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LOVE IT OR HATE IT, television is an integral part of American civilization. It is at once a seductive and entertaining theater in the home, a readily available source of news and information, an arena for sporting events, a forum of debate, an audio-visual billboard for advertising, and a reflector and creator of the popular mood. Those who adore it are often addicted to its mesmerizing offerings. Those who despise it are compelled, nevertheless, to recognize its powerful influence in the national existence. Whatever a critic’s perspective, TV is on in America, and America is on TV.

To Afro-Americans, television has had a relationship that is especially important. The medium emerged in the liberal climate of the years immediately following World War II, a time when the first modern strides toward freedom were being taken by blacks. Video matured in the midst of the civil rights movement. In fact, that movement was the first political groundswell to recognize the importance of TV and enlist the medium in a social crusade.
As well as being linked to television by history, blacks also watch TV. Statistics reveal that proportionately more blacks than whites view TV. This is especially the case with Afro-American children living in poverty.

This is a study of the relationship between television and blacks in the decades since the medium became popular. It is a history of great talents, achievements, and anticipations. It is also a history of disappointments, prejudices, and failures within the video industry and American society. Ultimately, however, it is the story of a promise never fully kept—a promise whose fullest dimensions perhaps were never totally realizable.

While much has been written about blacks in motion pictures, this is the first book-length consideration of blacks in the most popular medium, television. As such a study must be, this is drawn from many sources, including private film and tape collections, extensive viewing of TV, publications such as Variety and TV Guide, interviews, and discussions. Where possible, citations from actual programs have been noted. Where such citations have been extracted from unpublished sources, the dating of the programs—whenever possible—has been incorporated into the text.

One cannot write a book such as this without the assistance of many people. I owe a great debt to those who shared with me their time, materials, and energies. My thanks go to those private collectors who know better than many trained scholars the historic value of kinescopes, old films, vintage fan magazines, and TV memorabilia. For their kindesses in this regard, I thank those in the Chicago area: Dick Andersen, Joe Sarno, Chuck Schaden, Dave Denwood, Wayne Banchik, Larry Charet, Irv Abelson, Tony Bedner, Ken Kapson, Veto Stasiunaitis, and Thomas Boren. Thanks also to Nat Bilsky, Alan Blum, Dan Pearson, and Morry Roth in Chicago. Elsewhere, I greatly appreciate the help of Bernard Bachrach, Eli Segal, Lyn Losmandy Karch, J. David Goldin, Dave Tottenham, Patricia Ahmann, Harold Doebel, Ivy Orta, and Joanne King. But especially, I want to thank Larry Ur-
banski of Chicago for his invaluable assistance in gathering materials which were integral to this study.

I owe many people a great debt for sharing their ideas and sensitivities. James Briggs Murray of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture in New York City was very important, as were Sterling Stuckey of Northwestern University, Edward A. Robinson and Gussie Ware of Northeastern Illinois University, Charles Branham of the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle, Lewis A. Erenberg of Loyola University of Chicago, Harry Shaw of the University of Florida, and Tom Arthur of James Madison University. Also of help were Tony Brown of Tony Brown Productions, Dwight M. Ellis of the National Association of Broadcasters, George Schaefer of Compass Productions, Richard Crenna, and Richard Durham.

Few people have labored as arduously for interracial understanding and communication as has Anne Blair. Working in Chicago with the late Earle Chisolm and the Pro & Con Screening Board, she brought me into contact with many thoughtful men and women whose ideas were stimulating. Among those humanist thinkers were Grace Holt, Clovis Semmes, Bud Salk, Gwendolyn May, Myrna Henricks, Ira Rogers, James Herd, the late Alphonso Sherman, Paul Winfield, and William Marshall.

There are others whom I wish to thank. Katharine Heinz of the Broadcast Pioneers Library in Washington, D.C. made her archives available, as did Travis Whitlow of the A. C. Nielsen Company in Northbrook, Illinois. I am in debt, also, to Michael Marsden and Jack Nachbar of Bowling Green State University for their pioneering encouragement of cultural research in television history, and for permission to reprint from the Journal of Popular Film and Television (vol. 7, no. 3) my article "Black Perimeters—Paul Robeson, Nat King Cole, and the Role of Blacks in American TV."

Financial assistance for this study came partially from the Committee on Organized Research of Northeastern Illinois University.
Thanks also to Frank Pettis and the Illinois Humanities Council for their indirect support of this research.

Generous thanks also to two special people. My wife, Leslie W. MacDonald, offered her perceptive advice and understanding during the months of research and writing needed to complete this study. I thank her sincerely. And I thank an old friend, Clay Hodges, who many years ago was most influential to me as a model of racial tolerance and understanding. This book is dedicated to him and his integrity.
In its earliest years, television held the prospect of a bright and appealing future for Americans. Decades before it became a popular reality in the late 1940s, many saw the emerging medium as a well-spring from which would flow great social, cultural, and intellectual benefits. Combining the other popular arts—radio, film, theater, literature—into a single, ultimate medium, TV seemed to be propelling the United States toward a new era in its democratic civilization.

Comedian Eddie Cantor in 1936 envisioned television as an irresistible theater for mass diversion. It was to be an exciting forum bringing audiences "such entertainment as the world has never dreamed of." Dr. Ernst Frederick Werner Alexanderson, one of the most renowned scientists working on the development of radio and television, foresaw that video would have a significant political role to play. "Television will be a great asset to politicians." he predicted in 1930, for the "day is likely to come when candi-
dates for President of the United States will campaign by television.'

The new medium was hailed as the answer to a variety of social problems. Some suggested the use of TV in the fight against crime. Here it could be used in such activities as the search for missing persons, the identification of suspects, and the transmission of information on wanted criminals. Experts wrote of TV as the educator of the future, a mechanism through which college courses would come to students in their own homes. Patrons of the arts felt it would bring uplifting opera, ballet, theater, and lectures to the appreciative masses. Businessmen saw television as facilitating intercity and international business meetings. And military strategists discussed the ways in which TV would assist them in peacetime, and in the event of another war.

One of the most hopeful prognostications came from famed sociologist Orrin E. Dunlap, Jr. Writing in 1932, he predicted that the "great kaleidoscope," as he termed it, was about to usher in an unprecedented era of international peace and understanding.

Television is a science and an art endowed with incalculable possibilities and countless opportunities. It will enable a large part of the earth's inhabitants to see and to hear one another without leaving their homes. . . . Eventually it will bring nations face to face, and make the globe more than a whispering gallery. Radio vision is a new weapon against hatred and fear, suspicion and hostility.

If television gave promise of overcoming hatred, fear, suspicion, and hostility, to no group was this hope more personal than to American blacks. For generations Afro-Americans had been victims of bigotry. Institutionalized in dehumanizing slavery, and continued in the Jim Crow laws, oppressive patterns of exclusion formally barred blacks from the search for self-betterment that is the cornerstone of the American Dream. And where slavery and Jim Crow laws were absent, segregation and discriminatory practices continued to deter blacks from entering the mainstream of national life.
Fundamental to the success of these legal and extra-legal tactics was the antisocial image of blacks that was popularly communicated. In the popular arts and in traditional folklore, whites were conditioned to view blacks in hostile, patronizing terms. Here the impression was firmly established that blacks were lazy, conniving, emotional, and uneducated inferiors. To many, the most advanced Afro-American did not match favorably with the least advanced white person. Such a view not only fostered racism, it also seemed to justify continued discrimination.

On their part, blacks drew from popular culture a similarly distorted image of themselves. There were few examples of intelligent black men or women in literature, movies, or broadcasting. Afro-American professionals were seldom offered as social role models. Yet, there were limitless instances where blacks were portrayed as maids, cooks, butlers, shoeshine boys, unskilled laborers, and doltish fools.

Television, however, had the potential to reverse centuries of unjust ridicule and misinformation. In terms of utilization of black professional talent, and in the portrayal of Afro-American characters, TV as a new medium had the capability of ensuring a fair and equitable future. This possibility was well appreciated by a black critic who suggested in the early 1950s that “as a new industry, TV has a great opportunity to smash many un-American practices and set new standards. Will TV meet the challenge or will it miss the boat?”

Now, more than three decades after TV first established itself as an integral part of American popular culture, it is profitable to look at television and its relationship to Afro-Americans. What emerges from such a study is the picture of an association that has been, at best, ambivalent. On the one hand, it is the story of a genuine effort by some to treat blacks as a talented and equal part of the citizenry, to employ them fairly, and to depict them honestly. On the other hand, it is the tale of persistent stereotyping, reluctance to develop or star black talent, and exclusion of minorities from the production side of the industry.
Compared to the prejudice traditionally found in other popular media, TV has made singular progress in bettering minority social conditions. Moreover, within the industry there exists a historical trend toward constructive change in the treatment of blacks. Nevertheless, to the present day TV has not matched performance with potential. Many of those connected with the medium have yet to understand the responsibility television has to project undistorted, honest information as an antidote to the cultural legacy of bigotry. While the medium has accepted the invitation "to set new standards," it has never fully realized the implications of the challenge before it.

To understand the history of television and its association with American blacks, it is possible to divide the record into three distinct time periods. During its formative first decade, the TV industry veered from honesty to duplicity in its depiction of Afro-Americans. In the second period, which was particularly touched by the civil rights movement of the 1960s, television slowly, but undeniably, evolved toward a fairer stance toward blacks, yet even here, TV was not without significant failings. In the third stage, from the 1970s to the present, a new balance has been struck in American television—a synthesis in which blacks are more prevalent in programming, while remaining vulnerable to racial ridicule. Never have so many blacks appeared on television, yet never has their image been more stereotyped. In probing more fully into these three stages of development, it becomes apparent that TV's promise to Afro-Americans is still to be honored.
The first years of American television were uncertain ones. There were questions about the acceptability of the medium to the American people. Many remembered the disastrous "introduction" of TV in 1939, a move which cost the Radio Corporation of America money and prestige when its sales and programming campaign failed to attract a mass audience.

Some in the industry questioned whether advertisers would pay the large fees demanded by local stations and networks. Radio advertising had served business well for two decades, and radio rates were lower than those of TV, even at this early stage. There were also programming problems. Television officials sought a balance between live drama, live comedy-variety shows, filmed series, vintage movies, and local productions such as news, children's shows, and homemaker programs with their limited appeal and comparatively crude production standards.

In this formative period, one of the most pressing questions con-
cerned the utilization of blacks. The historic circumstances of postwar America suggested equitable treatment of Afro-American entertainers, and unbiased images. But this was a nation with deeply rooted racist institutions and traditions. To what degree should the new industry transmit egalitarian ideals at the expense of viewer ratings and advertiser revenue? Was there a place in television for black talent? What types of programs best suited Afro-American celebrities? To what degree should the tastes of minority viewers be considered? To what extent would prejudice, especially the institutionalized segregation found in the South, shape the content of network television? Should TV adopt the racist stereotyping that flourished in radio and motion pictures, or could the medium establish new boundaries of black expression and racial dignity? In the earliest years of television, these were profound questions that no one in the industry was prepared to answer fully.

THE PROMISE

On the surface, early television seemed to be almost color-blind. Insatiable in its quest for talent in the late 1940s and early 1950s, the new industry frequently featured black celebrities. On local and network programs, blacks appeared in a wide variety of roles. Black dancers, singers, musicians, and comedians were an important part of the nascent medium.

Many felt that TV promised a new and prejudice-free era in popular entertainment. Ebony magazine epitomized this sentiment, when it reported in 1950 that television offered better roles for blacks than any other medium. The magazine contended that the appearance of numerous Afro-Americans on TV was a "sure sign that television is free of racial barriers."

In the older electronic media—motion pictures and radio—black talent had long been confined to demeaning characterizations,
such as comedic roles with their roots deep in the minstrel shows of the nineteenth century. Pliant Uncle Toms, rascalish and indolent "coons," motherly maids, and shrewish mammys abounded in movies and broadcasting. To obtain steady employment, talented black actors like Mantan Moreland, Lincoln Perry (Stepin Fetchit), Lillian Randolph, and Eddie (Rochester) Anderson adopted distorted racial characteristics. They cultivated stereotyped Negro accents. They learned to walk with a shuffle, to pop and roll their eyeballs, and to emit high-pitched giggles. These were standard traits of the distinctive personalities which, for white audiences, made black characters so funny, lovable, and controllable.

There were several reasons to believe, however, that TV held a bright promise for Afro-Americans. Some of the most influential people in TV openly proclaimed that blacks would be given a new deal now that the medium was becoming popularly accepted. Ed Sullivan argued in 1950 that television was playing a crucial part in assisting "the Negro in his fight to win what the Constitution of this country guarantees as his birthright." According to Sullivan, the respected host of the CBS variety show Toast of the Town, video was now taking the chronic struggle for minority civil rights directly "into the living rooms of America's homes where public opinion is formed, and the Negro is winning."

Five years later, Steve Allen reiterated the promise, suggesting that talent was the cutting edge of success in TV, and that "talent is color blind." Allen, then the host of the Tonight show on NBC, added that "television needs the Negro performer and benefits by his contributions to the medium." Allen tempered his remarks, however, by noting that "I consider it unfortunate that this idea is still not generally accepted by the television industry."

The National Broadcasting Company also testified to the new era television was bringing to Afro-Americans. In 1951 it launched a public relations drive to improve its image with blacks. NBC also published guidelines for the equitable portrayal of minorities on TV. According to this revised declaration of standards
and practices, henceforth all programs treating "aspects of race, creed, color and national origin" would do so "with dignity and objectivity." Inspired by the NBC move, the National Association of Radio and Television Broadcasters ratified a television code in 1951 in which members pledged: "Racial or nationality types shall not be shown on television in such manner as to ridicule the race or nationality."

Black entertainers had been an important part of television during its experimental years in the 1930s. Long before TV became popularly available, such performers as the Ink Spots, Eddie Green, Bill Robinson, and Clarence Muse had appeared on camera. There was reason to believe that such use of black talent would continue once video emerged commercially.

The politics of postwar America also encouraged many to envision a bright, bias-free future in television. The new medium emerged in the midst of a liberal, reform-minded period in history. In waging a costly war against fascism, Americans had confronted the horrendous results of institutionalized prejudice and theories of racial superiority. During and after the war a sensitized government and public began to combat domestic racism in the United States.

In the latter half of the 1940s President Harry S. Truman took important first steps toward addressing the modern racial problem. Truman in 1945 established a special Committee on Civil Rights, an organization of prominent citizens whose report two years later—published in book form under the title To Secure These Rights—outlined the ways in which state and federal legislation could effect "the elimination of segregation, based on race, color, creed, or national origin, from American life."

In 1948 Truman became the first modern American president to present a legislative plan for ending racism. Among the proposals Truman recommended were federal laws to protect against lynching, to prohibit discrimination in interstate transportation, to ensure voting rights, to create organizations protective of civil rights, and to establish a Fair Employment Practices Commission.
to guard against unfair discrimination in employment. Truman also urged the creation of committees in Congress and the Department of Justice whose functions would be to assure justice for all American blacks.

Truman made other bold, liberal gestures. By executive order he ended segregation in the United States armed forces. In another executive order he established fair-employment practices throughout the various branches of government, making merit and fitness the only qualifications for employment or advancement. And in November 1948, when the president was reelected in spite of defection from the Democratic party by southern politicians who rejected his civil rights record, further progress seemed inevitable.

Pressures for ending racial discrimination came also from private citizens. Church groups, such as the American Friends Race Relations Committee and the Department of Race Relations of the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, were either newly established or rededicated to the struggle against racism. Membership reached new heights in older organizations like the Urban League and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. And new groups, such as the American Council on Race Relations, were also organized to help the struggle toward "the achievement of full democracy in race relations."

Complementing these achievements was a new militancy and self-awareness among blacks. In many instances this mind-set was articulated by Afro-American celebrities. Black artists such as Paul Robeson, Canada Lee, Katherine Dunham, and Lena Horne openly criticized the prejudice encountered in their professional and private activities. Other, less well-known, black leaders made important inroads into such segregated professions as law, academe, government, the arts, science, business, and industry. In this atmosphere of progressive change, one scholar wrote in 1949 that the "door of opportunity has never been closed tight. It is constantly opening wider. The outlook for the Negro in America is one of slow but steady advance toward democracy."

Radio, too, mirrored the new thinking of postwar America. Black entertainers such as Eddie Green, the Billy Williams Quartet, Ernestine Wade, and Lillian and Amanda Randolph became important regulars on network series. Several Afro-American stars had their own programs. Among them were Nat King Cole and Hattie McDaniel. In local broadcasting as well, important new strides toward freedom were being taken. In New York City, station WMCA launched *New World a-Coming*, an omnibus series inspired by Roi Ottley's book of the same title. The weekly series premiered in 1944 and was heard on that station until the late 1950s.

The most impressive black radio series in the history of the medium was *Destination Freedom*, heard on Chicago station WMAQ from 1948 to 1950. Written by Richard Durham, a former editor of the black newspaper *Chicago Defender*, this series in more than ninety dramatic scripts probed the heroes and movements in black history. From Sojourner Truth and Crispus Attucks, to Langston Hughes, Fats Waller, and Joe Louis, Durham showed black achievers contributing in the struggle for racial equality. Durham also awakened his listeners to the black cultural legacy, as he treated such topics as the John Henry and Stackolee tales, and the story of black spirituals. No black creative person until Alex Haley in the 1970s matched Durham's entertaining and informational contribution to the broadcast arts.²

Things seemed to be improving for Afro-Americans. By the early 1950s black entertainers were reporting that even in the
South—the home of most American blacks and, traditionally, the most segregated section of the nation—racial barriers were being lowered. Lionel Hampton, Duke Ellington, Count Basie, and Billy Eckstine all testified to substantial improvement in southern attitudes. Although he said this change was proceeding at a "crawling" pace, Eckstine appeared optimistic in 1952 when he announced that "It's not the old South any more."

Basic to all political, social, and cultural change for Afro-Americans was the fact that postwar black society constituted a rapidly expanding consumer force. In the 1940s the average income of black families in the United States tripled, compared to a 100 percent increase for the general population. In the same decade, black enrollment in high schools reached record levels, and college attendance increased by 100 percent. In 1951 a survey termed the black consumer market of New York City a "billion dollar plus" entity. By 1953 the black population of the United States exceeded the population of Canada, and the national racial market had become an annual $15 billion enterprise. To Variety editor Robert J. Landry, the Afro-American at this time was "the most important, financially potent, and sales-and-advertising ser-enaded 'minority' in the land."

---

THE FORCES OF INERTIA

Despite the trend toward social improvement coincidental with the emergence of television, permanent change was slow to materialize. The corporations that controlled radio broadcasting continued to control network and local television. And since under the auspices of NBC, CBS, ABC, and the many independent stations, radio frequently cast blacks in minstrel roles, the persistence of this practice in TV was not surprising. In many ways, television simply became visualized radio: the enactment for viewers of story lines and stereotypes that had proven successful for decades in radio.
Television also emulated radio in the way it was financed. The selling of air time to advertisers meant that the commercial pressures encountered in radio applied to television as well. TV programs were interrupted by commercials or audio-visual billboards, and program content had to be acceptable to an array of sponsors and their advertising agencies. Hence TV, like radio, was subject to program decisions wherein commercial realities outweighed social ideas.

Moreover, television was sold to the same audiences that had accepted and possibly even expected demeaning black images in the popular arts. Postwar liberalism notwithstanding, prejudiced social behavior was still commonplace in white America. It would be naive to expect the experiences of World War II to erase long-standing prejudices within a few years.

This lag was most apparent in the southern part of the United States. Despite the argument that the specter of the "white southern market" was actually a myth, to the entertainment industry it was a reality. TV executives and advertisers feared alienating the white consumer in the South. They avoided programs that might be too flattering or egalitarian toward blacks. And there was evidence to support their trepidation. When the networks in 1957 moved to censor racially objectionable words like "massa," "darkey," and "old black Joe" from the songs of Stephen Foster, southern politicians reacted with hostility. Governor Marvin Griffin of Georgia became a spokesman for the protestors. It was his contention that blacks should strive to be more like George Washington Carver and Booker T. Washington. As for the expurgation of the Foster lyrics, he chided the networks, "Have you ever heard of a bigger pack of foolishness?" Even more threatening was the reaction in 1952 of Governor Herman Talmadge of Georgia (later a United States senator), who blasted network TV for racially integrated programming which, he felt, propagated a "complete abolition of segregation customs" in the South. In an editorial in his influential newspaper, The Statesman, Talmadge specifically objected to black entertainers dancing with "scantily clad white females," to black and white children shown dancing
together, and to Afro-Americans and whites shown talking together "on a purely equal social status." And Talmadge fired what to television executives was the ultimate weapon. In order "to clean up television now before the situation grows more offensive," the governor threatened a massive boycott by whites of products sponsoring such programming.  

Television programming executives themselves were not immune to prejudice. Preconceived notions of appropriate roles for blacks in TV were shared by executives in all regions of the United States. For example, when the executive vice president of WDSU-TV (New Orleans) spoke to TV officials in New York City as part of a series of television program clinics in 1952, he apparently received no criticism when he related his professional response to the sudden death of one of his stereotyped black TV personalities.

I mentioned this colored cook we have. The first one we used died one morning at five o'clock, just after we'd sold the show to a big salt company. I got into the office and there was the regional sales manager of the salt company with all of his characters in the next room, and thousands of dollars in pictures and everything of this girl who had unfortunately died that morning. So he said, "What are you going to do about this?" I said, "I don't know; I didn't kill her." That day we auditioned four other negro cooks. Any one of them could have had the job, and we got one beauty. We renamed her—we call her Mandy Lee. Mandy, conservatively, weighs 375 pounds. We can hardly get her all in the camera at one time, but she's terrific."

To counter the inertia caused by racial prejudice in broadcasting, black actors and others organized several important special-interest pressure groups. As well as in organizations such as the NAACP, black talent banded together in professional associations like the Television Authority Committee on Employment Opportunities for Negroes, the Committee for the Negro in the Arts, the Committee of Twelve, the Harlem Committee on Unemployment in Television, and the Coordinating Council for Negro Performers. With mixed results these groups interceded with network
and local administrations for increased employment of Afro-American personnel and for more realistic depiction of blacks.

For example, as early as 1951 the Television Authority Committee conferred with officials of NBC, CBS, ABC, and DuMont. The goal of these meetings was "to secure representation of Negroes on television programs," and to make certain such representation matched "their role in everyday life." In an idealistic statement, the committee challenged writers, directors and producers to employ black specialty acts, integrate black singers and dancers into chorus groups, use black actors in the many dramatic roles reflecting their participation in everyday life, and create new programs appropriately utilizing black talent.

In a similar way, the Coordinating Council for Negro Performers acted throughout the 1950s as a persistent critic of prejudice and black underemployment in TV. In late 1954, in its first report on television, the CCNP censured the industry, advertising agencies, and sponsors for virtually eliminating blacks from video. One of the most glaring examples of discrimination cited in the report concerned the acclaimed NBC production of *Amahl and the Night Visitors*, the first opera commissioned for television. Written by Gian-Carlo Menotti and premiered in 1951, the opera, a Christmas story in which the Three Wise Men visit a crippled boy while on their way to Bethlehem, had become a regular seasonal offering on the network. The CCNP objected that no blacks appeared in the story, despite the fact that according to Biblical accounts, one of the Wise Men was an Ethiopian.

**BLACKS IN TV: NONSTEREOTYPES**

In this ambivalent atmosphere, early television often spotlighted black talent. On local and network levels, Afro-American entertainers appeared frequently as regulars or guest stars on variety series, as hosts or central characters on black-oriented programs,
Afro-American personalities appeared on several of the most popular comedy-variety programs of the late 1940s and early 1950s. Ethel Waters, Louis Armstrong, Cab Calloway, Martha Davis and Spouse, and Nat King Cole were guests many times on *Your Show of Shows*, the *Garry Moore Show*, the *Colgate Comedy Hour*, the *All Star Revue*, and the *Jackie Gleason Show*. Sports personalities such as Jackie Robinson, Willie Mays, and the Harlem Globetrotters made special appearances. Several black dance orchestras appeared on the DuMont network’s *Cavalcade of Bands* series in 1950 and 1951. Among them were the bands of Lionel Hampton and Duke Ellington.

No matter how intermittently black singers, dancers, and musicians were used in early television, the employment of these talents was a definite breakthrough for black entertainers. Never had network radio—even in the late 1940s and early 1950s—utilized as many Afro-American stars so consistently. Early TV needed talented and well-known personalities who would be effective in variety-show formats. Black celebrities were a natural resource from which the new industry could draw.

Whenever performers like singer Pearl Bailey, her dancing brother Bill Bailey, or pianist Hazel Scott appeared on television, they did so with dignity, not as minstrel-show stereotypes. When Lena Horne made one of her many appearances, more critical eyebrows were raised because of her provocative clothing than because of her ethnic background. Typical of the new fairness that was emerging in and with early TV was the performance by singer Arthur Lee Simpkins on Jackie Gleason’s *Cavalcade of Stars* on October 26, 1951. Simpkins was a new talent from the West Coast whose operatic technique lent itself well to the romantic “Song of Songs” and whose tenor voice and mastery of Irish dialect permitted him to give a creditable rendition of “Back to Donnegal,” a song usually reserved for white male singers of Irish descent.

Such utilization of blacks was a conscious effort on the part of a new medium in an atmosphere of postwar liberality. The essence
of this development was stated candidly during a skit on the Texaco Star Theater on May 29, 1951. In a musical revue entitled “The United Nations of Show Business,” host Milton Berle and his guest, Danny Thomas, enunciated the ethic of the new medium, reiterating the bias-free promise of early television.

THOMAS: You know, I’ve been watching, Milton, the Texaco Star Theater from the very beginning, and I have seen great young stars born right here on this stage. But, the thing that impressed me most about your shows is that it’s not just a showcase for talent, it’s a showcase for democracy.

BERLE: Well, what do you mean, Danny?

THOMAS: Let me put it this way, Milton. In the past three years the great performers who have appeared here on the Texaco Star Theater have represented a cross section of the world. I mean Italians, Spaniards, Australians, the white man, the Negro, the oriental, the Protestant, the Catholic, the Jew—they’ve all shared the spotlight on this stage.

BERLE: Well, Danny, if I may inject, that’s the way show business operates. Danny, there’s no room for prejudice in our profession. We entertainers rate a brother actor by his colorful performance, and not by the color of his skin.

THOMAS: While we’re on the subject and show business is about to take a bow, let’s also inject that we in show business cannot tolerate intolerance.

BERLE: Well, throughout the years, Danny, the world of the theater has presented a united front against bigotry. . . . The entertainers of America are firm in the belief that a happy nation is a strong nation.

THOMAS: And to that end, we have tried to the best of our ability to keep America laughing, singing, and dancing.

BERLE: You can’t frown on anyone while you’re laughing.

THOMAS: Yes, and you can’t shout at anyone while you’re singing.

BERLE: And you can’t kick anyone while you’re dancing.

THOMAS: We entertainers of America are deeply grateful for the opportunity our country has given us—a country that knows no barrier of race or of creed.

BERLE: A country whose sons and daughters are free to choose
their own profession and to follow it as far as their talents can take them.

Two influential employers of black talent in early TV were Ed Sullivan and Steve Allen. From the inception in 1948 of his Toast of the Town (later called the Ed Sullivan Show), Sullivan liberally seasoned his Sunday evening variety program with Afro-American celebrities. Despite periodic letters of criticism from prejudiced viewers and anxious advertisers, Sullivan persisted in welcoming entertainers as diverse as singers Sarah Vaughan, Ella Fitzgerald, and Harry Belafonte; comedian Dewey "Pigmeat" Markham; rhythm and blues performers Billy Ward and the Dominoes; operatic soprano Marian Anderson; dancers Peg Leg Bates, Bunny Briggs, and the Will Mastin Trio with Sammy Davis, Jr.; and Dr. Ralph Bunche, United Nations Commissioner and recipient in 1950 of the Nobel Peace Prize for his role in settling the Arab-Israeli war. Even former heavyweight boxing champion Joe Louis appeared on Toast of the Town in an unsuccessful venture as a song-and-dance entertainer.

Sullivan felt that by bringing black personalities directly into the homes of Americans, TV would undermine racism. He believed that white adults and children, seeing and appreciating black talent, would be forced to reassess racist stereotyping and their own prejudices. Sullivan was particularly sensitive to the impact such images would have upon children, for it was they, he suggested, "who will finally lay Jim Crow to rest."

Equal to Sullivan in his employment of black talent in early television was Steve Allen. As host for more than two years (1954–1957) of the popular Tonight program, Allen was especially attracted by Afro-American musicians. An accomplished jazz composer and performer, Allen hosted such celebrities as Duke Ellington, the Ink Spots, Carmen McRae, Lionel Hampton, and Sammy Davis, Jr. One program was telecast from the famous New York jazz club, Birdland. Another show was dedicated to exploration of black music in general.

But Allen was sympathetic to more than Afro-American music.
He occasionally focused a full program on problems of pressing social interest to blacks. One show, for example, dealt with the issue of civil rights. Another telecast treated brotherhood. Steve Allen was a socially-conscious intellectual as well as an entertainer and occasionally used his program to promote discussion on a range of contemporary issues. By integrating racial questions into the *Tonight* show, Allen gave his program a seriousness that was generally absent from shows seeking purely to entertain.

While variety shows were important to early television, sports programming also was crucial to the emerging medium. The prospect of viewing baseball, boxing, and football was an important lure to new customers. Importantly, television also gained popularity as two lesser sports—professional wrestling and roller derby—attracted large audiences in those first years.

Black participation in TV sports fit into an interesting pattern. Afro-Americans were practically absent from wrestling and roller derby. In baseball, racial integration had only been accomplished with Jackie Robinson's play for the Brooklyn Dodgers in 1947. Thus, black baseball players were still relatively few in the early 1950s. Since professional basketball and football usually drew their personnel from graduating college classes, the paucity of black players reflected the small number of Afro-Americans graduating from colleges and universities with well-developed athletic programs.

The sport that most fully highlighted black athletes was boxing. Traditionally, boxing had been a vehicle for upward social mobility and financial success for working-class Americans. Immigrant groups such as the Irish, Italians, Jews, and East Europeans had enjoyed ascendency in boxing in the first half of the twentieth century. By mid-century, however, the sport was being flooded with young black and Latino athletes.

Boxing was a staple on prime-time network and local television throughout this period. And in all weight classifications—from featherweights like Sandy Saddler to heavyweights such as Ezzard Charles—televised boxing continually featured Afro-
American performers. Champions like Sugar Ray Robinson, Randy Turpin, Jimmy Carter, Archie Moore, Johnny Bratton, and Kid Gavilan were familiar participants on week-night bouts.

In the first years of TV popularity boxing matches gained high ratings. The heavyweight championship bout between Joe Louis and Jersey Joe Walcott was one of the highest-rated telecasts in 1948. During the 1950–1951 TV season, *The Cavalcade of Sports*, a Friday night boxing program sponsored by the Gillette Safety Razor Company, was the sixth most popular show, with an average rating higher than that of *Arthur Godfrey and His Talent Scouts, Toast of the Town*, and *Kraft Television Theater*.

Blacks appeared on a wide range of early nonstereotyped programming. In 1948 *Television Chapel*, the first regularly scheduled religious program, occasionally featured a black congregation during its Sunday worship. The same year, the DuMont network televised Solomon Lightfoot Michaux, a well-known clergyman from Washington, D.C., and the Southernaires, a gospel choir, appeared on ABC.

In the early 1950s, the Mariners, an integrated male quartet, were regulars on the popular CBS show, *Arthur Godfrey and Friends*. Phil Taylor and Bill Grant were song-and-dance performers on Paul Whiteman’s *TV Teen Club* in 1951. Blacks were among the nonprofessional cast on *The Black Robe*, an NBC series which in 1949 reenacted the drama of night court. A black couple was among those married on KLAC-TV’s *(Los Angeles)* *Wedding Bells* in 1950. In 1949 and 1950, Amanda Randolph hosted *Amanda*, a home-oriented show telecast five mornings a week for over a year on the DuMont network. And in 1954, explorer Matthew Henson discussed his Arctic adventures on NBC’s *Today* show.

Afro-Americans regularly appeared on audience participation and quiz programs in the 1950s. Black women often competed for prizes on *Queen for a Day*, a popular daytime shown on local TV in Los Angeles and later on the ABC network. Amateur programs also spotlighted black talent. Three of the most significant winners
on early network amateur shows were Diahann Carroll on *Chance of a Lifetime*, Johnny Nash on *Arthur Godfrey and His Talent Scouts*, and Gladys Knight on Ted Mack's *Original Amateur Hour*.

During the quiz-show craze of the mid-1950s, blacks were often contestants. Among the more renowned competitors, Ethel Waters won $10,000 on *Break the $250,000 Bank*, dancer Geoffrey Holder won $16,000 on the *$64,000 Question*, ex-boxer Beau Jack earned $1,900 on *Strike It Rich*, and Joe Louis and his wife gained $41,000 on *High Finance*.

Winning large amounts of money made celebrities out of black contestants. Steve and Dorothy Rowland became nationally famous when they earned $75,000 on *Do You Trust Your Wife?* Such fame came also to Frances DeBerry, a seventy-four-year-old widow whose expertise on Shakespeare won her $16,000 on *The $64,000 Question*; and to Gloria Lockerman, a teenager who won $16,000 on the same series and also $32,000 on its sister show, *The $64,000 Challenge*. On another quiz show, *Name That Tune*, teenaged Leslie Uggams won the top prize of $25,000 while gaining national recognition as a promising young vocal talent.

By the end of the quiz fad, *Ebony* compiled the totals. According to the magazine, more than twenty-five black contestants had been substantial winners on network quiz programs. Their earnings totalled more than $500,000. In the process, these winners appeared before 120 million viewers. 

While television utilized blacks in a wide variety of program formats, the medium could be harsh on obvious reminders of a less tolerant past. White men wearing burnt cork, the classic make-up of the minstrel show, failed in early television. Although a star like Eddie Cantor occasionally might appear in blackface for one or two songs on the *Colgate Comedy Hour*, network TV found it unprofitable to build an entire show around the minstrel format. *American Minstrels of 1949* was a stillborn ABC project. It intended to revive the popularity of Pick Malone and Pat Padgett—blackface comedians for over a decade on network radio—and fea-
ture their mocking comedy routines. The program, however, was poor
ly received and left the air quickly.

A few months earlier, CBS had been unsuccessful with its own
minstrel show, Captain Billy’s Mississippi Music Hall. Perhaps
these costly disasters persuaded NBC to abandon plans for a min-
strel show which, it was rumored in 1949, was to star the greatest
blackface entertainer of the century, Al Jolson.

Even local productions that adhered too closely to the minstrel
format were short-lived. Olympus Minstrels on WLTW (Cincin-
nati) in 1948 was such a program. So, too, was Sleepy Joe, a daily
children’s feature on KTSL (Los Angeles) which in 1948–1949
starred white dialectician Jimmy Scribner as a blackface Uncle
Remus type who rocked lazily on the front porch of his slave cabin
while relating tales of Br’er Rabbit, Br’er Fox, and Br’er Bear to
“de chilluns.” Scribner, who had mastered two dozen minstrel-
show voices, had been on radio for twenty years as the sole star of
a “black” serial. The Johnson Family. Now on local TV, he used
his stereotyped voices as those of the Br’er folks when he told his
stories. The condescension inherent in such stereotyping was un-
consciously delineated by a Los Angeles television magazine
when it described the format of Sleepy Joe.

The show always begins with some action, ending the same way.
“Sleepy” in character is an old-time story teller, who owns his
own little plot with a log cabin cozily situated in the green lands
of the deep south. “Little Missy” and “Sonny Jim” are the children
who visit daily from the “big white house” on the hill. With them
he plays numerous child games until he tires and retreats to that
wicker rocking chair on his front porch. The children squat eagerly
before him and wait excitedly for a story."

The ambivalent nature of early TV, as seen in the range of roles
in which it portrayed blacks, was further demonstrated by the fact
that several black entertainers hosted their own network series. In
the summer of 1950 jazz pianist Hazel Scott hosted a fifteen-
minute show three times a week on the DuMont network. Between
1948 and 1950 singer-pianist Bob Howard was a regular part of the early evening programming on CBS. He was also a regular in 1950–1951 on the musical quiz show, *Sing It Again*. When Howard left CBS, he continued to appear locally on WOR-TV in New York City.

Of major significance for blacks in television was the *Billy Daniels Show*. This was a quarter-hour musical series aired Sunday evenings on ABC throughout the fall of 1952. Although it lasted only thirteen weeks, the program was a milestone. First, it was a network project carried in the largest cities in the nation: Boston, New York City, Detroit, Birmingham, Philadelphia, Chicago, Salt Lake City, Denver, Los Angeles, and San Francisco. It was also the first black show to be broadcast nationally by a single sponsor, Rybutol vitamin supplement. Importantly, the series was perceived as a watershed for other black entertainers with aspirations for success in television. It was this latter point, no doubt, which prompted a writer for the *Chicago Defender* to announce the cancellation of the show with a sarcastic comment about having overstocked his medicine cabinet with Rybutol, in the hope that buying the product would help keep the program viable. Although discontinued after one-third of a season, the *Billy Daniels Show* established the precedent for a national, sponsored network series centering around a black entertainer.

Yet, several years before Billy Daniels' unsuccessful network series, the most ambitious network project highlighting black talent occurred on CBS. *Sugar Hill Times* was conceived as an all-black, hour-long variety program. It made its debut in September 1949. Unfortunately, it was scheduled for Tuesday evenings opposite Milton Berle's incredibly popular *Texaco Star Theater* on NBC. Competing with the top show in television, and inhibited further by a discouragingly low budget, *Sugar Hill Times* had little chance of surviving.

The program was hosted by a New York musical and radio personality, Willie Bryant. Music came from Don Redman and his orchestra. During its short run, its guests and regulars included
actor Avon Long, jazz trumpeter Hot Lips Page, and singers Thelma Carpenter, Maxine Sullivan, the Charioteers, the Chocolates, the Orioles and newcomer Harry Belafonte. These were all competent acts, but none was considered top-rank at the time.

To assure their ascendency, moreover, Berle and NBC countered Sugar Hill Times with black guest stars of broader appeal. Bill "Bojangles" Robinson danced in what proved to be his last TV appearance before his death several weeks later. Jackie Robinson of the Brooklyn Dodgers appeared while his team was tied with the St. Louis Cardinals for first place in the last week of competition for the National League baseball championship. Berle also hosted the most celebrated black band leader of the era, Duke Ellington.

