notes, \$ 31,716,630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669 62,974,430 55,071,765 70,529,646 87,467,864 82,592,113 90,623,559 60,480,587 26,676,000	<ul> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,222,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</pre>	\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1955 1955 1956 1957 (a) 9 months to July 31 MAGNAVOX CO. (NY: Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 195	<pre>lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 52,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE) nstallment notes, \$ 31,716,630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669 62,974,430 55,071,765 70,529,646 87,467,864 82,592,113 90,623,559 60,480,587 26,676,000 Dec. 31, 1959. Pri </pre>	<ul> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,222,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</pre>	\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	<pre>lebentures, due De ,000. , 28,370 shares 52,848 shares Incorporated Nov \$ 2,980,051 8,898,797 14,920,050 28,130,603 83,155,473 125,525,561 77,401,000 (b) All figure SE) installment notes, \$ 31,716,630 44,177,645 36,837,503 57,959,669 62,974,430 55,071,765 70,529,646 87,467,864 82,592,113 90,623,559 60,480,587 26,676,000 Dec. 31, 1959. Pri (NYSE)</pre>	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044.439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> </ul> due 1969. Common: <ul> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> <li>6,932,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fiscal year</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 roe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54 ar ending Jun</pre>	none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .25 .50 ne 30.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855- 48,359,910 53,117,836</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1955 1956 1957 1958 (b) 1959 1960 (6 mo.) (a) 9 months to July 31 MAGNAVOX CO. (NYS Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1956 1957 1957 1958 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 (a) 1960 (3 mo.) (a) 6 months ending P. R. MALLORY & CO. Capitalization Debt: \$10,164,780 notes.	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439 11,826,756 6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>3,207,982 5,558,237 2,462,760 4,548,337 5,332,530 4,571,087 6,220,442 7,10,826 5,082,628 6,932,496 7,353,902 2,602,000</li> <li>lor to 1960 company</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420</li> <li>436,413</li> <li>1,019,703</li> <li>1,806,492</li> <li>3,702,203</li> <li>5,975,031</li> <li>3,248,000</li> <li>a,248,000</li> <li>a,248,000</li> <li>cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 1 par, 2,353,571 +</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982</li> <li>2,233,237</li> <li>1,343,760</li> <li>2,238,337</li> <li>2,102,530</li> <li>2,426,087</li> <li>3,100,442</li> <li>3,759,226</li> <li>2,622,628</li> <li>3,361,496</li> <li>3,353,902</li> <li>1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fiscal yea</li> <li>e, series A, par \$5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ .22 .22 .48 .74</li> <li>1.04</li> <li>1.62 .85</li> <li>roe Calculating</li> <li>shares.</li> <li>\$1.10</li> <li>1.18 .71</li> <li>1.15</li> <li>1.09</li> <li>1.25</li> <li>1.53</li> <li>1.77</li> <li>1.19</li> <li>1.38</li> <li>1.51</li> <li>.54</li> <li>ar ending Jun</li> <li>0, 74,709 share</li> </ul>	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50 ne 30.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li></ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953 1955 1956 1957 1958 (b) 1959 1960 (6 mo.) 1960 (6 mo.) 1960 (6 mo.) 1950 1950 1951 1951 1952 1955 1956 1957 1958 1955 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1957 1958 1959 1959 1958 1959 1958 1959 1959 1958 1959 1959 1958 1959 1959 1958 1959 1958 1959 1959 1959 1958 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1950 1950 1955	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439 11,826,756 6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982 5,558,237 2,462,760 4,548,337 5,332,530 4,571,087 6,220,442 7,109,226 5,082,628 6,932,496 7,353,902 2,602,000</li> <li>lor to 1960 compan;</li> <li>s.403,758 4,758,314</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>.cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$1 par, 2,353,571 \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fiscal yea</li> <li>e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 toe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54 ar ending Jun 0, 74,709 shar \$2.82 2.13</pre>	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50 ne 30.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li> <li>1,442,178 shares. \$19,079,931 23,531,305</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<pre>cember 1974 . 4, 1953     \$ 347,420     679,413     1,995,703     3,232,493     7,044.439     11,826,756     6,232,000 es in 1958 reflect a due 1969. Common:     \$ 3,207,982     5,558,237     2,462,760     4,548,337     5,332,530     4,571,087     6,220,442     7,109,226     5,082,628     6,932,496     7,353,902     2,602,000 ior to 1960 compan; unulative convertible     \$ 5.403,758     4,758,314     4,607,773     7,897,813</pre>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>.cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fiscal yea</li> <li>e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314 1,897,773 2,547,813</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 toe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54 ar ending Jun 0. 74,709 shar \$2.82 2.13 2.08 2.50</pre>	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li></ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par (a) 9 months to July 31 MAGNAVOX CO. (NY: Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1956 1955 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958 1958 1958 1958 1959 (a) 1959 (a) 1959 (a) 1950 1959 (a) 1959 (b) 1950 1959 (c) months ending P. R. MALLORY & CO. Capitalization Debt: \$10,164,780 notes. 1953 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1955 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1955 1955 1955 1954 1954 1955 1955 1955 1955 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1955 1954 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> <li>6,932,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> <li>lor to 1960 compan;</li> <li>unulative convertible</li> <li>\$ 5,403,758</li> <li>4,758,314</li> <li>4,607,773</li> <li>7,897,813</li> <li>2,396,803</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>.cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 1 par, 2,353,571 \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fisc al yes</li> <li>e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314 1,897,773 2,547,813 1,071,803</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ .22 .22 .48 .74</li> <li>1.04</li> <li>1.62 .85</li> <li>roe Calculating</li> <li>shares.</li> <li>\$1.10</li> <li>1.18 .71</li> <li>1.15</li> <li>1.09</li> <li>1.25</li> <li>1.53</li> <li>1.77</li> <li>1.19</li> <li>1.38</li> <li>1.51</li> <li>.54</li> <li>ar ending Jun</li> <li>0. 74,709 shart</li> <li>\$2.82</li> <li>2.13</li> <li>2.08</li> </ul>	none none none none 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> % stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % stk. .68 + 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % stk. .71 + 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % stk. .75 + 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % stk. .25 .50 ne 30.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750,861 83,254,170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256,171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li></ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par, 3.73 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>cember 1974</li> <li>c. 4, 1953</li> <li>\$ 347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,232,493</li> <li>7,044.439</li> <li>11,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> </ul> due 1969. Common: <ul> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> <li>6,932,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> </ul> ior to 1960 compants imulative convertibili <ul> <li>\$ 5.403,758</li> <li>4,758,314</li> <li>4,607,773</li> <li>7,897,813</li> <li>2,396,803</li> <li>4,960,649</li> <li>5,815,108</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000</li> <li>.cquisition of Monn</li> <li>\$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000</li> <li>y was on fiscal yes</li> <li>e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314 1,897,773 2,547,813 1,071,803 2,225,649 3,065,108</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 toe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54 ar ending Jun 0. 74,709 shar \$2.82 2.13 2.08 2.50 .80 2.04 2.60</pre>	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .77 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk. .77 + 2½% stk. .76 + 2½% stk	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000 ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li> <li>1,442,178 shares. \$19,079,931 23,531,305 20,166,043 33,084,094 33,084,094 33,032,115</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par Common: \$1.00 par; 3.75 1953	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420 679,413 1,995,703 3,232,493 7,044,439 11,826,756 6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982 5,558,237 2,462,760 4,548,337 5,332,530 4,571,087 6,220,442 7,109,226 5,082,628 6,932,496 7,353,902 2,602,000</li> <li>ior to 1960 compan;</li> <li>imulative convertible \$ 5,403,758 4,758,314 4,607,773 7,897,813 2,396,803 4,960,649 5,815,108 7,223,357</li> <li>5,952;526</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,233,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000 y was on fiscal yea e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314 1,897,773 2,547,813 1,071,803 2,225,649 3,065,108 3,138,357 2,872,526</pre>	<pre>\$ .22 .48 .74 1.04 1.62 .85 toe Calculating shares. \$1.10 1.18 .71 1.15 1.09 1.25 1.53 1.77 1.19 1.38 1.51 .54 ar ending Jun 0. 74,709 shar \$2.82 2.13 2.08 2.04 2.60 2.08 1.89</pre>	none none none none 1¼% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .71 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50 ne 30. ************************************	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li> <li>1,442,178 shares. \$19,079,931 23,531,305 20,166,043 33,084,094 33,032,115 38,467,453 51,329,388 57,972,949 55,448,341</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Capitalization Debentures: \$835,000, c ordinated c Long-term debt: \$14,420 Preferred: 5%, \$100 par (a) 9 months to July 31 MAGNAVOX CO. (NY: Capitalization Debt: \$4,500,000, 4½% i 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1956 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957 1958 1957 1958 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1959 1953 1955	lebentures, due De 1000. 100	<ul> <li>4, 1953</li> <li>347,420</li> <li>679,413</li> <li>1,995,703</li> <li>3,222,493</li> <li>7,044,439</li> <li>1,826,756</li> <li>6,232,000</li> <li>es in 1958 reflect a</li> <li>due 1969. Common:</li> <li>\$ 3,207,982</li> <li>5,558,237</li> <li>2,462,760</li> <li>4,548,337</li> <li>5,332,530</li> <li>4,571,087</li> <li>6,220,442</li> <li>7,109,226</li> <li>5,082,628</li> <li>6,932,496</li> <li>7,353,902</li> <li>2,602,000</li> <li>lor to 1960 compan;</li> <li>unulative convertible</li> <li>\$ 5,403,758</li> <li>4,758,314</li> <li>4,607,773</li> <li>7,897,813</li> <li>2,396,803</li> <li>4,960,649</li> <li>5,815,108</li> <li>7,228,357</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 154,420 436,413 1,019,703 1,806,492 3,702,203 5,975,031 3,248,000 .cquisition of Monn \$ 2,007,982 2,233,237 1,343,760 2,238,337 2,102,530 2,426,087 3,100,442 3,759,226 2,622,628 3,361,496 3,353,902 1,266,000 y was on fisc al yes e, series A, par \$5 \$ 2,553,758 1,923,314 1,897,773 2,547,813 1,071,803 2,225,649 3,065,108 3,138,357</pre>	<ul> <li>\$ .22 .48 .74</li> <li>1.04</li> <li>1.62 .85</li> <li>toe Calculating</li> <li>shares.</li> <li>\$1.10</li> <li>1.18 .71</li> <li>1.15</li> <li>1.09</li> <li>1.25</li> <li>1.53</li> <li>1.77</li> <li>1.19</li> <li>1.38</li> <li>1.51</li> <li>.54</li> <li>ar ending Jun</li> <li>0, 74,709 shar</li> <li>\$2.82</li> <li>2.13</li> <li>2.08</li> <li>2.50</li> <li>.80</li> <li>2.08</li> <li>2.08</li> </ul>	none none none none 1½% stk. none g Machine Co. in Janu \$ .20 .49 .59 .59 .62 .65 + 2½% stk. .68 + 2½% stk. .75 + 2½% stk. .25 .50 ne 30. es. Common: \$1 par, \$ .63 .80 .67 1.13 1.34 1.13 1.40 1.40 + 2% stk.	<ul> <li>\$ 4,200,176 8,647,918 10,826,182 16,823,383 57,750.861 83,254.170 95,656,000</li> <li>ary, 1958.</li> <li>\$ 12,625,236 17,256.171 18,854,075 29,824,144 28,543,292 31,728,825 41,567,963 48,491,855 48,359,910 53,117,836 </li> <li>1,442,178 shares. \$19.079,931 23,531,305 20,166,043 33,084,094 33,032,115 38,467,453 51,329,388 57,972,949</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(a) Includes Radio Materials Corp, merged in Sept., 1957, for entire year.