To rescue Sugar Hill Times after only two poorly viewed telecasts, CBS altered its structure and scheduling. Now a half-hour show on alternate Thursdays, it was placed opposite the ABC quiz show, Stop the Music! On radio earlier that year, Stop the Music! had devastated its network competitors. After seventeen successful years on NBC, Fred Allen abandoned his radio career, disgusted with the giveaway show that had wooed away most of his listeners. On CBS, Edgar Bergen took Charlie McCarthy and Mortimer Snerd into a year of retirement, unable to match the ratings of the quiz program. As might be expected, Sugar Hill Times fared no better. It was aired only twice at its new time before being canceled.

In reviewing the history of this attempt at all black programming, Variety criticized the low budget and merciless scheduling of the show. The trade journal also raised an important thought which producers would have to consider in the future: Were there enough nationally prominent entertainers to make an all-black variety program competitive? Given the history of the film and broadcasting industries in retarding black talent, and given their tradition of producing all-black entertainment primarily for black audiences, was the pool of recognized Afro-American celebrities large enough to offer a competitive weekly variety program to a
If big-name guests were strategic to the popularity of *Toast of the Town, Texaco Star Theatre*, and the like, was it not unrealistic of CBS to launch a black variety program in 1949 with talented, but relatively unknown, personalities? Perhaps *Sugar Hill Times* failed as much from the legacy of stultifying, segregated American entertainment as it did from feeble budgets and suicidal scheduling. Significantly, the all-black format never reemerged in network variety programming.

Much more prevalent and successful were black programs produced on nonnetwork television. These were usually musical-variety features spotlighting local personalities and an occasional celebrity guest. A typical offering was *Club Ebony* on WAVE-TV (Louisville) in January 1949. This was the first black revue on southern television. It starred the local Odell Baker Quintet, a slytry singer named Edmonia, and a Louisville rhythm ensemble, the Gutter Pipers. On its premier broadcast, Lionel Hampton and several members of his orchestra were guest performers.

Similar shows appeared on local TV throughout the nation. In Chicago, *Happy Pappy* with the Four Vagabonds on WENR-TV in 1949, and *Jesse Owens' Dixie Showtime* on WGN-TV in 1951 were indicative of such programming. So, too, was the *Al Benson Show*, a local teenage dance program in Chicago, on which popular singers like Joe Williams guest-starred in 1951. *Sepia* was a musical revue in mid-1949 on WFMB-TV in Indianapolis. The *Hadda Brooks Show* on KLAC-TV and KGO-TV (Oakland) featured a West Coast talent. Bob McEwen's *Capitol Caravan* followed a nightclub format for more than four years in Washington, D.C., and the *Mary Holt Show* was a popular feature on KYW-TV in Cleveland in the mid-1950s.

In New York City, there were several significant local black shows. The *Hazel Scott Show* on WABD presented the nation's foremost female jazz pianist five nights per week in 1950. *Spotlight on Harlem* on WJZ-TV, and *Stairway to the Stars* on WATV (Newark), were black amateur shows in the early 1950s. And *Club Caravan* on WATV in 1954 featured the aspiring young singer, Roy Hamilton.
Few black shows were produced or directed by blacks. Where such programs did exist, they were local in their orientation and predictable in content. These shows seldom failed to highlight music. Whether it was a religious series like the Mahalia Jackson Show on WBBM-TV (Chicago) in 1955 or the Gospel Show on WATV in 1957, a jazz showcase such as Rhythm Review on KCOP-TV (Los Angeles), or a cooking feature like the Kenny and Flo Show—with Herb Kenny of the Ink Spots—on WMAL (Washington, D.C.), music was invariably the central ingredient. Significantly, these presentations represented a miniscule portion of American TV programming. Ebony touched on this fact when it reported that as late as 1957 there were less than a dozen programs in the United States being produced by blacks. 17

Afro-Americans were present at the birth of television. They were regularly before TV cameras in local and network programming. Granted, they were usually seen in the context of musical entertainment. Granted, too, that blacks almost always appeared as guests rather than regulars or hosts—and when they did host their own series, blacks did not enjoy success. But these were the early days of video. Few expected overnight changes in entertainment patterns. And the fact that there were so many Afro-American personalities to be found in the young medium kept viable the promise of bias-free programming. There were, however, ominous signs in early TV. The most threatening was the great popularity of black entertainers when they appeared in controversial stereotyped roles.

---

BLACKS IN TV: STEREOTYPES

If the history of blacks in early television suggests that shows stressing authentic images failed to establish lasting success, the same cannot be said of those series and programs presenting Afro-Americans in caricatures drawn from a tradition of prejudice. The mass audience, and consequently sponsors and stations, looked
more approvingly on the mammies, coons, and Uncle Toms of the past than they did on blacks seeking approval through non-stereotyped talents.

The mammy figure—usually portrayed as a black maid in a white household—was a familiar stereotype. She emitted a certain human warmth that was sometimes difficult to discern beneath her aggressive self-confidence and implacable personality. In early television the black maid was a highly popular character. Between 1953 and 1964, Lillian Randolph played Louise, a maid for the Williams family on Make Room for Daddy (later called the Danny Thomas Show). She also appeared in the mid-1950s as Birdie Lee Coggins, the maid on the syndicated Great Gildersleeve series—a role which she had enacted on the radio version of that series for more than a decade before it came to video.

While Louise and Birdie were supporting characters, Beulah spotlighted the trials and tribulations of the black maid for the white Henderson household. As portrayed by Ethel Waters and Louise Beavers for three seasons between 1950 and 1953, Beulah was surrounded by familiar types. Her dim-witted friend was Oriole, the black maid of the white family next door. When played by Butterfly McQueen, Oriole was a flighty woman of minimal intelligence. When Ruby Dandridge assumed the role, she added a heavy dose of her recognizable high-pitched giggles to Oriole’s personality. Beulah also had a boyfriend, Bill Jackson. As played by Percy Harris, Dooley Wilson, and Ernest Whitman, he may have been the owner of a fix-it shop, but Jackson was oafish, perpetually hungry, and definitely unromantic.

As for the central character herself, Beulah was a portly, conscientious, and lovable stereotype of the black domestic. She might berate her black friends, but around her boss, ‘‘Mr. Harry,’’ and his wife, ‘‘Miss Alice,’’ Beulah was always respectful. The problems around which each episode of Beulah revolved were common to the genre—invariably an honest misunderstanding which caused the protagonist to do one thing when quite another was called for. But Beulah suffered and endured. And she usually did
so without open complaint—except at the beginning of the show when she was wont to exclaim something like, "If marriages are made in heaven, my guardian angel is sho been loafin' on the job. Ha, ha, ha, ha, ha, ha..."

Black men were also successful in stereotyped characterizations. Eddie Anderson had little difficulty moving his Rochester character from radio to TV on the Jack Benny Program. As Benny's valet, confidant, and "conscience," Rochester had been a strategic part of the broadcasting success of the program since 1937. He contributed substantially to its television popularity once Benny moved his show to video in the 1950s. Although Jack Benny and his writers had toned down considerably the minstrel-show quality originally possessed by Rochester on the radio, Anderson's character was still a stereotype. Usually the only black in the telecast, Rochester was a chauffeur and general handyman for his white boss. Anderson's naturally harsh voice gave him a vocal quality akin to the throaty "coon" dialect developed by minstrel endmen. Although he was not as stark a caricature of black manhood as Sleepy Joe and Bill Jackson, Rochester did little to advance the cause of the realistic portrayal of Afro-Americans in popular culture.

If Eddie Anderson failed to enhance the image of blacks in television, Willie Best was absolutely detrimental to that image. Ironically, Best was also the most prolifically employed black actor in early TV. Best entered movies in the 1930s where, as a younger version of Stepin Fetchit, he was nicknamed "Sleep 'n' Eat." He could pop his eyeballs when nervous, speak classic pidgin English, and shake his lanky body at the thought of entering a graveyard. On television, Best appeared in several series developed by Roland Reed Productions. On Trouble with Father (1950–1955), he played Stu Erwin's brainless handyman, Willie. On My Little Margie (1952–1955), he was Charlie, an elevator operator. He also appeared as a less characterized shipboard handyman on Preston Foster's tugboat series, Waterfront (1954–1956). Throughout the first years of television, Willie Best's bumbling minstrel charac-
ter, although well acted, presented a demeaning image of Afro-Americans that was directly contrary to the spirit and accomplishment of postwar blacks. The fact that Best's characters never possessed surnames, and were always called by diminutive first names, strongly tied these characters to an earlier age when slaves were called by first names only.

There were many types of programming insensitive to the search for honest and realistic portrayals of blacks. Well into the 1950s, local stations continued to show vintage motion pictures containing prejudiced characterizations. Typical of such old films was *The Two Black Crows in Africa*, a stereotyped comedy from the 1920s featuring blackface vaudevillians Charles Mack and George Moran. More popular were the Charlie Chan films, a series of theatrical motion pictures featuring various white actors portraying Earl Derr Biggers' famous oriental detective—and often featuring Stepin Fetchit or Mantan Moreland in stereotyped "coon" comedy roles. The *Our Gang* comedy shorts, syndicated on television as the *Little Rascals*, introduced a new generation of children to those famous pickaninnies of the 1920s and 1930s, Farina, Buckwheat, and Stymie. And the Eastside Kids movie series from the early 1940s continued to spotlight Sunshine Sammy Morrison in the role of Scruno, the lone black member of the comedic youth gang who uttered memorable phrases such as "Who dat say 'Who dat?' when I say 'Who dat?'".

Racist characterizations were found occasionally in the B Westerns that were plentiful in early video. This was especially true of Westerns set in the South following the Civil War. Such films generally took a position hostile to displays of black freedom, and to white interlopers seeking to assist the former slaves. Such a motion picture was *Texas Terror*, which in 1935 starred John Wayne as a defender of local racial inequality against a group of Yankees and so-called renegades trying to protect the newly won freedom of ex-slaves. When Wayne won, it meant a victory for racist traditions and the continued subservience of blacks.

Particularly demeaning to black Americans were the many ex-
otic jungle documentaries prevalent in early television. In these motion pictures, usually filmed among primitive African tribesmen in the 1930s, the image of "uncivilized" blacks dancing themselves into frenzies or acting out "savage" social rituals suggested that Afro-Americans had family roots deep in barbarism.

The African documentaries of filmmakers like Martin and Osa Johnson, focusing on women with ornamented lips and elongated earlobes or men with tribal scars on their faces and bodies, were pernicious to black Americans. These films pictured the ancestral home of Afro-Americans as a strange place filled with crocodiles, warthogs, lions, and baboons. In one scene, Martin Johnson went so far as to credit the wild beasts with more intelligence than the human natives: "I had always contended that the baboon was the most intelligent of the monkey family," he remarked, "and a lot smarter than some of the savages I had met."

Moreover, while no television station or network at that time would have considered airing the frontal nudity of a white woman, these films of preindustrial Africa seldom failed to show pictures of bare-breasted black women of all ages. The racist implications of such a double standard were obvious.

If films of African tribal life were deleterious to the search for equitable treatment for blacks in the United States, those fictional jungle-adventure series produced for TV exacerbated the situation. Although they avoided the anthropological starkness of the documentaries, these programs served to juxtapose the inferiority of black natives with the technological advancement of white civilization. *Ramar of the Jungle* (1952–1954) starred Jon Hall as a research scientist named "Ramar—White Witch Doctor," whose manly heroism contrasted with the child-like blacks who dutifully called him "Bwana." *Jungle Jim* in 1955 presented a middle-aged Johnny Weissmuller, long past his prime as a movie Tarzan, in the role of a guide more at home in the Kenyan jungle than the natives he encountered. The most exaggerated of these exotic adventure series was *Sheena, Queen of the Jungle*. Drawn from a comic book
character. Sheena was a statuesque blonde who, during the 1955–1956 TV season, swung on jungle vines and raced across the Dark Continent wearing only skimpy leopard-skin clothing. Again, however, the native Africans were portrayed as weaker and less intelligent than this “great white mother.”

It has been difficult for Americans to abandon their taste for jungle programming. African imagery has remained a popular part of local and network television. As late as 1961, station KDKA-TV in Pittsburgh offered viewers “Bwana Don” as host of Safari, a Saturday morning showcase which revived old jungle films like Tarzan and the Mermaids and Frank Buck’s Bring ‘em Back Alive. Between 1966 and 1969, NBC broadcast a new Tarzan series starring Ron Ely. And into the 1980s vintage jungle programs are still available for syndication to local outlets.

Such programming could have aroused black indignation. The assumptions that there were no black heroes in Africa and that native Africans always were less successful than white men or women, and the fact that documentary films fed racist imaginations more than they educated mass audiences were issues that could have produced legitimate criticism of television programming. But hostility to TV’s treatment of the Afro-American was directed almost entirely at one stereotyped series, The Amos ‘n’ Andy Show. The controversy that this program created reveals the disdain felt by many Americans toward TV and the direction it was taking in its first decade as a mass medium.

Amos ‘n’ Andy had been a favorite comedy with Americans since its emergence on radio in the late 1920s. In radio the principal roles had been played by two white dialect actors, Freeman Gosden and Charles Correll. In bringing the program to television, however, Gosden and Correll rejected the idea of doing it in blackface. Instead they undertook a national search for black actors who could embody their popular comedic creations.

The casting of Amos ‘n’ Andy became a nationally publicized event. President Harry S. Truman suggested that Texas State University, a black college with a reputable drama department, might
have actors to suit Gosden and Correll. General Dwight D. Eisenhower recommended a black soldier whom he had known during the war. Black vaudevillian Flournoy Miller was hired to assist in the quest. The intensity with which the casting was undertaken was evidenced in May 1950, when Gosden and Correll bought a full page in Variety to advertise the event.18

The roles they sought to cast were classic minstrel figures. Amos Jones (eventually played by Alvin Childress) was a low-key, compliant Uncle Tom. He and his wife, Ruby, were an unhumorous twosome who tried to bring reason and level-headedness to bear upon their rascalous Harlem friends. Andy, whose full name was Andrew Hogg Brown (played by Spenser Williams, Jr.), was an easy-going dimwit, who always had an eye for a pretty girl and never ceased to be duped by his supposed friends. In George "Kingfish" Stevens (portrayed by Tim Moore), the show presented the stereotyped scheming "coon" character, whose chicanery left his pals distrustful and the audience laughing.

Added to the three mainstays were Kingfish's shrewish wife, Sapphire Stevens (Ernestine Wade) and domineering mother-in-law, Mama (Amanda Randolph); a feeble-minded janitor, Lightnin' (Horace "Nicodemus" Stewart); and a thoroughly disreputable lawyer, Algonquin J. Calhoun (Johnny Lee).

Even as the series premiered in June 1951, the NAACP was in federal court seeking an injunction to prevent CBS from televising it. In the minds of groups and individuals sensitive to the struggle for black civil rights, Amos 'n' Andy was an affront to social achievement. The Michigan Federation of Teachers condemned the TV series, calling it "a gross and vulgar caricature of the fifteen million Negro citizens of our country." This sentiment was echoed by the Students for Democratic Action, the United Hatters, Cap and Millinery Workers Union, and by the secretary-treasurer of the Transport Workers Union.

The show business editor of the black newspaper California Eagle blasted Amos 'n' Andy. Referring to "the slow and steady poison of twenty years of Amos 'n' Andy on the radio," he at-
tacked the distorted message received by "middle class and sheltered whites." This message, that "the 'happy and smiling' Negro is the 'good' Negro—the stolid, unemotional Negro is the 'bad' kind," was unfair and unwanted. "To my way of thinking," he concluded, "the Amos 'n' Andy show is not controversial. It just doesn't belong on TV or anywhere else."³⁰

Even more caustic was the reaction in 1951 of actor James Edwards. An outspoken proponent of dignified roles for Afro-American actors, Edwards assailed the irresponsibility of the series. He contended that

for the sake of 142 jobs which Negroes hold down with the Amos 'n' Andy show, 15 million more Negroes are being pushed back 25 years by perpetuating this stereotype on television. The money involved (and there's a great deal) can't hope to undo the harm the continuation of Amos 'n' Andy will effect. We don't have to take it, not today."³¹

At its convention in Atlanta in June–July 1951, the NAACP passed a resolution critical of the new TV series and other programs stressing negative stereotypes. According to that resolution, "The new television show, Amos 'n' Andy, depicts Negroes in a stereotyped and derogatory manner, and the practice of manufacturers, distributors, retailers, persons, or firms sponsoring or promoting this show, the Beulah show, or others of this type is condemned."³² In its legal suit against CBS, however, the NAACP became more specific in enumerating the dimensions of Amos 'n' Andy it felt objectionable.

It tends to strengthen the conclusion among uninformed and prejudiced people that Negroes are inferior, lazy, dumb and dishonest.

Every character in this one and only show with an all-Negro cast is either a clown or a crook.

Negro doctors are shown as quacks and thieves.

Negro lawyers are shown as slippery cowards, ignorant of their profession and without ethics.
Negro women are shown as cackling, screaming shrews, in big-mouth close-ups using street slang, just short of vulgarity. All Negroes are shown as dodging work of any kind. Millions of white Americans see this Amos 'n' Andy picture and think the entire race is the same.21

Although the series was produced for only two seasons, 1951–1953, Amos 'n' Andy continued in syndication. Not until 1966, after years of litigation, did CBS agree to withdraw the program from circulation. Moreover, the impact of the series lasted beyond its original run. In 1956 one critic still attacked it vociferously as a weekly reminder of "discarded and dated" minstrelsy, an oppressive form of entertainment "invented by white plantation owners to make them feel benevolent toward their picturesqueyly slaphappy, indolent, craps-shooting, lovable, no-account field hands who wouldn't be able to make a living but for the white man."22 And in 1964, as it entered its thirteenth run in local Chicago television, Amos 'n' Andy triggered city-wide criticism for "promoting the old foot-shuffling, ignorant and lazy stereotypes."23

Amos 'n' Andy was not without its defenders. Many argued that the program was simply comedic caricature, no more offensive to blacks than The Goldbergs was to Jews or Life with Luigi was to Italians. They contended that the writing was humorous, the acting was solid, and the popularity of the show was commercially impressive. The fact that Amos 'n' Andy ended the 1951–1952 TV season as the thirteenth most popular program in the nation seemed to confirm such contentions. The influential black newspaper, the Pittsburgh Courier, supported the series. According to that journal, "it provides for the first time lucrative and continuous employment for many talented troupers who have waited a long time for this kind of an open-door opportunity into the great and rapidly expanding television industry."24 Echoing this sentiment, the actors in the series attacked black activists in the NAACP for being "ill-informed people of our own race who have
irresponsibly threatened a boycott of our sponsor and have un-
fairly characterized the show, its producers and ourselves."

One of the more constructive defenses of Amos 'n' Andy came
from Dr. S. Randolph Edmons, a professor of Humanities at Flor-
ida A & M University. Edmons called for productive criticism.
"If there are to be campaigns to close shows," he remarked in
1951, "there should be campaigns to open shows. If there are to be
negative protests against plays which untruthfully reflect the life
and character of the race, there should be creative programs to
find the right dramas to fill the creative vacuums. This is only
fair."

There is little doubt that Amos 'n' Andy contrasted with the more
realistic image of blacks offered by television. Written, produced,
and directed by white men, the series was a stereotyped projection
of black life. Certainly characters were exaggerated for purposes
of comedy, but their essence was drawn directly from offensive
minstrel shows, an entertainment form that was anachronistic in
the 1950s. Defenders were correct in noting that the series meant
success for many black actors. Some felt that as the first long-
running network program utilizing dozens of blacks, it might be
the beginning of prosperity for blacks in TV. But critics were also
right in maintaining that Amos 'n' Andy, despite its popularity,
was no breakthrough for Afro-Americans.

Nonetheless, Amos 'n' Andy occasionally demonstrated human-
izing qualities. The Stevens' apartment was the first look at a black
residence in television history. It was typical of situation comedy
sets—modestly furnished, clean, apparently homey, but situated
in Harlem. Except for the recurring characters, supporting black
roles were usually socially substantial. A black businessman, law-
yer, music instructor, judge, and the like were not played for
laughs. Their seriousness offset the caricature of the cast regulars.

Although Sapphire and Mama were less than picturesque im-
eges of black femininity, the program frequently featured beauti-
ful black women in lesser roles. They were generally the objects of
Andy's harmless flirtations, or they were cast as secretaries.
Nor were there any overt signs of racial segregation. When the Kingfish and his family stopped at a roadside diner in Connecticut, they encountered no problem in being served. When white men and women entered the story line, they never acted smugly or discriminated against a black character, no matter how outlandish viewers found him. In one episode, a radio station had no qualms about substituting the Stevenses for a quarrelling white couple whose “Happy Home” program was about to be aired in New York City. In this instance, Amos ’n’ Andy was without prejudice, a slice of urban life in which discrimination and segregation were never suggested.

When the plot focused on Amos and his family, audiences encountered a sensible, working-class black couple with an attractive, well-behaved child. Here was a responsible father and a level-headed mother. Here, too, were family love and genuine affection for all the characters with whom Amos and Ruby came into contact.

Nowhere was such sentimentality—a human emotion that was never a part of the minstrel-show tradition—more visible than in the annual Christmas show. Reenacting a story that had been heard for years in the radio version of Amos ’n’ Andy, this program concerned a tolerant and loving Andy working as Santa Claus for one day in a department store. Andy’s unselfish goal was to earn money to buy his goddaughter, Amos’ daughter Arbedella, a beautiful black doll. Andy’s coddling of youngsters telling Santa Claus their wishes, the exchanging of gifts between Andy and Amos and Ruby, the tenderness seen in the relationship between Andy and Arbedella, and the seasonal warmth suggested by Amos’ cozy home and decorated Christmas tree were capped by an emotional final scene. Here, with a choir singing off-camera, Amos interpreted the “Lord’s Prayer” for his daughter who lay tucked securely in bed.

But the humanity occasionally suggested by Amos ’n’ Andy was constantly defeated by the racist imagery projected by the series. Here was minstrelsy in its latest fashion. The legacy of Tambo and
Bones and their burnt cork comedy routines was inherent in the series characters. Neither Andy, Kingfish, nor Saphire could utter a sentence without using incorrect grammar, malaprops, or mispronunciations to illustrate their basic ignorance. Thus, ultimatum became "ultomato," secretary was pronounced "sekatary," legitimate became "layjiterat," and Kingfish's moaning "holy mackeral," was always exclaimed as "holy mack'l."

_Amos 'n' Andy_ perpetuated the myth of the black matriarch. This was manifest in the image of shrewish women continually browbeating their men. There was no male chauvinism or sexual equality here. The series projected dominating black women and socially weak black men. Granted, Kingfish was a shiftless loafer; Saphire's constant shrill criticism was nonetheless debilitating. And when Saphire was backed in her attack by Mama, the verbal assault was devastating, as illustrated in a dinner-table conversation between Kingfish, Mama, and Saphire:

**Kingfish:** Have some more peas, mother-in-law dear?
**Mama:** When I want some, I'll help myself.
**Kingfish:** Oh, well, I just . . .
**Mama:** Why, I got along all these years without you telling me what to eat.
**Kingfish:** Well, if you don't want 'em, don't take 'em. That's all right with me.
**Mama:** Ah, you're begrudging me the food. Well, I eat little enough without you complaining all the time.
**Kingfish:** Now, listen, Mama, can't we just . . .
**Mama:** You mind your own business. I'm talkin' to my daughter.
**Saphire:** George, stop pickin' on Mama!

The Kingfish always lost such confrontations. Frequently, he was shown being ejected from his own home by his victorious wife. Such scenes were all the more poignant in that he invariably stood on the apartment steps with a framed lithograph of a laughing white cavalier offsetting his own downcast facial expression. The prerecorded laugh track was always turned up at this point. In the climate that made _Amos 'n' Andy_ popular for so long, it was
considered hilarious to see a bumbling middle-aged black schemer being kicked out of his home by a haranguing black woman.

If Afro-Americans looked for role models in *Amos 'n' Andy*, there were none to be found. Most central characters had no jobs. The Kingfish and Andy were always unemployed, and women in the series were unsalaried housewives. Amos, who appeared only fleetingly in the programs, drove a taxicab. Lightnin' was a janitor. The only professional in the regular cast was Calhoun. But he was a nefarious lawyer whose lack of professional ethics was outweighed only by his misuse of the language. Because there were no other TV series offering positive role models for black viewers, the disservice done by this popular program is apparent.

White viewers saw in *Amos 'n' Andy* a deceptive picture of ghetto life. There were few social aspirations in the series. Amos and Lightnin' were content with their careers. Although there was unemployment, there was no welfare dependence and no hunger. Further, unemployment was seen as a product of personal laziness, not the result of discrimination, segregation, or inferior education. Although there was social achievement on the part of Calhoun, the lawyer was seen as an incompetent buffoon with corrupted values.

This was a patronizing picture of black society. It depreciated black maturity, rendering most of the adult characters as harmless children filled with pranks and pretensions, but ultimately unthreatening. There were no civil rights tensions in this show. *Amos 'n' Andy* was a false interpretation of black reality, unfairly lulling whites into complacency and unjustly reducing Afro-Americans to a position of inferiority.

---

**BLACKS IN NEWS PROGRAMMING**

If controversial series such as *Amos 'n' Andy* and *Beulah* relied heavily on distorted stereotypes, and if nonstereotyped black entertainers were usually dancers, singers, and musicians, the most
realistic image of blacks emerged in news and news documentary programming. It was not that there were news programs meant specifically for black audiences, or that network or local outlets conscientiously sought to cover minority affairs. Instead, the increasing concern of television with Afro-Americans reflected the growing importance of blacks at home and abroad.

Internationally, the European empires were crumbling. The powerful imperial nations—Great Britain, France, Belgium, Portugal, Spain, and the Netherlands—had been crippled by the loss of life and treasure during two world wars. Increasingly, native leaders—often schooled in European and American universities—demanded independence for their homelands. Spurred by the successful struggle for self-determination and statehood in India, Asian and African colonies since the late 1940s moved inexorably toward independence. By the television era it was obvious that third world nations would play an increasingly powerful role in global affairs.

In the postwar United States, blacks exerted unprecedented influence in domestic politics. The integration of the armed services made black servicemen more influential in military affairs. Black economic, educational, and demographic advances affected national priorities. Longtime black leaders and new spokespersons enunciated the need for better racial conditions. Coalescing these forces was the decision by the Supreme Court in 1954 that segregation in the public school system was unconstitutional. The ruling in the case of Brown v. Board of Education set into law the fact that “separate but equal” was inherently unequal. It effectively began the civil rights movement and propelled black politics into unprecedented activism and reform.

American print and radio journalism covered these developments. But television brought filmed actualities into the homes of mass America. Visual images of the black and brown world in revolt emphasized the importance of the human reevaluation taking place. It was one thing to read or hear of unrest among American blacks; it was another to see men and women protesting against segregation and discrimination.
No network TV journalist treated blacks more fairly and frequently than Edward R. Murrow, a highly respected CBS newsmen because of his radio coverage of the British role in World War II. Murrow’s achievements in television were equally impressive, particularly through his weekly news-analysis program, See It Now, and his weekly TV visit to the homes of celebrities, Person to Person.

On See It Now, Murrow showed Americans a realistic image of blacks. Nowhere was he more poignant in such presentation than in the numerous segments of the program he devoted to the Korean War, the first war in which Afro-American soldiers fought in integrated units. Murrow and his reportorial crew—including Robert Pierpoint, Lou Cioffi, Bill Downs, and Larry LeSueur—vividly illustrated this new aspect of American democracy.

Particularly striking were the two programs devoted to “Christmas in Korea.” In 1952 and in 1953, Murrow and See It Now traveled to South Korea to report on the conditions facing United Nations troops. Filmed in the trenches and foxholes of the battle front, these hour-long reports showed many black GI’s among the American troops. Most of the black soldiers extended Christmas greetings to loved ones at home, and told Murrow and his crew they longed for a military victory and a return to the United States. To illustrate that the Korean war was an allied venture, Murrow also focused on troops of other nations. Among them were Ethiopian soldiers celebrating the Christmas holiday.

Except in showing black Americans as equals with white soldiers, there was nothing radical about the “Christmas in Korea” broadcasts. Except in presenting African troops among the United Nations forces supposedly fighting to stop the spread of Communism, there was nothing different about the programs. But for Americans, these were radically different images. Unlike the distorted images of George “Kingfish” Stevens and Willie Best’s characterizations, here were real black men expressing real human emotions. Here, too, were dark-skinned Africans, not of the savage primitiveness seen in old travelogues, but dressed in military fatigues and observing a Christian holiday, while thousands
of miles from home fighting alongside Americans against a common enemy. The lessons in brotherhood and internationalism were obvious.

Murrow occasionally analyzed race relations in the United States. Eight days after the Supreme Court decision on segregation in public schools, he treated domestic racism in a memorable *See It Now* program. Telecast on May 25, 1954, "*A Study of Two Cities*" compared racial attitudes in two small southern towns. In typical Murrow fashion, he used filmed interviews with white and black residents of the two towns to document the various positions being taken in the wake of the Supreme Court decision. And although the focus was upon southern reactions, Murrow was careful to suggest that the race problem in America was a national crisis.

Our greatest need at the moment is level-headedness. Whites of the South should not panic. Negroes should not whet their impatience. . . . In a day when many nations and races are looking to us for leadership to peace and to freedom, we need to reflect in our own country the traditional American virtues of justice and fair play. All parts of the country, not only the South, should do some real soul-searching in this respect.29

Murrow’s celebrated attack upon the demagogic investigatory tactics of Senator Joseph McCarthy was heightened when on March 16, 1954, he focused on the plight of a black government worker, Annie Lee Moss. Charged by McCarthy’s senatorial committee with being a member of the Communist party, the elderly Mrs. Moss was intimidated by McCarthy’s questioning and innuendo. Murrow’s argument was not whether Moss was a Communist. Instead, he illustrated that because she was never able to confront her accusers, her right to due process of the law—a right shared by all Americans—was being violated.

Not all *See It Now* programs focusing on blacks concerned political matters. On December 13, 1955, Murrow presented highlights of Louis Armstrong’s musical tour of Europe. In a program
aired on December 30, 1957, Murrow showed film of soprano Marian Anderson’s successful tour of the Far East.

The Murrow series that introduced Americans most intimately to black personalities, however, was *Person to Person*. This half-hour CBS feature took TV cameras into the homes of celebrities. With Murrow sitting in his New York studio asking questions of his guest hosts, viewers in the mid-1950s encountered sports figures like Althea Gibson, Sugar Ray Robinson, Joe Louis, Jesse Owens, and Don Newcombe; musical talents like Eartha Kitt, Mahalia Jackson, Duke Ellington, Cab Calloway, W. C. Handy, and Ethel Waters; and statesmen such as Ralph Bunche and Walter White. The equanimity with which Murrow treated black Americans was suggested in the premier of *Person to Person* in October 1953, when CBS cameras visited the home of Brooklyn Dodgers catcher Roy Campanella.

Edward R. Murrow and CBS also recognized the increasing importance of African politics. In the midst of the decolonization movement, *See It Now* produced frank analyses of the unrest among black Africans. In December 1954, Murrow presented a two-part “Report on South Africa” that exposed the racial explosion inherent in that peculiarly discriminatory policy, apartheid. Less than two years later, in the spring of 1956, *See It Now* produced another two-part study, “Report from Africa.” Travelling throughout the continent, CBS cameramen revealed the turmoils of decolonization. Viewers encountered rigid laws of racial separation in Rhodesia and the Union of South Africa and violent social unrest in French Algeria, British Kenya, and the Belgian Congo. But they also saw stability in newly independent Ghana and in long-independent Ethiopia and Liberia.

Such African realities were revealed again in December 1956, when *See It Now* telecast highlights of a 50,000-mile trip by comedian Danny Kaye on behalf of the United Nations International Children’s Emergency Fund. Part of the program showed Kaye as a UNICEF representative and entertainer visiting Nigerian children in a leper colony, and Moroccan children suffering from tra-
choma. Such programming certainly belied the stereotyped image of Africa and Africans contained in *Ramar of the Jungle* and the films of Martin and Osa Johnson.

---

**BIAS IN VIDEO DRAMA**

In the early years of television, the relationship between Afro-Americans and the medium was contradictory. Trapped between the traditions of racial stereotyping and the promise of color-blind programming, talented blacks found only limited opportunity in TV. In contrast to the derisive minstrel-show roles popular in cinema and radio, however, black entertainers hosted their own TV shows, or more frequently appeared as guests on musical variety programs. Still, beyond musical entertainment, the most successful and lucrative roles for Afro-Americans continued to be found in stereotyped situation comedies like *Amos 'n' Andy* and *Beulah*.

This ambivalence was compounded because respectable dramatic parts for black actors were practically nonexistent. During the 1950s, as dramatic production flowered in the new medium, television theater was lily white. This was a situation well understood by Jack Gould, the TV critic of the *New York Times*. Writing in late 1953, Gould suggested that "opportunities for Negroes in television have been considerable in the realm of vaudeville and musical shows." Yet, he continued, except for the "the usual stereotyped roles," there have been "very few leading parts for some of the most gifted artists in our midst."  

One local series which attempted to break this pattern of exclusion was *Harlem Detective*, a short-lived police drama on New York City station WOR-TV. The show was a weekly live play focusing on a pair of detectives—one black and one white—investigating crime in Harlem. The program premiered on October 14, 1953, and last appeared on January 13, 1954. During its
brief run several actors, including William Hairston and William Marshall, played the central characters.

While the interracial theme was unique and engaging, *Harlem Detective* suffered fatally from a low budget. With limited capital with which to employ set designers, directors, supporting actors, and writers, the program was neither a critical nor popular success. A contemporary reviewer criticized it for "the avalanche of production crudities that almost decimated the noble aims of the show." It was, however, the first TV dramatic series to feature a nonstereotyped Afro-American as its co-star. As such, *Harlem Detective* was a decade ahead of its time. Not until Bill Cosby appeared in *I Spy* in 1965 was a similar program produced.

Despite the inundation of live and filmed dramas that marked the first decade of popular television, black actors seldom commanded other than minor supporting roles. And they were fortunate to obtain these parts. Frederick O'Neal, the noted actor and founder in the 1940s of the American Negro Theater, speculated that black actors in 1952 accounted for only four-tenths of one percent of all performances on TV. He argued that of the 6,620 actors used during an average week on TV, only thirty-one were black. Of all the mass media, according to O'Neal, "TV is the worst" in its treatment of Afro-American talent.

On the other hand, actor Frank Wilson seemed genuinely pleased when he told *Jet* magazine in 1953 that television was affording blacks "a new freedom." Wilson boasted that he had already appeared in supporting roles in more than twenty television dramas, the latest being a Polynesian king in the *Studio One* production of "A Breath of Air." He argued, moreover, that he was only one of about fifty black performers who regularly received such assignments.

Wilson's enthusiasm notwithstanding, black actors in early TV plays were scarce. Several reasons account for this. First, the legacy of discrimination established in film, radio, and print continued to exist in television. Despite liberal and reformative senti-
ments which influenced popular culture in the postwar period, the prejudices of the past entered television. Clearly, the promise of bias-free participation was being denied by the nascent medium.

Early video also honored the long-established color line in its dramatic presentations. A traditional component of motion pictures, the color line resulted in black actors being employed only when a script specifically called for black characters. When an "extra" or incidental character could be of any racial background, invariably he or she was white. Ebony magazine in 1955 decried this practice when it related the story of Abbie Shuford. An aspiring young actress, Shuford had worked for three unsuccessful years in New York City, hoping to break the color line in television. Four years earlier Ed Sullivan had wondered in the same magazine if TV could "crack America's color line." The case of Abbie Shuford suggested that the answer was negative.

Although they produced deleterious results, discrimination and adherence to the color line were at least obvious practices which could be openly challenged. Much more difficult to combat was the preproduction censorship that occurred behind the scenes. It was difficult, for example, to deal with the establishment by some producers of discriminatory racial quotas. The use of quotas meant that never more than a few black performers could appear on the TV screen at one time. It also meant that stories treating racial controversy were rarely produced. Even the use of black extras was affected by racial quotas. In situations where more than a few black actors might be expected to appear—in urban street scenes, on public transportation, on public school campuses, or in hospital waiting rooms—they were severely restricted.

According to historian Thomas Cripps, this practice was complicated when liberal activist groups brought pressure for change upon TV producers. Cripps shows how protests from organizations like the NAACP actually led producers to curb further their utilization of black talent. For every Afro-American cast as a menial character, the NAACP demanded that another black actor be included in a professional role. Such a demand led some to ignore
black parts altogether. And this discrimination was justified on the grounds that it avoided unwanted disputes over racial quotas and sensitive minority themes.

Pressure for censorship in television dramatics came from sponsors, networks, advertising agencies, and even production personnel. Above all, these elements feared offending the prejudices and the economic strength of their white audiences. Fearing it was too controversial for the South, the CBS sales department failed to obtain a sponsor for the documentary of Marian Anderson’s operatic tour of the Far East. Not until Edward R. Murrow and co-producer Fred W. Friendly personally found a sponsor would CBS air this See It Now feature in prime time. When Du Pont financed an adaptation in mid-1958 of the popular Broadway play, A Member of the Wedding, it was suggested that the tenderness and embracing between the mammy character and her white employers’ children should be eliminated or toned down. Sensitive to civil rights tensions, the sponsors of the CBS series, Climax, compelled writer Ernest Kinoy to change two boys trapped in a cave from a black and a white to a white and Mexican-American twosome.

One of the most striking examples of preproduction censorship occurred when Reginald Rose’s drama, “Thunder on Sycamore Street,” was telecast on Studio One on March 15, 1954. Here, sponsor (Westinghouse), advertising agency (McCann-Erickson), and network (CBS) united in shaping the play. The original story was inspired by an attempt in 1953 by blacks to move into a local housing development in Cicero, Illinois. According to Rose, he wrote the drama because the “inhuman, medieval attitudes of these free, white Americans had so disturbed me that I had decided to do a play about them in an attempt to explore the causes behind their mass sickness.” For television, however, Rose was forced to make his central character an ex-convict instead of a black. Rose explained that it was “unpalatable” to behind-the-scenes elements that a black man should be “the beleaguered hero of a television drama.” Particularly, they felt,
viewers in southern states would be "appalled" by such a depiction."

It was an axiom in the advertising industry that southern whites would not tolerate positive images of blacks on television. Advertisers and their agencies feared a white economic backlash should they finance Afro-American talent in other than minstrel-based comedy roles. As an advertising executive explained to black actor Frank Silvera, a company such as Pillsbury could not afford to become associated too closely with Afro-Americans or their cause. If it became a popular perception that a Pillsbury product was a "nigger flour," the company would be severely hurt in sales."

Boycotts by southern consumers in the 1950s had adversely affected large corporations on more than one occasion. When black workers were permitted to work alongside whites on production lines at the Ford Motor Company, white southerners boycotted Ford automobiles until the practice was changed. When a black girl won a Chicago beauty contest, southern whites boycotted the sponsor of the contest, Philip Morris. The fear of such organized consumer resistance was what writer Rod Serling termed "a wrathful wind to come up from the South."

The clamor for censorship moved from the lowest to the highest levels in American society. Dealers holding franchises to sell nationally advertised products—especially the more expensive commodities such as automobiles—interpreted white consumer prejudice and in turn pressured corporate offices to avoid positive black characterization. Sponsors and their advertising agencies translated this anxiety into directives to writers, directors, and producers of television plays. Few personalities except Ed Sullivan and Steve Allen had the conviction and leverage necessary to withstand these pressures.

As the noted dramatist Paddy Chayefsky explained to a TV audience in 1958, no matter how compelling the story, if it had racial implications it was almost impossible to produce. Speaking of the unwinding story of integration in Little Rock, Arkansas, Chay-
efsky argued that it could never become television drama. To be acceptable for TV, he remarked, a writer would have to make the central character not a black child seeking integrated education, but "a Hungarian immigrant coming in from another country, and the reason they didn't like him was because he looked dirty." Simply stated, in Chayefsky's view "you can't write the Little Rock thing because they can't sell the sets down South... or you can't sell the aluminum paper down South." 10

A flagrant example of southern pressure on a TV dramatic production occurred with a play written by Rod Serling for the United States Steel Hour telecast on April 25, 1956. Although the play, "Noon on Doomsday," was not a racial drama, its theme was inspired by the Emmett Till case—a murder case in Mississippi in which an all-white jury acquitted two white men of killing Till, a black teenager who had made the mistake of whistling at a white girl. For Serling the poignancy in the acquittal was that although the two men were set free, the townspeople ostracized them as if they had been convicted.

Serling intended to stress this poignancy, the notion of a closed society rejecting state laws, but dispensing justice according to local values. To avoid racial controversy and to make his theme universal, Serling located his drama in an unspecified, un-southern locale. His victim was an elderly Jewish pawnbroker. The killer was made a neurotic malcontent who killed out of "his own unhappy, purposeless, miserable existence." 11

When it was publicized that Serling's play was related to the Till murder case, however, reaction was quickly forthcoming. In the several months before it was actually produced, White Citizens Councils and similar organizations sent more than fifteen thousand letters and telegrams protesting its showing. Despite denials in the press, "Noon on Doomsday" was anticipated as a racial play.

Bending to the pressure, U.S. Steel demanded and obtained wholesale changes in the drama. The story was now set in New England. The victim was made a foreigner of unspecified ethnic-
ity. The killer was no longer a psychopath, but an average American boy who had just gone wrong for a moment. Anything hinting at the South—the word "lynch," fried chicken, any social institution or event—was forbidden. Even Coca-Cola bottles were removed from the set, because the national headquarters of that soft drink company were in Atlanta.

This is not to suggest that the South was the only region of the nation antipathetic to strong, honest portrayals of Afro-American life. If such were the case, network TV would have avoided such imagery, but local television in the rest of the country would have aired consistently positive programs. The latter phenomenon never materialized. With its higher percentage of black residents, with its segregated caste system, and with its economic power securely in the hands of the white population, the South was only an intensified microcosm of national racial attitudes. Its Jim Crow laws were more blatant, its segregation was more developed, and its economic disparity was more apparent than in other regions of the United States.

With the civil rights movement growing in the mid-1950s, many commercial elements in TV programming feared association with the black cause. With the weight of federal law and force threatening to overturn the inequitable social system established in the Reconstruction era, many considered it economic suicide for a national manufacturer to sponsor a video drama showing the black minority in a flattering or martyred light.

When black performers or racial themes did gain dramatic exposure, characterization was anticipatable. Ethel Waters appeared on TV as early as August 1947. On the Borden Theater production of "Ethel's Cabin," she portrayed a middle-aged black woman saddled with a lazy husband and a dilapidated cabin—yet with still enough soul to sing the blues. One reviewer understood the implications of the telecast. While he praised the vocal talents of Waters, he noted that "presenting the lazy Negro, supported by his wife, is objectionable as fostering color discrimination."

Waters' dramatic roles were typecast. As well as playing the
lead for almost two years in the Beulah series, she appeared from time to time during the 1950s on such vehicles as General Electric Theater, Playwrights '56, Climax, and Favorite Playhouse. In all instances, she was portrayed as a faithful mammy servant, beloved darkie, or suffering mother.

The struggling boxer was a familiar role for black actors. During this first decade of TV production, Canada Lee, Frederick O'Neal, and Harry Belafonte appeared in teleplays as boxers. Another acceptable role for black actors was that of a Latin-American. Frank Silvera, for example, played a Latin-American musician on the Studio One production of "Guitar" on August 26, 1957. Although born in Puerto Rico and raised in the Spanish language, Juano Hernandez spoke flawless English. Nonetheless, his most substantial TV parts were as a Latin-American professor and doctor on two Studio One productions.

Even when stereotyped, seldom were Afro-American performers given parts like Frank Silvera's role as a wrongly convicted, pitiful prisoner and Georgia Burke's role as his enduring wife, in "The Julian Houseman Story" on The Big Story on November 25, 1949. It was an unfamiliar television scene for a black actor when, after the court reversed its conviction of Silvera's character, he spoke into the camera:

You see, I learned sompin. That—if you believe sompin, don't put you head down. Don't say, "Nah, that can't be." Put you face up and fight what you believe. Ef you do that, people care, cause they see you cares.  

There were, of course, other significant exceptions to the pattern of exclusion found in television drama. An episode of Death Valley Days in June 1953, "Land of the Free," concerned two black prospectors in the old West. Although it was only a single episode in a lengthy syndicated series, it represented one of the few instances in which blacks were shown to have been a part of the frontier legacy.

Other appearances by blacks were notable. Eartha Kitt per-

James Edwards, best known for his portrayal of the black soldier in Stanley Kramer's controversial film, *Home of the Brave*, appeared in two dramatic productions in 1955. In "D.P." on the *General Electric Theater* in January, he portrayed an American soldier stationed in Germany whose bitterness was mellowed when he became the surrogate father of an orphaned black boy. In "Toward Tomorrow," on the *Du Pont Cavalcade Theater* in October, he played the young Ralph Bunche struggling with the idea of whether or not to attend college.

There were other dramatic triumphs. Sidney Poitier played a central character on "The Parole Officer," a presentation on the distinguished *Philco Television Playhouse*. Clarence Muse in 1955–1956 enacted the role of Sam, the piano-playing friend of Rick on Warner Brothers' short-lived series, *Casablanca*. And Duke Ellington lent his narrative voice and musical talent to "A Drum Is a Woman," a jazz fantasy which he composed for the *United States Steel Hour* telecast of May 8, 1957.

Combining the best of drama and music, Leontyne Price made her debut on national television in the title role of Puccini's *Tosca*. Produced on the *NBC Opera Theater* on January 23, 1955, it was an artistic triumph for the young soprano from Laurel, Mississippi. *Variety* praised her performance effusely, announcing that "a new operatic star was born." Unfortunately for opera lovers in the South, several southern affiliates refused to carry the program because Price was black.
Much of the South was also unable to see Leontyne Price in her next several appearances on the *NBC Opera Theater*. She precipitated local preemptions on January 15, 1956, when she sang the role of Pamina in Mozart’s *The Magic Flute*. Several southern stations canceled the program on December 8, 1957, when she appeared in the role of Mme. Lidoine in Poulenc’s *Dialogues of the Carmelites*. As late as August 10, 1960, racial prejudice caused the cancellation in the South of a Leontyne Price operatic performance. This time eleven of NBC’s forty stations below the Mason-Dixon line refused to broadcast Mozart’s *Don Giovanni* because Price sang the role of Donna Anna.4

As poignant and artistic as were many of these performances, the most profound role given a black actor in early TV was Sidney Poitier’s part as Tommy Tyler in the *Philco Television Playhouse* production of “*A Man Is Ten Feet Tall.*” Written by Robert Alan Aurthur, the play was televised on October 2, 1955, as the last effort sponsored by Philco in seven years with this dramatic showcase. Knowing that that was to be the last program for the sponsor, both Philco and Aurthur decided to be forthright in this final product.

Poitier played a railroad worker who befriended a white deserter from the army. A sensitive and humane character, Tommy Tyler invited his white friend to share Christmas dinner with him and his wife. The play ended, however, with Tyler dying—stabbed in the back when he intervened in a fight between his friend and another white man.

The drama possessed an interracial theme, a sense of Christian suffering, and a remarkable probing of human emotionality. It was definitely not the type of show with which black talent was usually associated. Years later, Aurthur recalled the reaction to his drama. “‘Following the show I received more than 1,100 letters, cards, telegrams,’” he stated. Further, according to Aurthur:

We won seven awards. . . . Two Southern newspapers printed editorials calling me a Communist, and several others condemned the
network for airing the show. Six Philco distributors threatened to cancel franchises, and we received a rolled-up petition from Jackson, Miss., with more than six thousand signatures of people who swore they'd never watch the *Playhouse* again. Too late.  

More familiar to viewers in the early years of television was the image of blacks cast in stereotyped parts. These ranged from Ossie Davis in the celebrated *Emperor Jones* on *Kraft Television Theater* on February 23, 1955, to a dramatization of *Uncle Tom's Cabin* on *Omnibus* on April 10, 1955. The most flagrantly stereotyped presentation, however, was *The Green Pastures*, and it appeared three times on network television during the 1950s.  

*The Green Pastures* was an acclaimed all-black play which premiered on Broadway in 1930. Written by a white man, Marc Connely, it played in New York City and 112 other locales before it was produced in 1936 as a motion picture. The drama was a boon for black actors, since its eighty roles were played by Afro-Americans. But it was an outlandishly stereotyped fantasy about the Old Testament, one which envisioned black Heaven as "one big fish fry, where Mammy Angels feed custard and fish to little cherubs." 

The Pulitzer-Prize-winning play made its debut on television on April 7, 1951, when excerpts were enacted on ABC's *Showtime, U.S.A.* The cast for this production included Avon Long and Ossie Davis. William Marshall played the leading role, that of de Lawd. More elaborate was the ninety-minute version of *The Green Pastures* which was presented on October 23, 1957 on the celebrated *Hallmark Hall of Fame*. Here it was given a full treatment, complete with engaging sets, believable special effects, and strong direction by George Schaefer. The main roles were played by William Warfield, Eddie Anderson, and Frederick O'Neal. And it was successful. It received awards from *Look* magazine and Sylvania television. The ninety-minute version was also repeated for Hallmark on March 23, 1959, by essentially the same cast.  

Nevertheless, *The Green Pastures* owed as much to minstrel
James Edwards was an outspoken critic of the manner in which black performers were utilized in television in the 1950s. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

Paul Robeson was effectively banned from American TV when his appearance in March 1950 on Eleanor Roosevelt's talk show was canceled by NBC. The network's action followed unprecedented pressure from veterans' organizations and other groups intolerant of Robeson for political and racial reasons. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
Billy Daniels hosted the first sponsored program on national television featuring a black performer. For thirteen weeks in the fall of 1952, the *Billy Daniels Show* appeared on ABC. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

On radio and in film Mantan Moreland mastered the role of the buffoon. With the telecasting of *Charlie Chan* movies on TV, Moreland's "coon" character, Birmingham Brown, is still a reminder of the minstrel show roots of such prejudicial comedy. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

In the *Little Rascals* comedy shorts, Farina (Allen Hoskins) helped to revive the "pickanniny" stereotype. These films were released theatrically as *Our Gang* comedies in the 1920s and 1930s, but thanks to television, new generations of American youngsters were able to experience the movie images which helped shape adult prejudices. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
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He was a maker of laughter.
A master of his art.
Who blessed the earth w’th joy and mirth.
Even with tears in his heart.
Somewhere in the happy hereafter,
Far from this world of strife;
He stands on a stage that will never age.
Rewarded with lasting life.
—Andy Razaf
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Tim Moore appeared as the stereotyped shiftless schemer, George "Kingfish" Stevens, on the controversial Amos 'n' Andy series. Despite his video image as an exploiter of his friends, in real life Moore was a beloved entertainer who first emerged in black vaudeville in the 1920s. This front page of the program for Moore’s funeral services attests to his popularity. (Courtesy of the Chicago Historical Society)
Lillian Randolph appeared on radio and television as a black maid working for a middle-class white family. Here, with Willard Waterman and Stephanie Griffin, she was cast as Birdie Lee Coggins on the syndicated situation comedy the Great Gildersleeve. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

As the cook in a white southern home, Claudia McNeil starred as the friend and confidant of two children in "A Member of the Wedding", which appeared on CBS in 1958. With McNeil are Dennis Kohler (left) and Collin Wilcox. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
One of the most popular guest performers in early TV was Lena Horne. Throughout the early 1950s she performed frequently on the many musical-variety programs on network television. (Courtesy of the Cleveland Press)

The premier black entertainer of his day was singer Nat King Cole. His musical show failed in December 1957 despite great support from NBC. Not until seven years later did network TV schedule another Afro-American as the star of a weekly program. (Courtesy of Kraft, Inc.)
During the 1950s and early 1960s, Leontyne Price appeared in several operatic productions on NBC. Southern affiliates frequently preempted the operas when Price performed. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
Blacks were an important part of the quiz show phenomenon in the 1950s. Here Hal March on the $64,000 Question awards a check to Frances DeBerry, a seventy-four-year-old expert on Shakespeare. (Courtesy of the Chicago Historical Society)

One of the most popular acts in American show business, the Mills Brothers performed on television as early as the mid-1940s. Throughout the following decades they appeared often as guests. (Courtesy of Kraft, Inc.)
Two of the more recognizable roles for blacks in American popular culture, Harry Belafonte as a boxer and Ethel Waters as his long-suffering mother, as they appeared on the General Electric Theater in 1955. (Courtesy of the Chicago Historical Society)
shows as it did to Biblical inspiration. Its character development and impressive realization could not but be diminished by its overpoweringly prejudicial script. Many of the cliches created in nineteenth-century minstrelsy were prominent. The term "boy" was used frequently to refer to adult men, there were crap games, drinking and carousing, pidgin English, knife fights, emasculating women, and a generalized atmosphere of "fightin', gamblin', and loatin'." Emerging from this facile picture of black religious fundamentalism was an imposing image of God, de Lawd, whose overbearing preeminence rendered all other characters simple children. For the racially prejudiced, this was a safe portrait of American blacks. All the characters were essentially Sambos—uncomplicated children whose mischief would be handled by the inexorable acting out of the Old Testament story. Even the black God was unthreatening. His bad grammar and dialect immediately compromised his superiority. This was no character affected by contemporary considerations of civil rights and social freedom. Here was another Uncle Tom, the "good old darky" image drawn directly from the entertainment stage of the previous century. The fact that the program was repeated and found such widespread approval suggests, moreover, that unlike the dramas of Reginald Rose, Robert Alan Aurthur, and Rod Serling, The Green Pastures more accurately reflected popular racial perceptions.

By the close of TV's first decade, the original promise of unbiased treatment for Afro-Americans remained unfulfilled. Certainly, there were black faces on the video screen. But they continued to appear in familiar or stereotyped situations: as dancers, vocalists, and comedians on variety shows; as supporting characters in a few dramas; as occasional contestants on audience participation and quiz shows; and as impersonal competitors in some sports. Equally striking were the TV niches into which no blacks fit. In early television there were no black newscasters or correspondents, no black Western stars, no black staff announcers, no black detectives or undercover agents. Likewise, Afro-Americans were minimally represented, if at all, in TV produc-
ing, directing, and writing, as well as in network and station management, and in related work with sponsors or advertising agencies.

As television emerged and adapted to the values and tastes of its audience, there developed certain perimeters clearly marking the borders of black expression in the medium. These were not necessarily dictates from any network official or television producer. They emanated, usually, from the process of adaptation TV experienced as it found its place within the American culture. On the one hand, it was quickly established that racial resentment and frustration—especially as enunciated by militant black spokesmen—were unacceptable on network and local television. On the other hand, TV showed itself chronically inhospitable to sponsored national programming hosted by black entertainers.

Hostility toward Afro-American militancy and nonsupport for black-hosted network series at first seem unrelated postures. But their implications and relationship were clearly demonstrated in two events during TV’s first decade—the banning of Paul Robeson from network and local television, and the cancellation by NBC of the Nat King Cole Show.

PERIMETERS—THE CASE OF PAUL ROBESON

Paul Robeson was a singular American. He was a brilliant student, graduating with honors from Rutgers University, and then earning a law degree at Columbia University. An outstanding athlete, he was named an All-American football player in 1917 and 1918. He was also a premier operatic bass, and a sensitive interpreter of folk music. He is well remembered as an impressive stage and screen actor. Yet, Robeson jeopardized his professional success by insisting that his first social priority was to speak for black Americans—that no matter what praise he received from the
world of culture, he was still a part of an abused minority whose plight was dismal and whose champions were few.

Robeson used his international fame as a platform from which to denounce the hypocrisy of a society founded on personal liberty, yet tolerant of segregation, inequitable Jim Crow laws, and lynchings. In stressing his point, Robeson publicly announced his approval of the Soviet Union as the highest arrangement of social equality in the world.

Although Robeson was not a member of the Communist party, his passion for the betterment of Afro-Americans frequently led him parallel to Communist ideology. Throughout the 1930s, he was a familiar spokesman for the Soviet social formula: a blend of socialism, pacifism, and egalitarian rhetoric.

While he was tolerated in the Depression years, during World War II—a time when the United States was a military ally of the Soviet Union—Robeson became a political asset. He often appeared on radio as a spokesman for the Allied cause. On several occasions his voice was beamed around the world via shortwave. In his speeches and concerts, and by his physical presence, Robeson suggested that the lot of the black American was improving. In the fervor of the war against a racist Fascist enemy, his crusade against American bigotry seemed also to be reaching fruition.

The postwar world, however, was different for Robeson. Personally, he found in the newly established Progressive party a legitimate political organization through which to channel his reformist energies. As did millions of citizens, he rallied behind the Progressive candidate in the presidential elections of 1948, former Vice-President Henry Wallace. Robeson seemed genuinely inspired when, in a campaign speech in Washington, D.C., he told an audience, "We have taken the offensive against fascism! We will take the power from their hands and through our representatives we will direct the future destiny of our nation."

The least of Robeson's postwar defeats was the dismal showing made by Wallace in the November elections. In a society now locked in a cold war with the Russian rival, Robeson's progressive
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politics were labeled "communistic" and "treasonable." He consistently suffered because of his outspoken political views. Speeches were abruptly canceled, concerts were called off, biographies of Robeson were banned from public libraries, and rioting often occurred during his appearances.

His pro-Russian views put Robeson in an untenable position. When Congress held hearings on legislation outlawing the Communist party, Robeson was asked to testify on the issue. When he proclaimed that it was "unthinkable" that American blacks would "go to war on behalf of those who have oppressed us for generations" and against the USSR, "a country which in one generation has raised our people to full human dignity of mankind," he was denounced by social leaders, black and white.

Robeson's alienation from American society reached its peak in 1950 when, in the midst of an anti-Communist hysteria aggravated by the outbreak of hostilities in Korea, the State Department revoked his passport. Until the Supreme Court ruled this action unconstitutional, Robeson was unable to perform at home or to travel abroad. This "imprisonment" lasted for eight years.

It was in this atmosphere that he was invited in 1950 to appear in New York City on Today with Mrs. Roosevelt, a Sunday afternoon discussion program on NBC hosted by Eleanor Roosevelt. Robeson was asked to represent the Progressive party in a discussion of "The Position of the Negro in American Political Life." The public affairs show was scheduled for March 19. Joining him and Roosevelt were to be Rep. Adam Clayton Powell, a liberal Democrat, and Perry Howard, a black Republican committeeman from Mississippi. The program never took place.

Instead of discussing black politics, Robeson became the first person officially banned from American television. Less than twenty-four hours after his appearance was announced, it was canceled. The decision was made by NBC, although neither Mrs. Roosevelt nor Elliott Roosevelt and Martin Jones, coproducers of the program, seemed to resent the network directive. The NBC decision was bluntly pronounced by a vice-president.
We are all agreed that Mr. Robeson's appearance would lead only to misunderstanding and confusion, and no good purpose would be served in having him speak on the issue of the Negro in politics. The announcement that Mr. Robeson would be a participant was premature and I cannot understand why it was made.47

The banning of Robeson from Today with Mrs. Roosevelt was a reaction to an unprecedented barrage of public criticism which followed the March 12 announcement of his appearance. Most strident in its criticism of Robeson was the Hearst newspaper, the New York Journal-American. In the midst of the cold war, this newspaper specialized in sensationalism and innuendo. In glaring headlines and flamboyant stories, it “exposed” Communists in the government, Russian aggression around the world, and threats of an H-bomb and a biochemical World War III.

In its March 13 editions, the Journal-American placed on the front page the story of Robeson’s scheduled appearance. Next to it was a story supportive of Sen. Joseph McCarthy, who had just named two State Department aides “pro-Communist.” In the Robeson report, the black celebrity was described as “pro-Communist,” “Moscow-admired,” and “long a champion of things Russian.” The paper quoted a black former Communist who had told congressional investigators that Robeson was secretly a member of the party with ambitions of becoming “the Black Stalin of America.”

More effective in precipitating the cancellation of Robeson, however, were the hundreds of war veterans who phoned NBC about their displeasure. On September 12 and 13, the network offices in New York City received more than 300 hostile telephone calls. The protest was loosely organized by several veterans’ organizations, and it was fueled by the sensational story printed in the Journal-American.

Following NBC’s capitulation, veterans’ leaders were anxious to trumpet their victory. And the Journal-American gave them news space in which to boast.48 The state commander of the New York American Legion alleged that Robeson’s appear-
ance "would have incited hatred and bigotry." He contended that the presence of Robeson "on any NBC program would have been an outrage to every decent American." The New York State commander of the Catholic War Veterans agreed. He declared that his organization "believes that the time has come for broadcasting systems to become conscious of their great responsibility to American citizens."

Other officials were also happy to state their case. Another leader of the Catholic War Veterans was pleased with NBC's decisiveness. "We commend NBC's prompt action in cancelling the appearance of Robeson," he declared. He clearly hoped that this action was precedent-setting. "We want programs," the official asserted, "that will not feature any individual whose affiliations are in conflict with American ideology."

The war veterans, however, were not alone in attacking Robeson. Rabbi Benjamin Schultz of the Joint Committee Against Communism wondered why "anyone would select Robeson, an avowed champion of Russia, to speak for any section of American Negroes!" And H. V. Kaltenborn, the venerable NBC commentator who once had championed the cause of the Scottsboro boys and had spoken for the Loyalist, anti-Franco side in the Spanish Civil War, now blasted Robeson. Contending that Communists "are not intellectually honest," and that "deceit and falsehood are part of their stock in trade," Kaltenborn concluded:

The issue of free speech for Communists would arise far less frequently and would be much easier to handle if we outlawed the Communist Party. It is an association of subversive agents of a foreign government. It is not a political party. There is no reason to grant freedom of speech to any member of a group which proposes to use it to destroy it."

Robeson had few supporters. Except for the Baltimore Afro-American which headlined "Air Not Free at NBC," the black press remained strangely silent. A few union members, mainly the Harlem Trade Union Council, picketed the NBC offices. The
American Civil Liberties Union also backed Robeson’s position. It formally protested the network action as “censorship by private pressure.”

For her part, Eleanor Roosevelt was unrepentant. After first denying that she personally had asked Robeson to participate (the invitation was made to the Progressive party, and the party selected Robeson, its vice-president), Roosevelt told a delegation of angry Young Progressives that she would never share a program with Robeson “because it would give the impression that she endorsed his left-wing political views, with which she sharply differs.”

Robeson, however, was not silent. He used the occasion to attack NBC. “It is not surprising to me,” he asserted, “that a huge network which practically excludes colored persons from its large army of professional personnel should balk at a discussion of the colored group in American politics which professes to present all points of view."

According to Robeson, NBC “evidently does not want colored Americans reminded too forcibly of the fact which becomes increasingly evident . . . that is, that there is no real hope for my people—American working men of the majority of the population—in the two old parties which are wedded to a program of cold war abroad and privation and suppression of popular dissent at home." 

The decision to ban Robeson from American television had political and racial dimensions. Politically, it was simply too controversial for a commercial network to air the views of an admitted leftist at a time when cold war tensions were nationally unsettling. Further, a young network was not going to incur the wrath of government and the public at a time when its investment in the nascent industry was substantial and TV was still not entrenched as a mass medium. When Robeson was rebuked by people as diverse as Jackie Robinson and members of Congress, questions about free speech were academic to NBC.

Without being enunciated, there was a racial component to the Robeson affair. He was an outspoken political activist. A power-
ful man with deep convictions, he was not content with personal success gained while other Afro-Americans remained deprived. And Robeson was unrelenting. The day before his NBC appearance was announced, he was quoted as saying that “Russia’s program of raising the little people of all races to basic equality in their nation and in the world is the opposite of what our country and England and the Fascists stand for.”

Because of his international and national prestige, Robeson was a political force. Because he was a black man, this force was inherently racial. Unlike other black spokesmen, Robeson was neither an academic like W. E. B. Du Bois, nor narrowly focused like A. Philip Randolph—neither a reactive voice like those in the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, nor tied to an unjust national system like those in Congress. From his cultural position, he brought humanistic criticism to bear upon the American conscience. In his rhetoric, moreover, he linked the Afro-American cause with decolonization in Africa and Asia and with the condition of oppressed peoples around the world.

Except for rare appearances by men like Ralph Bunche of the United Nations and Walter White of the NAACP, black political leaders were practically absent from early TV. It was unrealistic to expect network television to accommodate a racial threat such as Robeson. Magnified by his international reputation, whatever he had said about the condition of black Americans in 1950 would have had impact throughout the world. In censoring Robeson, network and program personnel were declaring that criticism of American racism was not permissible if that criticism was profound, uncompromising, and internationally provocative. The line of demarcation was clearly drawn: television would not tolerate militant Afro-American reformers.

That perimeter endured throughout the 1950s. Eight years after the incident with NBC, Robeson encountered the same situation when he was slated to appear on a local public affairs television program in Chicago. When in late March 1958, it was announced that Robeson would be a guest on *V.I.P.*—hosted on WBKB, the
ABC station, by Norman Ross—popular reaction precipitated postponement and then cancellation of the appearance. Instead of a half-hour interview with Robeson, Chicago viewers saw a travelogue about India. Robeson was not only banned from V.I.P., he was never permitted to appear on American television to discuss his ideas.

PERIMETERS—THE CASE OF NAT KING COLE

At first glance Nat King Cole appears to have been the diametric opposite of Paul Robeson. Nonpolitical and noncontroversial, Cole was a jazz pianist and vocalist whose mellow King Cole Trio enjoyed wide acceptance throughout the 1940s. In the following decade, when he disbanded his Trio and pursued a career as a singer of popular ballads, Cole continued to receive approval from black and white listeners.

If any black performer seemed destined for his own network TV series, it was Cole. He had already hosted an NBC radio series, King Cole Trio Time, which in 1946 had been sponsored nationally by Wildroot hair tonic. At a time when most Afro-American singers imitated the group harmonies of gospel ensembles, trios like the Ink Spots, or the rhythm and blues sound whose roots lay in less sophisticated folk music forms, Cole was a well-trained, disciplined vocal artist. Only Billy Daniels and Billy Eckstine rivaled him in vocal polish, and neither had the number of hit recordings produced by Cole.

Between 1944 and 1957, Cole had forty-five recordings which were listed in the competitive charts maintained by Billboard magazine. Several of these songs—"For Sentimental Reasons," "Nature Boy," "Mona Lisa," and "Too Young"—reached the number one position, and thirteen were listed in the top ten. In the period 1940–1955, Cole records were on the Billboard charts for a
total of 274 weeks. This made him the ninth most popular recording personality in that fifteen-year period—ahead of such celebrities as Frank Sinatra, Doris Day, Tommy Dorsey, and Dinah Shore.

Cole did well in early television. He was a frequent guest on top variety shows. Wherever he appeared with such personalities as Ed Sullivan or Jackie Gleason, his style always elicited strong audience approval. In mid-1954 when he signed with CBS to make ten guest appearances, it was rumored that this was in preparation for his own forthcoming show.

Compared to Robeson and his social militancy, Cole was assimilated, unthreatening, and popularly accepted. While he was not the first black host of his own network series, as the top Afro-American recording artist of his generation Cole had the best chance of success. The premier of the Nat King Cole Show on NBC on November 5, 1956 seemed to open a new era for black performers.

The program was a weekly quarter-hour feature which on Mondays led into the evening news with Chet Huntley and David Brinkley at 7:45 p.m. (EST). It was a spirited program with a pleasant mixture of upbeat tunes and slower ballads. Cole was backed by reputable orchestras—Gordon Jenkins when the show emanated from New York City, and Nelson Riddle when in Hollywood. An occasional guest, such as Count Basie, also enhanced the offering.

Cole was aware of the significance of his program. He referred to himself as "the Jackie Robinson of television." In 1956, he defined his undertaking as a struggle against racism.

I have a fight right now in my own business in TV. I realize what TV is doing. I know they are freezing the Negro out. I know that no Negro has a TV show. I'm breaking that down. I'm fighting on the inside, without publicity."

That conviction stayed with Cole throughout his television career. In September 1957, he told TV Guide that his program was
"a step in the right direction" toward allaying network fears about black shows being televised to prejudiced viewers.\(^5\)

Unfortunately, the seeds of destruction were within the *Nat King Cole Show* from the beginning. First, the program was never popular. Opposite it on CBS was *Robin Hood*, one of the top-rated shows on TV, which attracted over half the audience at 7:30 P.M. As Figure 1.1 attests, during the few times the *Nat King Cole Show* was rated by Nielsen, it failed to demonstrate a sizable following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF RATING</th>
<th>PERCENT OF POTENTIAL AUDIENCE</th>
<th>PERCENT OF SETS IN USE</th>
<th>RELATIVE RATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 7, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 12.7</td>
<td>Robin Hood 31.6</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 21, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 11.3</td>
<td>Robin Hood 31.6</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 4, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 13.0</td>
<td>Robin Hood 30.6</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 18, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 11.5</td>
<td>Robin Hood 30.9</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 4, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 10.5</td>
<td>Robin Hood 31.0</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 18, 1957</td>
<td>NKC Show 9.7</td>
<td>Robin Hood 31.4</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coupled with poor ratings, the *Nat King Cole Show* suffered from lack of a consistent national sponsor. Occasionally the quarter-hour show was bankrolled by Arrid deodorant and/or Rise
shaving cream. More often than not, the program was sustained by NBC. Cole seemed painfully aware of his tenuous predicament. The words with which he ended the program of February 11, 1957 were less than confident. With his theme song playing in the background, Cole told his viewers:

Well, I guess folks, that's about it for tonight. We expect to be around this same time next week—same station—same show, we hope. Until then, see you later.

Despite poor ratings and a sporadic pattern of sponsorship, NBC expanded the Nat King Cole Show to thirty minutes, raised its operating budget, and as a summer experiment placed the program in prime time competition. The revamped show premiered on Tuesday, July 2, at 10 p.m. (EST). Opposite it was a formidable CBS rival, The $64,000 Question—the fourth-ranking program during the 1956–57 season.

Cole and his friends seemed determined to save the series by showing its potential to attract viewers. More important, the show became a battlefield in the civil rights movement. To rescue the most dignified black program in TV history, some of the biggest talents in show business volunteered to appear on the Nat King Cole Show for the union-approved minimum wage. Men and women whose energies commanded TV salaries in five figures now appeared with Cole for a few hundred dollars. Among them were Ella Fitzgerald, Tony Martin, Julius LaRosa, Peggy Lee, the King Sisters, Sammy Davis, Jr., Pearl Bailey, Robert Mitchum, Frankie Laine, and Mel Torme.

With a new time and an extended format, ratings of the Nat King Cole Show improved. When Harry Belafonte was a guest on the program of August 6, the show came within three Trendex rating points of its CBS rival. Cole later noted that his summer program was the top-rated show in New York City, and that it was eighth in the Los Angeles area. 57

The insurmountable problem for Cole, however, was his failure to attract a national sponsor. Despite improved popularity, with no
advertiser willing to buy the series, NBC was compelled to sell the
time slot to the Singer Sewing Machine Company for The Califor-
niens, an adult western which premiered in the fall.

In defense of NBC, the network tried to salvage the program. It
had sustained the series throughout the summer, and beginning
September 17, it carried the half-hour Nat King Cole Show on
Tuesday evenings at 7:30 p.m. (EST). Now the show was offered
as a cooperatively sponsored feature. By this arrangement a local
business, or a national advertiser wanting only single-market ex-
posure, could purchase the show in a given city. Thus, Regal beer
sponsored the program in New Orleans; Coca-Cola paid for it in
Houston; in San Francisco its underwriter was Italian Swiss Col-
ony wine; Rhinegold beer handled it in Hartford and New York
City; and in Los Angeles it had two sponsors, Gallo wine and Col-
gate toothpaste. Still, the program attracted only thirty sponsors
nationwide.

As far as the network was concerned, the cooperative arrange-
ment was not as profitable as having a single national sponsor.
During the summer NBC had sustained the series in seventy-six
cities. With a low number of co-op advertisers in the fall, the net-
work demanded that the show be rescheduled in January to a less
expensive time slot—Saturdays at 7:00 p.m. This move, however,
was unacceptable to Cole. Given the day and time (6:00 p.m. in the
midwest and 5:00 p.m. in some areas), a time when "most people
are eating or shopping," Cole accepted the cancellation of his se-
ries. The last telecast of the Nat King Cole Show was on December
17, 1957.

The Nat King Cole Show was not a failure. For fifty-nine con-
secutive weeks it appeared on NBC. During that span, the network
acted responsibly toward Cole. Unlike Robeson's assessment of
the network, Cole praised NBC for maintaining "democratic and
wise public relations" in backing his efforts. According to Cole,
his cancellation by NBC was a function of TV reality: "They
wanted me on the network; they wanted to keep me. But they had
to shift me around because I didn’t have a network sponsor and
shows with single, network sponsors get preferential treatment."

Cole, however, did name a culprit in his television demise. The focus of his animosity was the advertising industry which, he claimed, never really tried to sell his program to a national account. For a man with a reputation for reserve and gentility, Cole was vitriolic when he wrote in \textit{Ebony} that "Madison Avenue, the center of the advertising industry, and their big clients didn’t want their products associated with Negroes." Cole asserted that he never found a sponsor because "Madison Avenue said I couldn’t be sold, that no national advertiser would take a chance on offending Southerners."

Ironically, experience with television led him to a position remarkably similar to that of Robeson, the leftist activist who approved of the classless Soviet Union and its Marxian social and economic arrangement. "It’s not the people in the South who create racial problems," Cole argued, "it’s the people who govern the South." According to the singer, most southerners "are fine people. But those who govern isolate the people by advocating a rigid policy of discrimination; whether the people want it or not, they are not allowed to participate in mixed audiences because of their laws." Significantly, he dismissed most white southern bigots as small-minded people who worried about lesser matters such as "the mixing of the races." But he attacked "the big men" who exploited the situation.

In Cole’s quasi-Marxian analysis, "the big men" were those "who control Wall Street, the men who run Madison Avenue." And they, in Cole’s view, "are worried about economics." In a statement worthy of Robeson, Cole wrote that "racial prejudice is more finance than romance."

Bitter, disappointed, and frustrated by his experience, Cole
refused to blame his cancellation on southern prejudice, for as he noted, "After all, Madison Avenue is in the North." In absolving the South, he alleged that "I think sometimes the South is used as a football to take some of the stain off us in the North." In a conclusion with which Robeson would have concurred, he called upon all blacks to organize and assert their financial strength to offset "the big men." According to him, "We need to show the strength of the Negro market." He continued:

Negroes above all, must become financially independent. All things, as intelligent Negroes know, boil down to money. We must, before it is too late, solidify our positions. We must support organizations like the Urban League and the NAACP. . . . They are all working for racial betterment. Negroes, too, must invest more, not only in entertainment enterprises, but in all businesses. We should put our money to work because money is what the people working against us respect.

Emerging through the frustration in Cole's argument was an insightful description of the reluctance of the advertising industry to sell his series. Madison Avenue did not think the show would survive. Even with a new format and improved summer ratings, agency interest in selling the program to an advertiser was not kindled. At the base of this lack of enthusiasm was the sensitivity of Madison Avenue to the so-called Southern Market. Ironically, five months before the Nat King Cole Show premiered, Variety reported that pressure from advertisers with southern markets was "setting back by many years the advancement made in television toward providing equal job opportunities regardless of race, creed, or color." With the civil rights movement swelling in the South, national advertisers and their agencies feared offending white consumers who were resisting the movement toward integration. Their answer was to keep blacks off national television as much as possible. "At one major agency," Variety noted, "the word has gone out: 'No Negro performers allowed.'" After ten years of popularly accepted television, it was legiti-
mate to wonder where by the late 1950s black Americans had gone. In a nation where more than 10 percent of the population was Afro-American, TV was nowhere near 10 percent black. Several citizens openly expressed their bewilderment at this situation. Natalie Fuller Shean, a woman from New York City who described herself as "nobody, just a housewife," wondered in 1956 if there existed in television "a conscious ban against the use of Negro actors. . . . I see very few on TV, and I often see none at all being intelligently used on shows in situations where they logically belong." Several months later Thurgood Marshall, then the special counsel for the NAACP, protested conditions in a letter to Variety. He wrote about "the spotty use of Negro actors and actresses on the legitimate stage as well as on television and films save in 'token' jobs or in stereotyped roles." As late as 1959, a reader of TV Guide questioned in that journal: "Why can't some of the detective and comedy series work Negroes into their scripts, making them an ordinary part of television life as they are an ordinary part of everyday life?"

The prejudice-free enterprise that Ebony magazine foresaw for TV in 1950 was nonexistent in 1957. Fewer and fewer blacks were finding significant employment in the television industry. The collapse of the Nat King Cole Show served only to reaffirm what many felt to be true: television was no place for Afro-American talents to seek success. Nonetheless, in the next stage of the history of blacks in TV substantial changes would be effected. Not because of any great liberal change of heart at the networks, but as an outgrowth of the dynamics of the civil rights movement, the posture of blacks in television would be substantially realigned and improved. In the late 1950s and throughout the next decade all social life in the United States would be touched by TV and its depiction of the black minority.
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The promise of a color-blind future in television was made prematurely. In its earliest years when TV seemed to promise artistic and social equality for blacks, the medium was neither widely available nor overwhelmingly lucrative. As television became nationally distributed and enormously profitable, however, the hopes and expectations of earlier years were virtually forgotten.