			م				
McGRAW-EDISON CO.	(NYSE)						
Capitalization Debt: \$5,464,000 notes	. Common: \$1 par	, 5,602,820 shares.					
		Pre-tax	Net	Net Per		Total	Price
Year 1950	Sales \$ 80,337,202	Earnings \$15,260,064	Profit \$ 7,795,063	Share \$2.31	Dividends \$.88	Assets \$ 44,198,971	<b>Range</b> 13½ - 9%
1951	86,702,917	15,370,585	6,245,589	1.82	.88	50,014,249	15 1/8 - 11 3/8
1952 1953	104,895,000 121,248,000	15,388,000 15,795,000	7,121,000 6,987,000	1.76 1.73	.88 .88	61,425,000 68,552,000	$17\frac{3}{4} - 13\frac{7}{8}$ $18\frac{1}{4} - 14\frac{1}{4}$
1954	132,804,000	18,420,000	9,417,000	2.30	1.00	77,861,000	28% - 17
1955 1956	142,496,000 248,819,000	19,675,000 31,379,000	9,501,000 15,137,000	2.26 2.96	1.00 1.00	85,566,000 112,522,000	$29 - 22\frac{1}{2}$ $40\frac{3}{8} - 38\frac{1}{2}$
1957 (a) 1958	256.330,000 241.633,000	28,949,000 21,643,000	14.651,000 10,285.000	2.83	1.40	147,704,000	47 = 29%
1959	284,638,000	31,796,000	15,049,000	1.84 2.69	1.40 1.40	157,407,000 177,778,000	41% - 31% 48% - 37%
1960					.35		45 34 - 40
(a) Present name a	dopted Jan. 2, on r	nerger of Thomas A	. Edison, Inc.				
MEREDITII PUBLISHIN	G CO. (Unliste	d)					
Year ending June 30							
Capitalization Notes Payable: \$800.0	00. Common: \$5 p;	ar. 1.299.421 shares.					
1950	\$ 24,469,838	\$ 5.041,376	\$ 3,117,560	\$2.42	\$1.75	\$16,151,715	15 34 - 12 34
1951 1952	29,277,838 33,587,255	6,580,696 7,416,949	2,934,841 2,938,616	2.28 2.28	.67 ½ .80	18,852,617 31,724,336	18 - 14
1953	39.009,361	8,022,751	3,349,453	2.60	1.071/2	32,717,314	$16\frac{1}{4} - 14$ $20\frac{1}{4} - 15\frac{1}{8}$
1954 1955	41,298,782 42,753,555	7,887,860 7,628,356	3,682 470 3,623,865	2.85 2.81	1.20 1.33	34,390,026 35,049,149	$25\frac{1}{4} - 19\frac{1}{4}$ 32 - 22
1956	48,459,633	8,343,617	4,047,146	3.14	1.60	38,484,600	31 - 24
1957 1958	53,071,711 49,720,636	9,5 <del>4</del> 2,200 7,819,135	4,644,417 3,850,307	3.59 2.97	1.90 1.80	41,536,847 46,121,858	$34\frac{1}{2} - 26\frac{1}{2}$ 37 - 25
1959 1960 (6 mo.)	51,817,401 28,468,938	8,573,827 4,213,354	4,235,770 2,204,854	3.28 1.69	1.80	53,270,067	41 1/2 - 35
			2,201,001	1.05	.45		381/2 - 341/2
METROPOLITAN BROA		P. (Unlisted)					
(Formerly DuMont B Capitalization							
Debt; \$2,332,824, \$6,00 Common: \$1 par, 1,54		ble subordinated de	bentures, due 1975	to be offered	late April or early	May.	
1950	5,012 Shares						
1951							
1952 1953	\$ 2,830,742 4,534,401	\$ 834.525(d) 84,433(d)	\$ 834,525(d) 84,433(d)	\$.88(d) .09(d)	none none		
1954 1955(a)	5,384,053 2,697,185	161,386(d) 222,359(d)	161,386 (d) 222,359 (d)	.17(d)	none	\$ 2,732,416	
1956 (b)	5,355,149		899,593 (d)	.24(d) .95(d)	none none		7 % - 5 % 7 % - 5
1957(c) 1958(e)	8,914,114 14,427,752	243,460 302,035	243,460 302,035	.16 .20	none none	13,217,301 12,710,988	10 % - 6
1959(f)	16,543,422		1,416,108	.91	\$ .15	12,110,900	$13\frac{3}{4} - 6\frac{3}{4}$ $18\frac{5}{8} - 13\frac{3}{4}$
1960					none		$17^{3}_{4} - 15$
(a) 27 weeks to July	17 (b) 50 maala						
		to December 29.	(c) 52 weeks to Dec	ember 28. (d	) Deficit. (e) 52 r	veeks to January 3, 1959	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan	. 2, 1960.	s to December 29.	(c) 52 weeks to Dec	ember 28. (d	) Deficit. (e) 52 v	veeks to January 3, 1959	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA	. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE)		(c) 52 weeks to Dec	ember 28. (d	) Deficit. (e) 52 t	veeks to January 3, 1959	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan MICROWAVE ASSOCIA Year ending Septemi Capitalization	a. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30					veeks to January 3, 1959	
<ul> <li>(f) 52 weeks to Jan</li> <li>M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA</li> <li>Year ending Septemble</li> <li>Capitalization</li> <li>Debt: \$458,480 first m</li> </ul>	1. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1	1971. \$500,000, subor	dinated convertible			veeks to January 3, 1959	
<ul> <li>(f) 52 weeks to Jan</li> <li>M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA</li> <li>Year ending Septemic</li> <li>Capitalization</li> <li>Debt: \$458,480 first m</li> <li>Common: \$1 par, 978</li> </ul>	1. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce	1971. \$500,000, subor	dinated convertible			veeks to January 3, 1959	
<ul> <li>(f) 52 weeks to Jan</li> <li>M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA</li> <li>Year ending Septemble</li> <li>Capitalization</li> <li>Debt: \$458,480 first m</li> <li>Common: \$1 par, 978</li> <li>1950 (Incorporated Aug.</li> <li>1951 (a)</li> </ul>	1. 2, 1960. <b>TES INC.</b> (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce , 7) \$ 81,800	1971. \$500,000, subor nts par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000	debenture 6s, \$ .01	due Sept., 1969 none	veeks to January 3, 1959 	
<ul> <li>(f) 52 weeks to Jan</li> <li>M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA</li> <li>Year ending Septemil</li> <li>Capitalization</li> <li>Debt: \$458,480 first m</li> <li>Common: \$1 par, 976</li> <li>1950 (Incorporated Aug.</li> </ul>	. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce . 7)	1971. \$500,000, subor	dinated convertible ares	debenture 6s,	due Sept., 1969	veeks to January 3, 1959 	
<ul> <li>(f) 52 weeks to Jan</li> <li>M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA' Year ending Septemil Capitalization Debt: \$458,480 first m Common: \$1 par, 976</li> <li>1950 (Incorporated Aug. 1951 (a)</li> <li>1952 (a)</li> <li>1953 (a)</li> </ul>	1. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce . 7) \$ 81,800 259,000 913,500 1,436,049	1971. \$500,000, subor nts par, 387,000 sh  	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .09	due Sept., 1969 none none none none		
(f) 52 weeks to Jan M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA Year ending Septemil Capitalization Debt: \$458,480 first m Common: \$1 par, 976 1950 (Incorporated Aug. 1951 (a) 1953 (a) 1953 (b) 1955 (b) 1956 (b)	1. 2, 1960. TES INC. (ASE) ber 30 ortgage loan, due 1 ,916 shares; 10 ce . 7) \$ 81,800 259,000 913,500	1971. \$500,000, subor nts par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18	due Sept., 1969 none none none	\$ 1,803,557	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA Year ending Septemil Capitalization Debt: \$458,480 first m Common: \$1 par, 976 1950 (Incorporated Aug. 1951 (a) 1952 (a) 1953 (a) 1954 (a) 1955 (b) 1955 1956 1957	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>8,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor nts par, 387,000 sh  	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400 (d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	  \$ 1,803,557 2,491,108	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemble         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (b)         1957         1958         1959 (c)	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ents par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937	$\frac{11\frac{1}{2}-5\frac{1}{4}}{32\frac{5}{8}-10\frac{1}{2}}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA Year ending Septemble Capitalization Debt: \$458,480 first m Common: \$1 par, 978 1950 (Incorporated Aug. 1951 (a) 1953 (a) 1953 (a) 1955 (b) 1956 1957 1958	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ents par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815	
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemble         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (b)         1957         1958         1959 (c)	<ul> <li>L. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ents par, 387,000 sh   	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937	$\frac{11\frac{1}{2}-5\frac{1}{4}}{32\frac{5}{8}-10\frac{1}{2}}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemble         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monutical statements of the second statements of the second statement of the second state</li></ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor nts par, 387,000 sh  	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937	$\frac{11\frac{1}{2}-5\frac{1}{4}}{32\frac{5}{8}-10\frac{1}{2}}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (b)         1955 (c)         1958         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization	<ul> <li>L. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 10 3,916 shares; 10 cet</li> <li>(ASE) \$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monti</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ents par, 387,000 sh  	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937	$\frac{11\frac{1}{2}-5\frac{1}{4}}{32\frac{5}{8}-10\frac{1}{2}}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957         1958         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>0, 2%s, due 1965; \$</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ents par, 387,000 sh  	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	\$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937	$\frac{11\frac{1}{2}-5\frac{1}{4}}{32\frac{5}{8}-10\frac{1}{2}}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,00,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>(,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 moni</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>(), 2% s, due 1965; \$</li> <li>,998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1976 \$2.39	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	 \$ 1.803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937 (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070	$ \frac{11 \frac{1}{2}}{32 \frac{5}{4}} - \frac{5 \frac{1}{2}}{30 \frac{3}{4}} - \frac{10 \frac{1}{2}}{22} $ $ 21 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{15 \frac{1}{2}}{21 \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{15 \frac{1}{2}}{21 \frac{1}{2}} $
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (b)         1956         1957         1958         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE)</li> <li>ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1</li> <li>3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 mont</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% s, due 1965; \$ <ul> <li>998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000,000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%s, due 1976	due Sept., 1969 none none none none none none none non	 \$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937  (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041	$ \begin{array}{r}    $
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957         1958         1959 (c)         1950 (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950	<ul> <li>i. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 (3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800 913,500 1,436,049 1,292,764 2,321,108 2,635,468 4,326,681 6,670,487 4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% t, due 1965; \$ (998,478 shares \$109,281,673 135,150,517 165,710,384 214,018,825</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1976 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     sone     sone</pre>	(d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 123,910,675 \$ 13,127,715	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ 11\frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 32\frac{5}{6} - 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 30\frac{3}{4} - 22 \\ \hline \\ 28\frac{3}{6} - 20\frac{5}{6} \\ 31\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ \end{array}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1951	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 913,500</li> <li>(A36,049)</li> <li>(A36,049)</li> <li>(A32,648)</li> <li>(A35,468)</li> <li>(A35,468)</li> <li>(A35,681)</li> <li>(A570,487)</li> <li>(A259,300)</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>(A38, due 1965; \$ 109,281,673)</li> <li>(A35,150,517)</li> <li>(A35,150,517)</li> <li>(A35,150,517)</li> <li>(A35,150,517)</li> <li>(A35,150,517)</li> <li>(A163,710,384)</li> <li>(A14,018,825)</li> <li>(A29,401,837)</li> <li>(A44,882,068)</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 5. \$1.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50</pre>	(d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1950 (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955         1954         1955         1954         1955         1954         1955         1955         1956         1955         1956	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,231,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 montive WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,234, due 1965; \$ <ul> <li>998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> <li>165,710,384</li> <li>214,018,825</li> <li>229,401,837</li> <li>244,482,068</li> <li>287,944,462</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Inclu	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     sone     sone</pre>	(d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 213,899,754	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ 11\frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{4} \\ 32\frac{5}{8} - 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 30\frac{3}{4} - 22 \\ \hline \\ 28\frac{3}{8} - 20\frac{5}{8} \\ 31\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{4} \\ 90\frac{1}{2} - 58 \\ \end{array}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1952         1951         1955         1959         1959         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 4,326,681</li> <li>(BSE) 4,326,681</li> <li>(BSE) 4,326,103</li> <li>(ASE) 229,401,837</li> <li>(ASE) 244,482,068</li> <li>(ASE) 244,462</li> <li>(ASE) 324,866,719</li> <li>(ASE) 324,866,719</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Incl	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.</pre>	(d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 263,864,450	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957         1958         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1959         1959         1950         1951         1952         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955         1956         1956         1957         1956         1957         1956         1957	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 moni</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% s, due 1965; \$ 998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109.281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> <li>165,710,384</li> <li>214,018,825</li> <li>229,401,837</li> <li>244,482,068</li> <li>287,944,462</li> <li>324,886,719</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 41.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75</pre>	<ul> <li>\$ 82,696,070</li> <li>\$ 12,963,041</li> <li>\$ 123,910,675</li> <li>\$ 133,127,715</li> <li>\$ 145,710,134</li> <li>164,333,867</li> <li>213,899,754</li> <li>246,626,987</li> <li>263,816,450</li> <li>292,038,807</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957         1960 (6 mo.)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1952         1954         1955         1955         1959         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1952         1954         1955         1956         1956         1956         1957         1958         1959         1959         1950         1951         1955         1956         1957         1958	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 9,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 9,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 9,913,500 1,436,049 1,292,764 2,321,108 2,635,468 4,326,681 6,670,487 4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 mon</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673 135,150,517 165,710,384 214,018,825 229,401,837 244,482,068 287,944,462 324,486,719 328,486,719 328,486,122 381,408,597 100,441,803</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 222,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Incl	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.</pre>	(d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 263,864,450	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956         1957 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1952         1954         1955         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1956         1957         1958         1959         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 montive well REGULATO</li> <li>2,24,5, due 1965; \$ <ul> <li>998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> <li>165,710,384</li> <li>214,018,825</li> <li>229,401,837</li> <li>244,462,068</li> <li>287,944,462</li> <li>328,480,122</li> <li>381,408,597</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 222,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Incl	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.</pre>	<ul> <li>\$ 82,696,070</li> <li>\$ 12,963,041</li> <li>\$ 123,910,675</li> <li>\$ 133,127,715</li> <li>\$ 145,710,134</li> <li>164,333,867</li> <li>213,899,754</li> <li>246,626,987</li> <li>263,816,450</li> <li>292,038,807</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (b)         1955 (c)         1956         1957         1958         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1957	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE)</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. from \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 3. \$1.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.85     .50</pre>	 \$ 1.803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937  (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 213,899,754 246,626,987 263,816,450 292,038,807 	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 11 \frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{4} \\ 32 \frac{5}{8} - 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \frac{3}{3} - 22 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 30 \frac{3}{3} - 22 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 31 \frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 31 \frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{8} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{8} \\ 131 - 73\frac{1}{2} \\ 126 - 76 \\ 150 - 111\frac{1}{2} \\ 150\frac{3}{4} - 123\frac{5}{8} \\ \end{array}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (b)         1955 (c)         1956         1957         1958         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1957	<ul> <li>1. 2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE)</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. from \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 3. \$1.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.85     .50</pre>	<ul> <li>\$ 82,696,070</li> <li>\$ 12,963,041</li> <li>\$ 123,910,675</li> <li>\$ 133,127,715</li> <li>\$ 145,710,134</li> <li>164,333,867</li> <li>213,899,754</li> <li>246,626,987</li> <li>263,816,450</li> <li>292,038,807</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 11 \frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{4} \\ 32 \frac{5}{8} - 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 30 \frac{3}{3} - 22 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 30 \frac{3}{3} - 22 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 31 \frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 31 \frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{8} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{8} \\ 131 - 73\frac{1}{2} \\ 126 - 76 \\ 150 - 111\frac{1}{2} \\ 150\frac{3}{4} - 123\frac{5}{8} \\ \end{array}$
(1) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1950 (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1955         1954         1955         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1958         1959<	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 (3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,231,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 montion well REGULATO</li> <li>2,234,5, due 1965; \$ <ul> <li>998,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> <li>165,710,384</li> <li>214,018,825</li> <li>229,401,837</li> <li>244,482,068</li> <li>287,944,462</li> <li>324,886,719</li> <li>328,480,122</li> <li>381,408,597</li> <li>100,441,803</li> </ul> </li> <li>iYSE)</li> <li>75,131 shares. Stoce</li> <li>\$177,104,669</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Inclu	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 pckholder appr \$6.78	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 4.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.75     1.85     .50 oval May 2. Divid     \$2.05</pre>	 \$ 1.803,557 2.491,108 2.997,815 5,996,937 (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 213,899,754 246,626,987 263,816,450 292,038,807 	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (a)         1958 (a)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1951         1952         1954         1955         1955         1956         1957         1956         1957         1958         1959         1959         1950         1951         1956         1957	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 9,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>(ASE) 9,918,500</li> <li>(ASE) 9,13,500</li> <li>(ASE) 1,292,764</li> <li>(ASE) 2,635,468</li> <li>(ASE) 4,326,681</li> <li>(ACT0,487</li> <li>(A259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 mon</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>(ASE) 9,98,478 shares</li> <li>(ASE) 229,401,837</li> <li>(ASE) 229,401,837</li> <li>(ASE) 224,482,068</li> <li>(ASE) 234,486,719</li> <li>(ASE) 324,486,719</li> <li>(ASE) 324,408,597</li> <li>(ASE)</li> <li>(ASE)</li></ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible lares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Incl	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 41.25     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75     1.85     .50 oval May 2. Divid</pre>	 \$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937  (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 213,899,754 246,626,987 263,816,450 292,038,807  end to be increased one-	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 11 \frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{4} \\ 32\frac{5}{6} - 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 30\frac{3}{3} - 22 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 28\frac{5}{6} - 20\frac{5}{6} \\ 31\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 34\frac{1}{4} - 26\frac{3}{4} \\ 54\frac{3}{4} - 33\frac{1}{2} \\ 70 - 50\frac{1}{4} \\ 90\frac{1}{2} - 58 \\ 131 - 73\frac{1}{2} \\ 126 - 76 \\ 150 - 111\frac{1}{2} \\ 150\frac{3}{4} - 123\frac{5}{5} \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1950 (a)         1951 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (c)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1950 (c)         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1954 (b)         1955 (c)         1	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive weights of the second second</li></ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Inclu	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 ockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.66	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     son     Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 3. 4.12½     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50     1.75     1.75     1.85     .50 oval May 2. Divid     \$2.05     .98     1.50     1.50     1.50 </pre>	 \$ 1.803,557 2.491,108 2.997,815 5,996,937 (d) Deficit. \$ 82,696,070 112,963,041 123,910,675 133,127,715 145,710,134 164,333,867 213,899,754 246,626,987 263,816,450 292,038,807  end to be increased one- \$ 55,008,726 61,818,769 81,162,847 86,871,213	$\begin{array}{c}$
(f) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1960 (6 mo.)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1952         1953         1954         1955         1955         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         195	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 montion wells and the second se</li></ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000,000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293 .1 July 15 after stop \$ 13,130,246 7,240,452 7,012,700 7,076,335 7,572,024 8,490,539	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%s. due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 bockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.66 3.91 4.39	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     som Jan. 29, 1959. 3. 41.25     1.12½     1.12½     1.30     1.50 </pre>	 \$ 1,803,557 2,491,108 2,997,815 5,996,937  (d) Deficit. (d) Deficit. (d) Deficit. (end to be increased one- \$ 55,008,726 61,818,769 81,162,847 86,871,213 94,531,084 104,431,218	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $
(1) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (a)         1958 (a)         1959 (c)         1950 (a)         (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1955         1954         1955         1955         1956         1957         1958         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1954	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive weights of the second second</li></ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 222,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Inclu	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1970 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 ockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.62 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.91 4.39 4.12	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     none</pre>		$\begin{array}{c}$
(1) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1959 (c)         1950 (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1956         1957         1958         1959         1950         1951         1952         1953         1950         1951         1952         1953         1953	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7) <ul> <li>81,800</li> <li>259,000</li> <li>913,500</li> <li>1,436,049</li> <li>1,292,764</li> <li>2,321,108</li> <li>2,635,468</li> <li>4,326,681</li> <li>6,670,487</li> <li>4,259,300</li> </ul> </li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 moni</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% s, due 1965; \$ 3098,478 shares</li> <li>\$109,281,673</li> <li>135,150,517</li> <li>165,710,384</li> <li>214,018,825</li> <li>229,401,837</li> <li>244,482,068</li> <li>287,944,462</li> <li>324,486,719</li> <li>328,480,122</li> <li>381,408,597</li> <li>100,441,803</li> </ul> IYSE) 75,131 shares. Stoce \$177,104,669 <ul> <li>135,285,086</li> <li>168,734,653</li> <li>217,964,074</li> <li>205,226,977</li> <li>226,653,953</li> <li>227,562,168</li> <li>226,361,190</li> <li>216,590,325</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000.000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293 -1 July 15 after sto \$ 13,130,246 7,240,452 7,012,700 7,076,335 7,572,024 8,490,539 7,966,817 7,824,431 7,356,213	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. from \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 bockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.66 3.91 4.39 4.12 4.04 3.80	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     no     no     no     no     no     no     no     n</pre>		$\begin{array}{c}$
(1) 52 weeks to Jan         M1CROWAVE ASSOCIA'         Year ending Septemil         Capitalization         Debt: \$458,480 first m         Common: \$1 par, 976         1950 (Incorporated Aug.         1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (b)         1956 (c)         1957 (c)         1958 (c)         1959 (c)         1950 (a) Year ending Do         MINNEAPOLIS HONEY         Capitalization         Debentures: \$5,300,000         Common: \$1.50 par, 6         1950         1951         1955         1955         1955         1955         1957         1958         1951         1955         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957         1958         1957 <td><ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 (3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800 913,500 1,436,049 1,292,764 2,321,108 2,635,468 4,326,681 6,670,487 4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% s, due 1965; \$ (998,478 shares)</li> <li>\$109,281,673 135,150,517 165,710,384 214,482,068 287,944,462 324,486,719 322,480,122 381,408,597 100,441,803</li> <li>IYSE)</li> <li>75,131 shares. Stoce</li> <li>\$177,104,669 135,285,086 168,734,653 217,964,074 205,226,977 226,653,953 227,562,168 226,361,190</li> </ul></td> <td>1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh </td> <td>dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000,000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293 -1 July 15 after stop \$ 13,130,246 7,240,452 7,012,700 7,076,335 7,572,024 8,490,539 7,866,817 7,824,431</td> <td>debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1976 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 ockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.66 3.91 4.39 4.12 4.04</td> <td><pre>due Sept., 1969     none     none</pre></td> <td></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c}</math></td>	<ul> <li>2, 1960.</li> <li>TES INC. (ASE) ber 30</li> <li>ortgage loan, due 1 (3,916 shares; 10 ce</li> <li>7)</li> <li>\$ 81,800 913,500 1,436,049 1,292,764 2,321,108 2,635,468 4,326,681 6,670,487 4,259,300</li> <li>ec. 31. (b) 9 monitive</li> <li>WELL REGULATO</li> <li>2,2% s, due 1965; \$ (998,478 shares)</li> <li>\$109,281,673 135,150,517 165,710,384 214,482,068 287,944,462 324,486,719 322,480,122 381,408,597 100,441,803</li> <li>IYSE)</li> <li>75,131 shares. Stoce</li> <li>\$177,104,669 135,285,086 168,734,653 217,964,074 205,226,977 226,653,953 227,562,168 226,361,190</li> </ul>	1971. \$500,000. subor ints par, 387,000 sh 	dinated convertible ares \$ 7,000 18,400(d) 50,800 78,929 86,667 156,456 51,796 228,107 384,492 263,800 . (c) Includes Wa (c) Includes Wa 1972; \$25,000,000, \$12,500,656 9,277,510 9,081,003 10,329,825 15,345,203 19,278,648 22,463,657 21,367,135 22,562,243 29,399,399 6,161,293 -1 July 15 after stop \$ 13,130,246 7,240,452 7,012,700 7,076,335 7,572,024 8,490,539 7,866,817 7,824,431	debenture 6s, \$ .01 .02(d) .06 .09 .10 .18 .06 .27 .39 .27 vcco Corp. fro 3%4s, due 1976 \$2.39 1.58 1.50 1.65 2.42 2.98 3.40 3.07 3.23 4.20 .88 ockholder appr \$6.78 3.74 3.62 3.66 3.91 4.39 4.12 4.04	<pre>due Sept., 1969     none     none</pre>		$\begin{array}{c}$