After its first two years of full-scale operation, television was still a fledgling industry. In December 1949, for example, there were only ninety-seven TV stations operating in fifty-eight market areas throughout the nation. Although New York City and Los Angeles each had seven outlets, only a few cities had more than one station. Nationally, moreover, there were only 3,415,474 TV sets in operation. Sixteen states had no television outlets transmitting from within their borders.

Television was still in its infancy at the end of 1949. Most TV was produced and seen in the midwest-northeast axis. Impor-
tantly, in the southern section of the United States—the region in which antiblack prejudice was considered by programmers and advertisers as inimical to minority participation in TV—television was barely a reality. There were only thirteen southern market areas with TV by December 1949. While that represented 22.4 percent of all cities having television, only 4.5 percent of TV sets were in the South. As the industry entered the 1950s, the South was retarded as a consumer of television. And given the freeze on licensing new stations by the Federal Communications Commission, not until 1953 was the South able to redress this imbalance. Until that date, there were no operative TV transmitters in Mississippi, Arkansas, or South Carolina.

It is also noteworthy that while southern stations carried network affiliations and network programming, in many instances stations such as WDSU-TV in New Orleans and WMCT in Memphis chose their evening programming from the offerings of all four national networks. This made it easier to preempt “controversial” programs coming from New York City or Hollywood. Local social standards could be respected by selecting carefully from network shows, being careful to present quality entertainment while rejecting programs with threatening messages and disruptive images.

The American South, moreover, was not tied directly into network TV until coaxial cable and relay stations were fully installed in the mid-1950s. Only then could most stations in this region receive shows directly from New York, Chicago, or Los Angeles. Until that time, much southern TV was a blend of network and syndicated films, kinescopes, and local productions.

TV’s projection of a hopeful future for blacks emerged in these years of regional imbalance. In practical terms the promise was made before industry leaders understood the implications of a national video system having to be sensitive to local and regional predilections. And simultaneously with the geographic growth of television, it became enormously successful as a profit center for advertising.
As TV became increasingly available, it grew financially at an unprecedented rate. Figure 2.1 illustrates the rise of the industry from obscurity to a billion-dollar operation in little more than a decade.
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By the end of the 1950s, with television revenues headed toward $2 billion, idealistic promises of an earlier time were lost in the whirl of success. As well as a national medium, TV was now big business. In light of disastrous financial repercussions that might follow the realization of old expectations, past pledges had to be reconsidered. An executive with WHEN in Syracuse summarized in 1953 the new mentality and motor force of the video industry. According to Paul Adanti, "TV must not be sold as a promotion medium but as what it actually is—an advertising and sales medium with the lowest cost-per-thousand and the most effective results."

### THE SOUTHERN FACTOR

It would be incorrect to argue that when the South with its overt antiblack social patterns was integrated into the national television audience, the hopes of those seeking an equitable future for Afro-Americans in the medium were crushed. If the South were solely
responsible, one could have expected racial equity in television before the mid-1950s. Such, however, was not the case.

Nonetheless, network executives, station owners, advertising agencies, and sponsors were sensitive to the programming with which they became associated. As was the case in the heyday of network radio, no one concerned with television broadcasting wanted to offend large segments of the audience by being linked with politically volatile causes. And because of the politics of the time, achieving social justice for minorities—which a few years earlier had been a legitimate liberal political goal—was a controversial, even unpatriotic posture by the mid-1950s.

The modern civil rights movement was nurtured in the postwar 1940s. It did not grow into a powerful national concern, however, until the United States Supreme Court decided in May 1954 that the notion of “separate but equal” was inherently wrong. Following that decision the civil rights movement became increasingly visible and confrontational. Beginning with school segregation, agitators soon were demanding an end to all forms of American racism. And as often as Jim Crow laws were challenged by racial reformers, hostile whites organized to defy those demanding change.

This was especially true in the South. Here, where patterns of racial discrimination were most chronic and most obvious, were found the early points of confrontation. In Montgomery, Alabama, the issue was the right of blacks to sit anywhere they wanted while riding public transportation. In Little Rock, Arkansas, the issue was court-ordered integration of public high schools; in New Orleans, Louisiana, segregated public facilities. In Nashville, Tennessee, it was the right of blacks to be served in restaurants.

In this atmosphere of racial explosiveness, it was threatening for a national network or advertiser to be associated with black performers, or with any program appearing to take sides. Oddly enough, one of the first national series to face this problem dealt not at all with civil rights issues.

*The Gray Ghost* was a syndicated series seen throughout the na-
tion in the 1957–1958 TV season. Its sensitive feature was that it was the first fictional series in broadcasting history to focus on a military dimension of the Civil War. Centered on the exploits in Virginia of Confederate Colonel John Singleton Mosby and his band of cavalry raiders, it was essentially a Western set in the early 1860s. In a typical episode, a beautiful young woman, recruited by the Union to spy on the Confederates, was actually a double agent reporting directly to Colonel Mosby. When Union soldiers discovered her perfidy, she was tried and sentenced to death. Only a daring rescue by Mosby’s mounted raiders saved the woman’s life.

As romantic and formulaic as were the thirty-nine episodes of The Gray Ghost, it was a highly controversial series. Produced by CBS Films, it was originally intended as an early evening network series beginning in the fall of 1957. The reluctance of national advertisers to associate themselves with anything that might antagonize sectional tension, however, compelled CBS to abandon the series as a network project, and offer it for syndication on a market-by-market basis. Although it was syndicated successfully, CBS Films canceled the series after one season.

There is no doubt that The Gray Ghost was a casualty of the segregation issue. Although it never dealt with the slavery problem in the Civil War, the premier of the series in September 1957 coincided with the inflammatory confrontation at Central High School in Little Rock. Even local sponsors were fearful that mounting civil rights tensions might precipitate a misunderstanding of their sponsorship of a series in which the white southern heroes seldom lost. Just as advertisers shunned association with black causes, they also avoided open affiliation with white southern intransigence.

The discontinuance of the series stemmed more from advertiser anxieties than from viewer complaints. Anticipating a new season of racial conflict over school integration, local sponsors throughout the nation advised against renewal of The Gray Ghost for the fall of 1958.
Viewers were less apprehensive. *Variety* reported in late 1958 that in the North the program had been accepted "without much excitement, even though the series leaves the implication that Federal troops never won a battle." Southern newspapers were dismayed at the cancellation. Harry Ashmore's *Little Rock Gazette* editorialized that "we are opposed to censorship as such . . . . It seems unlikely that we have come to pass where sectional shooting could be touched off by a TV show, no matter how stimulating to the old glands and juices." The *Raleigh News and Observer* was dismayed that this meant the end of a program which "proves weekly that one Reb is better than a regiment of Yankees. The old ratio of one to seven is gone. The South never lost except in 1865." And the *Birmingham News* warned that "TV should smarten up. With the coming of the one hundredth anniversary of those stirring times, interest is mounting to a new high."

Despite the popularity in the South of *The Gray Ghost*, there were instances in the late 1950s and 1960s in which local broadcasters and viewers were less charitable, particularly toward network programming featuring Afro-Americans. Motivation in these instances was often mixed. While there were examples of simple racial prejudice, many stations feared "northern" network series would inflame community tensions already near the kindling point. While some outlets were reluctant to offend white viewers by projecting black images not in conformity with dominant local standards, others were fearful that the black consumer market—a market which accounted for 40 to 60 percent of buying in the South—would be upset by programming offensive to blacks. And in local production, especially in news coverage, station executives also were apprehensive that without an objective policy advertisers with national products might withdraw their sponsorship of local shows.

Such pressures by the late 1950s caused most southern stations to adopt a strict hands-off policy toward the ongoing civil rights issue. Typical of this studied neutrality was a declaration in 1958 from WAVY-TV (Portsmouth, Virginia) which announced that the
station and its news personnel "will not editorialize, give an opinion, or predict any future development relative to the integration issue." Further, the station underscored that interviews with local school officials and members of local and state government, "will be handled so that no side or definite stand will appear to result from the questions asked by our newsmen."

Not all elements of southern society followed the example set by local stations like WAVY-TV. Politicians, for example, often used the medium as a means to communicate segregationist positions popular with registered voters. Orval E. Faubus of Arkansas, George C. Wallace of Alabama, and J. Lindsay Almond, Jr. of Virginia were southern governors who appeared frequently on national, statewide, and local television to articulate segregationist positions. Lesser government officials found TV convenient, particularly in election times, for informing viewers of their positions opposing integration. Typical of these officials was Mills E. Godwin, Jr. in his bid to be elected lieutenant governor of Virginia in 1961. Godwin, who eventually became governor of the state, told his constituents via TV:

I make no apology to the people of Virginia for my efforts in recent years to maintain segregation in the public schools . . . because I am of the opinion that both races receive a better education in separate schools. Having stated this position is not to suggest that I favor now or have ever favored, the abandonment of public education in Virginia in order to keep our schools segregated . . . . It is my earnest opinion that the period of resistance to integration in our public schools served a most useful purpose in giving us time to prepare and adjust to an unwanted situation when mixed schools were to be forced upon us by the overriding power of the federal government.

The simultaneous emergence of the civil rights movement and television was fortuitous for those advocating reform in race relations. While radio verbalized matters such as the U.S. Supreme Court decision on school segregation in 1954 and the black boy-
cott of city buses in Montgomery in 1955 and 1956, the mixture of pictures and sound via TV was considerably more impressive. The mental suggestion of radio could never match the dramatic impact possible on television. Images of chanting demonstrators being sprayed by fire hoses and attacked by police dogs, freedom riders being abused, sit-in participants being taunted or beaten, and small black children needing military escorts to enter public schools—these pictures made television a powerful propaganda tool for those wanting progressive change.

But there were numerous instances of traditionalists attempting to thwart the revolutionary influence of video. Many southern stations refused to accept syndicated and network movies because they felt such films would upset local social standards. Motion pictures such as *Go, Man, Go*, the story of the Harlem Globetrotters, and *Jackie Robinson Story*, a biography of the first black man to play major league baseball, were accepted only hesitantly by many stations. The all-black musical, *Cabin in the Sky*—an MGM film in 1943 starring Lena Horne, Ethel Waters, and Eddie Anderson, and directed by Vincente Minnelli—was rejected in many southern markets in 1957. Fearing a hostile reaction from its thirty southern affiliates, ABC refused for the 1962–1963 season to air *The Defiant Ones*, starring Tony Curtis and Sidney Poitier in the thinly veiled morality tale about the need of cooperation between whites and blacks.

Even before they became available to TV, several movies encountered problems in the South. As reported in *Variety*, Dallas police in 1958 banned Brigitte Bardot’s film, *And God Created Woman*, from black theaters. The police explained that the French film was “too exciting for colored folk.” One year earlier, the Alabama House of Representatives unanimously resolved to ask Alabama theater operators not to exhibit *Island in the Sun*, featuring Harry Belafonte and Joan Fontaine, because, in the words of one legislator, “the making of such films will be most pleasing to the Communists and other un-American organizations, and to all
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intents and purposes will amount to another tactic in their campaign to brainwash the American public into acceptance of race mongrelization."

Regional resistance to the images and messages communicated by national television ranged from preemption of controversial programs to organizing for regional autonomy. In the early 1960s, Monitor South was a Louisiana-based group which attempted to coordinate station rejection of provocative network shows. This group wrote southern stations questioning the advisability of showing network documentaries probing the civil rights problems. Where it could not effect preemptions, Monitor South attempted to obtain equal time "to rebut any false political propaganda which serves the Communist racial ideology."

Another example of sectional resistance was found in the incipient rebellion developing in the early 1960s among southern broadcasters within the National Association of Broadcasters. Feeling that too much network programming was unfriendly to the South, for several years southern stations spoke unsuccessfully of bolting from the national trade association and forming their own regional group. Speaking to a summer meeting in 1961 of the South Carolina Broadcasters Association, Walter J. Brown of WSPA-TV (Spartanburg) called for creation of a regional association to combat network news and programs "which are slated against the South." According to Brown, "our way of life is under attack." He felt that such an association would be able to use collective force to "convince the networks and news services that they should not be overly influenced by these minority blocs which are being pampered as they peddle their vendetta against the South."

There is no doubt that television by the early 1960s was challenging southern traditions. More powerfully than literature, more effectively than radio, television communicated a single, nationally acceptable message with regard to the civil rights issue. No amount of rhetoric or obscurantism could dull the meaning on the evening news, or in special documentary programming, of
white policemen clubbing praying black demonstrators. And no amount of qualification or compromise could thwart ambitious blacks who saw "the good life" on their favorite TV shows and in the many materialistic commercials shown on the medium.

There were unintelligent acts of desperation which attempted to blunt the impact of TV. One of the more contrived came from a Georgia state legislator, who in 1959 requested a feasibility study on the prospect of completely educating Georgia high school and college students via television. Predicting that the schoolhouse would soon be a thing of the past, he called for TV education as a means of bypassing the issue of school integration. "God has given us the answer to our problem of how to educate our children in the face of the integration threat," he announced. "You may think I've lost my senses by introducing a resolution of this kind," he told his fellow legislators, "but within ten years time you'll see I'm right."

But S. I. Hayakawa, the noted semanticist and later U.S. senator from California, was correct in his perceptive essay, "Television and the American Negro," published in 1963. According to Hayakawa, it was already too late for the South to reverse the influence of television. Its message was already registered in black and white minds. In an age of mass production and mass communication, TV was the most powerful medium ever known. And to maintain the southern caste system in the age of TV, segregation would have to be extended to television. According to Hayakawa, "members of different castes must not be permitted to communicate freely with each other, and they must also be separated from each other by receiving their communications from different channels." Since this was not possible, and since national television would continue to communicate a single standard understood by all races, Hayakawa correctly concluded that "a powerful unifying force is at work to bring whites and Negroes together in their tastes and their aspirations, in spite of the best efforts of the White Citizens Councils and the Black Muslims."
BLACKS AND NETWORK TV: THE EARLY 1960s

That network television was inhospitable to substantial black involvement was evident in the collapse of the Nat King Cole Show in December 1957. Given the acrimony surrounding the cancellation, and the regional and national sensibilities being antagonized by the emergent civil rights movement, it would not be until the middle of the next decade that significant programming featuring black stars would occur.

With several notable exceptions, Afro-Americans continued in TV as infrequent guest stars on variety shows, or as occasional stars in filmed or live dramas, still cast in traditional roles. Certainly Ed Sullivan continued to bring familiar and newly popular black entertainers to his Sunday evening program. In 1959, for example, his black celebrities included Eartha Kitt, Lionel Hampton, Dorothy Dandridge, Johnny Mathis, Della Reese, and the Platters. Although less numerous, blacks the same year also appeared on the Steve Allen Show, among them Sarah Vaughan, Roy Hamilton, Earl “Fatha” Hines, and Sammy Davis, Jr.

American TV in the late 1950s and early 1960s was dominated by Westerns. They came in all shapes and formats with dozens of gimmicks to set them apart. There were ex-Confederates (The Rebel) and ex-Yankees (The Loner) as heroes, there were gamblers (Maverick), newspapermen (Jefferson Drum), lawyers, (Black Saddle), and even a bounty hunter (Wanted: Dead or Alive). As well as sheriffs, marshals, and detectives, the Westerns also featured as heroes a mercenary (Have Gun/Will Travel), a rancher (The Rifleman), a gun salesman (Colt .45), a former gunfighter (Johnny Ringo), a woman sharpshooter (Annie Oakley), and twin brothers (Two Faces West). As for ethnicity, there was a predominance of white champions, but also a Chicano (The Cisco
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Kid) and an Apache with a Harvard law degree (Law of the Plainsman).

In this plethora of frontier heroes, however, no central character was Afro-American. In fact, black actors were virtually absent from the Western genre. Although blacks played a crucial part in the history of the actual West, only rarely did they appear in the television West created in Hollywood. Sammy Davis, Jr. was a featured star in several dramas, including Zane Grey Theater in 1959, Lawman in 1961, and The Rifleman and Frontier Circus in 1962. Rex Ingram appeared in one episode of Black Saddle in 1959, and Frank Silvera was featured in a single episode of Johnny Ringo in 1960. Considering that the genre dominated television for several years, and that in the fall of 1959 there were twenty-nine different Western series aired weekly on network TV, black representation in the Western was minuscule.

Detective series were also popular in TV in the early 1960s. Set as they usually were in modern urban surroundings, one might have expected substantial utilization of Afro-American actors. While blacks did appear more often in detective dramas than in Westerns, this did not signify a breakthrough for black talent. Instead, blacks appeared only occasionally as local color characters, or in supporting roles in individual episodes of series such as Peter Gunn (James Edwards and Diahann Carroll in 1960), Naked City (Diahann Carroll in 1962; Juano Hernandez and Cicely Tyson in 1963), The Law and Mr. Jones (Rex Ingram in 1963) and Cain's 100 (Dorothy Dandridge in 1962). The only detective series to employ blacks in recurring roles was the comedic program Car 54, Where Are You?, which between 1961 and 1963 featured Nipsey Russell and Frederick O'Neal as humorous policemen.

To complement this racial exclusion, the color line remained operative in network television. Whenever black actors did star in dramatic productions, invariably they appeared in roles written specifically for a black actor. Typical of this pattern was the drama, “Good Night, Sweet Blues,” an episode of Route 66 telecast October 6, 1961. The central characters of the series, played
by Martin Milner and George Maharis, weekly traveled U.S. Highway 66, stopping somewhere between Chicago and Los Angeles to play a dramatic part in the lives of people they happened to meet. In ‘‘Good Night, Sweet Blues,’’ they encountered a dying blues singer played by Ethel Waters. Her last wish, that before dying she could be reunited with her old jazz band, became their command.

Before the program ended, viewers saw an array of black actors and jazz musicians—from Juano Hernandez and Frederick O’Neal to Coleman Hawkins and Roy Eldridge—portraying members of her ‘‘Memphis Naturals’’ jazz group. Waters’ character, now among old friends and singing the blues once more, slowly expired as the program ended.

Many Afro-American performers voiced their discontent with bias in the entertainment industry. Hilda Simms, star of the hit Broadway play of the 1940s, Anna Lucasta, denounced the color line and the so-called Negro plays. Testifying in 1962 before the House Committee on Education and Labor, headed by Harlem congressman Adam Clayton Powell, Jr., Simms declared, ‘‘Of course, there are Negro plays. Well, damn Negro plays.’’ She continued, ‘‘I am not asking for romantic parts, a blending of blood, but just a chance. . . . I say it’s immoral when we see casting notices and know bloody well it’s no use applying because there are no Negro parts.’’

At the same congressional hearing, others protested the prejudices which inhibited their careers. Comedian Dick Gregory quipped that ‘‘the only TV show that hires Negroes regularly is Saturday night boxing.’’ Ossie Davis noted that while he was probably the most employed black actor on Broadway—having had thirteen parts in sixteen years—it had been still a touch-and-go existence. Sidney Poitier attacked racism in the movie world. According to the Academy Award winner, ‘‘I’m probably the only Negro actor who makes a living in the motion picture industry which employs 13,000 performers. . . . It’s no joy to me to be a symbol.’’ And Hilda Simms recalled her anger when, because of
her light complexion, more than two hundred letters of complaint were received by NBC after she appeared on a network drama as the wife of an obviously black doctor.11

P. Jay Sidney, a black actor with considerable experience in minor parts in radio and TV, was critical also of the absence of Afro-Americans in television. Speaking of the Players' Guide for 1960, Sidney attacked it as inadequate for finding black actors since it listed only a handful of black talent. This Guide, so crucial for casting directors and producers seeking performers, listed about fifty black men, women and children, while it contained several thousand white actors and actresses. Sidney urged producers and networks to be more imaginative in their search for black talent.12

Interestingly, when producers did break the color line and employ Afro-American actors in nontypical black roles, they frequently received criticism from affronted white viewers. Such was the case in 1963 in an episode of Perry Mason in which a black was cast as the judge before whom Mason pleaded. Despite protests from throughout the country, the producer of the series explained that her action was reflective of the judiciary in California, and that was the setting for the series.13

Fear of similar reactions, however, prompted General Motors, sponsors in 1964 of the Western series Bonanza, to threaten withdrawal from the program should an episode starring black actors William Marshall, Ena Hartman, and Ken Renard be aired. After confrontations with NBC and the NAACP, as well as considerable negative publicity, General Motors reversed its position. The episode, "Enter Thomas Bowers," was telecast on April 26 as scheduled.14

There were other instances of major advertisers withdrawing sponsorship from single broadcasts when a series focused on blacks. This was especially true for corporations like Gulf Oil and Metropolitan Life Insurance, which were fearful of becoming associated with programs showing film of the racial struggle. According to Variety, "dramatic footage of the actual strife gets people riled up, in the core of their stomach, and such an experience
might alienate customers and outlets of national advertisers, especially in the South.”

There were few advertisers like Bell and Howell who, at this time, were willing unequivocally to sponsor documentary shows treating the civil rights movement. Their underwriting of ABC’s prime-time documentary series Closeup, from November 1961 to June 1963, was an uncommon gesture of social responsibility.

But sponsor interference was limited neither to the early 1960s nor to nonfiction television. As late as 1968, Chrysler Motors Corporation and the advertising agency handling its account, Young & Rubicam, complained openly about a show which they had sponsored for Plymouth automobiles. Petula was an NBC special featuring the popular British singer, Petula Clark. Her principal guest star was Harry Belafonte. Chrysler may have approved Clark’s singing skills, but when she held Belafonte’s arm during their appearance together, it was considered too intimate for a white woman to be seen on camera in such a pose with a black man. Ironically, Petula was aired April 2, 1968—two days before Martin Luther King, Jr. was assassinated in Memphis, Tennessee. Chrysler’s complaint was forgotten in the aftermath of King’s murder.

One of the few programs to spotlight black talent regularly was American Bandstand. Hosted by Dick Clark, this was a teen-oriented afternoon and weekend program designed to show young people the latest dances and rock-and-roll performers in action. The use of black artists not only served to popularize their recordings, it also reminded viewers of the link between rock-and-roll music and Afro-American culture. In a similar vein, other network rock-and-roll shows—including Shindig, Hullabaloo, Shiree, Alan Freed’s short-lived The Big Beat, and the Saturday evening feature, the Dick Clark Show—consistently highlighted black singers and musicians.

But for every American Bandstand there were dozens of programs like Riverboat. Between September 1959 and January 1961, this series concerned the adventures encountered by the
crew of a riverboat paddling up and down the Mississippi, Missouri, and Ohio rivers in the 1840s. Although the vessel often entered the slave states, the program never mentioned the racial question. Further, Darrin McGavin, who starred in the show, complained that despite his protests, *Riverboat* failed to show a single black person in forty consecutive weeks.¹⁶

As well as excluding blacks from television dramas, the industry was slow to counteract the racism in televised college sports. This was particularly true in the case of college football, a mainstay of fall programming since the early 1950s. Adhering to segregationist state laws and customs, network television did little until the mid-1960s to correct discrimination in intercollegiate sports in the South. The Sugar Bowl, for example, was an annual New Year's Day football game held in New Orleans and regularly televised in the 1950s and 1960s by NBC. Because most competitors in the Sugar Bowl since its founding in 1935 were from all-white southern universities, there was no challenge to the de facto segregation existing in Louisiana sports competition. When the University of Pittsburgh fielded several black players on its 1956 Sugar Bowl team, however, the Louisiana state legislature soon passed legislation formally forbidding sports competition between blacks and whites. The law was so effective that the University of Pittsburgh, again with blacks on its football team, was prevented from appearing in the Sugar Bowl in 1964.

Only after considerable adverse publicity did NBC meet with bowl officials to change this discriminatory situation. The state law was reversed, and in 1965 Syracuse University with eight varsity blacks was permitted to play Louisiana State University in the Sugar Bowl. Similarly, only after the segregated Blue-Gray game from Montgomery and the Senior Bowl from Mobile had been televised for years did critical publicity and the threat of lost TV revenues compel officials of these Alabama contests to permit blacks to participate beginning in 1965. In the case of the Blue-Gray game, moreover, NBC canceled its telecast of the game in
1963 when advertisers, threatened with a national boycott, withdrew their sponsorship. And in 1964 the Blue-Gray contest was shown only regionally on six southern stations.\(^{17}\)

While network TV slowly rectified persistent discriminatory practices, corporate officials by the early 1960s were outspoken in their advocacy of justice in the industry for blacks. In the spring of 1962, declarations of network principles were delivered by CBS vice-president, Hubbell Robinson, and NBC vice president, Mort Werner, both announcing the continued adherence of their corporations to policies of “no discrimination because of race, creed, religion, or national origin.”\(^{18}\) That same year officers from the three national networks told congressional investigators that their corporations continued to adhere to long-standing policies of nonbias—the oldest being an NBC policy that could be traced to a code of nondiscrimination developed in 1919 by the network’s parent company, the Radio Corporation of America.\(^{19}\) In September 1963, even the small Metromedia network added its voice to the antibias chorus. According to John H. Kluge, Metromedia president, “the time has passed for mere lip service to a policy on nondiscrimination in business in general and the broadcast industry in particular.”\(^{20}\)

The need to testify publicly against TV racism affected more than network officers. In July 1963, the Writers Guild of America West declared its support for demands being made by the NAACP and the Congress on Racial Equality (CORE) for the employment of more blacks in all phases of the entertainment industry.\(^{21}\) The same month the New York chapter of the National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences issued its credo which stated that “all Americans must be afforded the opportunity to make their contributions in front of and behind the cameras, as well as in other areas of television, solely upon the basis of ability.”\(^{22}\)

One of the most broadly based declarations of nonbias came in June 1963, from a coalition headed by the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists (AFTRA), the entertainers’ union.
Included in this coalition were organizations representing employers, networks, stations, advertising agencies, packagers, transcription companies, record manufacturers, agents, managers, and impresarios.

But the gap between liberal industry rhetoric and the reality of chronic racial discrimination in TV created in many minds doubts about the sincerity of nonbias policy statements. During this period, the NAACP was especially active in lobbying TV and film producers and trade unions for the acceptance of more blacks. The most heartening statement it could make in mid-1963, however, was that this was still "a period of appraisal." The Chicago Defender articulated much of the frustration and cynicism felt by Afro-Americans when it editorialized on June 11, 1963, that "much as we like non-bias declarations, we would prefer some non-bias action." The prominent black newspaper continued:

While the good intentions of AFTRA are admirable, the TV industry as a whole is still perpetuating a picture of lily-white America on video in keeping with the "boob tube" concept. No doubt, the TV industry, from sponsors to networks, from producers to actors, is trying to mend its ways, as the newest declaration indicates. But no one seems to be trying very hard. The Negro does not ask a quota system to judge TV's performance—one-tenth of TV's time for one-tenth of the population that is Negro. Rather, it seeks a common sense, realistic portrait of America as it is, not the make-believe fluff of TV, where Negroes never seem to get into the picture.

The degree to which Afro-Americans were excluded from the nation's most popular medium of information and entertainment was most graphically presented in October 1962 by the Committee on Integration of the New York Society for Ethical Culture. According to a study completed by this nonsectarian humanist group, during a two-week period on TV in New York City, blacks were scarcely visible. Of 398 half-hour units of viewing, blacks appeared on only 89 units—the bulk of these being irregular appearances as singers, dancers, or musicians, or as the subjects in hard
news and documentary programming. Such limited and stereotyped exposure, concluded the society, was "psychologically damaging" to the image of Afro-Americans.

---

**ACTUALITIES AND BLACKS IN TV: THE EARLY 1960s**

If dramatic roles were minimal for black actors in the early 1960s, the one dimension of television in which there was increasing visibility for Afro-Americans was in news coverage—not because of the employment of TV reporters or anchorpersons, but because of contemporary politics. The events of the time compelled TV to cover happenings in black society. Specifically, because of two developments—the policies of the new presidential administration of John F. Kennedy and the politics of the civil rights movement and its leadership—blacks became familiar to American viewers in the early 1960s.

In contrast to the ideas of Dwight D. Eisenhower, who conceived of the presidency as a benign secretariat for the enhancement of American business and hence the American people, the youthful President Kennedy envisioned a vigorous role for the presidency in improving the quality of life for all citizens. In his liberal view, any element of society that inhibited harmonious growth—be it big business, recalcitrant state officials, or chronic exploiters of social misery—was fair target for an assertive federal government to take rectifying action.

The Kennedy administration soon made known to broadcasters its priorities on two crucial factors: the state of American television and the condition of the Afro-American social movement. When Newton Minow, JFK's appointee as head of the Federal Communications Commission, spoke bluntly in May 1961 to the National Association of Broadcasters, his words were interpreted
by broadcasters as the thoughts of the administration. In that speech Minow gained greatest notoriety for his assessment of contemporary TV as “a vast wasteland” filled with violence, boredom, and banality. But he spoke to other issues. He talked of TV serving the public interest, rather than corporate profits. “It is not enough to cater to the nation’s whims,” he chided, “you must also serve the nation’s needs.” Minow also spoke of his concern over the increasing power being exercised by the networks over their affiliate stations. He called for programming that was imaginative, creative, experimental, and excellent. To underscore his call for responsible TV, Minow even quoted the words of the NAB’s own Code of Television Practices, a noble declaration of principles drafted a decade earlier, but often forgotten in the business of running the television industry.

While such exhortation might be dismissed as the easy rhetoric of a new political regime, Minow raised an issue which added deadly seriousness to his words. Mentioning the practice of renewing station licenses every three years, the FCC chairman suggested that such renewals would no longer be pro forma. Instead, Minow declared, “there is nothing permanent or sacred about a broadcast license.” To add further weight to his threat, he promised during renewal considerations to hold “well-advertised public hearings right in the communities you have promised to serve.”

Such admonitions from a governmental leader were especially foreboding to broadcasting executives in the early 1960s. In the last years of the previous decade, the television and radio industries had been shaken seriously by two national scandals. The first concerned the fixing of quiz shows. Stories of rigged questions, contestants told when to lose, and confessions by participants who had been either coached or given the correct answers, all helped to precipitate governmental intervention. In October and November 1959, the House of Representatives, through its Special Committee on Legislative Oversight, conducted highly publicized hearings into quiz show fraud. Surrounding the investigation, more-
over, were frequent demands for greater regulation and control of TV by the federal government.

If quiz programs were not enough, a "payola" scandal also emerged in 1959. Although the bribing of disk jockeys to play certain records concerned radio more than television, the scandal touched several hosts of teenage rock-and-roll TV shows. Further, because of the structure of broadcasting in the United States, many station and network executives had ties to the radio industry. Thus, when Minow chided American broadcasting leaders, he was speaking to a vulnerable group.

If Newton Minow's new prescription for broadcasters was confusing and unnerving, President Kennedy's political priorities offered television executives a direction in which to exert their energies and placate the new administration: the civil rights movement. Although through boycotts, demonstrations, and court decisions the movement had gained important early victories over segregation, not until the inauguration of Kennedy did the federal government begin to take an active role in assisting Afro-Americans to overcome the heritage of centuries of racism. Whether it was from motives which were crassly political or morally courageous, the activist president directed federal efforts to ensure for blacks a democratic role in American life. The administration used its Department of Justice to help desegregate southern schools. Kennedy supported legislation to use federal power to ensure blacks in southern states the right to register and to vote. The president was seen prominently in the company of civil rights leaders, and his deputies were photographed occasionally marching with black and white protestors. In several instances, particularly those involving racist state laws being used to prevent the integration of universities, Kennedy appeared on television threatening to nationalize state militia or dispatch federal troops to ensure the right of academically qualified black citizens to attend state educational facilities. It was in this atmosphere of reassessed national priorities that TV executives pondered their own shortcomings.
While a decreasing number of blacks were used in increasingly stereotyped entertainment roles, TV was not guilty of overlooking black activism in the news of the day. In its current events programming, television began early to cover the exigencies of the civil rights movement. Talk show hosts like Mike Wallace on *Newsbeat* and David Susskind on *Open End* welcomed black leaders to their programs. Moderate leaders like Martin Luther King, Jr., Roy Wilkins, and A. Philip Randolph were engaged in insightful conversations intended to present their ideas to a broad audience.

Still, however, the perimeters of television's black focus mitigated against blacks with radical positions. The sensitivities which had banned Paul Robeson in an earlier time were still operative. In July 1958, for example, Mike Wallace presented a five-part series on what was termed "Negro racism." Dealing with the Black Muslim religion, Wallace called it "the hate that hate produced," and dismissed this black nationalist phenomenon as an aberration of the times. Several years later Malcom X recalled the program as "a kaleidoscope of 'shocker' images... Every phase was edited to increase the shock mood." And he described the hostile reaction toward the Muslims precipitated by the program.

In a way, the public reaction was like what happened back in the 1930s when Orson Welles frightened America with a radio program describing, as though it were actually happening, an invasion by "men from Mars"... Hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers, black and white, were exclaiming "Did you hear it? Did you see it? Preaching hate of white people!" Here was one of the white man's most characteristic behavior patterns—where black men are concerned. He loves himself so much that he is startled if he discovers that his victims don't share his vainglorious self-opinion... First came the white newspapers—feature writers and columnists: "Alarming"... "hate messengers"... "threat to the good relations between the races"... "black segregationists"... "black supremacists," and the like. And the newspapers' ink wasn't dry before the big national weekly news magazines started: "Hate-teachers"... "violence-seekers"... "black racists"...
TV coverage of the daily news by the early 1960s dealt perforce with protests for racial desegregation. For a nation grown used to lily-white communities set apart from pockets of black people, television transcended residential boundaries and brought the civil rights movement directly into the living rooms of white America. Because of TV, nonviolent demonstrators, brutal police responses, heckling bigots, and white officials exploiting ignorance and intolerance to gain election became commonplace images on the evening news. Perhaps better than any fictionalized drama, these actualities brought home the necessity for, and intensity of, the black social movement.

The most fully developed presentations of the civil rights issues were to be found in network documentaries. One of the most memorable productions in this period was "Walk in My Shoes," aired September 19, 1961, on the Closeup series. With input from the noted black journalist Louis E. Lomax, this hour-long documentary was a stark look at the world of impoverished Afro-Americans. A. William Bluem has captured most impressively the artistic and moral power of the program:

We begin to share the noise, the anger, and the dark corners of the Negro world. Then we are in a cab, where the driver is talking to us over his shoulder. He is an angry man of simple, blunt speech—committed to the belief that the "white man" has too long dominated him. We move next to a filthy apartment in a crowded tenement, where a woman answers a question about her future with ... sad resignation. ... But the free camera is always in focus and carefully deployed as it explores the darkness of tenement life.

Now there is a departure in technique. In the tenement a young man arises and makes ready for the routine labor of his day. Narration over this action describes his work and his hopes—but as he goes into the streets, the narration becomes his—his own thoughts in first person, voice-over narration ... The young man is our point of involvement, but it will not be his drama. Instead, the bal-
ance of this program becomes a vehicle by which the Negroes of America tell, not live, their stories. In a series of semi-interview situations recorded in Los Angeles, Chicago, and other places, we see a number of intense discussions of Negroes’ problems and dreams. We see the wealthy and the middle class, as well as the poor. We listen to Martin Luther King as he talks directly to us, and hear Percy Sutton—in what must remain the singly most revealing interview ever recorded for TV—describing his feelings during his earlier “freedom ride.” . . . The people are made important and they are presented to us in reflection upon crisis rather than in the frenzy of it."

Even before Kennedy became president, the three networks were increasing their commitment to airing documentaries in prime time. During the 1959-1960 TV season (October through April), there had been 32 such programs accounting for 16 sponsored hours. The next season that figure was 62 sponsored programs totalling 39 hours. And by 1963-1964, it reached 112 programs covering 97 hours. Thus, at the moment the civil rights movement was emerging and a sympathetic chief executive entered the White House, network television was experiencing a “war” between documentary makers.28

The race question was an occasional topic of pre-Kennedy TV documentaries. For example, an NBC special, “The Second Agony of Atlanta”—aired February 1, 1959—probed the quandary in which citizens of Atlanta found themselves—faced with the prospect of court-ordered integration of public schools in a city where state law threatened to close all city schools if one were integrated.

In 1960 black issues continued to be treated by the networks. “Sit In” was an NBC White Paper telecast December 20. It dealt with one of the first nonviolent sit-ins, in a Nashville, Tennessee restaurant the previous February. “Cast the First Stone” was broadcast on Closeup on September 27. It examined bias against minorities from a unique perspective. It bypassed the South and focused instead on discrimination in the North.
With most African colonies moving toward national independence by 1960, *CBS Reports* treated black freedom in Africa. "The Freedom Explosion," on February 15, dealt with Nigeria, scheduled to become an independent country on October 1, following the withdrawal of British rule. "The Dark and the Light" was an ABC special on January 31, which surveyed the struggle for independence in Kenya and Tanganyika—which would both eventually attain their independence—and in the Union of South Africa, where racial apartheid still treats the nonwhite majority as second-class citizens.

Two of the most poignant racial documentaries of 1960 came from the production team of Edward R. Murrow and Fred W. Friendly. "Who Speaks for the South?"—aired May 27 on *CBS Reports*—concerned the swelling crisis over school integration in Atlanta. It presented a wide spectrum of southern whites speaking of the problem, offering solutions ranging from the intolerance of the Ku Klux Klan to pleas for toleration and understanding.

In "Harvest of Shame," telecast on November 25 on *CBS Reports*, Murrow and Friendly exposed the exploitation of migrant farm workers in the United States. The majority of those shown on the farms in Florida and along the East Coast were Afro-Americans. The picture of low wages, squalid living conditions, and resignation to drudgery and abject poverty was powerful. Even more striking was the plight of the children of these workers. With educations disrupted by continuous migration and forced into debilitating stoop labor at early ages, the children seemed more like victims of poverty in an earlier century than like American youth in the middle of the twentieth century. "Harvest of Shame" made for reflective viewing, televised as it was on Thanksgiving weekend.

Even before these programs, however, the CBS team of Murrow and Friendly had probed civil rights matters. "Clinton and the Law: A Study in Desegregation" was a significant *See It Now* episode on January 6, 1957. It was one of the first documentaries to consider the motivations of violent racial confrontation—this one
involving the integration of public schools the previous fall in rural Clinton, Tennessee. In "The Lost Class of '59," telecast on January 21, 1959, the focus was on mounting social tension in Norfolk, Virginia, caused by the governor’s order to close six public schools rather than see the city’s high schools integrated.

As was the case with most Murrow-Friendly documentaries, the strength of these reports was Murrow’s refusal to take sides, while his cameras permitted spokespersons from all sides to tell the nation of the sincerity of their convictions. And although in the case of "The Lost Class of '59" they were speaking of problems in Virginia, the speakers could have come from any southern locale facing federal court orders to end segregation practices, and thereby take the first steps toward reevaluating patterns of white racial superiority. Here was a dismayed high school teacher telling the nation that "to say we are disheartened, to say that we feel insecure, is the understatement of the evening." Here also was a white mother who felt integration was wrong and that "if we have to sacrifice our public schools to overcome this, then I think that’s the thing to do."