1

h.

1

MUNTZ TV INCORPORATED (ASE) Year ending August \$1 Capitalization Debt: \$2,374,130, notes Preferred: \$1 par, 3% preferred, 790,759 shares Common: \$1 par, 1,165,376 shares

Common: \$1 par, 1,165,3'		lares					
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950 (Incorporated April 5					\$ .15	ASSETS	4%- 2%
1951 (a)	\$ 27,147,846	\$ 1,781,353 2,197,004	\$ 749,853 898,004	\$.74 .81	.15+10% stk.	\$ 5,946,468	$2\frac{3}{4} - 1 \frac{15}{16}$ $5\frac{1}{8} - \frac{2\frac{1}{2}}{2}$
1952 (a) 1953 (a)	32,923,661 49,981,467	1,331,318	691,658	.62	none none	7,027,960 9,194,911	$4\frac{5}{8} = \frac{2}{12}$
1954 (a)	17,986,971 8,339,145	8,247,126(d) 266,540	8,301,472(d) 266,540	7.44(d) .24	none	2,968,251 3,166,583	$1\frac{7}{8} - \frac{7}{16}$ $2\frac{3}{4} - 1\frac{1}{8}$
1955 1956	10,496,028	17,944	17,944	.02	none	2,739,323	2 5% - 1
1957 1958	6,025,944 4,057,891	561,237(d) 23,468	561,237(d) 23,468	.50(d) .02	none	1,650,087 1,014,293	$1\frac{5}{8} - \frac{3}{8}$ 2 - $\frac{3}{8}$
1959	6,728,906	420,894	420,894	.36	none	1,577,403	$7 - 1\frac{5}{8}$
1960 (6 mo.)	5,939,772	698,705	698,705	.59	none		6 1/2 - 4 3/8
(a) Year ending Marc	ch 31. (d) Deficit.						
THE MUTER CO. (ASI	2)						
THE MUTER CO. (ASI Capitalization—Debt: \$600		) cents par. 839,52	3 shares,				
1950	\$ 14,389,725	\$ 2,034,200	\$ 1,034,200	\$1.59	\$ .45	\$ 5,234,673	101/2 - 43/4
1951 1952	12,387,390 12,653,060	1,243,423 778,018	595,423 345,573	.91 .52	.60 .60	5,281,531 5,371,762	$9\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{1}{8}$ $9\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{1}{2}$
1953	15,190,004	912,255	447,463	.66	.45+3% stk.	5,254,404	8 % - 5 1/2
1954 1955	12,175,971 12,722,297	468,595 53,375(d)	280,436 84,422(d)	.39 .12(d)	.15+2% stk. .15	5,144,773 5,742,279	$7\frac{3}{2} - 4\frac{3}{4}$ 7 - 4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
1956	12,126,563	38,531	31,646	.04	none	5,200,529	4 7/8 - 21/2
1957 1958	14,301,067 11,636,381	790,191 730,444	377,819 356,105	.50 .44	none 5% stk.	5,880,020 5,864,103	37/8- 21/3 71/4- 21/2
1959	13,796,022	869,002 84.335	410,960 41,154	.49 .05	5% stk. 5% stk.	6,342,769	$12 - 5\frac{1}{2}$ $8\frac{3}{6} - 6\frac{3}{6}$
1960 (3 mo.)	3,076,944	01,333	11,131	.05	J.O SLL.		0.78 - 0.78
(d) Deficit.							
NATIONAL CASH REGIST	TER CO. (NYSE	)					
Capitalization							
Debt: \$41,497,000, notes Common: \$5 par, 7,577,6	23 chares						
1950	\$170,454,660	\$25,578,449	\$12,143,449	\$1.78	\$ .71+stk.	\$134,710,725	1512 - 1038
1951	211,927,501	34,248,000 29,921,165	11,456,000 10,133,165	1.68 1.49	.90 .87	153,390,606 167,999,182	19% - 14¼ 20% - 16½
1952 1953	226,554,764 260,912,851	26,987,738	11,087,738	1.63	.89 + stk.	174,941,792	201/8 - 171/8
1954 1955	259,133,242 301,180,342	28,229,002 37,187,861	12,729,002 15,387,861	1.85 2.22	.95 1.05	183,423,935 210,724,358	$34\frac{5}{8} - 19\frac{1}{4}$ 47 - 33 $\frac{7}{8}$
1956	340,934,415	44,719,936	18,419,936	2.62	1.09	257,661,736	59 - 34 1/8
1957 1958	382,512,387 393,745,615	43,290,065 38,412,399	18,190.065 15,512,399	2.57 2.19	1.20 + stk. 1.20	267,537,464 271,119,816	70% - 46¼ 86¼ - 50½
1959	419,063,676	42,075,972	19,075,972	2.52	1.20 .60+5% stk.	289,075,443	$80 = 55\frac{1}{8}$ 67 = 55 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
1960					.00 + 3% SIL.		01 - 3574
NATIONAL CO. INC.	Unlisted)						
Capitalization							
Debt: \$1,700,000 notes Preferred: \$3.60 cumula	tive preferred; no	par, 3,180 shares					
Common: \$1 par, 726,46'		A 17 476	\$ 17,354	\$.01	\$ .021/2	\$ 2,823,320	3 - 7/8
1950 1951	\$ 4,175,229 4,525,219	\$    17,476 46,859(d)	\$ 17,354 42,957(d)	.11(d)	none	4,228,097	2 3/8 - 1 5/8
1952	9,261,000 7,095,593	232,578 486,718	172,578 228,218	.33 .44	.05 .05	4,861,352 4,850,767	$2\frac{5}{8} - 1\frac{5}{8}$ $3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$
1953 1954	7,095,593	518,834	230,334	.42	.10 + 2% stk.	3,819,795	61/2 - 21/2
1955 1956	5,125,607 6,856,734	780,965(d) 66,296(d)	380,965(d) 36,296(d)	.72(d) .09(d)	.10+2% stk. 2% stk.	5,414,524 4,364,889	91/4- 47/8 53/4- 41/8
1957	5,566.627	148,104	72,104	.11	2% stk.	4,397,035	5 <sup>3</sup> % - 4 <sup>1</sup> /4 8 <sup>1</sup> % - 5
1958 1959	7,433,813 12,942,987	336,063 640,034	109,063 351,834	.16 .47	2% stk. 4% stk.	5,111,667 6,106,534	161/2- 734
1960					none		15 % - 13 %
(d) Deficit.							
,							
NATIONAL THEATRES &		(NYSE)					
Year ending September Capitalization	30						
Debt: \$35,668,486							
Common: \$1 par, 2,705,6 1952 (Incorporated May 1)		\$ 4,352,391	\$ 1,877,391	\$ .68	none	\$59,244,736	5% - 3%
1953	64,015,854	5,439,909	2,514,909	.91	\$.30	60,967,777 60,425,828	7 3/4 - 4 1/8 10 1/4 - 6 7/8
1954 1955	64,497,254 61,692,043	6,056,850 5,736,008	2,856,850 2,886,008	1.03 1.04	.35 .50	60,749,848	121/4 - 81/2
1956	59,707,251	6,698,057	4,648,057 2,266,096	1.72	.50 .50	57,003,852 54,982,420	9¼ - 7 9½ - 7
1957 1958	58,927,856 53,667,765	4,466,096 2,001,749	1,301,749	.48	.50	55,152,500	11 1/2 - 7 %
1959 (a)	66,758,211 17,475,631	1,557,117 401,159	1,497,117 401,159	.55 .15	.50 4% stk.	113,365,178	14% - 9% 13 - 8%
1960 (b)							
(a) Includes National	Telefilm Associate	s Inc., from April	1, 1959. (b) 13 V	veeks to Dec.	43.		
NATIONAL VIDEO CORP	ORATION (ASE	)					
Year ending May 31							
Capitalization							
Debt: \$552,190 Common: \$1 par, Class	A 283,307 shares						
\$1 par, Class	B 333,360 shares		( 90 385 (A)	\$ .05(d)	none		(a)
1955 1956	\$ 11,224,324 9,518,691		\$ 28,365 (d) 6,714 (d)	.01(d)	none		(a)
1957	10,398,924 11,697,930	\$ 336,886 567,021	192,886 350,021	.31 .57	none		(a) (a)
1958 1959	14,853,531	1,468,996	1,018,996	1.65	none	\$ 5,283,673 5,324,468	15% - 14% 14% - 12
1960 (6 mo.)	8,290,672		530,926	.86	\$.45	0,041,100	13/8 14
(a) Privately owned	(d) Deficit						

(a) Privately owned. (d) Deficit.

# NUCLEAR-CHICAGO CORP. (U Year ending August 31 Capitalization Debt: \$550,000 note Common: \$1 par, 701,930 shares (Unlisted)

Common: \$1 par, 701,930	shares	-	<b>.</b>				
Year 1950 \$ 1951 1953 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959 1959 (3 mo.) (d) Deficit.	Sales 642,134 765,082 1,178,129 1,015,010 1,179,572 1,845,643 2,197,874 2,587,193 3,851.078 5,147,238	Pre-tax Earnings  \$ 218,820 358,722 515,746 908,252 1.098,986 308,791	Net Profit \$ 27,575 18,642 49,719 21,899 (d) 19,773 108,820 177,222 252,946 442,252 532,986 156,491	Net Per Share \$ .06 .04 .10 .05(d) .04 .22 .35 .44 .71 .81 .22	Dividends none none none none 5% stk. 2½% stk. 2½% stk. 5% stk. 5% stk.	Total Assets  \$ 921,510 1,073,391 1,478,028 2.264,272 3,535,591 3,494,564	Price Range 3 - 1% 2½ - 1% 2¾ - 1% 3¼ - 1% 3½ - 3 3% - 3 7¾ - 3% 32 - 7½ 3½ - 26¾ 32¾ - 23
OAK MANUFACTURING C	0. (Midwest)						
Capitalization Common: \$1 par, 655,894	shares						
1950 (a)       3         1951 (c)       1952         1953       1953         1954       1955         1956       1956         1958       1959         1959       1960         1960       1959	\$ 13,145,807 7.644,627 15,925,959 20,680,957 18,788,318 22,783,785 24,902,554 20,875,613 15,537,850 18,442,747	\$ 2.713,088 1,608,939 3,073,109 3,529,017 2,801,155 3,588,483 3,684,105 2,224,131 1,179,426 2,051,685		\$1.81 .91 1.68 1.89 2.02 2.57 2.72 1.65 .95 1.51	\$1.12 .84 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.26 + 25% stk. 1.40 1.40 1.10 1.00 .25	\$ 7,102,958 6,972,048 7,927,814 8,666,478 9,274,030 10,140,303 10,878,501 10,695,216 11,074,655 12,036,362	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(a) Year ending May 31		endar year. (c) 7	months to Dec. 31				
OXFORD ELECTRIC CORP Capitalization Common: \$1 par, 393,299	shares						
1950       \$         1951       \$         1952       \$         1953       \$         1954       \$         1956       \$         1957       \$         1958       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1959       \$         1960       \$	\$ 4,554,998 3,955,141 4,403,686 5,712,801 5,418,269 6,714,304 7,696,402 8,615,903 8,704,876 10,304,931	\$ 179.044 180.312 150.312 130.338 114.043 188.961 263.604 217.480 158.131 245.923	\$ 95,450 91,201 78,712 99,886 93,983 130,037 214,904 118,480 119,597 174,280	\$ .29 .28 .24 .31 .29 .40 .66 .31 .29 .49 	<pre>\$ .06 .10 .10 .09 .07 .09 .10 .06 + stk. .07 + stk. .08 + stk. .09 + stk.</pre>	\$ 1,499,230 1,344,610 1,273,660 1,277,240 1,196,027 1,485,392 1,756,332 1,877,175 2,254,259	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
PACIFIC AUTOMATION PF Year ending August 31 Capitalization Debt; \$320,000 notes Common: \$1 par, 533,000 s 1955 Incorporated Sept. 15. 1956			\$    14.641 231,678	\$.04 .59	none		
1958 1959 1960	9,764,900 11,816,342	\$ 893,316 454,781(d)	403,423 212,744 (d)	.99 .40(d)	none none none	\$ 2,712,549 5,012,219	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(d) Deficit							
PACIFIC MERCURY ELECT Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$410,000 Common: Class A&B, 50		list <b>ed)</b> 00 shares					
	\$ 4,713,620 5,947,096 7,057,514 16,983,669 15,065,490 12,214,539 17,332,982 20,001,656 18,477,918 20,154,604 12,723,525	\$ 115,635 31,866 1,108,297 366,515 598,817 921,752 1,184,754 755,235 824,198	\$ 72,135 5,866 426,297 196,015 255,817 482,752 557,754 329,235 352,198 258,345	\$ .14 .01 .61 .28 .37 .69 .80 .47 .50 .37	\$ .05 none none none none none none none non	\$ 2,414,365 3,972,312 6,637,000 5,032,151 3,550,171 7,726,750 9,853,011 9,889,088 10,312,440	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(a) Year ending March 3	31. (b) 15 month	hs to June 30.					
PACKARD-BELL ELECTRO Year ending September 3 Capitalization Debt: \$1,640,968, notes Common: 50 cents par, 75	0	(NYSE)					
	\$ 13,894,713 18,772,528 22,724,273 32,152,750 17,744,136 21,641,690 28,405,060 32,262,878 37,371,081 46,608,062 13,305,018	\$ 2.168.510 2.805.246 3.054.511 3.478.335 464.025(a) 1.241.242 1.962.356 1.394.447 2.092.594 2.865.346 943.592		\$2.61 1.73 1.65 1.66 .24 .93 1.25 1.02 1.46 1.73 .56	\$1.50 1.00 1.00 .80 .32½ .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .25 + 2% stk.	\$ 4,378,079 5,492,521 9,394,702 14,028,133 9,358,050 10,525,383 12,840,259 13,197,105 15,703,863 21,203,288 20,989,591	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

(a) Operating loss.

PARAMOUNT PICTURES Capitalization—Debt: \$17		C) 1: \$1 par, 1,683,598	shares.				
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net	Net Per	Disidents	Total	Price
1950	\$ 81,825,286	\$10,311,275	Profit \$ 6,565,041	Share. \$2.67	Dividends \$2.00	Assets \$117,929,986	Range 22½ - 17½
1951	94,628,572	11,034,665	5,459,273	2.33	2.00	114,479,795	$33\frac{1}{2} - 21$
1952 1953	104,811,289 110,254.081	10,837,159 13,304,563	5.899,871 6.779,563	2.52 3.06	2.00 2.00	116,464,094 118,430,121	$30\frac{7}{8} - 21\frac{14}{20\frac{3}{8}} - 24\frac{12}{20\frac{3}{8}}$
1934	106,920,798	15,651,802	9,003,802	4.10	2.00	128,583,495	4038-2472
1955 1956	112,474,967 96,579,079	16,516,929 10,101,568	9,707,929 8,731,568	4.49 4.43	2.00 2.00	138,924.838	4455 - 36
1957	111,213,462	7,610,201	4,783,201	2.47	2.00	133,672,234 138,279,348	36½ - 27¾ 36% - 28
1958 1959	104,682,090	13,705,266	12,554,266 7,519,000	7.15	2.00	141,671.830	47% - 30%
1960			1,519,000	4.47	2.00 1.00	<b>-</b>	$50^{7}_{B} - 42$ $46^{1}_{A} - 41$
PHILCO CORP. (NYS	E)						
Capitalization						,	
Debt; \$22,234,000 \$200,000, subordi Preferred: Series A \$3 Common: \$3 par, 4,075	1.75 cumulative, \$1	due 1980. \$700,000, 00 par, 100,000 shar	subordinate debent es	ure 4¾s, due 1	1978; \$22,000,000, sub	ordinate debenture 4½:	s, due 1984.
1950	\$335,318,054	\$33,703,616	\$15,483,616	\$4.50	\$2.55	\$121,294,609	231/4 - 20
1951	305,328,670	22,012,646	12,168,046 11,491,207	3.35	1.60	119,476,461	27% - 20%
1952 1953	366,963,850 430,419,858	25,631,457 35,316,077	18,350,577	3.15 4.86(a)	1.60 1.60+5% stk.	144,400.293 168,468,430	36%-26% 36½-26%
1954	349,276,998 373,359,297	10,543.965 17,286,329	6,768,965	1.69	1.60	164.587,570	39% - 28
1955 1956	347,901,014	557,690	8,423,329 398,690	2.13 .01	1.60 .80+1% stk.	178,146,894 203,768,503	43 <sup>3</sup> 8- 30 36 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> - 16
1957 (b)	372,628,558	6,657,000	4,081,000	.93	4% stk.	195,166.979	$13\frac{3}{8} - 11$
1958 1959	351,093.000 397,792,000	5,800,000 15,534,000	2.874.000 7.176,000	.61 1.67	2% stk. .25	182,427,000 204,759,000	$26^{3} - 12^{3} = 36^{3} - 21$
1960 (3 mo.)	101,781,000	3,414,000	1,615,000	.37	none		3814 - 263
(a) Including \$1.33 fro	om sale of TV sta	tion. (b) Includes	Canadian subsidiar	ies.			
PHILIPS ELECTRONIC Capitalization	& PHARMACEUT	TICAL INDUSTRIES	CORP. (ASE)				
Debt: \$1.557,141 Preferred: Class A, \$5 Common: \$5 par, 1,872							
1930	\$ 6,812,118		\$ 134,672 (d)	\$.54(d)	none	·	
1951	6,324,065		207,299 (d)	.89(d)	none		
1952 1953	6,298,427 4,403,027		459,454 (d) 371,422 (d)	1.96(d) 1.59(d)	none none		7% - 431
1954	3,743,074		530,151 (d)	2.27(d)	none		81/2 - 5
1955 1956	3,444,976 2,671,377	\$ 948,273(d)	312,678 (d) 954,941 (d)	1.34(d) 3.71(d)	none none	\$ 5,587,700	$8\frac{14}{12} - 5\frac{14}{12}$ 1234 - 64
1957	8,072,512	426.314	376,819	.42	none	12,886,630	2058- 1012
1958	15,048,450 26,989,461	1,207,403 2,886,457	1,175.893 1,839,588	1.31 .92	none	16,101,246 36,326,661	$267_8 - (11)$ 50 $\frac{1}{4} - 23^{1}\frac{1}{2}$
1959 1960	20, 309, 401	2,000,407	1,039,300	.92	none		41 % - 31 12
(d) Deficit.							
POLARAD ELECTRONIC Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$1,159,400							
Preferred: 5½% cumu Common: \$1 par, 532,2		50 snares					
1950 (11 mo.)	\$ 144.340	\$ 57,254	\$ 39.651	\$.20	none	N.A.	(a)
1951	549,014	58,108	39,332	.15	none	N.A. N.A.	(a) (a)
1952 1953	1,251,333 2,959,571	99,886 118,337	51,632 52,593	.16 .14	none	N.A.	(a)
1934*	3,830,175	191,744	82,488 136,128	.21	none	N.A. N.A.	(a) (a)
1955 1956	4,929,157 6,235,862	293,107 324,443	158,617	.34 .39	none	N.A.	(a)
1957	7,304,654	550,145	258.251	.64	none	N.A.	(a) (a)
1938 1959	9.563,938 11,900,206	713.885 972,643	367,085 475,186	.93 .94	none none	\$5,529,263 8,553,685	35 1/2 - 19
1960 (6 mo.)	6,544,676	777,778	374,778	.70	none		4678- 3012
N.A. Not available.	(a) Privately owne	d,					
PYRAMID ELECTRIC C Capitalization	0. (Unlisted)						
Debt: \$311.816 Preferred: 5% cumulat Common: \$1 par, 835.5		) par, 75,000 shares.					
1951	\$ 3.010,531		\$ 80.087	\$ .10			
1952	4,731,810	\$ 370.916 385.869	136.617 138,922	.18 .23	\$ .05 .05	\$ 1,512.880 1,552,576	
1953 1954	5,768,876 7,773,882	1,109.282	529.645	.71	.05	2,768.502	$10 - 3^{1}_{4}$
1955	9.631.956	262.202	126,236 34,497(d)	.15 .10(d)	.20 none	3,827,672 3,533,017	$1256 - 616 \\ 656 - 256$
1956 1957	10.040.432 8.076.254	76,666(d) 115,469(d)	53,469(d)	.12(d)	none	2,939.635	3 - 5%
1958	6,552,705	140,339(d)	118,339 (d)	.21(d)	none	3,366,483	$2\frac{5}{8} - \frac{5}{8}$ $6\frac{7}{3} - 2$
1959 (6 mo.) 1960	3,325,906	151,754(d)	151,754 (d)	.20(d)	none none		4 <sup>3</sup> 8- 2 <sup>3</sup> 4
(d) Deficit.			 Ma				
RADIATION INCORPOR		d)					
Year ending August 31 Capitalization							
Debt: \$62,970							
Common: Class A, 25 c		nares					
1950—Incorporated Augu 1951—N.A.	SL 8						
1952	\$ 214,557		\$ 20,678	\$ .04	none		
1953 1954	295,950 493,338		17,117 23,069	.03 .04	none		
1955	1,804,589		186,799	.34	none	<u></u>	