Murrow spoke, too, with white school children. There was the high school student who rationalized racism, arguing:

I don’t dislike Negroes that much. I just don’t care to associate with them. I am for the Negro race. I’d like to see them advance, but among themselves. And I don’t believe that they have to mingle with the white people to make themselves equal.

In moderating contrast, another student wondered aloud:

Don’t you think that if we could start integrating, slowly and calmly without running around in circles—that all we’d be teaching the children in the lower grades would be tolerance? Not that they have to go out and marry the first Negro boy that goes to school with them, just like that.

If these common people spoke for average whites in the South, then Governor J. Lindsay Almond articulated the position of all
southern governors, faced with legal pressure from Washington, who offered intransigence instead of leadership, assertions of local prerogative instead of adherence to the law of the republic. Rallying behind the segregationist opinion of white voters, Almond told Murrow that ‘‘after all, the people elect the governor and the members of the general assembly, and they have repeatedly spoken in no uncertain terms that we cannot maintain public education on a racially mixed basis.’’

While programs such as those produced by Murrow and Friendly were impressive analyses, they were seen by an insufficient number of viewers; this in part because they were not aired on all stations in the network. Broadcast documentaries never were overwhelmingly supported by viewers. For that reason, networks often scheduled them for unpopular hours or opposite unbeatably popular programs on other networks. Two important series, See It Now and The Twentieth Century, were CBS features on Sunday afternoons, telecast in what was cynically called ‘‘the cultural ghetto.’’ On ABC in prime time, such nonfiction programs as ABC News Reports, Editor’s Choice, ABC Scope, Howard K. Smith with News and Comment, and Closeup were scheduled opposite hit programs like What’s My Line?, Garry Moore Show, Naked City, Danny Kaye Show, and the NBC Wednesday Night Movie.

Documentaries often were not seen in many areas of the nation. Since affiliated stations were not obliged to accept every show the networks transmitted, low rated or controversial documentaries were expendable. Murrow’s biographer has pointed out that the award-winning See It Now series was not carried by all CBS stations. When it reported on civil rights matters, moreover, only fifty-seven CBS stations aired See It Now.‘‘

Although they were placed in poor time periods and attracted audiences that were relatively small, these news and documentary reports on the black social movement were necessary to American TV. Such programming added a fragment of reality and credibility to a medium that specialized in fantasy and escape. Amid the co-
medic, Western, detective, and musical sameness that typified television in the early 1960s, these periodic network adventures into actuality were often the only opportunities viewers had to see and evaluate events happening in the real world. Among others, Murrow was not pleased with the job TV was doing in informing viewers. He spoke in October 1958 of the generally unsatisfactory record television had compiled in reporting on reality. "If there are any historians . . . a hundred years from now and there should be preserved the kinescopes for one week of all three networks," he told his audience, "they will find recorded in black-and-white or color, evidence of decadence, escapism and insulation from the realities in which we live."

While the interest of television in reporting the vicissitudes of the civil rights movement increased by the early 1960s, this did not translate into plentiful coverage of the minority situation. According to TV Guide, during 1960–1962 network TV aired 1,580 news and public affairs programs. Of that number, only 695 were concerned with domestic matters, and only 30 of these were concerned directly with the racial conflict within American society. That figure represented 4.3 percent of all domestically oriented programs, and only 1.8 percent of the total news and public affairs shows during the three-year period.

The intensification of the civil rights movement, however, increased the interest of programmers and viewers. One of the first priorities for the networks was to break decades of discrimination and hire the first black correspondents in national broadcasting history. In September 1962, Mal Goode, formerly a journalist with the Pittsburgh Courier, was employed by ABC News as the first black correspondent on national TV. A few weeks later CBS announced the hiring of Ben Holman, a former newsman with the Chicago Daily News. In March 1963, NBC followed suit and signed Bob Teague, with experience at the New York Times and the Milwaukee Journal, as a news writer for TV and radio.

By 1963, the movement had blossomed into an unprecedented national crusade for minority rights. With articulate leaders like...
Martin Luther King, Jr., and with just goals which were popularly supported, an amalgam of black and white activists was challenging ways of life rooted in centuries of bigotry. The fact that 1963 was also the centennial year of the Emancipation Proclamation added an aura of legitimacy and immediacy to this powerful grassroots movement.

Fundamental to this movement was television and its function as a mass communicator. Never in history had so many Americans seen the effects of chronic racism as on TV in the summer of 1963. Unlike the abolitionist movement of the mid-nineteenth century, television presented the issues of this new abolitionist cause in unbiased, reasonable terms. No passionate rhetoric here. Viewers were able to decide for themselves as they encountered on TV the consequences of Jim Crow laws, bigotry, and race hatred.

The coincidence of television and the black social revolution was advantageous for the reformers. According to William B. Monroe, Jr., a news director from New Orleans and later head of the NBC News Bureau in Washington, "television is their chosen instrument." In Monroe's view, TV was the most effective medium for relating the civil rights movement for several reasons. First, by the early 1960s, video was "coming of age as a journalistic medium"; it covered the movement "not because television set out to integrate the nation or even to improve the South," but because the civil rights movement was taking shape and TV was there to cover it. Further, Monroe suggested, TV was a national medium possessing "the courage—in most cases, a courage drawn from the old tradition of the American press—" to face the issues squarely and report the brutal encounters which often faced marching and protesting blacks. Moreover, because of the aesthetic realities of television, it conveyed the emotions of the movement more dramatically than radio or print. To Monroe, the black social revolution was "a basically emotional contest," and television conveyed the values of that contest "with a richness and fidelity never before achieved in mass communications."

Many times that centennial summer the focus was on the nega-
tive effects of racism in specific cities or locales. *New York Illustrated* on WNBC-TV reported on “Trouble in Harlem” (June 24); a two-part documentary on “Washington—A City in Trouble” aired on WRC-TV (July 4 and 5). Local manifestations of the Black Muslim religion were treated on “My Name Is Mr. X” on Dallas station KRLD-TV (August 18). The impoverished all-black town of Bayou Mound, Mississippi was the focus of a national report on *David Brinkley’s Journal* on NBC (July 15). And the plantation politics that kept blacks subjugated in Plaquemines parish, Louisiana, were given network exposure on *CBS Reports* (September 18).

In the summer of 1963, network TV treated varying dimensions of the civil rights issue. National Educational Television pursued the plight of Afro-Americans on nonfiction series like *Heritage, Decision, Perspectives,* and *Desegregation.* The situation was touched indirectly, too, in the scholarly NET series, *Anatomy of a Revolution,* a program utilizing leading American historians to discuss the dynamics of historic social revolutions.

Coverage by the commercial networks was intense. CBS presented a self-appraisal of the role played in the movement by journalism, “The Press and the Race Issue” (August 21). Spokesmen on all sides were examined. *Issues and Answers* on ABC brought together liberal Senator Jacob Javits of New York and arch-conservative Senator Allen J. Ellender of Louisiana to argue the merits of the civil rights legislation urged by President Kennedy (June 16). Senator Richard Russell of Georgia appeared on *Meet the Press* to attack the president’s proposed legislation (August 11). James Meredith, the first black student to be enrolled at the University of Mississippi, appeared on *Meet the Press* (May 26). One week later George C. Wallace, the segregationist governor of Alabama, was a guest on the same NBC interview program (June 2).

Two network initiatives, however, stand out for their comprehensiveness and commitment to public enlightenment: the five-part ABC series *Crucial Summer* (August 11 to September 8), and
the NBC special *The American Revolution of '63*, for which the network preempted three hours of prime time on September 2. No doubt, these documentary presentations, occupying five and one-half evening hours within a period of five weeks, represented one of the most intensive examinations of a national issue ever presented by television.

ABC news attempted to be balanced and national in its five-part study of the civil rights struggle in America. It presented black spokesmen for change like Roy Wilkins and Martin Luther King, Jr., as well as white advocates of segregation such as Senators Sam Ervin of North Carolina and J. Strom Thurmond of South Carolina and Governors Wallace and Orval E. Faubus. But more than as a regional problem, *Crucial Summer* analyzed racism throughout the country. In a penetrating fashion, viewers saw northern expressions of bias in employment, segregation in public accommodations, racial discrimination in housing, and problems with black voting rights.

Most importantly, *Crucial Summer* showed black Americans struggling to overcome prejudice and exclusion. In contrast to the "lovable" stereotypes on TV in the 1950s, the message of Willie Best, George "Kingfish" Stevens, and Beulah seemed to crumble before real black articulators. Typical of the men and women who appeared on *Crucial Summer* was Lucius Pitts, president of all-black Miles College in Birmingham, who spoke of the future for blacks.

I'm a preacher, so I would say I see a new heaven and a new earth, on the basis of demonstrations and of negotiations. I don’t think it’s going to come within a year, but I’m positive that Negroes are not going to wait for years again. You see, if we move at the rate that we’ve moved in the past fifty years, it will be around 2053 before we get a like amount of progress. Negroes are not going to wait that long. I hope white people—moderates, whatever they are in the North or South—will not be fooled by thinking that a dribble of this or that is going to stop the Negro march, nor to satisfy a small group. This isn’t going to stop it. It has to move. And Negroes are
willing for it to move with a certain amount of patience. But they’re not willing for it to drag. Even an old man like me: you see. I got four children. I can’t wait for Alabama, 25 or 30 years from now, to offer my children an opportunity for freedom in education and freedom of movement. This I can’t do. My manhood just won’t stand it.

NBC’s massive undertaking on Labor Day, The American Revolution of ’63, fully exploited the network’s news facilities to present a well-rounded picture of the civil rights problem in the United States. News correspondents moved from Montgomery and Little Rock, to Los Angeles and Englewood, New Jersey—from the ghettos of Chicago and New York City, to the rural environs of Greensboro, North Carolina, and Albany, Georgia. The program included reports on seventy-five different areas of the country.

In addition to geographical comprehensiveness, The American Revolution of ’63 offered a broad range of thematic approaches to the civil rights issue. It probed matters by now familiar in television considerations of civil rights, including housing, employment, public accommodations, voting rights, and education. But the report moved in newer directions, exploring such fresh topics as the stereotyping of blacks in Hollywood productions, the paucity of black influence in the critical advertising industry, and the contemporary applicability of Henry David Thoreau’s nineteenth-century philosophy of civil disobedience.

And the NBC report allowed all sides of the social issues to be heard. As Variety reported on The American Revolution of ’63, "the bigot had his say; and so did the champions of integration; the politician, the labor leader, the educator, the civic leader, the minister, even the critic of the ‘frightened little people on Madison Ave.’ " One of the most passionate critics of the movement was Ross Barnett, the governor of Mississippi. He issued a scathing attack on television as the real culprit in creating the ground swell of black protest. Barnett blasted TV for presenting inflammatory
pictures and lending itself to the designs of President Kennedy to create a strong role for the federal government in resolving racial matters.

If in his critique Governor Barnett meant that what TV did most effectively was to communicate through its pictures, this NBC special illustrated the power of video. Especially in recounting the preceding decade of civil rights confrontations, *The American Revolution of '63* allowed its viewers to comprehend the brutality and inhumanity that plagued the movement. Here were images of incensed white mobs battling with federal troops because nine black children were being enrolled in a Little Rock high school in the fall of 1957. And while the tense situation called for enlightened leadership, here was Governor Faubus of Arkansas on TV, showing provocative photographs of bayonets aimed at white protesters and proclaiming:

We are now an occupied territory. Evidence of the naked force of the federal government is here apparent in these unsheathed bayonets in the backs of school girls. And in the bloody face of this railroad worker who was bayonetted and then felled by the butt of a rifle in the hands of a sergeant of the United States 101st Airborne Division.

Here, too, were protests on the campuses of the universities of Mississippi and Alabama as a few black students sought entry. At the former, there were clashes between white supremacists and law enforcement agencies. At the latter, Governor Wallace stood ceremoniously in the doorway of a university building and defiantly informed federal officers:

I stand here today as Governor of this sovereign state and refuse to willingly submit to illegal usurpation of power by the central government. I claim today for all the people of the state of Alabama those rights reserved to them under the Constitution of the United States. Among those powers so reserved in claim is the right of State authority in the operation of the public schools, colleges, and universities.
At a time when Americans sought answers to civil rights problems, NBC cameras showed southern leaders proffering solutions that distorted the realities of time. For Senator James O. Eastland of Mississippi the movement was neither popular nor authentic, but the product of activists, since "the whole thing is stirred up by a group of agitators." In his view, this was especially pernicious because "the Negro in the South has economic equality and is well-treated." Governor Wallace went one step further, claiming that "local agitators" were tied to international Communism, since "the Communist movement is behind all the racial demonstrations in this country." And Leander Perez offered his prescription for combatting the civil rights movement. Speaking of the integration of Roman Catholic schools in New Orleans, he told applauding white parents what to do.

It's the simplest thing in the world. It'll give us some trouble, but it'll give them a whole lot more. All you have to do is shut their water off. And the moment a Negro child walks into the school, every decent, self-respecting, and loving parent should take his white child out of that parochial school.

As powerful as such pronouncements were, the most brutalizing images came from Birmingham when city officials in May 1963 turned high-powered fire hoses on protesting blacks. Then, directed by Police Chief "Bull" Connor, law enforcement officers used leashed German shepherd dogs to disperse the crowds. Juxtaposed to such dehumanizing pictures was a quietly ironic interview conducted with Martin Luther King, Jr. Speaking from Birmingham during this brutality, King explained his continued leadership of such demonstrations, even though his own residence had just been bombed.

And I go on with the feeling that this is a righteous cause, and that we will have to suffer in this cause, and that if physical death is the price that some must pay—if it's the price that I must pay—to free my children and the children of my brothers and sisters and my white brothers from a permanent psychological death, then noth-
ing can be more redemptive. I have always believed that unearned suffering is redemptive. And if a man has not discovered something so dear and so precious that he will die for it, then he doesn’t have much to live for.

With no breaks for commercials, NBC had dedicated its entire evening schedule to a consideration of the crisis facing the United States in mid-1963. It was an unprecedented act of programming. "It isn’t likely that television will see a more definitive portrayal of the momentous civil rights issues," Variety concluded, "or a more skillful and professional exposition of the events attendant to 1963’s history-in-the-making as that which NBC-TV undertook."

While productions such as The American Revolution of ’63 and Crucial Summer were outstanding journalistic creations, the climax of civil rights developments in the summer of 1963 was the massive rally held in Washington, D.C., on August 28—the "March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom." It was a historic gathering rendered all the more significant because television made it a national manifestation. Sharing equipment and personnel, the networks showed more than 200,000 marchers crowded into the national capital to make known their sympathy with the cause of minority rights.

What viewers also saw was apparent consensus among the generations of black leaders, all lending support to social change through nonviolent protest and moral witness. The venerable A. Philip Randolph—the union activist who had been organizing black protest for half a century, and whose creation in 1941 of a March on Washington Movement was an important first step toward this day twenty-two years later—now led thousands of marchers in an oath to return home and carry on "the revolution." The youth generation was represented by John Lewis, national chairman of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), who declared impatiently that "we don’t want our freedom gradually, but we want our freedom now."
But this day was the crowning triumph for the philosophy and leadership of Martin Luther King, Jr. The man who first organized the boycott of city buses in Montgomery eight years earlier used this present occasion to tell of his dream of racial harmony in the United States. With compelling cadence King implored the nation to ‘‘rise up and live out the meaning of its creed: ‘We hold these truths to be self-evident—that all men are created equal.’’ He foretold a day when children of slaves and slaveholders could ‘‘sit down together at the table of brotherhood.’’ And using Mississippi as a microcosm for all states in which racial injustice was rampant, he envisioned a time when it would ‘‘be transformed into an oasis of freedom and justice.’’ In his final phrases King, the political leader and Baptist minister, tied the nonviolent protest movement to the plight of all Americans inhibited by racial discrimination:

From every mountain side, let freedom ring. And when this happens, when we allow freedom to ring, when we let it ring from every village and every hamlet, from every state and every city, we will be able to speed up that day when all God’s children—black men and white men, Jews and Gentiles, Protestants and Catholics—will be able to join hands and sing in the words of the old Negro spiritual: ‘‘Free at last, free at last, thank God Almighty, we’re free at last!’’

THE EMERGENCE OF ‘‘RELEVANCY’’ IN TV PRODUCTION

Although news and public affairs programming greatly increased the TV focus on Afro-Americans in the early 1960s, a similar surge did not develop in dramatic productions. Two years after its initial report castigating American television, the New York Ethical Society published results of a second monitoring of the medium in search of black representation. From findings issued in December 1964, the society concluded that the industry
was not keeping abreast of national political and social developments, and that "continued glaring deficiencies outweigh the few improvements. The improvements stem from the fact that the industry has no place to go but up."

The report was critical especially of network TV for failing to match the levels of integration encountered on local video. Local shows in New York City, the society contended, were more favorable to blacks than network offerings. In the words of the report, this was "not accidental." It resulted in part because regional and local racial confrontation influenced national programming, and when "faced by conflict, the networks play it safe."

In statistical terms, the paucity of Afro-American representation was striking. According to the society, on an average evening of television in April 1963, a viewer in New York City would see about three blacks—only one for longer than a minute. In only one-fifth of all appearances would a black performer be on the screen for more than three minutes. And in some types of programming—children's productions, daytime soap operas, and dramatic shows—the use of blacks had risen only slightly over its low level two years earlier.

The single area in which a strong improvement was noted was in the use of blacks in advertisements and public service announcements. Where there had been an average of two blacks in such spots every five hours in 1962, there were now thirty-six. "If the major producers still avoided employing Afro-American talent, at least network and advertising agency executives were responding to the realities of what D. Parke Gibson called "the $30 Billion Negro."

The economic power of the black consumer market increased dramatically in the 1960s. Blacks spent more than $30 billion annually on goods and services. Significantly, because of demographic patterns this purchasing power was concentrated mostly in urban areas of the nation. During the period 1940–1960, three million Afro-Americans left the South and moved elsewhere in the United States. Most moved to the big cities of the East Coast, the
Midwest and Far West. Gibson estimated that by 1970 blacks would constitute 40 percent or more of the citizenry of fourteen major cities—including Baltimore, St. Louis, Detroit, Gary, Newark, and Washington, D.C. Further estimates suggested that blacks soon would be 25 to 68 percent of the population in fifty major markets having a total black and white population of 100 million. According to Gibson, this was a compelling reality for American business.

This means, simply that on a straight population basis if a company wants to sell effectively to 40 percent of one of the named markets, it has to sell effectively to Negro consumers. . . . if Negroes are above average purchasers of a product—say the 40 percent of the population that is Negro buys 60 percent of the product in that market—Negro consumers will decide if the product is to succeed or if it is to fail. 36

Despite the gloomy statistics announced by the New York Ethical Society, TV had not since its earliest years been as open to black talent as it became during the 1963–1964 season. And it was inevitable that blacks would appear more frequently because this was, in the words of critic Richard Schickel, “the year of the problem.” Reflecting actual social criticism and protest, video turned to stories involving social problems. As Schickel pointed out, by the fall of 1963 television had refocused many of its dramatic programs from concern with usual human dilemmas, to provocative involvement with relevant themes. Such programming, moreover, would counteract the assessment of television as a vast wasteland. Hopefully, too, it might ease criticism emanating from the national government.

Medical series such as *The Eleventh Hour*, *Ben Casey*, *The Nurses*, *Breaking Point*, and *Dr. Kildare* now explored contemporary values and social morality as they told their weekly stories. *Mr. Novak* and *Channing*, set in a high school and a university, respectively, now unfolded plots drawn from newspaper head-
lines of the day. In this way, tales touched on such topics as civil rights issues, students facing the Vietnam war, and a blacklisted professor trying to hide his past.

The series which most inspired this trend toward relevancy was the courtroom dramatic program produced by Herb Brodkin, *The Defenders*. A CBS series which ran from 1961 to 1965, *The Defenders* presented various sides of complex social issues. In a style later championed by shows like *Lou Grant* and producers like Norman Lear, *The Defenders* was more than a whodunit in which lawyers instead of detectives or police officers solved crimes. Now story lines revolved around such realistic problems as literary censorship, lynching, the morality of the death penalty, the admission of wiretap evidence in court, the right of a student to advocate atheistic ideas in public school, and the antidemocratic politics of the contemporary American radical right. This was a literate program, often enacting scripts by noted dramatists, among them Ernest Kinoy, Reginald Rose, and Howard Fast. Its success, moreover, encouraged producers and writers of other series to deal more frankly with the most controversial issue of the day: the place of blacks in American society.

At least one "racial" story appeared on each of the major dramatic programs in the 1963-1964 season. On *Ben Casey*, Sammy Davis, Jr. played a dramatic role in "Allie." In it he portrayed a baseball player whose adjustment to the loss of an eye was easy compared to his confrontation with a black doctor, played by Greg Morris, whose antiwhite racism was virulent. Ossie Davis appeared as a judge in "The Star-Spangled Ghetto," an episode of *The Defenders*. Ruby Dee portrayed Harriet Tubman in "Go Down, Moses," on the historical *Great Adventure* series. James Earl Jones played a bigoted professor in "Freedom Is a Lovesome Thing" on *Channing*. James Edwards, Hari Rhodes, and Ruby Dee starred in a boxing story, "Decision in the Ring," on *The Fugitive*. Barbara McNair and Diahann Carroll appeared in separate episodes of the psychological series, *Eleventh Hour*. Gloria
Calomee appeared as a black student terrorized by whites in an episode of *Mr. Novak*. And Diana Sands made several major appearances, including significant roles on *Breaking Point*, *Outer Limits*, and *The Nurses*.

Afro-Americans performed on other types of network programming. Count Basie was a guest on the *Judy Garland Show*. Jazzman Billy Taylor became musical director of the satiric comedy revue, *That Was the Week That Was*. NBC aired a prime time documentary about baseball great Willie Mays, entitled, “A Man Called Mays” (October 16). And several blacks were on the folk-song series, *Hootenanny*, including Bill Cosby, Josh White, Brock Peters, and Clara Ward and her gospel singers. Further, two series that season featured black actresses in permanent roles. Hilda Simms joined the CBS program, *The Nurses*, to portray nurse Ayers; and Cicely Tyson starred as Jane Foster, a secretary in a city welfare office, in *East Side/West Side*. Most enduring of all was Arthur Duncan, who in 1964 began seven years as a regular, featured dancer on the *Lawrence Welk Show* on ABC—and who continued for another decade after 1971 when Welk made his show a non-network, syndicated offering.

The most promising new production featuring blacks during the 1963–1964 season, however, was *East Side/West Side*. The program was a product of David Susskind’s company, Talent Associates. It was clearly a series with a “mission”—to portray the depressed human condition in inner-city America. The show featured George C. Scott as Neil Brock, a social worker in New York City. Although it eventually changed its format and cast Scott as an assistant to a liberal-reformist congressman, *East Side/West Side* is best remembered as presenting a dismaying picture of life and social values in decaying urban America. In a medium grown used to the requisite happy ending, this program was disconcertingly different. Rather than a champion to right the wrongs of social life, Neil Brock was an antihero, often powerless to correct the ills of society and unable to rectify permanently the abused
lives he encountered. Nevertheless, at the time critic Cleveland Amory called *East Side/West Side* "undoubtedly the boldest, bravest and most original new series now on your screen this new season."

Despite the continuing character played by Cicely Tyson, *East Side/West Side* was not oriented exclusively toward black problems. It probed issues involving the elderly, social derelicts, non-black racial minorities, and other exploited groups. Yet, two of its most memorable episodes dealt with contemporary black life. "No Hiding Place" was a powerful indictment of the real estate industry. It concerned unscrupulous realtors trying to panic white residents into selling their suburban homes once a black couple moved into the neighborhood. The program aired December 2, and featured Ruby Dee and Earle Hyman as the interlopers. As much a condemnation of spineless white liberalism as it was an attack on white bigotry, the program reached its climax when Neil Brock berated his indecisive white friend, telling him, "You got to make a personal decision. Doesn't matter what anybody else does, it's what you do. You've got to stop playing 'Larry Liberal' and make up your mind!"

This episode was written by Millard Lampell, a neglected playwright whose "Lonesome Train"—a moving cantata about the funeral train bringing the body of Abraham Lincoln from Washington, D.C. to Springfield, Illinois—was one of the most celebrated productions of network radio during World War II. Although he had been blacklisted during the anti-Communist hysteria of the 1950s, Lampell again had become an accepted writer of relevant social drama.

Where "No Hiding Place" attacked bias and exploitation by the unscrupulous, "Who Do You Kill?" was a more generalized condemnation of the attitudes that placed and kept blacks in poverty. Written by Arnold Perl and telecast on November 4, this was an emotional story concerning a frustrated ghetto resident, played by James Earl Jones, unable to get the break he felt he deserved. His
wife, played by Diana Sands, turned of necessity to hustling drinks in a sleazy bar to support her family. The climax was reached in a stark sequence in which the couple's infant child, while sleeping in his crib, was fatally bitten by a rat. The scene of the baby being torn from the rat was poignant. The shriek of remorse emitted by Jones was fundamental human agony. This was not the stuff of which successful weekly series were made. *East Side/West Side* was canceled in April 1964 after twenty-six episodes.

As well as quantitative improvements, black entertainers gained critical triumphs because of their increasing exposure on television. In 1960, Harry Belafonte was the first black to win an Emmy from the National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences. He won the award for *Tonight with Belafonte*, telecast December 10, 1959, the first of two NBC variety specials he headlined. The second, *Belafonte . . . New York* 19, N.Y., was aired October 20, 1960.

By the early 1960s, recognition came more frequently for Afro-American actors. Diahann Carroll received an Emmy nomination as best actress in a single performance for her role in "A Horse Has a Big Head . . . Let Him Worry," an episode of *Naked City* televised November 21, 1962. The following year, three blacks were nominated for Emmy awards. These were James Earl Jones and Diana Sands for their roles in "Who Do You Kill?" and Ruby Dee for "Express Stop from Lenox Avenue," a drama on *The Nurses* aired May 9, 1963.

As distinguished as were many of the programs featuring black actors, predictably they were still racial dramas. Afro-American actors were being typecast in black stories. In a time of relevancy, dramatic series shifted from issue to issue. Inevitably each series would focus on the racial issue. Here, blacks would be employed. But just as inevitably, series would shift focus the following week to another social concern, one which did not involve the black question. Few black actors appeared in these subsequent episodes. Blacks might have appeared in excellent dramas, but the color line was still in effect.
THE GOLDEN AGE OF BLACKS IN TELEVISION: THE LATE 1960s

"Golden Age" is a term to label that period in the history of a nation, movement, artistic medium or the like during which its greatest achievements were realized. It is not an absolute term since it does not intend to describe the best possible epoch. That being the case, there can be no doubt that for blacks in American television, the last half of the 1960s was a Golden Age.

Speaking in July 1964, Frank Stanton, president of CBS, called upon broadcasters to launch a "mighty and continuing editorial crusade" in support of civil rights. In an address to the National Broadcast Editorial Conference of the Columbia University Graduate School of Journalism, Stanton called for commitment and advocacy. President Lyndon B. Johnson having recently signed the landmark voting rights act of 1964, Stanton spoke now of the "pivotal point in our history" and of the need for television to utilize its "editorial strength boldly, imaginatively and with insight and wisdom."

This was a significant speech, for it revealed the sensitivity and involvement many TV executives felt toward the civil rights movement, and toward the fact that the government and the nation supported civil rights reform. Stanton suggested this when he directed broadcasters to "use their 5,000 voices heard on 156 million radio sets and 61 million television sets, in a mighty continuing editorial crusade to make this new law work."

In part, the changing complexion of TV in the late 1960s was a reflection within the industry of the changes wrought by the civil rights movement. Until this date there had been few sponsored network shows headed by black actors. Serious entertainers such as Billy Daniels in 1951 and Nat King Cole in 1956–1957 had failed to gain or maintain popularity. The only successful pro-
grams, *Beulah* and *Amos 'n' Andy*, may have amused enough people to keep them viable for several seasons, but they resurrected minstrel-show stereotypes thought by many to have been abandoned following World War II.

Now, in the second half of the 1960s, there were more than two dozen programs featuring black actors as leading characters, or in prominent, regular supporting roles. As in most of commercial TV, many of the series achieved limited success and were eventually canceled. Several programs, however, were ratings favorites and lasted for years. It is important, too, that relative to their counterparts in earlier decades, the shows in this period were practically free of racial stereotyping. The following list indicates the scope of network programming featuring black stars in this Golden Age.

**Series Featuring Blacks as Stars or Co-Stars**

*I Spy* (1965–1968)
*Sammy Davis, Jr. Show* (1966)
*Julia* (1968–1971)
*The Mod Squad* (1968–1973)
*Bill Cosby Show* (1969–1971)
*Leslie Uggams Show* (1969)
*The Outcasts* (1968–1969)
*The Interns* (1970–1971)
As it affected the history of blacks in American television, the most crucial series in the latter half of the 1960s was *I Spy*. The program premiered in 1965 and co-starred Bill Cosby and Robert Culp. It was clearly intended to capitalize on the popular interest in espionage dramas created by Sean Connery's success in the James Bond feature films, and by *The Man from U.N.C.L.E.*., a successful TV series of the previous season. *I Spy* related the exploits of two secret agents operating around the world to protect U.S. national interests. But unlike other spy shows on network TV—*Honey West*, *The Avengers*, *Secret Agent*, as well as *The Man from U.N.C.L.E.* —this program mixed its international intrigue with a
slight touch of American wit. This was because of the presence of Cosby.

I Spy was the first network dramatic series to star a black actor. Not since the demise of Harlem Detective in 1954 had television attempted to feature a black detective hero. And Harlem Detective, of course, was a local show in New York City, not a network production. When I Spy appeared, NBC officials seemed pleased that only three stations—in Savannah and Albany, Georgia, and Daytona Beach, Florida—refused to carry the show. It was seen, however, on 180 other stations covering 96 percent of the country.39

The casting of Bill Cosby was a bold decision by producer Sheldon Leonard. While Culp came to the series as a veteran television actor who had starred in a Western program of moderate success, Trackdown, Cosby was a story-telling comedian whose greatest exposure on TV had been on Johnny Carson’s Tonight program. Cosby was not only an unknown dramatic quantity, his role could have been played by a white man. Casting Cosby as Alexander Scott, the tennis trainer and traveling companion of Culp’s character, fellow agent Kelly Robinson, broke the color line as had no series in TV history.

Cosby proved uniquely qualified for the part. His talent for subtle comedy was matched by a dramatic skill which allowed him to range with apparent ease between emotions of patriotism and self-doubt, romance and intrigue. Cosby was successful in the series. During the three seasons I Spy was telecast, he won three Emmy awards as the most outstanding actor in a continuing dramatic role. And he was popular with audiences. According to a TVQ performer-study by the Home Testing Institute in 1966, Cosby was one of the most popular stars in video—ranking first with children twelve to seventeen years old, third with those eighteen to thirty-four years of age, and tying for eighth with the total audience.40

Ironically, the program did not match Cosby’s triumphs. Credit must go to NBC for maintaining the series for three years when its
The highest seasonal rating was twenty-ninth place, attained in its second year. During the other two seasons, it failed to finish among the top thirty-five.

As well as being the first network drama with an Afro-American star, *I Spy* was a landmark program for blacks in other respects. Alexander Scott was placed solidly beyond the borders of the United States, swept up in the dynamics of world affairs. Often filmed in foreign locations, the weekly drama unfolded in places like Hong Kong, Kyoto, and Mexico City—and in countries like Morocco, Greece, and Italy. In one program shot in Greece, the picture of Bill Cosby walking amid the ruins of the Parthenon, symbol of the Western democracy first nurtured in ancient Athens, was a powerful testimony to the nature of the entire series. For black and white viewers, it was an educational experience to see an Afro-American hero operating constructively abroad in the service of the United States.

Cosby's character was always equal to his encounters with foreign agents, heads of state, beautiful women, and would-be murderers. He was unlike Shaft, Superfly, and other exaggerated "superspade" characters developed in the so-called blaxploitation films of the next decade. Alexander Scott was a real, mature human character—able to feel and express emotions historically forbidden to black characters in mainstream entertainment media. In an early episode, Cosby actually kissed a Japanese woman, a revolutionary act that was well beyond the perimeters established for blacks in television.

More intimate still was Cosby's part in the episode, "Laya," aired September 25, 1967. Here Alexander Scott fell in love with a rival agent portrayed by Janet MacLachlan. While boundaries in film and radio traditionally ruled out physical expressions of interracial romance, even between blacks kissing and other demonstrations of affection were proscribed. Thus, when Scott romanced Laya, touching, caressing, and kissing her, another barrier to black artistic expression was shattered.
I Spy became an important program for other black actors. Many Afro-American performers played dramatic roles in the series. Among them were Eartha Kitt, Barbara McNair, Greg Morris, and Nancy Wilson. These guest stars often appeared in nontraditional parts. Diana Sands, for example, portrayed an Israeli agronomist. Ivan Dixon and Cicely Tyson played African royalty caught up in the propaganda war between East and West. And Leslie Uggams was an active part of a Communist conspiracy in Italy.

Directions in TV programming tend to relate to the values popular in American political life. To a great degree the slow but inexorable mixing of blacks into television in the early 1960s was a reflection of President Kennedy’s activist and reformist mentality. And as Kennedy found support in public opinion, out of their need to placate government and please audiences, network and production executives began to respond with relevant programming.

During the presidency of Lyndon B. Johnson the cause of civil rights gained further governmental support. Succeeding the assassinated East Coast liberal Kennedy, Johnson was the first American president from a Confederate state (Texas) since Andrew Johnson a century earlier. Yet, LBJ was even more supportive of civil rights than his predecessor. Johnson envisioned the “Great Society,” a reordering of social values to ensure minority rights and economic opportunity through the massive intervention of the federal government. New bureaus were created, and new programs were enacted in Johnson’s “War on Poverty.” New measures to protect black voting rights in the South were passed by a Congress that the president seemed to control. As an heir to the legacy of American progressivism, Johnson was forging his Great Society with the same fervor and vision with which Franklin D. Roosevelt had shaped the New Deal.

This was a time of intense reevaluation of racial attitudes. From the outpouring of white support for civil rights legislation to the self-realization experienced by many Afro-Americans, the late 1960s was a time of “black is beautiful.” There were academic expressions of the new era, from black studies curricula and the
Intelligent, articulate, handsome, and moderate in his espousal of nonviolent tactics, the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. was the perfect civil rights leader for the age of television. Possessing great personal charisma and a TV image of integrity and moral determination, King became the national spokesman for the civil rights movement from 1955 until his assassination in April 1968. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
As with Paul Robeson before him, American TV proved inhospitable for as articulate and unconventional a black leader as Malcolm X. Except on a few black-hosted programs long after his assassination in February 1965, television has portrayed Malcolm X as a hate-filled racist radical. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
Throughout the 1960s, television news showed the nation the violent reactions which were often triggered by the nonviolent civil rights movement. Here a Greyhound bus is burned by whites hostile to the movement. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
On his several musical specials since the late 1950s, Harry Belafonte drew consistently high ratings. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

One of the most significant roles in the history of blacks in TV was Bill Cosby’s portrayal of the American undercover agent, Alexander Scott, on I Spy. Co-starring with Cosby was Robert Culp. Cosby’s part broke the “color line” in television drama, and made a national hero out of a mature black secret agent defending the United States around the world. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
Throughout the 1960s black talent, such as the Four Tops (above), consistently appeared on the *Ed Sullivan Show*. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

Ed Sullivan welcomes Leslie Uggams to his weekly variety program. For more than two decades Sullivan insisted on spotlighting black performers on his CBS showcase. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
Cicely Tyson portrayed Jane Foster, an office secretary and a series regular, on *East Side/West Side* during the 1963–1964 television season. Since that time she has remained popular in film and on TV. *(Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)*

Two of the promising black talents who emerged in the 1960s were Diana Sands and Al Freeman, Jr. Sands was nominated in 1964 for an Emmy for her performance in "Who Do You Kill?" on *East Side/West Side*. Tragically, she died of cancer in 1973 at the age of thirty-nine. Freeman found his acting home on the daytime soap opera, *One Life to Live*, where he continues to portray policeman Ed Hall. *(Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)*
A talented comedic and dramatic actress, Diahann Carroll has also appeared on TV as a singer. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)
In his portrayal of a virile and impatient black bounty hunter on *The Outcasts*, Otis Young offered the most threatening image of black anger in the history of TV drama. At a time of increasing white backlash, the forceful demand for racial equality communicated by this series was too unnerving for mass America. It lasted on ABC only one season, 1968–1969. (Courtesy of Columbia Pictures Television. Copyright 1981 Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.)
rewriting of history to include strategic Afro-American personalities, to the training and employment of great numbers of black instructors. Culturally, the reevaluation was noticeable in such matters as the new sense of brother- and sisterhood among blacks, increased participation by blacks in intercollegiate and professional sports, the creation of a "black handshake," and the disuse of the terms "Negro" and "colored" and the substitution of "black" and "Afro-American." It was in this atmosphere that the Golden Age was achieved by blacks in television.

Not all black productions were successful in TV. Unlike *I Spy*, for instance, the *Sammy Davis, Jr. Show* was a disaster. As innovative as was Bill Cosby's dramatic series, the *Sammy Davis, Jr. Show* was the first musical variety program hosted by a black entertainer since the *Nat King Cole Show* had been canceled a decade earlier. Certainly, black stars like Lena Horne and Harry Belafonte had hosted specials since then, but in January 1966, Davis had his own program on the NBC network. And although it lasted only four months before being dropped, it established a model for programming later filled with varying degrees of success by Flip Wilson, Redd Foxx, Pearl Bailey, George Kirby, Bill Cosby, Leslie Uggams, Ben Vereen, The Jackson Five, and Marilyn McCoo and Billy Davis, Jr.

In critical terms, Davis' program was a failure. From the beginning Davis was hampered by contractual problems with ABC. The rival network allowed him to host the premier show, but then compelled him to miss the next four telecasts. The show also lacked a national sponsor and was scheduled on Friday nights opposite such hit series as *Gomer Pyle, USMC* (the second most popular show of the season); *Hogan's Heroes* (ranked number nine that year); and *The Addams Family*. Although the program later improved its presentation, reviews of its premier telecast on January 7 were less than complimentary. *Variety* panned the program for its "shoddy production values—ranging from dull, cheap sets to sloppy editing and dubbing—or unimaginative scripting, feeble scoring and a weak song catalogue." And Cleveland Amory in
TV Guide later criticized the program for its undistinguished production values, adding that "though there were many things wrong with this show, there are many more things right with it—and it is getting better every week." 4

In its short run the Sammy Davis, Jr. Show opened the door for many black entertainers to gain national exposure. Some, like Diana Ross and the Supremes, Nancy Wilson, Leslie Uggams, and Diahann Carroll, were already well known because of TV or phonograph records. Others, such as the Nicholas Brothers and the Will Mastin Trio, were vintage performers seldom seen on television. And Davis introduced new talents—Lola Falana, Johnny Brown, George Kirby—whose careers would later flourish.