- 20 -

RADIATION INC (Con	ntinued)		,				
Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range	-Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit
1956 1957	3,473,763 7,919,034	\$ 698,224	218,620 343,017	.39 .46	\$ .22½ .15+3% stk.	\$ 1,978,754 5,222,722	18%- 6½
1958 1959 1960 (a)	10,079,882 14,005,734	994,405 1,204,480	488,738 588,993	.62 .66	3% stk. 3% stk.	5,946,039 7,674,007	19 - 11 $26\frac{1}{2} - 15\frac{3}{4}$
N.A. Not available.	(a) 28 weeks to 1	 March 11,	172,000	.19	none		27½-23
PADIO COPROPATION	OF AMERICA	(NVSE)					
RADIO CORPORATION Capitalization		(NYSE)	noton due 1072 75	· *****	ton 005 000 000 01/ d		
Debt: \$100,000,000—3% 1980 Preferred: \$3.50 cumul			notes due 1943-44	r; \$600,000, no	tes; \$95,220,000—3 ½ %	subordinated conv. de	ebentures due
Common: No par, 14,3 1950		\$ 96,992,865	\$46,249,865	\$3.10	\$1.50	\$311,846, <b>8</b> 86	24 1/4 - 12 1/4
1951 1952	598,955,077 693,940,522	62,032,732 67,362,399	31,192,732 32,325,399	2.02 2.10	1.00 1.00	370,202,025 432,252,051	$25\frac{1}{4} - 16\frac{3}{8}$ $29\frac{3}{8} - 23\frac{1}{4}$
1953 1954 1955	853,054,003 940,950,220 1,055,265,655	72,436,778 83,501,459 100,107,465	35,021,778 40,525,459 47,525,465	$2.27 \\ 2.66 \\ 3.16$	1.00 1.20 1.35	493,624,720 548,325,244 676,506,197	$29\frac{3}{8} - 21$ $39\frac{1}{4} - 22\frac{1}{2}$
1955 1956 1957	1,033,203,035 1,127,773,541 1,176,277,371	80,074,245 77,048,794	40,031,247 38,548,794	2.65 2.55	1.35 1.50 1.50	676,506,187 690,557,138 720,772,768	55% - 36% - 36% - 50% - 33% - 40 - 27
1958 1959	1,176,094,398 1,395,620,000	60,441,749 78,542,000	30,941,749 40,142,000	2.01 2.64	1.50 1.50	734,285,722 804,914,000	$48\frac{1}{4} - 30\frac{1}{4}$ 73 $\frac{1}{4} - 43\frac{1}{8}$
1960					.50 + 2% stk.		78 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>8</sub> - 59 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
RAYTHEON CO. (N) Capitalization	(SE)						
Debt: \$11,327,482 Preferred: 5½% serie	s cumulative. \$50 pa	r. 114.769 shares.					
Common: \$5 par, 3,71 1950(a)		\$ 1,610,413	\$ 935,413	\$.49		\$ 32,331,492	13 1/2 - 61/8
1951(a) 1952(a)	89,845,000(c) 111,431,000(c)	6,029,063 5,947,898	2,179,063 2,047,898	1.12 .84		52,331,492 52,120,396 75,196,765	$13\frac{72}{8} - 8\frac{1}{4}$ $12\frac{3}{8} - 8\frac{1}{4}$ $12\frac{3}{4} - 9\frac{1}{8}$
1953(a) 1954(b)	179,313,000(c) 185,101,000	13,009,672 10,444,000	3,859,672 3,688,000	1.68 1.07		91,238,649 93,640,690	$14\frac{1}{2} - 8$ $14\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{3}{4}$
1955(b) 1956(b)	190,700,000 183,522,000	9,953,000 4,950,000	4,992,000 1,548,000	1.48 .39		82,836,163 108,451,571	25¾ - 18 19½ - 13
1957(b) 1958(b) 1959(b)	270,135,000 385,378,000 494,278,281	10,814,000 19,968,000 21,801,188	7,255,000 9,841,000 13,481,188	2.13 2.85 3.89	5% stk.	127,219,842 145,894,405(b)	23% - 16% 69 - 21% 72% - 42%
1960 (3 mo.)	134,752,000		2,160,000	.56	5% stk. 5% stk.	206,237,185 	73 % - 43 ½ 53 % - 39 %
(a) Raytheon Co. only	y Year ending May	31. (b) Pro-forma,	, including Machle	ett Laboratorie	es, merged May 25, 19	59. (c) Adjusted by c	ompany.
REEVES SOUNDCRAFT	CORP. (ASE)						
Capitalization Debt: \$600,000 Common: .05 par, 3,01	9 600 shores	*	٩.				
1950 1951	\$ 1,513,470 2,054,401	\$ 89,070(d)	\$ 118,458 77,570	\$.05 .03(d)	none	\$ 1,840,378	
1952 1953	3,364,921 3,566,055	130,187 396,301	90,187 284,301	.03 .11	none none	1,777,029 1,757,929	$3\frac{1}{4} - 1\frac{1}{2}$ 2 - $\frac{1}{2}$
1954 1955	3,747,810 3,348,148	185,385 216,741	89,385 143,741	.03 .06	none none	2,076,758 2,047,136	$1\frac{1}{8} - \frac{7}{8}$ 2 - 1
1956 1957	3,304,356 4,878,802	6,677 404,224	877 232,224	nil .08	none 5% stk.	2,496,717 2,295,435	1 % - % 3 % - %
1958 1959 1960	4,786,228 5,369,408	205,829 271,625(d)	105,829 136,625(d)	.04 .05(d)	none none none	3,161,332 3,889,012	$7\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{3}{8}$ $13\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{1}{2}$ 1156 - 756
(d) Deficit					none		11% - 7%
SAMS (HOWARD W.)	& CO. INC. (Un)	listed)					
Year ending June 30 Capitalization							
Debt: \$919,257 Preferred: 5% cumula Common: \$1 par 425,4		shares					
1950(a) (c) 1951(a) (c)	\$ 1,052,102 1,378,036	\$ 43,701 112,831	\$ 30,097 36,831	\$.23 .29	\$ .05+10% stk. .10	\$ 257,343 367,695	N.A. N.A.
1952(a) (c) 1953(b) (c)	1,951,803 1,293,225	113,952 83,745	36,546 27,523	.23	.05 none	521,342 636,835	N.A. N.A. N.A.
1954 (c) 1955	2,798,979 3,410,731	218,179 430,071	87,219 217,727	.66 .56	.10 .11	820,125 1,048,892	N.A. N.A.
1956 1957	3,884,785 4,301,304	598,262 575,597	296,012 288,038	.77	.25 .23	1,447,680 1,509,831	N.A. N.A.
1958 1959 1960 (6 mo.)	4,973,058 5,957,400 3,416,515	618,637 775,672 682,600	304,666 371,301 333,150	.79 .97 .77	.26 .27 .30	4,059,032 5,253,296 5,125,662	N.A. 31 - 25 40 - 28½
					not include Waldemar	5,135,662 Press Inc., nor Howa	
SANDERS ASSOCIATES							
Year ending July 31 Capitalization Debt: \$159,193, Mortg: Common: Class A and		658 shares					
1951 Incorporated July 4 1952		\$ 7,319(d)	\$ 7,319 (d)	<b>\$</b> .14(d)	none		(0)
1953 1954	\$ 495,309 1,508,231 1,913,571	\$ 7,319(u) 43,535 140,506	27,035 44,506	.25 .29	none none		(a) (a) (a)
1955 1956	2,759,776 4,220,552	187,915 263,595	89,715 135,595	.53 .59	\$.05 .08	\$ 1,838,018	(a) (a)
1957 1958	5,603,343 8,969,770	459,487	252,060 233,487	.99 .65	.08 .08 + 3% stk.	3,198,937 3,602,737	15 - 12 $34\frac{1}{2} - 14\frac{3}{4}$
1959 1960 (6 mo.)	10,562,817 8,067,035	651,754 -	326,754 192,152	.85 .46	.08+2% stk. .04	5,361,199	$55 - 24\frac{1}{2}$ $54\frac{1}{2} - 40\frac{1}{2}$
(-) m 1							

(a) Privately owned. (d) Deficit.

1

#### SANGAMO ELECTRIC CO. (NYSE)

Capitalization

Debt: \$3,910,000 note. Common: \$5 par, 1,615,208 shares. 2-for-1 stock split to be made May 3; figures adjusted accordingly.

Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950	\$ 24,496,846	\$ 3,970,403	\$ 2,105,403	\$1.79	\$ .871/2	\$ 17,953,512	91/4 - 65%
1951	32,426,908	4,827,886	1,827,886	1.31	.75	26,380,144	$11\frac{3}{4} - 10$
1952	39,753,567	4,454,162	2,014,162	1.44	.75	28,235,498	12 - 9
1953	37,037,072	3,972,623	1,967,623	1.41	.75	27,386,920	131/2- 101/2
1954	35,560,615	3,425,944	1,600,944	1.00	.75	28,446,821	14 7/8 - 11 7/8
1955	37,910,588	5,611,978	2,731,978	1.71	.75	31,985,465	16 - 1234
1956	44,277,105	5,616,731	2,751,731	1.72	.79	38,467,849	18 34 - 14 34
1957	47,076,671	5,774,432	2,789,432	1.75	.90	37,910,881	19% - 14%
1958	43,107,000	3,293,225	1,668,000	1.04	.72	40,202,365	18% - 121/2
1959	52,857,459	5,892,415	3,027,415	1.88	.79	45,574,712	25 34 - 17 1/2
1960					.221/2		221/8- 187/8

## SEEBURG CORP. (ASE)

Year ending October 31 Capitalization Debt: \$5,130,200, 5¼% conv. sub. debentures, due Aug. 1979 Common: \$1 par, 1,264,033 shares

Common. er par, 1,2	0.,000 5						
1950(a)	\$ 24,551,000	\$ 1,551,607	\$ 884,528	\$1.49	\$ .80	\$ 9,525,108	18 - 1012
1951(a)	21,746,000	962,651	470,028	.80	.60	9,608,632	13 % - 8 %
1952(a)	13.698.900	249,936(d)	249,936 (d)	.43(d)	.30	8,966,120	91/2 - 6
1953(a)	15,347,900	67,373(d)	67,373 (d)	.12(d)	none	8,805,348	71/2 - 33/4
1954(a)	12.695.764	445,953(d)	445,953 (d)	:77(d)	none	7,712,473	4% - 31/2
1955(a)	11,603,523	924,537(d)	924,537 (d)	1.59(d)	none	6,601,456	4 1/8 - 2 1/8
1956(a) (c)	7.682.717	185,343	185,343	.19	none	9,502,782	8% - 3
1957	26,626,625	516,763	516,763	.46	none	15,169,615	7% - 3%
1958(b)	22,936,886	562,683	562,683	.50	none	10,745,967	14 % - 3 %
1959	22,632,567	1,929,770	1.929.770	1.64	none	14,664,785	25% - 13
1960 (4 mo.)	8,130,927	804,621	804,621	.64	none		25 3/4 - 16 1/4

(a) Fort Pitt Brewing Co. to Oct. 23, 1956 then name changed to Fort Pitt Industries, Inc.
(b) Present name adopted April 30, 1958.
(c) Includes Jacob Siegel Co. and Windsor Co. from April 24, date of acquisition.

(d) Deficit.

SERVO CORP. OF AMERICA (ASE) Capitalization Debt: \$1,072,808, notes; \$993,000, convertible subordinated debenture 6s, due 1974.

Common: \$1 par, 676,18	31 shares						
1950	\$ 860,275		\$ 47,084	\$ .10			(a)
1951	780,460		25,784	.05			(a)
1952	1,098,747		58,786	.12			(a)
1953	1,290,514		50,393	.10			(a)
1954	3,501,528	\$ 298,913	150,533	.30			(a)
1955	4.134.478	553,106	269,783	.55	\$ .05	\$ 2,870,091	5 34 - 5
1956	3,550,445	454,437	210,995	.41	.20	3,539,305	7 3/4 - 5
1957	3.729.136	608.880(d)	618,069(d)	1.11(d)	.15	3,082,676	8 - 3 3/4
1958	4,003,133	541,741	244,741	.41	none	3,490,943	21 - 334
1959	5,607,410	328,496	166,496	.25	none	4,803,962	431/2 - 145%
1960					none		24 1/2 - 14 1/4
·							

(a) Privately owned.

#### SERVOMECHANISMS INC. (ASE)

Capitalization

Debentures: \$1,699.500, 5% convertible, due 1966 Common: 20 cents par. 809.855 shares

1960						none		$12 - 8\frac{1}{8}$
1959	15,137,629	387,394(d)		194,968(d)	.24(d)	none	9,217,332	181/4- 9
1958	17,314.483	90,649(d)		43,094 (d)	.05(d)	5% stk.		
1957	23,591,781	593,276					10.008.027	113/4- 65/8
				308,776	41	.40	13.519.473	12% - 61/8
1956	18,138,280	1.324.268		615,606	.81	.40	11.215.454	131/2 - 81/4
1955	12,412,756	921.716		441,367	.58	.40	7,478,124	13% - 81/4
1954	12,509,024	1,338,926		503,296	.66	.40	6,521,102	14% - 5%
1953	13,332,746	846,441		305,089	.40	.40	6,530,721	7% - 5
1952	10,151,587	798,313		276,025	.36	.30		
1951	8,374,043						5.654.568	51/4- 33/8
		1,295,207	•	412,207	.82	1.50	6.120.378	N.A.
1950	\$ 2,364,818	\$ 416.764	\$	199.397	\$ .40	\$.75	\$ 4,552,164	N.A.
Common: 20 cents pa	ar, 809,855 shares							

N.A. Not available. (d) Deficit.

Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$4,125,000, seni \$ 500,000, juni \$ 661,300 convy	or notes or notes ertible subordinated ( gage and other notes		966				
1950 (a)         1951 (a)         1953         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1958         1959         1950 (6 mo.)	\$ 2,540,018 3,532,564 3,698,466 22,997,505(e) 24,510,584(e) 29,287,827(e) 47,119,300(e) 68,164,267(e) 72,955,449 77,074,442 45,059,831	\$ 343,932 754,312 282,530 820,663(e) 1,467,091(e) 2,429,932(e) 3,316,099(e) 3,142,827(e) 2,442,950 3,929,222 3,426,757	\$ 204,286 379,568 140,530 411,598 (e) 737,531 (e) 1,111,569 (e) 1,704,880 (e) 1,560,026 (e) 1,215,930 2,203,022 1,758,878	\$ .39 .73 .27 .89(e) 1.60(e) 1.80(e) 1.61(e) 1.34(e) .80 1.36 1.07	(b) (b) (b)  \$ .15(f) .80(f) .80(f) .60 .40+3% stk. .10	(b) (b) (b) / \$ 5,881,884(f) 15,436,832(f) 37,123,247(e) 39,899,636 40,955,652	(b) (b) (b) $14 - 10\frac{1}{2}(f)$ $21\frac{1}{4} - 12\frac{3}{4}(f)$ $21\frac{1}{4} - 12\frac{3}{4}$ $32\frac{1}{4} - 12\frac{3}{4}$ $45\frac{1}{4} - 23\frac{3}{4}$ $40\frac{1}{4} - 29\frac{1}{4}$

T

(a) Year ending Dec. 31.
(b) Privately owned until July 12, 1955.
(c) Pro-forma combining Siegler, Unitronics and Hufford Corp., these companies having merged Sept. 13, 1957.
(f) Siegler only.

SONOTONE	CORP.	(ASE)
Capitalizatio	n	

×

Capitalization Debt: \$796,000 Preferred: \$1.25, cumulative convertible, Series A, \$20 par, 11.743 shares \$1.55, cumulative convertible, \$20 par, 7,121 shares Common: \$1 par. 1.148,287 shares Net

\$1.55, cur Common: \$1 par, 1,	mulative convertible, 148,287 shares	\$20 par, 7,121 shar	es				
Year 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	Sales \$ 10,664,241 12,143,834 14,736,777 17,847,164 16,003,488 18,765,558 18,426,563 22,289,198 21,513,064 24,756,708	Pre-tax Earnings \$ 879,633 1,005,456 943,439 684,112 1,708,952 1,777,515 1,780,535 1,720,473 2,432,302	Net Profit \$ 534,633 427,613 464,056 483,439 371,112 763,432 847,515 1,005,535 800,473 1,132,302	Net Per Share \$ .58 .43 .42 .44 .32 .74 .82 .93 .71 .96	Dividends \$ .32 .32 .32 .23 .20 .28 .28 .28 .28 .28	Total Assets \$ 5.254,318 7,347,883 9,121,481 10,605,648 9,760,266 9,878,117 10,256,197 11,842,803 10,708,344 12,467,484	Price Range $5\frac{3}{4} - 2\frac{3}{4}$ $6\frac{3}{6} - 4\frac{1}{6}$ $4\frac{3}{6} - 4$ $5\frac{3}{4} - 4\frac{1}{6}$ $6\frac{3}{6} - 4\frac{3}{2}$ $8\frac{3}{6} - 8\frac{3}{6}$ $8\frac{3}{6} - 8\frac{3}{6}$ $8\frac{3}{6} - 8\frac{3}{6}$
1960					.07		$15\frac{4}{8} - 11\frac{3}{8}$
SPEER CARBON CO.           Capitalization—Debt:           1950           1951           1953           1953           1954           1955           1956           1956           1957           1958           1958           1958           1958           1959           1968           1960	(Unlisted) \$3,450,000. Preferred \$ 13,818,516 13,951,563 13,642,634 15,609,779 13,064,675 17,734,512 20,045,530 21,101,500 18,338,899 23,525,268	: \$7 cumulative pfd \$ 3,685,784 2,691,023 1,409,311 1,385,217 1,223,474 3,019,694 4,171,346 3,609,185 2,568,034 4,293,817 	. \$100 par, 4,565 sł \$ 1,760,759 1,076,023 718,311 611,217 508,474 1,461,694 1,925,346 1,682,185 1,176,304 1,970,817 	ares. Comme \$3.31 1.19 .78 .66 .54 1.63 2.15 1.88 1.30 2.20 	on: \$1.25 par, 880,000 \$ .60 .50 .50 .50 .50 .75 .75 .50 .60 .17½	shares. \$ 12,938,802 22,963,723 22,060,894 22,136,798 22,254,568 24,331,167 25,972,553 27,352,257 27,249,066 28,461,572	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
SPERRY RAND CORP		Dand offective In	In 1 1055)				
(Merger of Sperry C Year ending March Capitalization Debentures: \$15,000	31		ly 1, 1955)				
\$55,000	,000, sinking fund 3 ,000, sinking fund 5	%s, due 1972					
Preferred: \$4.50 cum Common: 50 cents p 1950 (a)	ulative; \$25 par, 102	2,267 shares \$49,600,000	\$23,626.000	\$.92			
1951 (a) 1952 (a) 1953 (a)	468,359,000 631,720,000 689,565,000	68,000,000 75,500,000 73,900,000	26,023,000 28,081,000 28,012,000	\$ .52 1.02 1.10 1.09			
1954 (a) 1955 (c) 1956	696,206,000 353,943,880 710,696,087	85,500,000 45,519,563 83,598,878	44,851,000 23,585,563 46,348,878	1.75 .92 1.80	 \$ .36 .80	\$483,922,636 557,492,756	29% - 21 29% - 21¾
1957 1958 1959	871,047,239 864,330,491 989,601,559	85,362,352 44,381,239 47,544,092	49,612,352 27,481,239 27,644,092	1.83 .96 .96	.80 .80 .80 .80	708,536,343 743,153,067 778,475,241	$\begin{array}{r} 25\% - 21\% \\ 26\% - 17\% \\ 25\% - 17\% \\ 28\% - 21\% \end{array}$
1960 (9 mo.) (a) Pro-forma. (c)	853,144,550	50,469,110	29,269,110	1.02	.20		261/4 - 21
SPRAGUE ELECTRIC	2	,					
Capitalization—Debt: 4	\$1,064,000, 3%% prop \$28,614,860	\$ 6,725,904	\$ 3,345,404	\$2 69	\$ .60	\$15,350,554	151/4 - 65/8
1951 1952 1953	38,317,277 43,388,101 46,778,633	8,326,596 9,107,563 9,604,981	2.664,674 2.855,449 2.888,281	2.19 2.53 2.33	.89 .93 1.07	21,096,487 21,866,421 24,424,669	$18\frac{1}{4} - 13\frac{1}{8}$ $37\frac{1}{8} - 17\frac{1}{8}$ $38\frac{1}{8} - 29$
1951 1953 1956	42,355,361 44,353,042 44,659,844	6,668,908 6,040,828 4,208.997	3,333,408 3.003,128 2,176,297	2.68 2.42 1.75	1.10 1.20 1.20	26,835,820 28,945,483 29,329,798	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1957 1958 1959 1960	46.187,841 43,193,717 56,351,571	4,199,201 3,168,119 6,848,528	2.220,101 1,761,179 3,502,328	$1.78 \\ 1.41 \\ 2.61$	1.20 1.20 1.20 + 2% stk.	29,447,694 29,415,918 36,679,631	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
STANDARD COIL PRO	DUCTS CO. (NY				.30		61 - 50½
Capitalization—Debt: \$			83,553 shares. \$ 5,266,442	\$3.58	\$.25	\$10,133,662	1134 - 9
$\begin{array}{c} 1951 \\ 1952 \\ 1952 \\ 1953 \\ 1954 \\ 1955 \\ 1955 \\ 1956 \\ 1957 \\ \dots \\ 1957 \\ \dots \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 40,302,526\\ 65,990,177\\ 89,270,964\\ 72,862,113\\ 60,472,454\\ 59,168,450\\ 61,330,530\\ \end{array}$	5,037,944 7,136,290 7,762,481 5,136,407 522,313(d) 3,070,871(d) 823,062	2,487,944 2,861,290 2,972,481 2,871,290 320,313(d) 1,819,371(d) 802,862	1.69 1.95 2.25 1.95 .22(d) 1.24(d) .56	1.00 1.00 1.00 .85 none none	20,239,292 28,401,496 30,644,696 29,351,477 27,253,490 29,739,718 37,394,605	$14\frac{3}{4} - 10$ $18\frac{7}{6} - 12\frac{5}{6}$ $17\frac{1}{4} - 12\frac{5}{6}$ $17\frac{1}{4} - 12\frac{5}{6}$ $20\frac{1}{4} - 10\frac{1}{4}$ $12\frac{3}{4} - 6\frac{1}{4}$ $9\frac{3}{6} - 5\frac{3}{4}$
1958 1959 1960 1960 (3 mo.)	69,489,636 73,765,428 21,871,820 21,871,820	1,343,887 3,211,379 1,127,125 1,127,125	558.887 1,523,379 572,125 572,125	.36 .77 .29	none 3% stk. none	35.075,931 31,556,016	17% - 6 23% - 13% 17 - 12%
(d) Deficit.	21,011,020	1,121,120	012,120				
STATHAM INSTRUME							
Year ending May 31 Capitalization—Commo 1953	n: \$1 par, 939,125 sł \$ 1,803,471	nares.	\$ 81,190	\$ .10			(a)
1954 1955 1956	2,134,180 2,418,960 2,943,402		152,836 127,481 264,690	.18 .15 .32			(a) (a) (a)
1957 1958 1959 1960 (9 mo.)	4,470,264 5,088,659 6,145.833 7,074,850	\$ 838,209 486,181	462,225 527,874 296,911 719,570	.59 .56 .32 .77	none none	\$ 2,128,211 3,774,207 4,541,392	$12\frac{1}{2} - 9\frac{1}{4}$ $28\frac{3}{4} - 12\frac{1}{4}$ $43 - 23$ $25\frac{1}{2} - 27\frac{1}{4}$
			110,010		none		35½- 27½

P

(a) Privately owned until Sept. 1957.