Ultimately, the Sammy Davis, Jr. Show failed because of the inadequate ratings it received. In the ratings and share-of-audience percentage figures—the guideposts by which television achievement is measured—Davis' program was unimpressive. While the show did attract millions of viewers, it did not appeal to sufficient millions to remain viable. This is not to suggest, however, that such measurements were accurate indicators. Various minorities and social groups often criticized A. C. Nielsen, Arbitron, Pulse, and the other market research companies which supplied the ratings. Among other charges, it was frequently suggested that these companies did not measure black viewers adequately, since an insufficient number of minority households was included in the measurement. While companies were quick to defend their figures and methods, by the end of the 1960s they did take steps to insure a broader representation by blacks and other minorities.

Whatever the shortcomings of the industry ratings figures, they remained the criteria by which popularity and continuance were decided. And in the case of several programs with black stars, they revealed an unprecedented popular approval. Never in video history had three shows with black central characters enjoyed success simultaneously. But by the end of the decade the ratings showed this to be the case with Julia, the Bill Cosby Show, and the Flip Wilson Show.
There is an aspect to most black performance in popular culture which is unique. Because there is comparatively little minority representation in radio, film, and television, and because each performance by an Afro-American is regarded as a chance to make a statement about black realities, each appearance takes on added weight. Since few Afro-Americans have as yet enjoyed the recurring exposure granted to the stars of hit TV series, when the black actor does achieve such success he or she is vulnerable to special criticism. If a role seems too accepting of white social dominance, the star as well as the character he or she is portraying may be attacked as too acquiescent. If the role is one of a middle-class suburban black, it may be assailed as too bourgeois and unsympathetic to inner-city “brothers” and “sisters.” If the role involves no racial politics, it may be censured as not “black” enough. And if it is critical of social injustice, it may be assailed as hostile, radical, or heavy-handed.

In effect, in the late 1960s, whenever a black entertainer appeared, he or she was expected to represent all Afro-Americans, embodying the panorama of black life from slum to suburb. Because of its patent failure to do this, no successful black series was more controversial than *Julia*.

As portrayed by Diahann Carroll, Julia Baker was the most assimilated black character ever to appear in the American mass media. Beyond the stereotyped mammies and maids of early TV, Julia was everything that Beulah, Sapphire Stevens, Madame Queen, and Oriole were not. She was middle-class and beautiful. She spoke English perfectly. She was a liberated woman, a self-supporting professional nurse living in a racially integrated apartment building. As a war widow, moreover, she was responsibly raising a wholesome, “little man” son in a homey environment.

*Julia* made no pretense of dealing with contemporary social issues. Indeed, it studiously avoided them. A weekly visit with the Bakers involved the same simple problems encountered for decades on such shows as *I Love Lucy, Family Affair,* and the *Donna Reed Show.* Although Julia eventually coiffed her hair in an Afro
and had black boyfriends played by Paul Winfield and FredWilliamson, the series refused to be topical. If there were racial references, they were one-line gags such as the question by her employer, a white doctor: “Have you always been a Negro, or are you just trying to be fashionable?” More typical of the series was the following telephone dialogue between Julia and her seven-year-old son, Corey, played by Marc Copage:

COREY: It’s me, Mom.
JULIA: And just who are you, sir?
COREY: Your son.
JULIA: Mr. Corey Baker?
COREY: He’s the only son you’ve got, aren’t I?
JULIA: Can you prove you’re Corey Baker?
COREY: Just a minute, I’ll go check in the mirror (musical interlude). It’s me all right.
JULIA: Are you sure this is the very same Corey Baker who’s going to get on a plane tonight with his mother and fly all the way to Kansas for a vacation?
COREY: Yeh, and I just wanted to know if Earl J. Wagedorn can come with us.
JULIA: Oh, Corey.

*Julia* could not have emerged at a less fortuitous time. With racial frustrations at a peak and with urban police often in a veritable state of war with inner-city rioters, the comfortable image of black success on *Julia* was in stark juxtaposition to the images seen on local and national newscasts. There was no H. Rap Brown, or SNCC, or Poor People’s March in the world of *Julia*. Instead, in the words of Carroll, Julia Baker was a “white Negro,” the overly good, overly integrated fantasy projection of white writers acting, they felt, in a manner sensitive to decades of TV prejudice. Carroll best summarized this situation when in 1968 she told an interviewer:

With black people right now, we are all terribly bigger than life and more wonderful than life and smarter and better—because we are still proving. For a hundred years we have been prevented from
seeing ourselves and we’re all overconcerned and overreacting. The needs of the white writer go to the superhuman being. At the moment we’re presenting the white Negro. And he has very little Negro-ness."

From the time it premiered in the fall of 1968 until it was canceled in mid-1971, Julia was the focal point of criticism. Blacks ascribed a range of negatives to the series. Because the central character was female and husbandless, some felt it continued the matriarchal stereotype—the antimal, emasculating pattern of traditional prejudice. Others felt it was unrepresentative of social reality and, therefore, subversive to the aims and methods of the civil rights movement. To others the program was a sellout intended, now that Richard M. Nixon was president, to assuage white consciences and make the curtailment of social programs and the repression of riotous ghetto dwellers palatable to white society.

Many whites also felt uncomfortable with Julia. Because it was produced by whites, the series seemed patronizing to blacks—a saccharine projection of the “good life” to be achieved by those blacks who did not riot, who acted properly, and worked within the system. Producer-creator Hal Kanter might protest that “this is not a civil rights show. What we’re driving at is escapist entertainment, not a sociological document.” But the fact remained that given the added social implications present in all black performance, Julia could not be just another situation comedy.

Despite all these conflicting pressures, Julia was well received by viewers. It was the first black-starred series since Amos ‘n’ Andy seventeen years earlier to score well in the Nielsen ratings. It was the seventh most popular show in its premier season. In its second season it was ranked twenty-eighth. During its best year, Julia weekly reached an average of more than 14 million homes.

Sharing much of the same formula as Julia was the Bill Cosby Show, which ran for two years, 1969–1971. It, too, featured a nonmarried black character as its lead, as Cosby portrayed Chet Kincaid, a high school track coach and a bachelor. Similar to Julia Baker, Kincaid was middle-class, professional, and educated.
Like *Julia*, moreover, the *Bill Cosby Show* placed its central character in an integrated environment.

Nonetheless, the *Bill Cosby Show* was obviously different from *Julia*. From the opening credits which featured Quincy Jones’ earthy rhythms as background to Cosby’s own soulful groans and jive lyrics, viewers were assured that although the program projected life in racial harmony, this program was extracted from the black experience, and possessed an esoteric quality Afro-Americans alone could understand.

On the surface Chet Kincaid handled the problems faced by other heroes of situation comedy: helping a friend to quit smoking, trying to settle an argument between an aunt and uncle, helping an intoxicated magician rearrange his life, dealing with personal jealousy over a coy girlfriend, trying to recruit a promising athlete to join the track team. Kincaid shook hands in a traditional way, never spoke in slang terms, and seemed equally at ease with wealthy whites and poor blacks.

But there was a black ambiance to the *Bill Cosby Show* that was missing in *Julia*. Rather than a “white Negro,” Kincaid was black and proud. He might be pictured with a Ray Charles record album, or with a photograph of Martin Luther King, Jr. on the wall of his apartment. He courted attractive black women and worked with underprivileged children. White characters on the program were frequently stereotyped, as were his teaching colleagues—the sloppy and absent-minded Mr. Cutter and the intractable Mrs. Drucker, a shrewish woman hostile to male assertiveness. From the jazz musical score which occurred throughout the show, to the Afro and casual dress which typified Kincaid’s appearance, the series was a statement about black life, an endorsement of the middle-class, educated black man who has not deserted the ghetto but moves gracefully between both worlds. Through his character, Cosby served to defang the contemporary familiar image of riotous blacks. He also suggested to blacks still in poverty that they were not forgotten by those who had obtained an education and credentials to operate in the wider, primarily white society.
The Bill Cosby Show was not a "black" show in the sense of attempting to project realities of inner-city life. During its first season, while Cosby did much to bring Afro-American workers into the craft and labor unions servicing the program, only one episode was written by a black writer. Further, because it was necessary to appeal to as broad an audience as possible, the program could not hope to show a discomfiting image to its viewers. So, with a cast integrated with blacks, whites, Orientals, and Latinos, Cosby told an interviewer that the series sought to tell "an American story." According to Cosby, who was also executive producer of the series:

I'm aware that the show will have a negative meaning for people who are really militant about any story with a black person in it—black viewers included. But you can still pick a guy's pocket while he's laughing, and that's what I hope to do."

Despite the pattern of success established by Julia, I Spy, and the Bill Cosby Show, not all series featuring black stars were popularly accepted. Barefoot in the Park was a black situation comedy which lasted only thirteen weeks in the fall of 1969. It starred Scoey Mitchell and Tracy Reed as a young middle-class couple living in a New York City apartment and struggling through the first years of marriage. The series had adequate supporting characters played by Thelma Carpenter and Nipsey Russell, and it was based on Neil Simon's hit Broadway play and motion picture. Nevertheless, Barefoot in the Park was a TV failure. Even before it premiered, trade papers reported dissension on the set between actors, directors, and producers. Further, the comedy in the series was uninspired, and the image of an attractive young couple kissing and joking their way through married life was already an overused format.

Equally ill-fated was the Leslie Uggams Show, a musical variety program that failed in the fall of 1969. The show featured Uggams as a singer, dancer, and host to guest stars. She also appeared weekly in a running skit called "Sugar Hill," in which she and
Lincoln Kilpatrick played a middle-class black couple putting up with each other, as well as with her mother, brother, and sister. Intended by CBS as a replacement for the controversial and canceled *Smothers Brothers Comedy Hour*, the variety show lasted only three months. Its demise was due in part to Uggams’ limited experience. As a singer on *Sing-Along with Mitch* for several years she was a creditable performer, but she was neither a comedy actress nor a variety show host. Further, resentment generated by the cancellation of the *Smothers Brothers Comedy Hour* practically guaranteed failure for whatever program replaced it.

As well as those programs featuring Afro-American stars as central characters, by the late 1960s there were several important series with blacks in co-starring or supporting roles. Clearly responding to the political, social, and economic dynamics of the time, the networks and production companies in unprecedented fashion brought black talents into highly visible roles in television.

These roles covered a wide range of characterizations, some familiar, some inventive. One of the major developments of the period was a return to the African locale as a setting for continuing series. Not since the days of *Ramar of the Jungle* and *Sheena, Queen of the Jungle*—both children’s shows from the early 1950s—had a program set its white champions in the jungles and savannahs of Africa. *Daktari* concerned the activities of a white veterinarian working in East Africa to protect indigenous animal life. During the three-year history of the series, Hari Rhodes played a zoologist and assistant to the central character. *Cowboy in Africa* dealt with a white American rodeo star hired to bring modern ranching techniques to a large ranch in Kenya. The only black recurring character in this program was a ten-year-old native boy portrayed by Gerald Edwards.

These programs shared a familiar theme: the superiority of technological Western civilization over the backwardness of African society. Since the imperialistic nations took up the “white man’s burden” in the nineteenth century, the image of civilized white people encountering black “heathens” who were “half-devil and
half-child" was familiar in literature—and later in film and radio. In TV in the late 1960s, it reached its greatest realization in *Tarzan.*

*Tarzan* was an anachronism. In the midst of the Afro-American movement toward fuller civil rights, here was a picture of a light-skinned hero single-handedly bringing peace and justice to the "dark continent." At a time when former African colonies were independent and influential nations, the picture of actor Ron Ely in a loin cloth walking as the white champion among dark-skinned natives was racially disparaging and patronizing. Certainly, the program gave employment to talented but rarely utilized black actors, including William Marshall, Roy Glenn, Woody Strode, Brock Peters, Raymond St. Jacques, and Yaphet Kotto. But there was something unsettling about distinguished Afro-American actors speaking in broken English or wearing Hollywood conceptions of native African clothing.

Nowhere was this misuse of talent more visible than in the *Tarzan* episode aired January 12, 1968. The story concerned three Roman Catholic nuns, played by Diana Ross and the Supremes (Mary Wilson and Cindy Birdsong), who attempted to persuade a stubborn village leader, portrayed by James Earl Jones, to allow construction of a hospital to serve his jungle tribesmen. The plot allowed the popular rock-and-roll group to sing two songs—"The Lord Helps Those Who Help Themselves" and "Michael, Row the Boat Ashore." And the story ended on a "happy" note. In the final scenes Jones announced that he had changed his mind and would allow the hospital to be built. Then, Ross and the Supremes joyfully began to teach him and his tribesmen to sing "Michael." Knowing that another African problem had been solved, Tarzan walked off into the jungle with a smile of satisfaction.

Ironically, even in its fictional entertainment programming television could present a more accurate image of Africa. "The Third Choice," an episode of *The Name of the Game* telecast March 7, 1968, exemplified this. The program depicted Ossie Davis, Janet MacLachlan, and Roscoe Lee Browne as deeply involved in African revolutionary politics, caught between the West and the East.
in an emerging new nation. From the opening scenes filmed in Lagos, Nigeria, this program was at odds with the simplicity of Tarzan. Viewers saw a modern Africa epitomized in a large coastal city with high-rise buildings, factories pouring out smoke, large ships in the harbor, modern bridges, railroads, and automobiles. The political dimension of the story also projected a more authentic interpretation of African society than that seen in the struggle between the Supremes and James Earl Jones. Stories like this—and others seen intermittently on adventure series such as Mission: Impossible and It Takes a Thief—suggest that American television was capable of escaping outmoded stereotypes when dealing with third world nations and peoples.

There may have been examples of network and producer insensitivity, but the fact remains that in this Golden Age blacks were used frequently—often in roles unfamiliar to Afro-American actors. In the wake of Bill Cosby's success in a dramatic series, several blacks appeared in police and private-detective series. Where in the past they might have been portrayed as victims or perpetrators of crime, blacks were now part of the law enforcement process. Whether it was Clarence Williams III as Linc Hayes, the reformed Watts rioter, now an undercover police officer on The Mod Squad, or Gail Fisher as Peggy Fair, the secretary and helper on Mannix, American audiences rarely had seen blacks in so flattering a light.

And Afro-American heroes worked for all types of legal agencies. On Mission: Impossible, Greg Morris portrayed Barney Collier, an electronics expert and member of the team of CIA-like agents who roamed the world thwarting evil developments in foreign governments. In N.Y.P.D., Robert Hooks played a police detective operating in New York City. In Hawk the location was also New York City, but here Wayne Grice played Detective Carter, the partner on the night beat of a police lieutenant of Iroquois ancestry, John Hawk (Burt Reynolds). Hari Rhodes abandoned his lab gown and zoologist's role on Daktari, and appeared now as a big-city district attorney on The Protectors, one of three programs
composing NBC’s *The Bold Ones* series. Related to this format also was Don Mitchell’s characterization of Mark Sanger, the assistant and bodyguard to Raymond Burr’s police consultant heroics on *Ironsides*.

By the late 1960s, it was apparent that to be representative and appealing to a wide audience, TV series required black characters. This was most obvious in programs which spotlighted a group of Americans confronting various types of conflict and/or misunderstanding. Ivan Dixon played Sgt. Kinchloe, one of the soldiers held humorously in Stalag 13 on *Hogan’s Heroes*. *The New People*, a short-lived series about a group of young American men and women stranded on a deserted island and forced to establish a new social order based on their 1960s values, featured David Moses as one of those struggling to make reality out of theory. The evening soap opera *Peyton Place* was integrated during the 1968–1969 season, when Percy Rodrigues and Ruby Dee, as Dr. and Mrs. Harry Miles, and Glynn Turman as their son joined the cast. And the ill-fated *Matt Lincoln* program, starring Vince Edwards as a psychiatrist, featured two blacks, Felton Perry and Chelsea Brown, as his assistants.

Blacks also entered genres traditionally closed to them. In the science fiction series *Star Trek*, Nichelle Nichols was the only recurring female and black member of the cast. Her role as Lt. Uhura, the communications officer of the starship *Enterprise*, was a sexual as well as racial breakthrough. Similarly, a black character was included in the science fantasy series, *Land of the Giants*. Struggling to survive in a world where everything except the crew of an American spaceship was twelve times larger than on earth, Don Marshall played the copilot of the aircraft which had crash-landed on a foreign planet in the year 1983. And Angelo Rutherford’s role as the young black friend, Willie, on *Gentle Ben* took blacks into family-oriented adventure programming.

During the flowering of the Western in the late 1950s and early 1960s, blacks seldom appeared on the dozens of series on television. In less than a decade, however, matters began to change.
During the fall of 1965, Raymond St. Jacques appeared as Simon Blake, a drover on the faltering *Rawhide* series. St. Jacques appeared for only four months before the seven-year-old program was canceled. Between 1967 and 1970, however, Frank Silvera portrayed Don Sebastian Montoya, a distinguished Mexican nobleman and father-in-law of John Cannon, the central character on *High Chaparral*. In 1969, moreover, Roosevelt Grier, the former football star for the New York Giants and Los Angeles Rams, became a regular on the last season of *Daniel Boone*. Cast as Gabe Cooper, Grier portrayed a runaway slave who lived with the Tuscarora Indians and was accepted by them as Chief Canawahchaquao.

Considerably more significant, however, was the co-starring role of Otis Young on *The Outcasts*. During the 1968–1969 season, Young played Jemal David who, with a white partner, Earl Corey, played by Don Murray, was a bounty hunter in the post-Civil War wild West. Of all TV series featuring Afro-American actors, *The Outcasts* was the most intense and explosive. David was no socially adapted Chet Kincaid or patriotic Barney Collier. Bitter about the slavery experience and hostile to racism and the brutalization of blacks, he was a sensitive and combative man.

While the series was set in the frontier days of the nineteenth century, its attitudes were clearly reflective of racial sensibilities in the late 1960s. David’s distrust of whites occasionally included even his partner Corey. In an episode entitled “Gideon,” aired February 24, 1969, those feelings exploded after Corey met and reminisced with an old ex-slave, Gideon (played by Roscoe Lee Browne), who was once owned by Corey’s father. The shuffling and servility shown by Gideon was offensive to David. And Corey’s apparent pleasure in seeing the old man triggered a hostile scene between the partners.

David: Listen, Corey, I don’t need you to stand up for me. I can fight by own fights.

Corey: What’s the matter with you today? You’re touchier than a lizard with sunburn. First you start pickin’ on old Gideon, then
you start callin’ me ‘‘Massa Earl,’’ like some endman in a river-boat show.

DAVID: It wasn’t meant to be funny. I just ain’t interested in hearin’ about him or any of your other used-to-be darkies.

COREY: And I’m not responsible for what a man calls me.

DAVID: Oh, is that a fact? He just dreamed it up all by himself one day, decided that ‘‘Massa Earl’’ sounded better than ‘‘Mr. Corey’’ or ‘‘Earl’’ or any other way a man talks to a man.

In many respects The Outcasts was revolutionary. It challenged the traditional formula of the TV Western, treating innovative themes such as the place of black cavalry units—the so-called ‘‘Buffalo Soldiers’’—in the history of the West; the brotherhood between two oppressed racial minorities, blacks and Indians; racial prejudice on the frontier; and life on a chain gang controlled by brutal and bigoted guards. Furthermore, never had TV projected a black champion in the Old West. But as such, David was forced to face such soul-searching issues as being falsely accused of having killed a white woman; coping with a hooded night rider intent on pillaging the countryside in revenge for the Confederate loss in the Civil War; and temporarily becoming the sheriff of a racially prejudiced town.

The series also broadened the expression of black manhood on television. In one episode, David risked his life to save a white child from death. In another, he fell in love with a black woman, only to discover she was involved in a robbery scheme master-minded by a white man. In still another episode, he was compelled to deal with an old black servant who became angry when David ate at the table with whites and ‘‘acted like a white man.’’ The old man later explained that his own son had been murdered for acting like a white man.

One of the most revolutionary scenes in the entire series occurred in ‘‘Gideon.’’ It showed a black man unwrapping a long hunting knife and calmly plunging it into the chest of a white bounty hunter. Several years later a black writer recalled the impact of this incident upon one black viewer:
One of the best shows I used to like was *The Outcasts*, and it wasn’t too long before they cast it out and off the air. It had a feeling of truth to it somehow or other. I especially liked that time when Roscoe Lee Browne was on that show and killed that *White* man. That was beautiful! 

While film historians have spent much energy pointing out the emergence of the strong, macho black character so crucial to the blaxploitation films of the 1970s, Otis Young as Jemal David was clearly the first modern black hero to lash out at white society when he felt it to be oppressive or unjust. Long before the “super-spade” films like *Sweet Sweetback’s Baadassss Song* (1971) and *Shaft* (1971), David as a central character in *The Outcasts* projected an image that was self-sufficient, virile, and threatening.

Personally Young seems to have harbored as much distrust of white society as his character. *TV Guide* in 1969 reported that his refusal to cooperate with his producers—in one case, refusing to say the line, “Ain’t nothin’ like darkies for prayin’”—led to considerable tension on the set of *The Outcasts*. Young defended his editing of the script, noting that “the line is an insult to Negroes.” In language reminiscent of Paul Robeson’s defiance, Young continued:

If this line went through, the next thing they’d have up there is Stepin Fetchit. If I compromised myself on this script, it would be a little easier next time, and in three or four years I’d wake up one morning and be a wealthy Negro who forgot who he was. . . . The thing that affected my decision about this line was my responsibility to Negroes in this country. White people think there’s nothing like darkies for dancing, there’s nothing like darkies for singing, and there’s nothing like darkies for praying. Well, that’s a lie. The segment of Negroes that is praying instead of *doing* is dying off. We have a new Negro that hasn’t even been to church. One of the things that has hung the Negro up is that he’s been too busy praying in the white man’s church. This has kept him under the hand of the white Establishment. Any Negro today who is praying instead of *doing* is a damn fool.
The Outcasts failed for several reasons, among them its poor scheduling on ABC opposite feature films on NBC and Mayberry, R.F.D. on CBS. Westerns themselves were no longer popular with TV viewers by the late 1960s. The program also failed because of the hostile quality of its black characterization. Although the product of white script writers, Jemal David was one of the most threatening black fictional figures since D. W. Griffith introduced a black would-be rapist lusting after a white girl in his film, Birth of a Nation (1915). That image of a strong and assertive black male, which film historian Don Bogle has termed "the brutal black buck," had been absent from the mainstream of American popular culture until the appearance of the brooding, quick-tempered bounty hunter created by Otis Young. The Outcasts and David anticipated by three years the violent and intensely angry black males in feature films in the 1970s.

The rage apparent in the words and actions of David and Young suggests a militancy traditionally proscribed from network television. The pattern of excluding black anger had been established early in TV history with the banning of Paul Robeson from the medium. While the participatory perimeters of video had expanded since the days of Nat King Cole, the ideological boundaries remained intact. TV could adopt moderate performers like Diahann Carroll, Bill Cosby, and Sammy Davis, Jr. But there was still little place for those entertainers or characters, real or fictional, who brought strongly political perspectives to their performance.

This is not to disparage those Afro-American talents who found success in television. It is to suggest, however, that American mass culture continued to operate as a conservative, assimilative force, seeking to maintain social stability while gradually merging people of differing backgrounds into the cultural mainstream.

The process had worked effectively with the waves of immigrants who had come to the United States in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. While they maintained vestiges of their old cultures—dress, food, dance, music, secondary language
skills, and observance of holidays—they eventually were Americanized and became socially indistinguishable from other citizens.

American blacks, the offspring of reluctant immigrants who in earlier centuries were brought forcefully to the New World to be slaves, faced a different set of circumstances in the process of assimilation. Hampered by an institutional racism that stripped them of their African culture while disallowing their absorption into the mainstream of American social life, blacks had been kept historically rootless. To ensure their servility after the laws of slavery were abolished in the mid-nineteenth century, blacks were isolated, culturally circumscribed, and made objects of derision within the dominant white culture. In this way, American popular culture ensured second-class political status for the offspring of ex-slaves.

The significance of the civil rights movement which flowered in the mid-1960s was that for legal, economic, political, and moral reasons, the dominant culture began to reevaluate its proscription against full participation by blacks. As never before in history, Afro-Americans now had a chance to enter the social mainstream, to find educational and professional opportunity, and to achieve personal and familial satisfaction working within the system.

Given this situation, it is obvious that an immoderate series such as *The Outcasts* could not survive on television. Beyond the limits of popular acceptability, its anger was out of harmony with the cultural process. Much more congruent with American cultural dynamics was the successful ABC series, *Room 222*. Better than any other program focusing on blacks in the Golden Age, *Room 222* mirrored the ambiance of social change that was a part of the late 1960s, while operating within the boundaries of cultural possibility. In this regard, *Room 222*, and not *The Outcasts*, stands as the "best possible" black-starred show to emerge in the late 1960s.

*Room 222* was a schoolroom dramatic series set in urban Walt Whitman high school. It featured Lloyd Haynes as a compassionate teacher whose lessons in black history were often interrupted
by the real-life problems of the integrated student body. Stories dealt with issues affecting contemporary American teenagers: drug addiction, cheating on exams, sexual attitudes, recalcitrant and nonconformist students, insensitive teachers, limitations on student rights, and social issues such as women's liberation, consumerism, and the environmental crisis. Frequently, the program dealt specifically with racial themes: President Lincoln's racial views, tutoring a ghetto youngster, the varieties of prejudice, and the like.

The program, however, did not have to approach racial problems directly to deliver its egalitarian point. The fact that Haynes, the main actor, was black, and that the prominently displayed school counselor played by Denise Nicholas was also Afro-American, made Room 222 a series with a reformist message. The sympathetic characters portrayed by Haynes and Nicholas represented a positive statement about black middle-class success. In charge of young lives, here were responsible black adults making all the right moves. The basic integrity and law-abiding nature of the Afro-American students in class also communicated a hopeful lesson about those struggling to leave the urban ghetto and enter the flow of American life.

There was no rage here. The professionals in Room 222 had achieved. They were laboring now so that black youngsters could follow them to the American Dream. The black heroes were allowed vestiges of Afro-American culture—Afros, colorful clothing, and a sensitivity toward younger "brothers" and "sisters" seeking equal opportunity. But the same central characters were well adjusted to the suit-and-tie regimentation of their careers and identities within the mainstream. Not simply principals in a TV series, these were role models of what "the good life"—a world of rational thought, attractive people, and financial sufficiency—offered for those who would abandon bitterness and work to overcome within the system.

Despite the cancellation of The Outcasts and the success of Room 222, Julia, and the like, anger was an integral part of black
existence in the late 1960s. If the intensity of that anger were to be encountered on television, it would not appear on entertainment shows. It would have to be seen in nonfiction TV, in that realm of news, documentary, and public service programming which—despite boundaries established early in the case of Paul Robeson—still had helped make the civil rights issue a problem of national scope.

TV IN THE AGE OF URBAN REBELLION

As a medium of communication, television both reflects and creates public consciousness. Public opinion is formed in great part through the images and information transmitted by video. There can be no doubt that scenes of protest against racial injustice communicated nationally through TV in the early 1960s did much to win popular support for meaningful reform. While Supreme Court rulings and the actions of black leaders would have occurred without television, the existence of the visual medium ensured that protests against bigotry would transcend race and region. To a great degree, the awarding in 1964 of the Nobel Peace Prize to Martin Luther King, Jr. was a testimony to the global implications of the civil rights movement created via television.

During its first decade, the movement was tied firmly to a strategy of nonviolence and civil disobedience. Television showed blacks and sympathetic nonblacks undertaking sit-ins, freedom rides, protest marches, and the like. The gospel music which often accompanied such images revealed the strong attachment of the early movement to black religious organizations, particularly the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) of Reverends King, Ralph David Abernathy, Jesse Jackson, Andrew Young, and Hosea Williams.

During this first decade television focused overwhelmingly on the racial problem in the South. This is where the movement be-
gan. The first black leaders were from the South. And with its brazen Jim Crow laws and public examples of segregation, racism was most obvious in that region. Although the Supreme Court case outlawing "separate but equal" schools concerned educational facilities in Topeka, Kansas, it was in the South that the first confrontations occurred on matters of school integration.

But change was slow. There were political promises, but few fundamental social changes to end racism, open doors of opportunity, and render blacks politically, socially, and economically equal. Importantly, too, frustrations mounted precisely in those areas of the United States where racial problems were not frequently seen on television—the urban centers outside the South. Following the climactic summer of 1963, however, TV began to reflect this new phase of the black social movement.

Certainly there would be other black triumphs in the South. Among these would be the registration of Afro-American voters during the Mississippi Summer of 1964, and the Selma-to-Birmingham march in early 1965. But beginning with the riots in Harlem in the spring of 1964, the geographic focus and internal structure of the civil rights movement changed. The urban North, Midwest, and West now experienced violent reactions as impatient blacks demanded immediate rescue from second-class citizenship. As rage grew throughout the late 1960s, many major American cities erupted in racial rebellion.

The cities traumatized by race riots during this period constitute a list of the principal urban and industrial areas in the nation. In the search for jobs and a better way of life, millions of blacks had migrated to these centers for two decades. Leaving the poverty and segregation of the South, these migrants had come to cities like Chicago, New York City, Cleveland, Los Angeles, and Detroit to achieve their American Dream. What they encountered, however, was often more nightmarish than the conditions they had left. Faced with unemployment, dilapidated ghettos, unfamiliar and subtle forms of discrimination, and handicapped by inadequate technical skills, by the mid-1960s many migrants abandoned mor-
alistic Christian leadership and drifted into leaderless, spontaneous rebellion. Looting and burning often replaced passive resistance and religious principles. In 1967 alone, there were eight major disorders, thirty-three serious outbreaks, and 133 minor disorders. Only 16 percent of these outbreaks occurred in the South. The following list of major racial rebellions of the 1960s clearly places the riot phenomenon in the industrial North.

New York City/Harlem—1964
Los Angeles/Watts—1965
San Francisco—1966
Milwaukee—1967
Detroit—1967
Cincinnati—1967
Minneapolis—1967
Plainfield, New Jersey—1967
Tampa—1967
Newark—1967
Rochester—1967
Chicago—1968
Washington, D.C.—1968
Memphis—1968

As black anger grew, old leaders lost influence and were replaced by more bellicose younger spokesmen. By 1967, phrases like "black power," "burn, baby, burn," "freedom now," and "off the pig," replaced earlier appeals to black and white consciences. Now leaders like Stokely Carmichael, head of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, spoke openly of blacks arming for purposes of self-defense. This was the time when Eldridge Cleaver, information minister of the Black Panther party, conducted his national campaign for the presidency of the United States under sponsorship of the duly registered Peace and Freedom party. During this period James Foreman of the Black Economic Development Council issued a controversial "black manifesto" and demanded—and received—large sums of money.
from American churches as atonement for centuries of white exploitation of Afro-Americans. This was also a time when H. Rap Brown of SNCC justified urban rioting with the phrase, "violence is as American as cherry pie" and terrified many with the prediction that inner-city violence was only a "dress rehearsal for the revolution."

To many people revolution seemed at hand following the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. in April 1968. Rage spilled into the streets, and armed troops were needed in many locations to reestablish social order. Pictures of American soldiers bearing rifles in front of the Capitol, while streams of smoke rose in the background from the ghetto of Washington, D.C., told most dramatically the depth of racial anger. Such pictures also revealed how disenchanted urban blacks had become with the passive resistance tactics of the early civil rights movement.

TV not only covered the inner-city rebellions as they erupted, it occasionally predicted their occurrence. Six months before the Harlem riots, CBS Reports looked frankly at "The Harlem Temper." Aired on December 18, 1963, this documentary looked at the poverty and anger in the New York City ghetto. It showed the recruiting under way for direct action groups like the Congress on Racial Equality, and for the nationalist Black Muslim religion. The program warned that as Harlem blacks became increasingly disenchanted with the pace of social progress, extremist solutions became more attractive.

In a similar vein, two and one-half years before San Francisco experienced racial rioting in its Fillmore District ghetto, author James Baldwin rocked the self-complacency of that "liberal" city when he attacked San Francisco's racism in a National Educational Television program, "Take This Hammer." In the program, which was aired in February 1964, Baldwin accused the city of racial hypocrisy. "In San Francisco it's all whitewashed," he commented, "it's under the rug. I suppose no one in San Francisco has any sense of what a dangerous area this is."

Rioting in San Francisco began in September 1966.
Televised coverage of domestic rebellions in the late 1960s ranged from pictures gathered safely behind police or national guard lines, to live "battle action" obtained in helicopter flights into the thick of the rioting. Independent station KTLA in Los Angeles won awards for its spectacular helicopter coverage of the Watts riots in 1965. Evading bullets aimed at the aircraft, KTLA personnel emerged with dramatic pictures of homes and commercial buildings in flames, of looters sacking department stores, and of social anarchy. Coverage by KTLA, however, provoked criticism. To many, such pictures only encouraged further arson and looting. Others felt it also created widespread panic among the white population.

Similar praise and criticism were heard about the video coverage of the San Francisco rioting. During that violence, TV newsmen were so close to the action that several were attacked and beaten. Station automobiles and television equipment were destroyed by rioters. Yet in addition to showing the riot in progress, TV lent itself as a forum for the discussion of ideas and pacifying communications from Mayor John F. Shelly. At the request of Governor Edmund G. Brown, a major league baseball game between the San Francisco Giants and the Atlanta Braves was televised from Atlanta, even though it was not scheduled to be seen in San Francisco. The fairness with which local TV handled the violence prompted Dick Gregory to remark that "compared to the bigotry and blindness of other riot cities, this honestly is something else."50

Not all Americans shared Gregory's opinion of the effectiveness of the media, particularly of television and its role in covering unrest. Many viewers, city officials, and even TV news personnel charged that the presence of TV cameras in a riotous situation actually inflamed the situation. According to one network newsman, John Schubeck, "today a good many scenes have been created by the camera." Of the riots in Detroit and Newark, he contended that "the television cameras had a great deal to do with it."51

Others assailed the sensationalistic nature of television pictures
from a riot area. Still others attacked the distortions and misrepresentations competing video newsman perpetrated in their competition for TV visuals. The mayor of Chicago, Richard J. Daley, articulated the feelings of many in local government when in 1966 he told a conference of broadcast news directors:

Regardless of how objective radio or television news editors may wish to be, they cannot present a fair presentation, a fair evaluation, of an important and complex issue in two or three minutes. This, perhaps, is the crux of the "communications dilemma." . . . Coupled with this is conformity to the axiom of applying the standard: "Where is the action?" This has become nearly an obsession with some news editors."

Ironically, as the civil rights movement degenerated into urban rebellion, television's interest in black social problems diminished. One reason for this was the growing attack on video for popularizing the idea of rioting. TV was clearly sensitive to the white backlash which materialized nationally. As many grew tired of civil rights issues and fearful of racial unrest, television became the target of those who felt that the medium was planting seeds of rebellion via its news stories and documentaries. Some felt the medium was too lenient with black protesters. Others alleged that without the catalytic presence of TV cameras, local disturbances would not have become full-fledged riots with national implications.

There were, furthermore, new social issues occupying the energies of Americans. In particular, white middle-class reformers who had been crucial to the civil rights movement in the early 1960s turned now to fresh crusades, among them ecological concerns, consumer issues, and the budding women's liberation movement. Even more pressing was the matter of the war in Vietnam. Scarcely an issue when the black social movement was making its first gains, the Vietnam war became the nation's most divisive concern by the mid-1960s. For many years American troop commitments had been small in Southeast Asia. The bulk of early
draftees had come from poor white, black, and Hispanic social elements. With the escalation of hostilities under President Johnson, and with the cancellation of college deferments and the conscription of middle-class, college-enrolled white males, critical attention was increasingly focused on the Vietnam war. Indicative of this new orientation, by 1967 ABC Scope—a distinguished primetime documentary series notable for its coverage of the civil rights movement—was devoting each weekly program exclusively to developments in the war.

The decreasing TV interest in black social problems was also a reflection of the disintegration of the civil rights movement. Despite a decade of significant legal and moral victories, the movement was collapsing. By the last years of the decade, SCLC, CORE, SNCC, NAACP, and other black groups were moribund. The Black Muslim religion was split between advocates of Elijah Muhammad and those who still supported the slain Malcolm X. Many key members of the Black Panther party were dead, in exile, or in jail. And former firebrand leaders like Stokely Carmichael, Floyd McKissick, and James Farmer had either left politics or now worked for the white Establishment.

The degree to which leaderless blacks remained segregated within American society was powerfully summarized in the Kerner Commission report published in 1968. Responding to urban violence, President Johnson in July 1967, had appointed a Commission on Civil Disorders to analyze the causes of the rioting. Headed by former Governor Otto Kerner of Illinois, the commission discovered a society drifting headlong toward apartheid. It blamed white racism for the violence of black protest. "What white Americans have never fully understood—but what Negroes can never forget," the commission reported, "is that white society is deeply implicated in the ghetto." The report continued, "White institutions created it, white institutions maintain it, and white society condones it." 53

While there were increasingly fewer documentary considerations of black social problems after the early 1960s, nonfiction television did offer several significant reports. These broadcasts,
moreover, reflected the directions and relevancy of the civil rights movement by this date. An *NBC News Special* on June 11, 1967, "‘After Civil Rights . . . Black Power,’" contrasted the views of radicals like McKissick and Carmichael with moderates like King and Charles Evers of the NAACP. The white backlash phenomenon was treated in two outstanding *CBS Reports* programs: "‘Ku Klux Klan’" on September 21, 1965, and "‘Black Power—White Backlash’" on September 27, 1966. Riot cities were frequent topics immediately after violence exploded. Even months after such outbursts, their implications were probed. Such was the case with the *CBS Reports* program, "‘Watts: Riot or Revolt?’" aired on December 7, 1965, four months after racial rioting in the Los Angeles ghetto resulted in 35 dead and 947 wounded.

More comprehensive as a study of urban rebellion was the NBC documentary, "‘Summer ’67: What We Learned.’" which was aired September 15, 1967, and told the story of the race riot in Detroit. Produced by Fred Freed and featuring newsmen Frank McGee and Bill Matney, this program sought to explain the conditions which caused such a devastating toll: 43 dead, 386 injured, and 477 buildings damaged or destroyed. With images of Detroit that resembled Germany in 1945, this documentary immediately tied this rebellion to uprisings throughout the nation that summer.