#### STORER BROADCASTING CO. (NYSE)

Capitalization Common: \$1 par, 2,474,750 shares

Common: \$1 par, 2,47	,750 shares	Data da a	N-4	N 4 5			
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950	\$ 6,657,114	\$ 1,895,085	\$ 926,475	\$ .39	\$ .06		(b)
1951 1952	9,560,086 11,475,618	3,406,327 3,963,304	1,464,776 1,594,956	.63 .69	.10 .10	A11 002 761	(b)
1953	14,901,078	6,161,231	2,186,415	.94	.24	\$11,923,761 17,446,319	(b) 7% - 7
1954	17,736,531	7,105,103	3,680,779	1.62	.81	27,872,630	19 % - 7 %
1955 1956	24,051,726 28.313,383	8,792,878 11,452,891	4,330,429 5,517,207	$1.73 \\ 2.23$	$1.37\frac{1}{2}$ 1.75	28,152,046 28,534,596	29½ - 20¾ 29½ - 22½
1957	26,214,828	11,287,076	6,396,164	2.58	1.80	31,504,942	$29\frac{1}{4} - 18\frac{3}{4}$
1958 1959	25,176,710 28,114,937	5,926,076 9,949,520	1,676,754 5,336,682	.68 2.16	1.80 1.80	30,783,015	26% - 20
1960 (3 mo.)		5,545,520	1,423,079	.58	.45	31,107,318	$33\frac{1}{2} - 24\frac{1}{2}$ $30\frac{3}{4} - 26\frac{1}{4}$
(b) Privately owned.							
(b) I livately owned.							
SYLVANIA ELECTRIC I	RODUCTS INC.	(See General Telep	hone & Electronics	s Corp.)			
TAYLOR INSTRUMENT	COMPANIES	(Unlisted)					
Year ending July 31							
Capitalization Common: \$10 par, 396	778 shares						
1950	\$ 11,264,251	\$ 190,936	\$ 115,936	\$.32	\$.55	\$ 9,086,923	12% - 9%
1951	16,503,591	3,503,070	1,203,070	3.34	.80	13,079,114	174 - 121/8
1952 1953	21,623,406	3,800,020 1,328,241	1,050,020	2.91	.80	14,986,674	19% - 15%
1953	18,037,008 23,959,275	2,820,901	653,241 1,265,901	1.81 3.51	.80 .80+5% stk.	14,357,531 16,884,938	$19\% - 13\frac{1}{2}$ 40 - 15\frac{1}{2}
1955	23,298,872	2,862,690	1,190,690	3.15	1.00	16,214,313	40 - 24
1956 1957	21,710,396 26,647,539	1,943,801 2,750,360	1,028,801 1,374,860	2.73 3.47	1.00 -30 + 5% stk.	16,577,741 19,521,066	$30\frac{1}{2} - 13\frac{3}{4}$ 37 - 27\frac{1}{2}
1958	24,997,954	914,328	501,328	1.26	1.20	17,840,822	$34\frac{1}{2} - 26$
1959	24,761,129	1,478,327	731,727	1.84	1.20	17,060,723	50 - 31
1960 (6 mo.)	13,217,019		762,978	1.92	.60		521/2 - 411/2
TECHNOLOGY INSTRU	MENT CORP.	(Unlisted)					
Year ending June 30		(omistou)					
Capitalization							
Debt: \$270,534 mortga		on: \$2.50 par, 617,141					
1953 (a) 1954 (a)	\$ 3,467,693 4,419,392		\$ 132,495 403,353	\$ .22 .67			(C) (C)
1955 (a)	4,938,945		357,622	.60			(c)
1956 (a)	6,965,915		531,932	.89			(c)
1957 (a) 1958 (b) (6 mo.)	6,867,541 2,812,288		542,908 80,188	.91 .14	\$ .121/2	\$ 2,944,612	(c) 14 - 9½
1939	6,415,498	\$ 434,225	251,925	.41	31/4 % stk.	3,715,957	18 - 11
1960 (6 mo.)	3,900,000		156,800	.25	none		$13 - 10\frac{1}{4}$
(a) Pro-Forma. (b)	Fiscal year chang	ed to end June 30. (	c) Privately owned				
TELECHROME MANUFA	CTURING CORP.	(Unlisted)					
Year ending June 30 Capitalization							
Debt: \$40,503, mortga	ge; \$750,000 conv	ertible, subordinated	debenture 6s, due	1969.			
Common: Class A 10							
	cents par, 72,420 s	snares	e 16 106	é 19			(a)
1953 1954	\$221,370 1,116,338		\$    16,106 126,607	\$ .12 .95			(a)
1955	356,461		34,381	.26			(a)
1956	504,514 1,096,415		47,661 113,540	.36 .86	\$ .18 <sup>3</sup> /4 .28 <sup>3</sup> /4	\$ 548,846 868,333	$6\frac{1}{2} - 3$ 9 - 5 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
1957 1958	1,352,316	\$ 360,242	175,660	1.06	.30	1,010,293	13 - 51/2
1959	1,641,194	56,269	34,230	.25	4% stk.	1,658,355	$29 - 9\frac{1}{4}$
1960 (3 mo.)	485,468		28,275	.13	2% stk.		13% - 9%
(a) Privately owned.							
TELECOMPUTING COR							
Year ending October Capitalization	31						
Debt: \$767,458(e). Co							
	mmon: \$1 par, 3	,408,222 shares(e).					
1950 (a)	\$ 441,620	\$ 66,915	\$ 37,243	\$.31	none		(c)
1951 (a)	\$ 441,620 780,779	\$ 66,915 44,451	31,451	.12	none	\$ 817,605 828,522	2% - 1%
1951 (a) 1952 (a)	\$ 441,620	\$ 66,915	31,451 56,844 1,110,756			828,522 1,006,510	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a) 1952 (a) 1953 1954	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562	.12 .20 .48 .17	none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a) 1952 (a) 1953 1954 1935(e)	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832	\$66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11	none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21	none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953.         1954.         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459	$\begin{array}{r} 31,451\\ 56,844\\ 1,110,756\\ 428,562\\ 311,917\\ 761.052\\ 628,702\\ 465,354\end{array}$	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21	none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)	$\begin{array}{c} & 441,620\\ & 780,779\\ & 1,664,840\\ 27,790,471\\ & 28,990,592\\ & 31,264,832\\ & 39,655,947\\ & 29,865,975\\ & 42,474,743\\ & 47,481,237\\ & 11,741,991 \end{array}$	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831	$\begin{array}{r} 31,451\\ 56,844\\ 1,110,756\\ 428,562\\ 311,917\\ 761,052\\ 628,702\\ 465,354\\ 1,746,516\\ 469,656\end{array}$	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 with Whittaker	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 with Whittaker	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 : with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (b)         1954         1955(c)         1956(c)         1957(c)         1958(c)         1959(c)         1959(c)         1959(c)         1959(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         1959(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         1950(c)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industi         TELEPROMPTER CORI         Capitalization	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 r with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From r, (ASE)	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) Aften n Balance Sheet, Dec	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953.         1954.         1954.         1955(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industr         TELEPROMPTER CORI         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131.	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 r with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From r, (ASE)	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) Aften n Balance Sheet, Dec	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with White c. 31, 1956.	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .5 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953.         1954.         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge         cluding Narmco Industr         TELEPROMPTER CORI         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Common         1950 N.A.	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 : with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) h: \$1 par, 613,127 s	\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459  1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) Aften n Balance Sheet, Dec	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with Whi c. 31, 1956.	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953.         1954.         1954.         1955(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industr         TELEPROMPTER CORI         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131.	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 r with Whittaker ries Inc. (f) From r. (ASE) h: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968	<pre>\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459   1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After h Balance Sheet, Dee hares. \$ 16,093(d) 42,999</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with Whi c. 31, 1956.	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, .	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 Ivately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A.	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in-
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1954         1955(e)         1957(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industs <b>TELEPROMPTER CORI</b> Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Common         1950 N.A.         1952         1953	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) 1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968 308,361	<pre>\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459  1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After h Balance Sheet, Dee hares. \$ 16,093(d) 42,999 17,281</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with Whi c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A. N.A.	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% 14% - 9%
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industr <b>TELEPROMPTER CORI</b> Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Commo:         1950 N.A.         1951         1952         1954	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 : with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) 1: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,668 308,361 622,883	<pre>\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459  1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After n Balance Sheet, Dee hares. \$ 16,093(d) 42,999 17,281 11,826(d)</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 c merger with Whi c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129 32,174(d)	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03 .13(d)	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 Ivately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A.	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in-
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1957(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industs <b>TELEPROMPTER CORI</b> Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Common         1950 N.A.         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955	<pre>\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) n: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968 308,361 622,883 1,215,559 1,784,607</pre>	<pre>\$ 66,915</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with White c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129 32,174(d) 96,743 206,841	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03 .13(d) .32 .58	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 1,006,863 1,533,747	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in-
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1956(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge         cluding Narmco Industr         TELEPROMPTER CORI         Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Common         1950 N.A.         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955         1955         1956	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 : with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) 1: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968 308,361 622,883 1,215,559 1,784,607 2,264,345	<ul> <li>\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459</li> <li></li></ul>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 c merger with Whi c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129 32,174(d) 96,743 206,841 212,694(d)	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03 .13(d) .32 .58 .59(d)	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 1,006,863 1,533,747 1,913,638	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 17% - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in-
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953         1954         1955(e)         1957(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1960 (3 mo)         (a) Prior to merge:         cluding Narmco Industs <b>TELEPROMPTER CORI</b> Capitalization         Debt: \$3,131. Common         1950 N.A.         1953         1954         1955         1954         1955	<pre>\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 with Whittaker ies Inc. (f) From (ASE) n: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968 308,361 622,883 1,215,559 1,784,607</pre>	<pre>\$ 66,915</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 merger with White c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129 32,174(d) 96,743 206,841	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03 .13(d) .32 .58	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 1,006,863 1,533,747	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in- N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 11 - 8 10% - 5 12% - 4 22% - 9
1951 (a)         1952 (a)         1953 (a)         1954         1955(e)         1957(e)         1957(e)         1958(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1959(e)         1950 (3 mo)         1950 (3 mo)         1960 (3 mo)         1950 (2 mo)         1960 (3 mo)         1950 NA         1951 (2 mo)         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1956         1957         1958	\$ 441,620 780,779 1,664,840 27,790,471 28,990,592 31,264,832 39,655,947 29,865,975 42,474,743 47,481,237 11,741,991 r with Whittaker ries Inc. (f) From r. (ASE) 1: \$1 par, 613,127 s \$ 96,221 233,968 308,361 622,883 1,215,559 1,784,607 2,264,345 3,414,499	<pre>\$ 66,915 44,451 126,844 2,127,596 1,417,459  1,012,831 Gyro Inc. (b) After h Balance Sheet, Dee hares. \$ 16,093(d) 42,999 17,281 11,826(d) 140,232 270,141 265,694(d) 37,324</pre>	31,451 56,844 1,110,756 428,562 311,917 761,052 628,702 465,354 1,746,516 469,656 5 merger with Whi c. 31, 1956. \$ 17,243(d) 35,881 8,129 32,174(d) 96,743 206,841 212,694(d) 41,956	.12 .20 .48 .17 .11 .25 .21 .15 .54 .16 ttaker Gyro, . \$ .07(d) .14 .03 .13(d) .32 .58 .59(d) .12	none none none none none none none none	828,522 1,006,510 1,368,689 4,089,716(b) 5,114,300(f) 13,776,888 15,186,445 24,705,286 20,151,710 lvately owned. (e) P: N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. S 1,006,863 1,533,747 1,913,638 1,801,469	2% - 1% 11% - 2% 17% - 7 14 - 9% 11% - 6% 8% - 6% 5% - 2% 8 - 3% 14% - 9% 14% - 9% ro-forma, in- N.A.

N.A. Not available. (d) Deficit.

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC. (NYSE) Capitalization—Debt: \$12,000,000. Brotered: 46. Series 1950. \$25 page 12

ļ

1

Capitalization—Debt: \$12,000,000.	
Preferred: 4% Series 1959, \$25 par, 130,593 sha	ares.
Common: \$1 par, 3,914,730 sharcs	

Common: \$1 par, 3,9		93 snares.					
Year 1950 (a) 1951 (a) 1953 (a) 1953 (a) 1955 (b) 1956 (b) 1956 (b) 1957 (b) 1958 (b) 1959 1960 (3 mo.)	Sales \$ 7,583,000 15,400,000 20,431,452 27,007,957 51,415,881 61,636,805 79,506,902 103,542,055 136,348,773 193,212,809 56,198,000	Pre-tax Earnings \$ 609,000 1,307,000 2,289,738 3,219,162  12,935,585 28,855,384	Net Profit \$ 348,000 909,975 1,270,125 2,818,904 3,898,528 4,618,652 5,339,684 6,000,928 14,142,788 3,930,000	Net Per Share \$ .12 .19 .30 .42 .40 .49 .72 1.11 1.84 3.59 .99	Dividends none none none none none none none non	Total Assets 	Price Range 5¾ - 5½ 14 - 5¼ 16¾ - 15¼ 18¾ - 11‰ 31½ - 15¾ 86 - 26¾ 193½ - 61¼ 219¼ - 154
(a) Texas Instrumer	nts, Inc., only. (b)	Pro-forma, combine	d sales and earn	ings, including	Metals & Controls	Corp., merged April 1	17, 1959.
TEXTRON ELECTRON           Capitalization           Debt: \$400,000           Common: 50 cents p           1955 (a)           1955 (a)           1957 (a)	ar, 2,890,000 sharcs. \$ 8,849,000 6,128,000 8,917,000 13,267,000	\$ 705,000 202,000 (d) 602,000 1,088,000	\$ 344,000 97,000 (d) 294,000 528,000	\$ .17 .05(d) .15 .26	none none none none		(c) (c) (c) (c)
1958 (a) 1959 (b)	12,100,000 22,609,084	1,022,000 1,632,410	496,000 891,910	.25 .31 .99	none none	\$ 15,052,676	(c) 17 - 5 2101/ 154
(a) Pro-Forma, Te Inc., for 12 months en	extron Electronics and ided Jan. 2, 1960. (c	MB Mfg. Co. divisi ) Privately owned.	ons of Textron, 1 (d) Deficit.		 ed May 1959. (b) P	 ro-Forma including G	219¼-154 C Electronics
Preferred: 4% cumu	ebenture 3¼s, due 19 lative, \$100 par, 81,70	(NYSE) 71; \$19,729,500 4%% 8 shares	subordinated de	ebontures, due	1982; \$8,618,411, othe	er debt.	
Common: \$5 par, 3,1 1950 (a) 1951 (a) 1953 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958 1958 1958 1958 1959 1960 (3 mo.)	\$19,503 Shares \$123,312,550 194,899,449 274,080,027 326,466,000 270,830,000 295,506,915 335,519,761 412,608,506 340,621,767 417,748,953 111,635,492	\$16,630,266 20,698,050 28,852,579 27,661,791 24,646,198 22,601,764 27,237,373 25,441,723 18,815,232 19,813,918	\$ 8,252,459 7,687,246 9,252,579 9,651,791 11,535,198 10,771,764 13,352,373 12,137,723 8,979,232 9,743,918 2,362,279	\$3.41 2.82 3.41 3.82 3.49 4.32 3.90 2.86 3.02 .73	\$ .66 .91 .93 1.10 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 .35	\$ 73,276,414 120,331,473 167,225,800 151,834,249 136,512,119 146,159,287 204,928,315 210,838,165 203,524,451 237,800,001	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
(a) Thompson Produ	icts, Inc., only.						
TIME INC. (Unliste Capitalization Debt: \$13,500,000, Su Notes Payable: \$31,0 Common: \$1 par, 1,5 1950	bordinated 1st 4½s, c 01,552	lue 1970 \$15,812,416	\$ 8,500,693	\$4.36	\$2.75	\$ 82,393,453	36¾ - 25
1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1958         1959         1960	149,571,479 156,785,799 170,448,966 178,155,775 200,181,865 229,373,627 254,095,798 245,107,397 271,373,088	13,990,219 15,796,597 16,259,281 14,531,621 17,506,072 26,627,224 23,145,301 16,737,172 15,838,115	7,287,400 7,750,475 8,144,414 8,056,905 9,195,588 13,850,137 12,023,547 8,737,313 9,003,890	3.73 3.97 4.18 4.13 4.72 7.10 6.15 4.47 4.60	2.37 ½ 2.57 ½ 2.50 2.50 2.75 3.50 3.75 3.25 3.25 3.25 .75	86,086,824 93,824,010 101,141,707 108,221,241 112,531,774 177,158,949 208,060,343 212,071,802 216,480,399	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
TRANSITRON ELECTI Year ending June Capitalization Debt: \$366,250, 4½% Common: \$1 par, 7,5 1952 Incorporated July 1953 N.A	30 notes due 1965 502,500 shares	YSE)					
1954 N.A 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 (b)	\$ 3,889,138 7,480,205 16,116,232 17,055,952 30,913,376 21,984,611	\$ 1,521,139 2,546,195 5,106,618 4,024,395 13,901,138 7,896,362	\$ 735,817 1,233,179 2,304,284 1,883,071 6,456,138 3,807,262	\$ .10 .16 .31 .25 .86 .51	none none none none none	N.A. N.A. N.A. \$ 22,780,864(a) 24,529,933	(C) (C) (C) 47% - 36 52% - 40%
(a) Balance sheet TRAV-LER RADIO CO	Sept. 26. (b) 26 we RP. (Midwest)	eks to Dec. 26. (C)	Frivately owned.	, N.A. NOU AV	alladie.		
Year ending April 3         Capitalization—Debt:         1950 (a)         1951 (a)         1953 (a)         1953 (a)         1955 (a)         1955 (a)         1956 (4 mo.) (b)         1957         1958         1959	80	due May 15, 1967. \$ 2,309,275 1,256,162(d) 388,565 735,847 459,657 264,275 929,876(d) 358,986(d) 14,667 312,669	Common: \$1 par \$ 1,156.851 577.950(d) 291.565 316,641 241,349 222.982 204,876(d) 370,737(d) 10.617 304,419	, 817,445 shares \$1.52 .76(d) .38 .42 .32 .29 .27(d) .49(d) .01 .40	5. \$ .30 + 20% stk. .10 none .10 .22 ½ .07 ½ none none none 5% stk.		$5\frac{16}{2} - 3\frac{34}{2} \\ 4\frac{16}{2} - 2\frac{34}{2} \\ 3\frac{16}{3} - 2\frac{16}{3} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{16}{3} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{16}{3} \\ 2\frac{16}{2} - 1 \\ 1\frac{16}{3} - 1 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 12\frac{16}{2} - 4\frac{36}{3} \\ 12\frac{16}{2} - 4\frac{16}{3} \\ 12\frac{16}{3} \\ 12\frac$
1960 (6 mo.)	12,444,975	913,000	452,848	.55	none		$12\frac{12}{18} - 7$

(a)) Year ending Dec. 31. (b) In 1956 changed from a calendar year to fiscal year ending April 30. (d) Deficit.

- 25 -

www.americanradiohistory.com

TUNG-SOL ELECTRIC INC. (N Capitalization—Debt: \$5,460,000, no	YSE) otes. Preferred: 5% conver	stible series 1957.	*** Par 82 68	Common'	And ant charpe	
Year Sales	Pre-tax	Pruble, series 1957, 3 Net Profit	\$50 par, 82,689 Net Per Share		Total	Price
Year         Sales           1950         \$ 29,425,           1951         \$ 31,484,	,022 \$ 6,280,786	\$ 3,058,151	\$6.61	Dividends \$2:00 1:25	Assets \$14,881,402 17,115,034	Range 20½ - 8½ 24¼ - 16¼
1951 31,484, 1952 35,489, 1953 40,017,	,558 5,432,713	2,049,458 2,007,713 1,780.882	4,23 3,75 3,07	1.25 1.25 1.25	17,115,034 20,702,033 20,314,487	$24\frac{1}{4} - 16\frac{1}{4}$ $21\frac{7}{6} - 16\frac{1}{4}$ $24\frac{7}{6} - 16\frac{1}{4}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	458 4,302,062	1,780.882 2.077,062 3,239,393	3.07 3.15 4.65	1.25 1.25 1.60	20,314,487 26,228,555 30 561 228	24% - 16% 30% - 16% 3314 - 25
1955       51,114,1         1956       53,838,1         1957       64,106,1	822 5,819,397	3,239,393 2,909,397 3,129,916	4.65 3.83 3.31	1.60 1.25+5% stk. 1.40+3% stk.	30,561,228 33,493,366 43,262,704	$33\frac{1}{2} - 25$ $36\frac{3}{6} - 27$ $37\frac{1}{2} - 21\frac{3}{2}$
1957         64,106,3           1958         59,809,3           1959         72,345,3	166 5,523,842	3.129,916 2,643,842 2,712,552	$   \begin{array}{r}     3.31 \\     2.67 \\     2.70   \end{array} $	1.40+3% stk. 1.40 1.40	43,262,704 47,095,938 48,268,552	$37\frac{1}{2} - 21\frac{3}{4}$ $36\frac{1}{2} - 23\frac{1}{4}$ $54\frac{1}{2} - 34\frac{1}{4}$
1939 1960 (3 mo.) (a) 18,000,		700,000	.76	.35	48,268,552	$54\frac{1}{4} - 34\frac{1}{8}$ $40\frac{1}{4} - 32\frac{1}{2}$
(a) Company estimate						
VARIAN ASSOCIATES (NYSE) Year ending September 30			- · ·			
Capitalization—Debt: \$2,313,800, no 1950\$ 961,	,177 \$ 245,313	\$ 131,538	\$ .16	due 1974. Common: none	: \$1 par, 3,130,482 shar \$ 682,814	'es.
1951         4,659,           1952         8,383,	,496 1,103,966 ,923 1,764,607	240,862 404,170	.24 .30	10% stk. none	\$ 682,814 2,937,816 6.877,803	
1953 9,807,1 1954 10,319,0	894 1,397,774 006 1,354,732	363,865 569,365	.22 .34	none none	6,984,160 7,234,535	
1955         11,855,           1956         16,677,1	540 1,615,639 841 1,609,962	701,490 710,885	.29 .26	none none	9,002,034 13,634,849	9 - 6
1957         25,154,           1958         28,985,	510 2,417,630 ,714 3,263,318	1,084.360 1,503,727	.35 .48	none none	20,077,390 20,198,482	$\frac{10}{217/8} - \frac{71/4}{73\%}$
1959 38,130,1 1960 (3 mo.) 10,677,		2,518,169 755,710	.81 24	none	30,184,340 30,214,118	$\begin{array}{r} 53 & - & 20\frac{1}{4} \\ 51\frac{3}{4} - & 39\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$
Note: All years reflect operation	ons of Bomac Labs, Inc., a	acquired March 24,	1959.			
WEBCOR INC. (Midwest) Year ending June 80						
Year ending June 30 Capitalization Debt: \$750,000, 5% notes, due 196						
Debt: \$750,000, 5% notes, due 196 \$1,240,000, 5½% notes, due Common: \$1 par, 650,737 shares						
/950\$ 19,086,1		\$ 1,212,050	\$2.69	\$1.50 + 20% stk.	\$ 8,713.877	20 14 - 10 34
1951         17,971,4           1952         19,580,6           1953         27,757,8	636 707,800(d)	457,635 408,951 (d) 927,162	1.01 .90(d) 1.87	1.00 .50	7,878,317 10,406,339 11,827,327	$16\% - 11\frac{1}{4}$ $13\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{1}{2}$
1953         27,757,8           1954         31,741,0           1955         31,984,5	046 1,139,198	927,162 564,198 589,524	1.87 1.09 95	10% stk. .15+ 5% stk. 40	11,827,337 12,940,996 16,566,990	$9\frac{1}{2} - 7$ $11\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{1}{8}$ $15\frac{3}{6} - 8\frac{16}{8}$
1955       31,984,5         1956       34,305,8         1957       40,374,0	837 1,894,753(d)	589,524 994,753 (d) 1,961,297	.95 1.53(d) 3.01	.40 .50+ 5% stk. .25	16,566,990 15,935,212 18,517,242	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
1957       40,374,0         1958 (6 mo.) (a)       12,630,7         1959       33,498,2	731 62,917	1,961,297 7,917 11,741	.01 .02	.25 .60 .45	18,517,242 15,504,226 15,038,042	12% - 84 144 - 91/2 191/4 - 101/2
1959 33,498,2 1960 (6 mo.) 17,879,0		302,000	.02 .46	none		$13\frac{3}{4} - 10\frac{3}{2}$ $13\frac{3}{4} - 9\frac{5}{8}$
(a) Changed to June 30 fiscal		r. (d) Deficit.				
WELLS-GARDNER ELECTRONICS Capitalization—Common: \$1 par, 42						
1930\$ 17,825,0	098 \$ 1,674,235	\$ 954.235 451.447	\$2.33 1 10	\$.75 60	\$ 5.643,428 4 462 000	$12\frac{1}{6} - 5\frac{1}{6}$
1951         12,758,7           1952         16,301,0	043 969,976	451:447 459.976 772.939	1.10 1.12 1.88	.60 .60 .75	4,462,000 6,385,335 7,224,465	$8\frac{34}{4} - 6\frac{14}{58}$ $8\frac{14}{4} - 6\frac{16}{58}$
1953         22,572,0           1954         21,200,3           1955         26,646,7	318 2,031,340	772,939 911,340 1,221,857	1.88 2.22 2.97	.75 .75 .85	7.224,465 8,076.027 9,784,984	$8\frac{1}{4} - 6\frac{5}{4}$ 10 - 5 $\frac{3}{4}$ 13 $\frac{3}{4} - 9\frac{5}{8}$
1955         26.646.7           1956         24,152,1           1957         15,687,9	104 2,179,610	1,221,857 1,054.610 76,573	2.97 2.55 .18	.85 1.00 .40	9,784,984 8,668,582 7,372,406	$13\frac{1}{4} - 9\frac{1}{4}$ $14\frac{1}{3} - 10\frac{1}{4}$ $14\frac{1}{3} - 5\frac{1}{3}$
1957       15,687,9         1958       19,947,1         1959       24,259,9	123 1,208,515	76,573 608,515 747,728	.18 1.47 1.77	.40 .30 1.20	7,372,406 8,399,825 9,174,295	$\begin{array}{r} 14 \frac{1}{8} - 5 \frac{1}{8} \\ 12 \frac{1}{4} - 5 \frac{1}{8} \\ 21 - 11 \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$
195924,259,5 1960			1.77	1.20 .30	9.174,295	$\frac{21}{22^{1}4} - \frac{11^{1}4}{17^{1}2}$
WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO Capitalization						
Debt: \$2,779,934; \$33.000.000, Seri Common: \$2.50 par, 6,378,963 sha:		e 1980				
1950         \$186,509,2           1951         201,903,0	206         \$ 13,420,705           053         14,018,265	\$ 7.319.776 5.404,793	\$1.49 1.10	\$.50 .50	\$265,871,000 262,517,000	
1952 194,889,0 1953 220,408,7	037 4,781,990 766 19,179,788	1,103.211 8,334,736	.22 1.69	.75 .75	256,225,000 266,584,000	
1954         222,288,2           1955         242,097,3	218 20,799,054 359 28,415,886	9.384.986 13.040,690	1.85 2.10	. 75 .93 ¾	269,547,996 304.574,505	
<b>1956</b> 252,502,7 <b>1957</b> 259,967,7	758 25,854,754 783 23,548,013	13,771,156 12,629,300	2.21 2.03	1.00 1.10	309,684,447 313,424,097	
1958         255,138,7           1959         276,188,7	709         22,743.651           799         33,844.032	11,926.596 16,499,988	1.89 2.59	1.20 1.20	320,425,470 333,476,689	3434 - 15 5334 - 2934 57 - 4334
1960 (2 mo.) WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP		1,485,509	.23	.70		57 - 43%
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP Capitalization Debt: \$6,125,538	. (NISE)					1
Debentures: \$20,995,000, 2%s, due \$ \$300,000,000, 3½s, due						1
S300,000,000, 3 ½ S, due Preferred: \$3.80 Class B, \$100 par Common: \$6.25 par, 34,706,577 sha	r, 440,485 shares	<u>x</u>				
1950 \$1,019.923,	\$159,664,532	\$77.922,944 64,578,202	\$2.68 2.02	\$1.00 1.00	\$ 800,461,178 1,004,378,037	$18 - 14\frac{5}{4}$ $21\frac{1}{4} - 17\frac{3}{8}$
1951       1,240,801,         1952       1,454,272,         1953       1,582,047,	,698 173,014,835	64,578,202 68,581,603 74,322,925	2.02 2.12 2.27	1.00	1,004,378,037 1,195,292,040 1,265,353,717	$24\frac{1}{4} - 17\frac{5}{4}$ $26\frac{1}{4} - 19\frac{3}{4}$
1953 1,582,047, 1954 1,631,045, 1955 1,440,976,	,480 168,241,939	74,322,925 84,594,367 42,802,747	2.53	1.25	1,329,120,140 1,287,685,975	39½ - 25 41% - 26%
1956 1,525,375, 1957 2,009,043,7	,771 5,292,061	3,492,061 72,652,980	.05 2.09	1.00 1.00	1,264,469,283 1,400,682,932	33 - 25½ 34% - 26¼
1,895.699, 1959	,358 128,972,541 252 159,547,359	74,772,541 85,947,359	2.12 2.43	1.00 1.05	1,411,507,606 1,498,128,496	37 34 - 27 34 55 36 - 35 14
1960 (3 mo.) 458,817,0		19 496 000	.55	.30		571/4- 451/2
		~ ~ ~				
		- 26 -				

Capitalization-Common:	\$1.25 par, 632,143	shares.					
Year	Sales	Pre-tax Earnings	Net Profit	Net Per Share	Dividends	Total Assets	Price Range
1950         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1960	\$ 3,519,151 3,422,626 3,383,293 3,369,943 3,009,884 2,759,803 3,516,765 3,570,773 3,275,315 3,966,259	\$ 810,746 987,630 928,714 992,096 758,846 569,736 1,063,112 1,038,681 536,984 483,498	\$ 474,746 477,630 441,714 457,096 373,746 274,739 478,112 495,681 271,984 256,098	\$ .92 .92 .86 .88 .72 .53 .88 .86 .45 .41	\$ .70 .70 .70 .60 .45 .50 +5% stk. .50 +5% stk. .50 +5% stk. .40 +5% stk. .10	\$ 3,070,287 3,307,127 3,364,715 3,491,433 3,390,554 3,308,551 3,814,796 4,077,273 3,811,852 3,791,350	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
WOMETCO ENTERPRISI	ES, INC. (Unlis	sted)					
Capitalization Debt: \$2,246,394 Unsecu Common: Class A, \$1 r Class B, \$1 r		3					
1950 (a) 1951 (a) 1952 (a) 1953 (a) 1954 (a) 1955 (a) 1956 (a) 1956 (a) 1957 (a) 1958 (a) 1959 1960 (a) Pro-forma. (b)	\$ 5,367,817 6,163,880 6,595,926 7,517,287 8,778,373 9,284,291 9,058,022 9,273,084 9,366,098 10,364,753 	\$ 614,756 726,997 885,112 1,028,911 1,932,817 2,125,756 1,765,971 1,651,467 1,150,745 11,666,768 	\$ 452,666 413,218 495,167 508,820 1,014,819 1,170,425 899,841 833,344 588,737 936,336  able.	\$ .50 .46 .55 .56 1.12 1.30 1.00 .92 .65 1.04	none none none none none none \$ .25 .52½ .17½	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. 9.643.000 10,540,046	(b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) 13 - 9 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 13 - 11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
ZENITH RADIO CORP.	(NYSE)						
Capitalization—Common:			A 5 000 000			451 051 00/	
1950 (a)         1951         1952         1953         1954         1955         1956         1957         1958         1959         1960	\$ 87.704,071 110,022,780 137.637.697 166,733,276 138,608,360 152,905,005 141,529,855 160,018,978 195,041,624 260,033,866	\$11,527,903 11,771,940 13,222,133 13,157,701 12,056,264 17,104,491 13,298,717 17,340,577 25,741,165 35,430,144	\$ 5,627,003 5,370,740 5,845,933 5,631,701 5,676,264 8,034,491 6,178,717 8,165,577 12,116,165 16,630,144	\$1.91 1.82 1.98 1.91 1.92 2.72 2.09 2.76 4.10 5.63	\$ .25 .50 .50 .50 .83 .83 .83 1.67 2.72 1.05	\$51,971,284 50,275,866 54,416,548 52,042,451 62.604,970 67,604,887 69,193,175 84,338,732 98,505,958 115,146,148	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

P

(a) Year ending March 31

.

\*\*\*\*\*

,e.,---

•

. ..............

...

## A SERVICE OF TELEVISION DIGEST

1

1

Extra copies of this Supplement are available at \$1 each; 10 copies, \$7.50; 25 copies, \$12.50. Address Box 700, Radnor, Pa.