There is a great temptation to become shrill about what happened here in Detroit in July. That is a temptation we wish to avoid. Today, more than at any time any of us can remember, is a time for truth, and hysteria is no friend of truth. Some of what you will see may make you angry. But if it does no more than make you angry, we will have failed in our purpose. If it does not expose you to the desperation that breeds the outrageous and lawless things being said and done by some Negroes, if it does not impress you with the absolute urgency of relieving that desperation, we will not have communicated what Black America is trying to tell White America. For we believe that the greatest single need in America today is for communication between blacks and whites. But there can be no communication between minds closed by anger.

Urban poverty, increasingly seen as a root cause of violent dem-
onstrations, was the subject of several programs in 1967. "The Tenement" was a CBS program on February 28, in which producer Jay McMullen lived for nine months in a Chicago slum tene-
ment and recorded how impoverished living conditions—large families, insufficient food, loneliness, and lack of relationship with the white society—trapped otherwise religious and hopeful Afro-Americans who still expressed dreams of a better life for their children. "The Cities and the Poor," a NET documentary aired August 15, dealt with the war on poverty in Los Angeles and Chicago. And an NBC News Special on October 27 analyzed the attempt by the Office of Equal Opportunity to establish a legal services program.

Also of importance was "Same Mud, Same Blood," an NBC News Special aired December 1, 1967. It concerned the role of black soldiers in Vietnam. Focusing on the Army's 101st Airborne Division, its battle scenes made this more an antiwar pro-
gram than a discussion of integration in the military. There were pictures of an integrated platoon being led by a black sergeant, but as Variety reported, "the blood and the mud—the plain inferno—which emerged in the pure graphics of the piece indeed swallowed the race theme."

In the midst of its considerations of Afro-American problems, network television occasionally treated the African connection. Nowhere was the newly recognized importance of Africa more fully explored than in an ABC four-hour special devoted to the po-
titical, social, and economic significance of the continent, and nar-
rated by Gregory Peck. The network, on September 10, 1967, de-
voted an entire Sunday evening to analyses of such matters as the historic depth of African civilization, tribal influences in modern politics, African arts, lingering white domination in several coun-
tries, the African role in the slave trade, and the American political stake in the international politics of the emergent continent.

As revealing as these documentaries were, they were among only a small number of nonfiction broadcasts devoted to black is-
sues. For several reasons, however, commercial TV rediscovered Afro-American problems in 1968, when an unprecedented num-
ber of news documentaries poured from the networks. One reason for this reevaluation was the issuance of the Kerner report. Government officials called in network executives for lengthy meetings on the report, urging the executives to devote more coverage to black problems. This was also an election year, and the candidates—particularly Robert F. Kennedy—were especially vocal regarding such problems. Further, in the wake of the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr., and the national wave of racial violence it precipitated, civil rights surpassed Vietnam as the most pressing domestic concern. In this atmosphere, the three commercial networks focused public affairs programming on the Afro-American situation. At no time was this focus more intensely noticeable than during the summer months.

Fig. 2.2.
Network Documentary Programming, Summer 1968.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Series and/or Program Title</th>
<th>Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/24</td>
<td>The Cities I: &quot;A City to Live In&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/25</td>
<td>The Cities II: &quot;A Dilemma in Black and White&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/26</td>
<td>The Cities III: &quot;To Build the Future&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/27</td>
<td>Time for Americans I: &quot;Bias and the Media—Part I&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>Of Black America I: &quot;Black History: Lost, Stolen, or Strayed?&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>Of Black America II: &quot;The Black Soldier&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/11</td>
<td>Time for Americans II: &quot;Bias and the Media—Part II&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>What's Happening to America? I</td>
<td>NBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14</td>
<td>Time for Americans III: &quot;Newark: Anatomy of a Riot&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15</td>
<td>Time for Americans IV: &quot;Prejudice and the Police&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>Of Black America III: &quot;Black World&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/19</td>
<td>What's Happening to America? II</td>
<td>NBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23</td>
<td>Of Black America I (repeated)</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26</td>
<td>What's Happening to America? III</td>
<td>NBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/27</td>
<td>Time for Americans V: &quot;Can White Suburbia Think Black?&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28</td>
<td>Time for Americans VI: &quot;White Racism and Black Education&quot;</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30</td>
<td>Of Black America IV: &quot;Body and Soul&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>&quot;Justice for All?&quot;</td>
<td>NBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13</td>
<td>Of Black America V: &quot;The Heritage of Slavery&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/16</td>
<td>What's Happening to America? IV</td>
<td>NBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>Of Black America VI: &quot;In Search of a Past&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>Of Black America VII: &quot;Portrait in Black and White&quot;</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As Figure 2.2 suggests, the interest of network television in black problems in the summer of 1968 was unprecedented. During this period of what Variety termed "video's rush to black," each network produced at least one distinguished series surveying a wide variety of relevant topics. None was more striking than the seven-part CBS production, Of Black America.

This series had a two-fold purpose: to illustrate to white viewers the ramifications of chronic American racism, and to show black viewers their legitimate place in the United States and in the world. The first goal was accomplished most powerfully in the premier broadcast, "Black History: Lost, Stolen or Strayed?"

With Bill Cosby narrating in a tone of understated impatience, viewers encountered the distortions of black history so long accepted by the white majority. Cosby quoted historical inaccuracies from one of the most popular college textbooks. He raised to consciousness the names of black achievers that history books seldom mentioned. But most memorably, Cosby presented a lengthy pastiche of excerpts from Hollywood films illustrating the dehumanizing stereotypes of Afro-Americans that white moviegoers had accepted for so long.

The montage of vintage film clips was an indictment of Hollywood motion pictures and American popular culture. Here was the white child actress, Shirley Temple, standing fearlessly in the face of danger and giving commands while a shuffling black man stood trembling and babbling nonsense. Here were the racist distortions of more than a century—the watermelon-eaters, chicken-stealers, razor-toters, dancin' and grinnin' darkies, coons, Uncle Toms, mammys, and pickaninnies. These offensive caricatures were usually portrayed by decent Afro-American actors. But since they were the only images acceptable in motion pictures produced for white audiences, they were the only roles open to black actors in Hollywood, and the principal self-images and role models blacks were asked to accept in motion pictures.

However, juxtaposing these older film segments and scenes
from Guess Who's Coming to Dinner—a recent movie in which Sidney Poitier played a doctor in love with the beautiful daughter of a liberal white couple—the program suggested that a new world was in the process of being born.

"Black History: Lost, Stolen, or Strayed?" hit a responsive chord with the American public. Its writers, Perry Wolff and Andy Rooney, received Emmy awards. The episode was so well received that CBS reran the program in prime time three weeks later. The program was later sold on 16 mm film to high school and college film libraries and is still seen by thousands of students yearly.

Other installments in the Of Black America series dealt with the accomplishments of Afro-American athletes, musicians, and soldiers. One featured a conversation between black leaders Floyd McKissick and Congressman John Conyers, and two African statesmen, Tom Mboya of Kenya and Dr. Alex Kwapong of Ghana. Another treated the history and legacy of slavery which, in its contemporary manifestations, ranged from a black militant declaring that "Mississippi is gonna either have to change or there can be no more Mississippi," to a white Chicagoan proudly describing himself as a "practicing bigot."

A unique dimension of the Afro-American question was probed in an episode entitled "In Search of a Past." Here CBS sought to prove that while American blacks had not been integrated into American society, neither could they reaffiliate with Africa. The program suggested that, caught between worlds, blacks might appreciate their ancestral continent, but could not escape their struggle for equality and purpose in the United States. For this episode, the network selected three black high school students to spend several weeks in Ghana. In that West African nation, the students soon realized that to the Africans they were simply black-skinned foreigners, welcome as visitors, but not as co-nationalists. In their closing remarks, the students and CBS correspondent Hal Walker made a telling point.
1ST STUDENT: You see, what we have to do is take care of what we’ve got, where we’re at. . . .

2ND STUDENT: If you were born in America, and you work in America, and you fought for America, it’d be kinda hard to just get up and come to Africa. Everything you fought for is in America. . . .

1ST STUDENT: We, as black men, are proud of being black men. We are not gonna run over here now, because the white racism is so strong up there. We’re gonna get ourselves together and we’re gonna change it.

WALKER: On June 20th, Mattie, Gail, and Steve left for home—a home that still denied them equality with other Americans, but their home nevertheless.

Many spokespersons and issues were presented in the unprecedented coverage of the racial condition during the summer of 1968. In its four-part series, What’s Happening to America, NBC invited a black leader, Dr. Harry Edwards, to discuss black politics in sports, in the wake of the “black power” clenched-fists raised by John Carlos and Tommie Smith during the awards ceremony at the Olympic Games in Mexico City. The noted psychologist Kenneth Clark in another installment argued that America’s problems had finally caught up with the nation, and that as for the violence so spectacularly seen on television, “America has always been able to stomach large amounts of violence against minorities. The outrage juices flow easier when violence is directed at upper status folks.”

Nonfiction TV examined the Afro-American condition from various angles: housing and employment discrimination, the decay of the American city, legal inequality, police brutality in the black community, and the future of blacks in the United States. No program was more compelling, however, than the discussion of “Bias and the Media” aired June 27 as part of ABC’s six-part study of American racism, Time for Americans. At the request of
Harry Belafonte, the guests were separated, allowing black speakers to make their charges on a first program. White defenders responded two weeks later on a second program.

In the first installment, a national audience heard a bitter complaint about the lack of opportunity in the entertainment field for minorities. The four black guests were Belafonte, Lena Horne, Harvard sociologist Dr. Alvin Poussaint, and poet-scholar Lawrence Neal. Belafonte attacked the lack of black input in network programming, the failure of TV to utilize black talent, and network preoccupation with profit margins instead of human concerns. Horne assailed the advertising industry for not selling black performance to advertisers. While Poussaint seemed more moderate in his perception that black achievers in entertainment were still the exceptions, Neal angrily noted that until blacks possessed their own stations and networks, the problem of bias would persist. What had been billed as a discussion became a heated denunciation of the white-dominated mass media for their "viciousness and bestiality" toward Afro-Americans. To critic Les Brown, "It was as though the stopper had been pulled on years of bottled-up resentment." And when six white representatives of the mass media—including two ABC executives, an official from an advertising agency, and three journalists—made their response on July 11, another reviewer concluded that "if their confused, naive rationalization of the status quo plus slow progress constitutes the sum of media corporate policies on the race question . . . equality is not even on the American agenda."

It would be an overstatement to say that no gains by blacks occurred in TV's relative Golden Age in the late 1960s. Gradually, if grudgingly, stations and networks made moves to employ blacks before and behind the cameras. In local stations, black news reporters appeared, occasionally as anchors, more often as correspondents, community relations personalities, or sports reporters. On network TV, black reporters like Mal Goode, Hal Walker, Bob Teague, Bob Ried, Bill Matney, and George Foster were seen
regularly reporting stories not only related to race. Interestingly, however, racial rioting throughout the decade compelled stations and networks to hire more black reporters and cameramen as white personnel often feared to enter racially explosive areas.⁶²

As well as the entertainment programs on which blacks increasingly were starred or co-starred in the late 1960s, a small number of Afro-Americans were making important inroads as writers, directors, producers, and station executives. These were strategic first steps because they held forth the opportunity of sharing with all American viewers new artistic and informational insights into the black experience.

Black spokespersons had long contended that Afro-America was different from white America. John Oliver Killens promoted that idea when he wrote in 1970 that:

White writers, intentions notwithstanding, cannot write about the Black experience, cannot conjure up a true Black image, cannot evoke the wonderful—sometimes terrible—beauty of our Blackness . . . only club members can sing the blues because we’re the ones who paid the dues—of membership in the Brotherhood of Blackness.⁶¹

Even earlier a white writer, Arnold Perl, in his East Side/West Side episode “Who Do You Kill?” had George C. Scott speak similar words to James Earl Jones: “I don’t know what any man would say who looks like I do. I don’t think any white man knows what it’s like, the life of a Negro—sympathize, project, understand, but know?”

As was suggested by George Norford—the first black producer and executive in network television when he joined NBC in the late 1950s and later a general executive with Group W (Westinghouse Broadcasting Company)—by the end of the 1960s “the television picture had been changing, albeit not rapidly enough.”⁶⁴ And statistics from the three major networks in 1971 reveal important changes underway in minority employment in all aspects of the television industry (Figure 2.3).
If it would be too simple to dismiss this "rush to black" as insignificant, it would be naive to assume that it represented either fundamental changes in race relations, or the realization of the great promise of color-blind equality and opportunity that TV made to Afro-Americans in its earliest years. In this Golden Age the seeds of bias-free participation in video were replanted. Unfortunately, the seeds would fall on fallow ground.

As far as blacks were concerned, the election of Richard M. Nixon to the presidency in 1968 signaled a basic change in American politics and social thought. During the administrations of Kennedy and Johnson, government had responded to black grievances. Insufficient though they proved to be, the civil rights programs of JFK and LBJ marked the first concerted attempt by the federal government to address black problems since the Civil War. Further, there can be no doubt that much of the success of the civil rights movement in the 1960s resulted from sizable numbers of white supporters joining the nonviolent crusade. The appearance of blacks and whites protesting together held out the possibility of reform through racial harmony.

The election of Nixon, however, meant not only the elevation of a moderate politician, it suggested the ascendancy of a new attitude among whites. "The Silent Majority" or "Middle America," as Nixon's political base was often called, in many cases was synonymous with antiblack backlash. When Nixon moved early in his tenure to dismantle much of the social services apparatus of
Lyndon Johnson's War on Poverty, it was apparent that as the nation entered the 1970s, blacks were entering a new era, too.

Underscoring black social protest in the 1960s had been a national economy that was generally prosperous. Money and career opportunities were abundant in wartime America. The affluence of the decade was also a powerful lure to Afro-Americans seeking to improve their own lives. It was particularly important to middle-class white youth who, confident of their financial futures, expended energies on marches, sit-ins, voter registration drives, and the like. As inflation developed and the economy declined by the time of Nixon's election, however, the financial safety net that had assured white youth for so long disappeared. And as careers became increasingly more competitive, many would-be protesters abandoned the streets in favor of the libraries.

By the end of the decade, moreover, the optimism of the demonstrators had dissipated. There had been so many crusades, but there were still racism, war, pollution, and exploitation. Little seemed to have been accomplished. Flower children abandoned their bouquets. Faith in changing the system underwent a metamorphosis and emerged as faith in cults, Eastern religions, and a new intensity in fundamentalist Protestant belief. In this new era, young people now struggled for positions in the corporate world. Hair was cut, faces shaved, values reevaluated, and self-centered attitudes gained new respectability. And as the United States entered the age of the "Me Generation," black reformers found themselves abandoned by idealistic white supporters.

There were gains made by Afro-Americans in the 1960s. Television reflected changes in entertainment and nonfictional programming. It was clear by the next decade, however, that matters were deteriorating. With black leadership already in disarray because of violence and factionalism, a new combination of political conservatism, a faltering economy, and a generalized sense of personal insecurity and social impotence robbed the black reform movement of most of its vitality. Nixon did not create this atmo-
sphere. He was elected, in great part, because of it; and his policies would not disappoint his supporters. All of these developments would have a profound effect in the following decade on the history of blacks in television.
However imperfectly, American television by the late 1960s had been moving toward an equitable treatment of blacks. In a decade of racial reassessment and new domestic priorities, TV was propelled toward the realization of that color-blind promise so long a part of the medium. With nonfiction television focusing in depth on the racial question, with Afro-American talent starring in dramatic, comedic, and informational programming—and with small but significant inroads being made into technical and executive aspects of the industry—in the last years of the decade there was reason to anticipate the ultimate realization of bias-free video.

The promise, however, was not fulfilled. The evolution toward nonprejudicial television came to an abrupt end in the early 1970s. For reasons which were political, economic, and social, the role of blacks in TV was refashioned to reflect the popular attitudes and national directions that arose in the 1970s. The result was still another stage in the history of blacks in American television.
This third stage represents a curious synthesis of historical and contemporary influences. While not reverting to the virtual exclusion that marked the end of TV's first decade, the movement toward fairness made possible by programming achievements in the 1960s was abandoned. Blacks remained visible, but usually in stereotyped and subordinate roles.

Since 1970 blacks have participated in most aspects of TV programming—from newscasts and situation comedies, to various dramatic formats, commercials, and made-for-TV movies. As entertainers, blacks since 1970 often have achieved greater ratings and popularity than earlier Afro-American video celebrities.

Something, however, has been missing. The quality of Afro-American performance has been debased. Black sensibilities have been ignored. Concern with minority social problems has been largely absent from entertainment and nonfiction shows. While blacks have been consistently used in comedies, serious characterization in detective, Western, science fiction, romance, dramatic anthology, and serialized drama, programming has been limited and predictable. Black movement into production and management functions also has been minimal. And the use of recognized Afro-American talent, as well as the nurturing of new performers, has been slight.

One cannot brush off casually the contributions of blacks in TV since the early 1970s. But neither can one feel satisfied with the manner in which the medium has received blacks. In this third stage, television has been less than honorable in its treatment of Afro-Americans.

---

**TV AND THE POLITICS OF THE EARLY 1970s**

The election of Richard M. Nixon as president of the United States was a watershed in American history. With his appeals to "the Silent Majority," and for "law and order," Nixon was a
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moderating influence on the reformist energies awakened in the United States by Presidents Kennedy and Johnson. With Nixon, the legacy of progressivism—the spirit of social reform and reorganization that began with Theodore Roosevelt and reappeared in the policies of Presidents Woodrow Wilson, Franklin D. Roosevelt, Harry S. Truman, Kennedy, and Johnson—came to a halt.

To Nixon and his administrators, inner-city riots were acts of insurrection to be met with increased power. Marching antiwar protesters were, in the view of Nixon's attorney general, reminiscent of the anarchistic mobs whose hostile demonstrations precipitated the Bolshevik revolution in Russia in 1917. Dissidence was considered disruptive. Activism was disloyal. To a great degree, moreover, the new administration blamed television for the social disorder that marked the United States when Nixon was inaugurated in January 1969.

During the first years of the Nixon presidency, the TV industry endured the wrath of the administration. Most articulate among the critics of the medium was vice-president Spiro T. Agnew. Mouthing bitter condemnations and alliterative phrases, the vice president articulated the frustration and disgust he perceived in "Middle America."

Agnew’s attack on television—particularly on TV news—began with his speech to Midwestern Republicans meeting in Des Moines on November 20, 1969. Here the vice-president spoke of the "virtual monopoly of the whole medium of communication" possessed by the three national networks. According to Agnew, because of this domination the news which Americans viewed nightly was determined by "a handful of men responsible only to their corporate employers and . . . filtered through a handful of commentators who admit to their own set of biases." If the evening news showed images of protesters and urban violence, Agnew argued that this was a function of biased newsmen working for undemocratic and insulated TV news operations. If viewers were upset by continuing pictures of campus unrest, antiwar marches, lawlessness on picket lines, police brutality, and the ap-
parent bankruptcy of the American political system, these were only new stereotypes created "in the studios of the networks in New York" by a "small and unelected elite."

In Agnew's view, much of the racial violence in the United States was a result of network glorification of "embittered" black radicals. In words reminiscent of those used to ban Paul Robeson decades earlier, Agnew railed against newsmen who elevated Stokely Carmichael "from obscurity to national prominence." Instead of recognizing such anger as an expression of black frustration and social impotence, the vice-president assailed television news for giving the false impression that "the majority of black Americans feel no regard for their country," and for preferring "irrational" radicals over "rational" moderates. In Agnew's argument, the networks had decided that "one minute of Eldridge Cleaver is worth ten minutes of Roy Wilkins."

Most importantly, Agnew broadened his critique by inviting the American people to "let the networks know they want news straight and objective." He castigated network executives, and then reminded them of the power of the president in matters of licensing stations. Although the motives were dissimilar, not since Newton Minow's "vast wasteland" speech in 1961 had such threats against license renewal been publicly pronounced by a government official. And Agnew's target was obvious: the "tiny, enclosed fraternity of privileged men elected by no one and enjoying a monopoly sanctioned and licensed by government."

These were menacing words to network television. But Agnew was not finished. Writing in TV Guide six months later, the vice-president again brandished his stick—but this time he also produced a carrot. Agnew now blasted network news as "manufactured news: revolutionary theater brought into millions of living rooms by the networks." But he extended the prospect of administration approval for those networks responding favorably to his criticisms:

I feel sure that most of the leaders of this great industry are willing to accept the responsibility of citizenship along with its benefits.
And I am confident that in the next few years, the television industry will emerge as an even more powerful and beneficial influence on all of our lives.²

By mid-1970, it was clear that Agnew's comments were not isolated expressions of the new administration's desire to exert a moderating influence on American television. There were other manifestations of what Variety termed a "state-managed... subtle reign of terror" emanating from the White House.³ Nixon's chief adviser, H. R. "Bob" Haldeman, announced his belief that there was a conspiracy involved in news critical of the Nixon administration. "Somewhere in the jungle labyrinth of Manhattan Island," he told an audience at the University of California at Los Angeles in 1970, "there is a secret nerve center where, every Sunday afternoon, an enormously powerful group of men gather to decide what the Eastern Establishment media line for the coming week will be."³ Agnew, himself, was not silenced. He informed a Houston audience that "wild, hot rhetoric pours out of the television set and radio in a daily torrent."³

President Nixon stayed above the public debate over television. Yet, his exploitation of presidential access to network TV time was most disconcerting to his political foes. In particular, to explain his actions in widening the Vietnam war to include Cambodia, Nixon made frequent prime-time appearances in speeches and press conferences in the spring of 1970. Although Democratic opponents of his war policies demanded equal free time to respond, such largess was not forthcoming from the networks. When critics of the president wanted national TV exposure, they usually had to purchase it on one of the national networks.

Through his appointive powers, Nixon in his first years also exerted a moderating influence via the Federal Communications Commission. His appointment of Dean Burch, former aide to Senator Barry Goldwater, as chairman of the FCC did little to ally suspicious TV executives. By the end of 1970, furthermore, the FCC had already made its influence felt on network news. The commission rigidly refused to allow the networks more than three hours of
prime-time programming, thus quashing plans to expand news coverage to a full hour. Further, rigid enforcement of the Fairness Doctrine compelled stations to present counterarguments to every controversial subject covered. Applying stricter rules of libel to documentary programming also helped steer broadcast journalists away from muckraking.

Several broadcasting executives attested to the moderating influence emanating from the FCC. According to Vincent T. Wasi- lewski, president of the National Association of Broadcasters, "the FCC is so restrictive, demanding that the opposing sides of everything that is controversial be sought out and presented, that broadcasters are finding it easier to avoid controversy." A similar protest was heard from Hartford Gunn, Jr., head of the Public Broadcasting Service (PBS). In his view, broader application of the Fairness Doctrine and other FCC decisions could "mean commercial TV will be forced by pure economics to stay out of controversial political waters." He explained that "When presenting political and topical programs means uncontrolled loss of valuable air time and large legal expenses for lengthy FCC fairness hearings, the stations will simply stop presenting those programs."

As well as those questioning the implications of FCC decisions, there were several articulate critics of the Nixon administration and its battle against television news. The president of CBS News, Richard Salant, protested in 1970 against "an official smear campaign under way to dissuade us from telling the truth as we see it." Senator J. William Fulbright of Arkansas charged that vice-president Agnew had successfully "intimidated the media," and he cited as an example the diminishing of anti-Agnew editorials in the Washington Post when that newspaper's TV station in Miami, WPLG-TV, had its license renewal challenged before the FCC.

Nicholas Johnson, an outspoken member of the FCC and an appointee of Lyndon Johnson, was even more strident in his criticism of Nixon and the attack on network TV. According to Commissioner Johnson, the networks by late 1969 had already capitulated to the Nixon administration. He charged that by failing
to provide live coverage of demonstrations by the National Mobilization Against the War, corporate television had surrendered to the government's desire to suppress dissent by denying it video coverage. In Commissioner Johnson's words, "Broadcasters are kept off-guard by the one-two punch of barely camouflaged intimidation and acts of censorship, together with the promise of an economic payoff for those who cooperate."10

Television news operations were especially affected by the government's campaign against TV. Throughout the early 1970s, a popular reaction to stories of crime, protest, warfare, rioting, and other evidences of national disarray was the demand that TV start reporting "good news." Understandably confused and tired of nightly reports of turmoil, many Americans demanded news that was not distressing. Although someone as influential as Reuven Frank, president of NBC News, could argue that those who want TV news "to make a better world" are seeking to use the television news reporter "as a conscious instrument of social control,"11 the criticism continued.

On the local level, network affiliates and independent stations responded more favorably to mounting public and governmental pressure. At KHJ-TV, Los Angeles, for example, news director Baxter Ward announced in May 1970 that the station would no longer show pictures of campus violence. The new station policy was to cover campus demonstrations to the point of physical confrontation. Then, "if it reaches a point of ugliness," according to Ward, "we'll cover our cameras and leave."12

More successful than simply avoiding violence, however, was the conscious creation of warm, friendly feelings in the minds of viewers. This was the goal of the so-called "happy talk" or "do-good" news format developed most profitably by local ABC outlets. Stations now relaxed their dedication to covering "doom and gloom" news and offered instead a blend of solid stories and light, uplifting features, presented by bantering anchor-people, entertaining reporters, and joking sports reporters and weathermen. Happy talk news did not avoid important events, but it made actu-
alities more palatable to viewers, who wanted a little entertain-
ment and informality in the delivery of their news. The happy talk
format—which critic Ron Powers described as "exaggerated jo-
viality and elbow-jabbing comradeship"—first emerged in 1969
at WLS-TV in Chicago. It quickly was adopted by ABC stations
throughout the nation. In the process, it made ABC outlets the
most popular local news operations in most American markets.

The debate over television and its relationship to social turmoil
in the United States had a particularly chilling effect on the role of
blacks in the medium. As early as 1969, local stations reported
diminishing advertiser interest in programs featuring blacks or
focusing on black realities. "It just isn't chic anymore," accord-
ing to a spokesman for WNEW-TV, New York City, "for adver-
tisers to sponsor a black show." This attitude caused many to
wonder if the surge in black programming in the late 1960s ema-
nated more from feelings of guilt following the death of Martin
Luther King, Jr., and the issuance of the Kerner Commission re-
port, than from a solid commitment to bias-free informational and
entertainment programming.

On the network level, it was apparent by the end of 1970 that in
all types of shows black interests were being ill-served by develop-
ments in the new decade. Most of the new series launched in the
fall of 1970—many of which featured or starred black talent—
failed to gain popularity. According to network analysts, this fail-
ure was the result of too many dramatic series seeking to be rele-
vant. Relevancy—social and political reality brought into a TV
story line—may have been popular with viewers in the 1960s, but
in the new era it was a liability. Real-life dramas, with or without
black characters, died a quick death in the ratings, as the American
public demonstrated a distaste for issues-oriented entertainment.
James E. Duffy, president of ABC, attempted to explain the col-
lapse of relevancy programming when he spoke to a group of
broadcasters in late 1970. According to Duffy, "We have been
roundly scored, spanked, slaughtered, slandered. . . . Did we
overreact to, overpromote, overkill 'relevancy'—both the word and the concept? Yes, my friends, we did.'"15

As is apparent from the preceding chapter, socio-political relevancy was a significant factor in the TV drama of the 1960s. Instead of routine plots in which good invariably triumphed over evil, protagonists on programs like The Bold Ones, East Side/West Side, The Name of the Game, and Star Trek often faced perplexing realities that prevented total triumph. For example, on East Side/West Side the hero might have won his own personal battle against ghetto life—but the ghetto endured, and viewers could expect that similar problems would continue. On a science fiction series like Star Trek, the relevant issue might have been philosophically or allegorically stated. Such was the case in ‘‘Let That Be Your Last Battlefield,’’ a Star Trek episode telecast on January 10, 1969. This program concerned the destructive nature of racial hatred and pitted two adversaries, one man whose face was black on the right side, white on the left, and another man with the opposite pattern of coloration. As representatives of two warring races, these men pursued each other through centuries until their hostilities had destroyed all other life on their planet. As the story ended with these sole survivors continuing their senseless battle in space, the implications for contemporary America were obvious.

In the new decade, however, problems of racial prejudice, social injustice, and an insensitive bureaucracy became increasingly unpopular with viewers. Instead of moral lessons and social insights, Americans demanded escapism in their television entertainment. No longer responding to heroes who might capture evildoers but were overwhelmed by social problems and inequitable standards, viewers now wanted champions who triumphed weekly over thoroughly negative antagonists. As Les Brown perceptively noted in Variety in 1972, ‘‘Doctors can save a patient every week, and lawyers can get a client off a bad rap, but no single politician or social worker can correct social injustices or change the system that produced them.’"16
Just as the death of relevancy helped ensure the stifling of series or stories treating serious issues affecting Afro-Americans, the practical disappearance of the news documentary in the early 1970s further helped to isolate blacks from meaningful video exposure. There seems little doubt that the demise of the documentary was related to the popular mood which swung the 1968 election to Richard M. Nixon and which was soon manipulated by the new administration to moderate TV criticism of social realities. Tellingly, in late 1969 a report issued by Columbia University noted that during the previous television season, “documentary programming in the traditional sense of the term had hit a new low.” And by the 1971–1972 season, the three networks aired only sixteen documentaries treating major issues, only four of these focusing on busing and other aspects of black-white race relations.

One reason for this development was that in their newscasts and newsmagazine shows, networks increasingly presented distilled analyses instead of half-hour and full-hour documentaries exploring a single subject in depth. Also, in an atmosphere of political circumspection, when neither sponsor nor network wished to be identified with liberal or with conservative political values, financing for such programming was not often forthcoming. In the words of one network documentarian, “You can’t get a documentary on the air unless you get a sponsor, and if you get a sponsor, you’ve got to do a bland show.”

Ironically, in the previous decade the television documentary had been one of the strongest vehicles for explaining social problems. Its disappearance left Afro-Americans with issues still unresolved, and with much less access to public opinion through network nonfiction programming. It is interesting, too, that these developments occurred at a time when blacks were placing their trust in television as the social institution most sympathetic to their plight. According to a Lou Harris poll in the summer of 1971, 43 percent of American blacks felt that TV had a genuine concern for their desire for equality. Video ranked ahead of the Supreme
Within a few years, social concern for Afro-Americans was gone from television. As blacks passed through the 1970s, the range of utilization and characterization they might face in TV was obvious. At best, they could hope for an occasional breaking of form which might permit a program, movie, or series to reintroduce relevancy into an otherwise escapist medium. As a norm, blacks could anticipate benign neglect in which video entertained its mass audience without reference to Afro-Americans or racial problems. At its worst, television might abandon its residual concern for social issues and revive older, more derisive formats and stereotypes. To a great degree, the history of blacks in TV during and after the 1970s is marked by a fluctuation between these postures.

**BLACKS IN TELEVISION IN THE EARLY 1970s**

As American television abandoned relevancy and its concomitant themes, there were noticeable shifts in programs involving blacks. Dramatic series featuring solid black characters vanished. The mature comedic series which typified the late 1960s now gave way to bolder situation comedies purporting to be racial satires but actually reviving chronic racist stereotypes. Such changes in programming tastes and in attitudes toward minorities were apparent in the earliest years of the new decade.

In the fall of 1970, there were nineteen prime-time network series employing blacks in prominent roles. Excluding motion picture showcases such as the *CBS Friday Night Movie*, this figure represented 26 percent of prime-time programs. Among the total were several series from the previous decade, including *Julia*,...
Mission: Impossible, Rowan and Martin's Laugh-in, the Bill Cosby Show, The Mod Squad, Room 222, Ironside, Mannix, and the Lawrence Welk Show. Among the new shows with blacks in recurrent, supporting roles were Make Room for Granddaddy (Roosevelt Grier), The Storefront Lawyers (Royce Wallace), Matt Lincoln, and the Mary Tyler Moore Show (John Amos).

Significantly, many of the new series that season cast blacks as stars or co-stars. As well as in comedies like Barefoot in the Park and the Flip Wilson Show, Afro-Americans were cast in a variety of dramatic series. On The Silent Force, Percy Rodrigues played federal agent Jason Hart who, with two other investigators, formed a secret undercover force fighting organized crime. In the Revolutionary War series, The Young Rebels, Louis Gossett, Jr. was Isak Poole, a member of the Yankee Doodle Society fighting in 1777 for freedom and against the British "system."

In a more modern vein, on The Young Lawyers Judy Pace played a law student who, with other young legal idealists, formed a neighborhood law office in Boston. Blacks even entered the medical-drama genre as Hal Frederick appeared as one of five young interns featured on The Interns.

What is striking about these series, however, is that none lasted longer than its premiere season. All the new shows featuring blacks, plus Julia and the Bill Cosby Show, were canceled by the fall of 1971. Add to that figure the cancellation after thirteen weeks of the Pearl Bailey Show, a musical variety series which appeared on ABC's second season in January 1971. By fall 1971, there were only ten prime-time network series with blacks—only three of them new shows. Indicative of the future direction for blacks in American TV, these new series were comedies: The Funny Side which included John Amos and Teresa Graves, The Partners which co-starred Rupert Crosse, and All in the Family, a show which had actually premiered the previous January on the CBS second season.

Along with relevancy, black actors and social issues left television by the end of 1971. As the nation slipped easily into a mood of
self-delusion, encouraged by the politics of the time, soul-searching disappeared from network video. Tired of demonstrations and confrontation, viewers preferred seeing "the good things" about America. Ratings figures told the networks that most citizens wanted escape instead of education, support in place of questioning.

An example of the series that could not survive the change in popular mood was *The Storefront Lawyers*. It concerned the adventures in the ghetto of three young white liberals, a sort of legal Mod Squad, who abandoned lucrative law practices in order to help oppressed minorities. A typical case developed in an episode entitled "The Emancipation of Bessie Gray," broadcast on October 14, 1970. Here the trio of youthful idealists came to the rescue of an elderly black woman, played by Claudia McNeil, who was being swindled by an unscrupulous job trainer. McNeil's character, Bessie Gray, had spent her hard-earned money—earned scrubbing floors—in a training program that promised her a career in nursing when she graduated. Having completed the course, however, Gray received no nursing job, and found her complaints ignored by the man in charge of the training school. Reminiscent of the human-interest dramas of the 1960s, *The Storefront Lawyers* suffered a quick demise. Most American TV watchers seemed disgusted, indifferent, or bored with stories about underprivileged blacks being rescued by do-good white liberals. The series was clearly a product of the civil rights era. In this new decade it was not the type of programming enough viewers wanted.

As sensitive social melodramas dwindled, so too did the liberal rhetoric which exemplified much of the Golden Age. Hopeful, egalitarian, and often naive, such rhetoric reiterated the goals of the civil rights movement and expressed the notion of social assimilation and racial pride. One of the last statements of this kind was encountered in an episode of *The Young Rebels* entitled "Unbroken Chains" and aired December 27, 1970. The story concerned the moral and physical courage of a runaway slave (played by Paul Winfield), who helped the young Revolutionary War heroes of the
series to destroy a British munitions supply. Not only did the program feature Winfield as the slave, Pompey, but with Louis Gossett, Jr. as Isak Poole, a series regular, and with its obvious emphasis upon youth and rebellion, the program was clearly tied to the political attitudes of the previous decade.

In its closing scene, this show made its moral statement. Pleased that Pompey had helped them blow up the enemy arsenal, the three young heroes and their mentor, the Marquis de Lafayette, offered Pompey his legal freedom and the right to a last name. The following conversation ensued.

POMPEY: Thank you, General. But if it's all the same to you, I think I'll keep my one name. Just to remind me that no men are free unless all men are free.

POOLE: Pompey, can you read?

POMPEY: Can I read? What you want me to read, boy?

POOLE: A poem. Henry gave it to me when I was feeling kinda like you do right now.

POMPEY: *(reading)*

\begin{verbatim}
Oh, come the time
And haste the day,
When man shall man no longer crush;
When reason shall enforce her sway,
Nor these fair . . .
\end{verbatim}

POOLE: *(completing poem)*

\begin{verbatim}
Nor these fair regions raise our blush,
Where still the African complains,
And mourns his yet unbroken chains.
\end{verbatim}

POMPEY: Yeah. You write this, Henry?


LAFAYETTE: I thought it sounded French.

POMPEY: Sounded black to me. *(laughs)*

REBEL: Sounds like maybe some day it won't matter. *(music swells)*

ANNOUNCER: In 1777 a slave named Pompey was instrumental in capturing the key British fort at Stony Point, New York, giving the Americans control of the Hudson River. He was only one of over 10,000 black men who served gallantly in the Revolutionary Army.


Not only did this type of optimism perish in the 1970s, but one week after "Unbroken Chains" was broadcast, The Young Rebels was seen for the last time—canceled after thirteen poorly viewed weeks. It is interesting, however, that while Afro-American roles in prime-time network series were diminishing or becoming stereotyped in comedy, black actors were making mature dramatic contributions in two distinct types of TV programming. On daytime soap operas blacks made important, if limited, advances into a chronically segregated genre. In made-for-television motion pictures, too, Afro-American actors demonstrated powerful acting skills, often in remarkably mature characterizations and plots.

Despite the human and familiar quality of daytime serials—the so-called soap operas—these series had never been hospitable to black actors. During the three decades in which the soaps thrived on radio, few blacks appeared as regulars. During World War II, black characters were written temporarily into at least two series, Our Gal Sunday and The Romance of Helen Trent. But since listeners were unaware of the race of those providing the voices, most black roles were enacted by white actors.

The record of soap operas in TV had been similar. During the mid-1960s, however, as producers, networks, and sponsors encountered criticism from civil rights groups, there were attempts made to introduce black parts in established series. Blacks were used, for example, as background walk-ons, or were seen seated in restaurants or passing through hospital corridors. By the end of the decade, moreover, full-fledged Afro-American roles were being written into several soaps.

When it premiered in October 1968, Agnes Nixon's series, One Life to Live, focused on the problems facing a first-generation American family trying to achieve social success. This orientation allowed the program to be concerned with relevant issues. On occasion this concern involved black characters. But there were limitations to soap-opera involvement with blacks. When the light-skinned actress Ellen Holly played Carla Gray—a black secretary in love with her white boss—viewer distress was strongly regis-
tered with the producers and network. Although the writers caused Carla’s mother (played by Lillian Hayman) to condemn the interracial romance, one Texas station canceled the series, and several southern stations threatened similar action. Carla eventually recognized the “error of her ways”—and the importance of viewer protests—and married a black police lieutenant, Ed Hall (played by Al Freeman, Jr.). Into the 1980s, moreover, the Halls remained the only black family in Llanview, the mythical Philadelphia suburb in which One Life to Live was set.

The nature of the soap opera has mitigated against any kind of meaningful black participation. Concerned as they became in the 1970s with romance, love affairs, and great amounts of personal remorse—what Time magazine concisely termed, “sex and suffering in the afternoon”21—soap writers and producers have been sensitive to viewers protesting scenes of black intimacy, especially when such romance is interracial and leads to marriage. Although mindful of the result when One Life to Live treated love between a black woman and a white man, the writer introduced a similar theme in the mid-1970s on Days of Our Lives. Here Valerie Grant (played by Tina Andrews), daughter of the only black family in town, almost married a white character. Before the engagement was dissolved, the plot caused considerable torment to the families involved, especially to Valerie’s father (played by Lawrence Cook), mother (Ketty Lester), and brother (Hassan Shaheed). The romance lasted a year and involved four on-screen kisses between the couple. Fan mail hotly protested the arrangement, however, and four days before wedding vows were to have been exchanged, the engagement was broken. In mid-1977, Valerie ended the love affair, left her ex-fiance, and moved to Washington, D.C. to accept a medical scholarship at Howard University. She also left Days of Our Lives.

Despite such limitations, several important black actors have had short-lived roles in soap operas. In the late 1960s, for example, James Earl Jones and Cicely Tyson (and later Ruby Dee) played Dr. Jim Frazier and his wife, Martha, on The Guiding
Light. Jones also portrayed Dr. Jerry Turner on *As the World Turns*. Billy Dee Williams played another soap opera professional, an assistant district attorney, in the late 1960s on *Another World*.

There have been other blacks in significant roles in soap operas. During the 1970s Palmer Deane played Dr. Hank Iverson on *The Doctors*. His romantic interest has been portrayed by Marie Thomas. John Danelle has appeared as Dr. Franklin Grant, with Lisa Wilkinson cast as his wife, Nancy, on *All My Children*. Herb Davis has been a regular on *One Life to Live* and *The Edge of Night*. In the latter series he played a police lieutenant, Luke Chandler. Laurence Fishburne on *One Life to Live* portrayed Joshua West, the foster son of Ed and Carla Hall. Before he joined the cast of *The Jeffersons*, Damon Evans appeared on *Love of Life*. The same was true for Ja’net DuBois who played Loretta Allen on *Love of Life* before becoming Willona Woods on the prime-time series, *Good Times*.

These actors notwithstanding, the use of blacks in soap operas has been minuscule. The genre has been popular in television for more than thirty years. There have been scores of soaps, usually broadcast five days a week, with no repeats, and some running as long as ninety minutes. In the process, thousands of actors have appeared in major and secondary parts. Yet no more than a few dozen have been Afro-American. Television soap operas are lily-white dramatic vehicles, intended to sell household products to an audience that is primarily female. No matter that about one-quarter of the viewers are black, the complaints of bigoted fans and the reluctance of local stations to carry a regular diet of strong black characterization have helped to keep soap operas virtually segregated.

There has been no attempt, moreover, to deny the obvious. According to one student of the genre, “Inattention to poverty subcultures and to black Americans may be explained by the fact that the soaps are, and claim to be, a reflection of white middle-class America.” As such, racial issues are rarely discussed or inte-
grated into story lines. In fact, soap opera blacks are usually so middle class and assimilated, their problems are not those of average Afro-Americans. This was a situation which in part caused Ellen Holly to complain bitterly to an interviewer in 1972:

Media are like mirrors... You look in a mirror and you see what you are. And for generations the black man has looked into the mirror of this society, and been thrown back an image so absolutely grotesque that it's a wonder he could even get together even the minimum ego necessary to survive.15

Network television responded slowly and inadequately to racial segregation in daytime serial drama. One remedy suggested, but continually rejected, was the black soap opera. As early as 1964, executives at ABC turned down such a project intended primarily for Afro-American audiences. Throughout that decade and into the 1970s, black soaps with titles like A Day in the Life and Between Horizons were proposed to the networks, but were never accepted and put into production.

The closest network TV came to airing a black soap opera occurred with Bird of an Iron Feather, a daily prime-time serial which appeared on National Educational Television (NET) in early 1970. The program was funded by the Ford Foundation and was written by Richard Durham, the same writer whose radio series Destination Freedom two decades earlier was a milestone in the history of black broadcasting. Bird of an Iron Feather, however, received neither critical praise nor sizable viewership before being canceled quickly.

More than a decade later, network TV remains adverse to black soap opera. Except for two series focusing on Afro-American life—Righteous Apples and Up and Coming, both on PBS in the 1980s—television continues to produce serialized dramas that are dominated by white actors and offer few opportunities for black participation.

Similar to their treatment in dramatic series and soap operas, blacks have had limited involvement in made-for-TV movies. Yet
on many occasions Afro-American performance and characterization have been significant in such films. In fact, during and after the 1970s, some of the most memorable depictions of blacks in American popular culture occurred in such video films.

In the 1970s the Hollywood movie industry itself discovered the black consumer market. Much of the energy of the film studios went into making so-called "blaxploitation" films. These were usually low-budget, action features having a strong emphasis on violence, sex, antiwhite hostility, and hatred of the police and bearing such flamboyant titles as *Willie Dynamite*, *Boss Nigger*, *Black Caesar*, *Hell Up in Harlem*, *Super Spook*, *Soul Soldier*, *Blacula*, and *Blackenstein*. By 1975 *Variety* counted almost two hundred such black-oriented feature films—more than half released in 1973.²⁴

Blaxploitation films were filled with images of evil whites being bested, beaten, or blown away by cool blacks. Romantic escapades abounded with women of all nationalities, helping to earn the macho heroes of such movies the label "superstud." These were films of revenge and catharsis. They allowed audiences to strike vicarious blows against white oppression. They also exploited for profit the legitimate anger and frustration of Afro-Americans trapped in the inner city by prejudice, poverty, ignorance, and fear. And they did this with handsome, muscular, clever black champions whose taste for the good life was enticingly displayed. Further, this was not a pattern that was exclusively masculine. Many blaxploitation films—with titles like *Savage Sisters*, *Coffy*, *Sheba Baby*, *Cleopatra Jones*, and *Foxy Brown*—proffered sexy female versions of this emergent "super-spade" stereotype.

Importantly, in most cases these movies were the product of white producers and writers, white film studios, and white-controlled distribution companies. Although Afro-American actors were seen on the screen, from concept to realization blacks had little to do with the development of the vast majority of blaxploitation films.
Only on occasion did Hollywood abandon the sex-and-violence motif and produce serious, nonstereotyped theatrical films with black themes. When they did so, in movies like *Sounder*, *The Learning Tree*, *Five on the Black Hand Side*, *Black Girl*, *Lady Sings the Blues*, and *The River Niger*, the studios proved that sensitive insight could be gained into the Afro-American social experience. Few of these more sophisticated motion pictures, however, fared as well at the box office as the blaxploitation films.

As far as television was concerned, there was little place for the sex-and-violence black film. With its traditional aversion to profanity, brutal violence, and sexual explicitness, TV did not present a ready market for such films. If black-oriented films were to be shown on television, they would have to be the more serious theatrical releases or those made especially for the medium. Out of these circumstances would come several powerful motion pictures. Furthermore, because of the small pool of black actors available for such films, the talent in these made-for-TV movies became as recognizable as it was skilled. Two of the most effective actors have been Cicely Tyson and Paul Winfield.

Tyson frequently appeared in movies based on black history. In *A Woman Called Moses*, broadcast on NBC on December 11 and 12, 1978, she portrayed Harriet Tubman, the nineteenth-century organizer who helped liberate hundreds of runaway slaves. In February 1978, Tyson was featured as Coretta Scott King—opposite Winfield as Martin Luther King, Jr.—in the NBC miniseries, *King*. And in *Wilma*, telecast December 19, 1977 on NBC, she portrayed the renowned college and Olympic track star, Wilma Rudolph.

Tyson's most acclaimed role, however, was in *The Autobiography of Miss Jane Pittman*, an Emmy-winning film broadcast January 31, 1974 on CBS. Here she played at all ages—from a young woman of 19 years to a 110-year-old—highlights in the life of an ex-slave who lived to see in 1962 the first modern steps toward racial equality and social dignity. Although her final gesture, to drink from a water fountain reserved for whites, was a small
action, the power Tyson brought to the role made Miss Jane Pittman’s act a symbol of racial victory over Jim Crow laws and chronic prejudice.

Winfield also appeared in several substantial made-for-TV motion pictures. As well as the leading role in the miniseries King, he played Roy Campanella in It’s Good to Be Alive. The film, broadcast on CBS on February 22, 1974, dealt with Campanella’s physical and emotional adjustment to paralysis following an automobile accident that ended his baseball career. In another introspective role, in Green Eyes aired January 3, 1977, Winfield portrayed an ex-soldier returning to Vietnam to find the child he fathered while stationed there.

While biographical movies have focused widely on historical blacks—from political achievers to criminals—the favorite theme has been black sports figures. In addition to Wilma and It’s Good to Be Alive, there were other significant sports motion pictures made for television in the 1970s. In Brian’s Song (aired November 30, 1971 on ABC), Billy Dee Williams portrayed Gayle Sayers, the Chicago Bears halfback who agonized as his white friend and teammate, Brian Piccolo, died young of cancer. In Ring of Passion (February 4, 1978 on NBC), Bernie Casey played boxer Joe Louis in a drama about Louis’ two heavyweight bouts in the 1930s with the German champion, Max Schmeling. And in One in a Million: The Ron LeFlore Story (September 26, 1978 on CBS), LeVar Burton portrayed a young street punk who rose from a life of petty crime to become a star of major league baseball.

In the decade, several notable made-for-TV movies also placed blacks in fictional human and romantic predicaments. Roll of Thunder, Hear My Cry, which aired in June 1978, was an ABC miniseries dealing with a closely knit black family facing racial animosity in Mississippi during the Great Depression. It featured Janet MacLachlan and Robert Christian, but its principal player was Claudia McNeil, who portrayed a strong-willed grandmother whose personality and sacrifices helped maintain her family in a time of great stress.
This theme of love within a black family was found also in *Ceremonies in Dark Old Men*, an ABC presentation on January 6, 1975. Starring Douglas Turner Ward, Robert Hooks, and Godfrey Cambridge, the play focused on a Harlem family striving to achieve success and social freedom.

In *Just an Old Sweet Song*, the noted black writer and director Melvin Van Peebles wrote of the differences for Afro-Americans between life in the South and North. The film was broadcast September 14, 1976 on CBS, and featured Cicely Tyson and Robert Hooks as the heads of a black family which left its urban northern existence to return to its southern roots. Through his script, Van Peebles argued that for contemporary blacks, life in the South was preferable to the indignity of ghetto life in the North. *Just an Old Sweet Song*, as a racial drama and a human story concerning the search for purpose and self-definition, was epitomized in Robert Hooks' statement at the end of the film:

This New South probably ain't what it's cracked up to be, but it's better than it used to be. Everything we wanted up there is down here. I still hate the South. The South is up North in them ghettos, but the program is still the same—ripping off the black man.

In the mature world created occasionally by made-for-TV films, on more than one occasion interracial love has been treated. One of the most controversial films of the decade was *My Sweet Charlie*, seen on NBC on January 20, 1970. The story concerned Patty Duke as a southern white woman who fell in love with a black intellectual from the North played by Al Freeman, Jr. Before it was aired, the film precipitated a national debate over its propriety. More than anything else, this controversy created viewer interest. The film was the top program in the weekly Nielsen ratings, as nearly half the national TV audience tuned in to see in this unique motion picture a depiction of something seen daily in real life.

Less sensational in its reception, but equally sensitive, was *Wedding Band* on ABC on April 24, 1974. The interracial love in this feature was between an older couple. Ruby Dee and J. D. Can-
non played the principals in a ten-year love affair in rural South Carolina in 1918. Written as a theatrical drama by Alice Childress, *Wedding Band* was produced as a play in the *ABC Theater* showcase. Despite its preemption by several affiliates, the drama was one of the more memorable programs of the season, *Variety* terming it, "an unusual combination of courage and taste in the welter of the prime-time pulp grind."  

Compared to its predecessors, *A Killing Affair* made the theme of interracial love more passionate and explicit. Aired on CBS on September 21, 1977, it starred O. J. Simpson and Elizabeth Montgomery as police officers who fell in love while working together on an investigation. The plot was complicated by Simpson's wife, played by Rosalind Cash. Included in the movie were embracing, kissing, and several bedroom scenes. According to one writer for *Jet* magazine, "Black skin lovingly pressed against white skin on television screens is a delicacy rarely seen."  

Despite their popularity, serious dramas starring blacks were rare in the 1970s. Certainly in made-for-TV features there were quality stories and performances. But these films represented a small proportion of the movies—either theatrical releases or made-for-TV films—shown on television. Counting reruns, for example, during the 1976–1977 season there were 398 feature films shown on TV. This figure included 168 made-for-TV films. While some of those movies provided secondary roles for black actors, only a small portion placed Afro-Americans in starring roles. With the demise, moreover, of dramatic series featuring blacks, access to video was evaporating for serious minority actors. It is interesting, however, that at exactly the time Afro-Americans were disappearing from dramatic programming, they gained unprecedented exposure in prime-time situation comedy. It was a curious synthesis allowing blacks more visibility, but channeling them into more frivolous and traditionally acceptable molds.  

As the civil rights movement collapsed and mature black representation on television dwindled, Afro-American comedians ex-
experienced overwhelming success. To a degree, this can be explained as a reflection of the general switch by viewers in the early 1970s from dramas to situation comedies. Eschewing relevancy, violence, and dramatic confrontation, Americans through the ratings showed that they wanted more fun and diversion in their TV shows. This preference for comedy, furthermore, eventually became dominant in prime-time video. During the 1974–1975 season, the top seven programs were half-hour situation comedies.

As far as black characterization was concerned, however, there was a striking difference in this new wave of hilarity. No longer were black stars cast as assimilated, middle-class achievers offering as many moral lessons as laughs. With Diahann Carroll and Bill Cosby in decline, the black comedians of the 1970s were brassy, sassy, and obvious. More importantly, the new black TV comics were self-deprecating, continually joking about being black, and bringing to bear on themselves many of the stereotyped prejudices long considered racist. It now became riotously funny to joke about skin color, hair texture, race riots, poverty, welfare checks, and minority social customs. Inhibitions disappeared, and writers and comedians seemed to ignore racial sensitivities. It now became a mark of fashionable outspokenness to deliver jokes based on old bigoted slurs. In bringing this new type of humor to popular video acceptance, the transitional series was the *Flip Wilson Show*.

Where Nat King Cole, Sammy Davis, Jr., and Leslie Uggams had failed, Flip Wilson triumphed. He was the first black to host a successful variety program. In its four years on NBC, 1970–1974, his program was highly popular and critically well received. During its first two seasons, the *Flip Wilson Show* was rated second only to *All in the Family*. The following year it was rated twelfth. In 1971, moreover, the program won an Emmy as the outstanding variety series of the year.

The freshness of the *Flip Wilson Show* lay in its unique blending of the traditional and the new. It contained many qualities found in the popular comedy-variety series hosted by white entertainers.
The program was headed by Flip Wilson, a versatile and engaging talent whose comic delivery was accompanied by great likability. In his story-telling humor, Wilson was described by one critic as "effervescent, contagiously irreverent—and funny." He also played host to many of the leading white entertainers of the day, among them Lucille Ball, Carol Channing, Johnny Cash, Roy Clark, and George Gobel. Importantly, too, as well as welcoming leading black personalities like Bill Cosby, Muhammad Ali, Lena Horne, and Sammy Davis, Jr., Wilson gave TV exposure to many black stars unfamiliar to most American viewers. Included in this latter group were B. B. King, Slappy White, the Modern Jazz Quartet, Melba Moore, the Staples Singers, Mahalia Jackson, and Willie Tyler and Lester.

The strength of the program, however, was Wilson’s own comedy. He was most celebrated for the bold black characters he developed. Unlike the bourgeois images offered in situation comedies in the late 1960s, his Geraldine Jones, Reverend Leroy, Sonny the janitor, Freddy Johnson the playboy, and Charley the chef were drawn from inner-city stereotypes. In his own assertive way, Wilson reached back to an earlier time and revived many of the pejorative clichés associated with a less sensitive time in American history. Not since Amos ‘n’ Andy had television portrayed blacks in such stereotypic ways.

Wilson’s characters were not timid. His female personality, Geraldine Jones, could shriek: "The devil made me do it," as well as Sapphire Stevens, Beulah, or any of the other video mammies of the past. The exaggerated, rhythmic roll of his Reverend Leroy, the effusive gospel-shouting pastor of the Church of What’s Happening Now, was a solid reminder of Johnny Lee’s nefarious and loquacious character on Amos ‘n’ Andy, the lawyer Algonguin J. Calhoun. And his other slick personalities were throwbacks to those demeaning models of black insincerity, incompetence, and libido.

At the time, Wilson’s supporters argued that his humor was drawn from black culture. Unlike earlier prejudicial series, it was
felt this brand of comedy was not the product of whites interpreting the black experience in minstrelsy types. That Wilson was "authentic" seemed to fit the mood of the time when black pride was swelling, and patterns of equal opportunity appeared to be opening the American economy to black would-be achievers.

Drawn from Afro-American life or not, the *Flip Wilson Show* helped reinstate the racist joke in television. When delivered to a black audience in a night club or inner city theater, perhaps the exaggerated traits of his black characters and the themes of his humorous stories might well be appreciated as satire and not racial derision. But on national TV, with a predominately white audience in a time of changing social priorities, Wilson’s humor looked and sounded antiblack. Like the endmen and coon comedians of the minstrel show, Wilson always brought the humor to bear upon himself, making himself the butt of the joke because of his blackness. He jested about his gaudy sport coat—"my riot jacket, you saw my riot jacket, the one I got in Buffalo out of the window." Or he spoke of a black man he met in Detroit during that city’s race riot: "In fact, he walked up to me and said, ‘Take a television, they ain’t gonna miss it.’"

Dressed in women’s clothing and speaking in a falsetto voice, Wilson played Geraldine as pushy and impulsive, a black female without tact or willpower. Part hussy and part wheeler-dealer, Geraldine seemed to confirm for many bigots long-held prejudices about black women.

What Geraldine did to black femininity, Reverend Leroy did to Afro-American religion, its practitioners, and leaders. Leroy delivered verbose, self-serving sermons on topics such as "On the Creation of the Hilton Hotel" and why God wanted him to drive a Cadillac. And Leroy could become animated when he felt black rights were being abused. This happened once when he mistook a gorilla in a zoo cage for a fellow black man being held in jail and forced to eat from a trough on the floor. Lecherous and dishonest, Leroy was no exemplary black parson.
Certainly Wilson was no racist. But his humor was too familiar, too trusting, and too soon. In a harmoniously integrated America, such comedy would have been less offensive. But in the early 1970s, the nation was turning away from values of brotherhood and toward self-interest and renewed prejudices. This was no longer the age of Julia Baker and Chet Kincaid; this was the time of Don Rickles and his insult humor that baited instead of appreciating ethnic difference.

There were other prominent black comedians at the time. And frequently they, too, exploited race in their routines. But in humorists like Godfrey Cambridge and Dick Gregory, racial comedy was used to make stabbing, ironic statements about discrimination and social injustice. Flip Wilson, however, avoided politics. He did not use his humor to strike the conscience of the nation. Typically, one of his jokes dealt with a black couple on welfare receiving a robot as part of its government handout in 1984. Lacking a note of the sardonic or moral, the joke simply suggested that years into the future blacks would still be receiving welfare—one racist stereotype confirmed! In the minds of many who had struggled in the 1960s to improve race relations, the *Flip Wilson Show* was at best a lost opportunity. At worst, it was an exploitation of white prejudice for the sake of the Nielsen ratings.

Television critic Les Brown has suggested that rather than satirize black culture, the *Flip Wilson Show* actually mocked it. And like the racist TV series of the 1950s, this type of comedy "fed, rather than dispelled, racial bigotry." Nevertheless, the enormous popularity of Wilson and his brand of hilarity was compelling. To others in the competitive television industry, Wilson's achievement was suggestive. Soon, video would be flooded with black comics joking about their racial ancestry and ethnic characteristics. Thanks to Wilson, Americans fell in love with racial comedy. And nowhere would the style be more perfected or prolific than in the programs created by Norman Lear and Bud Yorkin.
NORMAN LEAR, BUD YORKIN, AND THE FLOURISHING OF RACIAL HUMOR

If the wide approval of Flip Wilson and his self-deprecating style of comedy suggested the acceptability of exploitive racial humor, the programs created by Norman Lear and Bud Yorkin turned this suggestion into an industry. In so doing, the "new" comedy they produced in the 1970s radically altered the boundaries of permissible expression in American television.

Working together as Tandem Productions, Lear and Yorkin developed several successful series which included All in the Family, Maude, and Sanford and Son. Even in their own separate production companies each continued to enjoy prosperity. Lear and his T.A.T. Communications developed such acclaimed situation comedies as Good Times, The Jeffersons, One Day at a Time, and Mary Hartman, Mary Hartman. Yorkin, as part of TOY Productions, produced What's Happening!!, Carter Country, and One in a Million.

The comedic formula developed by Lear and Yorkin was a microcosm of that historic synthesis achieved during the 1970s with regard to blacks in TV. On the one hand, there was exposure of black talent—more roles, more employment, more black-centered programs than in the past. Yet, there was an almost total relegation of blacks to comedies. Just as Afro-Americans had been playing the clowns and buffoons of American entertainment since the early nineteenth century, they appeared in the 1970s as the latest embodiment of a format traditionally acceptable to white audiences.

Whatever quantitative achievement there was for blacks in such programming, it was compromised by the quality of humor in the shows. By content and characterization, Afro-Americans in television comedy entered what might be called the Age of the New Minstrelsy. Here was the coon character, that rascalish, loud,
Fat Albert and his comic friends changed the focus of Saturday morning programming for children. Created by Bill Cosby and based upon recollections of his childhood in Philadelphia, Fat Albert and the Cosby Kids became a vehicle for teaching social and moral lessons to youngsters. (Courtesy of Bill Cosby and Filmation Studios)
Ellen Holly has appeared on *One Life to Live* since the late 1960s. As Carla Gray—later Carla Hall—she has played one of the longest-running continuous black characters in TV history. (*Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture*)

As actor, writer, and director, Melvin Van Peebles has made an indelible mark on American popular culture. His *Sophisticated Gents* miniseries on NBC in 1981 broke many racial taboos. (*Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture*)
Television has not been hospitable to dramatic series starring black actors. As detective Captain Woody Paris, James Earl Jones in his *Paris* series in 1979 was one of the latest victims of this failure in the TV industry. (Courtesy of MTM Enterprises and Lucy Kroll Agency)

For more than a decade Tony Brown has probed aspects of the black experience usually overlooked by the commercial networks. Whether as an independently syndicated series or as a regular PBS offering, his *Tony Brown's Journal* has made TV relevant to the problems and accomplishments of Afro-Americans. (Courtesy of Tony Brown Productions)
As the author of *Roots*, an autobiography of his own family, Alex Haley helped shape the *Roots* phenomenon—two overwhelmingly popular mini-series which traced the history of racism in the United States and offered the illusion of great dramatic opportunity for black actors in TV in the future. (Photo by Alex Gotfryd. Courtesy of Doubleday and Company, Inc.)

Louis Gossett, Jr. has been one of the more visible black actors in television. Since the 1970s he has been featured in regular series and has starred in several made-for-TV movies. Gossett won an Emmy in 1977 for his role as Fiddler in *Roots*. (Courtesy of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture)

Yaphet Kotto (left) and Bernie Casey starred in the story of a slave revolt in nineteenth-century South Carolina when PBS aired *A House Divided: Denmark Vesey's Rebellion* in 1982. (Photo by William Struhs. Courtesy of WPBT/Miami)
A production of WTTW in Chicago, the PBS series *SoundStage* for several years has provided a national outlet for black musicians such as George Benson. *(Photo by Jon Randolph. Courtesy of WTTW/Chicago)*

Maya Angelou has contributed to American TV as a writer and actress. The personal portrait of her presented in 1982 on the PBS series *Creativity with Bill Moyers* was a sensitive documentary which probed her creative genius as it emerged from formative years in rural, segregated Arkansas. *(Photo by Don Perdue. Courtesy of WNET/New York)*
One of the most accomplished broadcast journalists in network television, Ed Bradley emerged on CBS as a correspondent covering the Vietnam War. He has become anchorman on the CBS weekend news, a producer of network documentaries, and co-editor of 60 Minutes. (Courtesy of CBS News)

As a co-anchor since 1978 on the ABC network’s weekday news program, World News Tonight, Max Robinson has reached the highest on-camera rank in television news. The three networks did not employ black reporters until ABC hired the first black newsman in 1962. (Courtesy of ABC News)
Despite limited access to careers in TV news, black reporters and anchors such as Renee Poussaint of WJLA-TV in Washington, D.C., have made significant contributions to broadcast journalism on the local, non-network level. (Courtesy of WJLA-TV/Washington, D.C.)

Paul Winfield has appeared in many TV productions as guest, featured star, and narrator. In the PBS special Only the Ball Was White, Winfield narrated a documentary about the great black baseball players who were condemned to all-black minor league teams because major league baseball refused until 1947 to employ Afro-Americans. (Photo by Jon Randolph. Courtesy of WTTW/Chicago)
Successful as a local TV personality for more than a decade, Gil Noble (right) in New York City has used his urbane, Emmy-winning discussion program to probe varied aspects of black achievement and concern. Here Noble speaks with boxing champion Sugar Ray Leonard. (Courtesy WABC-TV/New York City.)

In his documentary, *Men of Bronze*, William Miles introduced Americans to the 369th Regiment, an all-black army unit in World War I. The film was first aired on PBS in 1977. (Courtesy of William Miles)
pushy, and conniving stereotype, strongly achieved in types such as Sherman Hemsley's boisterous George Jefferson, Jimmy Walker's grinning J. J. Evans on *Good Times*, and Whitman Mayo's lethargic Grady Wilson on *Sanford and Son* and *Grady*. Here, too, was the resurrection of the loud-but-lovable mammy, its roundest modern embodiments being Isabel Sanford's shrill Louise Jefferson, LaWanda Page's overbearing, purse-swinging Aunt Esther on *Sanford and Son*, and Marla Gibbs' caustic character, Florence, the wisecracking maid on *The Jeffersons*, and later in her own short-lived show, *Checking In*.

Unlike *Amos 'n' Andy* and *Beulah*, the comedies of the New Minstrelsy presented more than simple clichés. The element which, in the minds of Lear and Yorkin, redeemed their use of questionable images was the involvement of their series with pressing social issues. Unlike the facile plots of earlier situation comedies featuring blacks, the story lines in Lear-Yorkin shows treated controversial national concerns. Where in American television had situation comedy ever handled such problems as venereal disease, abortion, alcoholism, rape, mastectomy, and black bigotry toward whites? Yet, these were themes on episodes of *Good Times*, *Maude*, *All in the Family*, and *Sanford and Son*. Politics, poverty, welfare, black ambitions, sexual conduct, and sexual preference were projected now as legitimate topics for jokes and plots. It was a bold gesture by the two producers. And its success restructured the content of situation comedy and redefined the medium as a vehicle of family entertainment. In the words of critic Michael Arlen, the works of Lear and Yorkin were "our first true 'media' dramas," presentations which

are probably new in that they seem to depend mainly neither on jokes nor on funny stories, nor even on family—although they often give the appearance of depending on all three—but on the new contemporary consciousness of "'media.'" By this I mean that the base of Lear's programs is not so much the family and its problems as it is the commonality that seems to have been created largely by television itself, with its outpouring of casual worldliness and its
ability to propel—as with some giant, invisible electric-utility feeder line—vast, undifferentiated quantities of topical information, problem discussion, psychiatric terminology, and surface political and social involvement through the national bloodstream.29

The program which launched the Lear-Yorkin revolution in video comedy was All in the Family. It premiered on CBS on January 12, 1971. Perceiving the time to be ripe for confrontational humor, the two producers adapted to American realities a popular British TV series about a bigoted cockney. For the first time on network television, Americans found their comedy laced with words like “spic,” “dago,” “coon,” “jig,” “jungle bunny,” “spade,” “Hebe,” “Polack,” and “Chink,” all spewing from the mouth of a “lovable” central character, Archie Bunker.

It is interesting, however, that All in the Family avoided some racial epithets—specifically “kike,” “sheeny,” and “nigger.” Lear explained this omission as a conscious policy, since he felt those words were “from another decade,” and were “words that connote real hatred, and Archie . . . is not motivated by hatred but by fear.”30 Once, however, Lear broke his own rule and caused Archie to use the word “nigger.” In an episode entitled, “Two’s a Crowd,” aired February 5, 1978, an inebriated Archie explained to Mike—while the two were locked overnight in a bar storeroom—how as a boy a black youngster had beaten him up because he called the black child a nigger. Sensitively recalling his painful childhood, Archie explained that, “That’s what all them people was called in them days. I mean everybody we knew called them people ‘niggers.’ That’s all my old man ever called them, there.”

As well as being prejudiced, Bunker espoused every conservative-to-reactionary political opinion of the decade. He favored escalating the Vietnam War, segregated housing, the death penalty, and sexually and racially restricted private clubs. Bunker also opposed handgun registration, homosexual rights, free medical clinics, women’s liberation, the sexual revolution, abortion,
and busing for purposes of school integration. Lear argued that these views were effectively offset by the liberal values of Archie’s son-in-law, Mike ‘‘Meathead’’ Stivic. In Lear’s words, ‘‘Mike is always the one who is making sense. Archie at best will work out some kind of convoluted logic to make a point. But it’s always foolish.’’ Nevertheless, the lovable quality of Bunker’s personality seemed often to overshadow the anger and irrationality in his postures. Although Archie’s creators did not share his political values, ‘‘Archie Bunker for President’’ bumper stickers and political buttons in 1972 and 1976 indicated that many viewers identified with his opinions. And the death of liberal politics in the early 1980s indicates that rather than a obstreperous purveyor of intemperate ideas, Archie Bunker was a diviner of the political temper and a harbinger of future politics in the United States.

*All in the Family* was controversial before it was ever broadcast. Although it was developed for ABC, executives at that network shied away from accepting the series. At CBS, officials insisted on script changes in the premier broadcast. Even then, the network prefaced the first several programs with a statement from management assuring viewers that the show was not intentionally demeaning and was, instead, responsibly presented. CBS for several seasons would not broadcast the program before 9 P.M. (EST) because it deemed the show ‘‘adult.’’

In April 1972, the *Philadelphia Inquirer* asked readers if Bunker reflected the thinking of the average American blue-collar worker. More than 61 percent felt that he did. And the opinions regarding his character reflected the spectrum of intense feelings sparked by most Lear and Yorkin characters. Typical comments of readers ranged from ‘‘He expresses the opinions of all whites,’’ and ‘‘I am prejudiced and proud of it, and I don’t know anyone who acts like him,’’ to ‘‘He is crude and should be taken off the air,’’ and he is like most whites ‘‘except that when colored folks move next door, he doesn’t move.’’

Archie Bunker was the first racial bigot to be taken to the collective heart of mass America. And by mid-1973 with the commer-
cial rate of $120,000 per minute on *All in the Family*, he was also the most expensive racist on TV. Himself a stereotype of blue-collar social and political values, Bunker trumpeted all the derisive epithets whites have chronically used to label the black minority. He described how white missionaries Christianized the Africans, having “dragged them outta the trees and right down to the river.” He marveled at how black religion had copied white Western faith, amazed at “the way you people worked yourselves up from the snakes and the beads and the wooden idols, right up to our God.” And when blacks threatened to move into his neighborhood in Queens, he argued, “What are they gonna do for recreation? There ain’t a crap game or a pool hall in the whole neighborhood—there ain’t a chicken shack or a rib joint within miles.”

Certainly, Lear and Yorkin meant comments such as these as satirical barbs at white racism. In a television special saluting the broadcasting of two hundred episodes of the series, Lear flatly concluded that, “However much we may laugh at the way Archie expresses his outrageous prejudices, and however lovable he may be in other respects, we are content that American people know very well that Archie Bunker, the bigot, is basically a horse’s ass!” But to many black families Archie Bunker was something else: the epitome of white racism. *All in the Family* was used in such families as a teaching tool whereby black children were introduced by their parents to white bigotry via Archie’s tirades.

The acclaim given to *All in the Family*, with its mixture of prejudice and topicality, led to many new series from Lear and Yorkin. Several of these programs profitably placed Afro-Americans in central roles. Included here were *Sanford and Son*, *Good Times*, *The Jeffersons*, *What’s Happening!!*, *Carter Country*, and *Diff’rent Strokes*. Not all black-centered series from Lear and Yorkin succeeded. Among their failures were *Grady*, *Sanford*, *The Sanford Arms*, *One in a Million*, *Checking In*, and *Hot 1 Baltimore*. The latter program in 1975 co-starréd Al Freeman, Jr. as a philosophi-
The only black character living in a sleazy hotel filled with comedic prostitutes, a homosexual couple, a feeble-minded old man, an unemployed waitress, and other assorted types. The series, however, lasted only four months before being canceled.

Another unsuccessful Lear situation comedy was *All's Fair*. Although this politically oriented show featured white actor Richard Crenna as a United States senator, it also employed J. A. Preston as his black assistant, and Lee Chamberlain as Preston's girlfriend. The series, however, was one of the most poorly rated of the 1976–1977 season.

One Lear series, *Mr. Dugan*, was withdrawn in 1979 before CBS was able to televise even the premiere episode. This series concerned a black congressman, portrayed by Cleavon Little, whose characterization was so offensive that members of the Congressional Black Caucus advised Lear against airing the show. Lear later admitted that the series was withdrawn "because in the context of comedy it just wasn't happening with the kind of importance and dignity that the first black Congressman on TV should have."34

Many programs produced by Lear and/or Yorkin, however, were well received. Figure 3.1 illustrates the annual Nielsen rankings of their series since the emergence of *All in the Family*.35

---

**Fig. 3.1.**

Annual Ratings and Overall Ranks per A. C. Nielsen of Network Series Produced by Norman Lear and/or Bud Yorkin.

1970–1971
All in the Family 18.9 (#34)

1971–1972
All in the Family 33.4 (#1)
Sanford and Son 25.2 (#6)
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1972–1973
All in the Family 33.1 (#1)
Sanford and Son 27.2 (#2)
Maude 24.6 (#4)

1973–1974
All in the Family 31.2 (#1)
Sanford and Son 27.6 (#3)
Maude 23.3 (#7)*

1974–1975
All in the Family 30.2 (#1)
Sanford and Son 29.8 (#2)
The Jeffersons 27.6 (#4)
Good Times 25.6 (#8)
Maude 24.9 (#9)
Hot l Baltimore 14.7 (#68)*

1975–1976
All in the Family 30.2 (#1)
Maude 25.0 (#4)
Sanford and Son 24.5 (#7)*
One Day at a Time 23.0 (#13)
The Jeffersons 21.5 (#21)
Good Times 21.0 (#24)
The Dumplings 13.6 (#76)*
Grady 12.2 (#88)

1976–1977
One Day at a Time 23.4 (#7)
All in the Family 22.6 (#11)*
The Jeffersons 21.0 (#22)
What’s Happening!! 20.9 (#23)*
Good Times 20.9 (#23)*
Maude 20.0 (#30)
Nancy Walker Show 17.6 (#54)*
All’s Fair 16.1 (#70)*
1977–1978
All in the Family 24.3 (#4)*
One Day at a Time 23.0 (#10)
Carter Country 19.6 (#29)*
What’s Happening!! 18.4 (#44)*
Good Times 17.4 (#53)
The Jeffersons 17.1 (#56)
Maude 14.7 (#78)
Sanford Arms 13.0 (#100)

1978–1979
All in the Family 24.9 (#10)
One Day at a Time 21.6 (#19)*
Diff’rent Strokes 19.9 (29)*
What’s Happening!! 19.8 (#31)
The Jeffersons 17.5 (#49)
Carter Country 14.9 (#78)
Good Times 14.4 (#84)

1979–1980
The Jeffersons 24.3 (#7)*
One Day at a Time 23.0 (#10)
Archie Bunker’s Place 22.9 (#11)
Diff’rent Strokes 20.3 (#27)
Palmerstown, U.S.A. 19.3 (#38)
Sanford 16.2 (#64)
One in a Million 15.4 (#71)*

The Jeffersons 23.4 (#7)
One Day at a Time 22.0 (#11)
Archie Bunker’s Place 21.4 (#14)
Diff’rent Strokes 20.5 (#26)
Facts of Life 19.1 (#30)
Checking In 17.6 (#40)*
Sanford 14.5 (#72)*
Palmerstown 14.0 (#75)
Afro-American viewers particularly enjoyed the Lear-Yorkin comedy product. In the summer of 1976, the Arbitron market-research organization surveyed blacks in the fifteen leading market areas. According to its findings, the top three programs with blacks were Sanford and Son, The Jeffersons, and Good Times. The list of leading shows suggests that urban detectives and situation comedies, genres which occasionally featured black actors, were preferred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sanford and Son</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Jeffersons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Starsky and Hutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The Bionic Man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kojak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Baretta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Welcome Back, Kotter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Doc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Happy Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Barney Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>All in the Family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all fairness to Lear and Yorkin, their comedic formula was not the product of reactionary writers and producers. Throughout the 1970s and early 1980s, for example, Lear was an outspoken champion of progressive political issues. Often his corporations
donated time and money to causes supporting racial and sexual justice. He also maintained an open door policy, in that he openly solicited scripts from black writers, hoping to discover and groom young black comedy writers for programs like Good Times and The Jeffersons. Similarly, Yorkin often used black writers and production personnel, especially on Sanford and Son. 37

In Paddy Chayefsky’s biting screenplay about the television industry, Network, the author chided TV series as being formulaic in their perennial search for “crusty but benign” central characters. To a great degree, that personality typified the black series heroes created after the triumph of All in the Family. Crusty but benign Fred Sanford, played by Redd Foxx, was an irascible Watts junkman trying to cajole or outfox everyone, even his responsible son Lamont, played by Demond Wilson.

George Jefferson was crusty but benign as well. Although Lance Morrow in Time magazine described Sherman Hemsley’s character more specifically—“entrepreneur, black bigot, a splenetic little whip of a man who bullies like a demented overseer, seldom speaks below a shriek and worships at the church of ostentation”—Jefferson shared his formulaic personality with Fred Sanford. The formula was found in uptown blacks like Grady Wilson, and downtown blacks like Gary Coleman’s impish Arnold on Diff’rent Strokes. It was there, too, in middle-class urban blacks like Mabel King’s “all-business” character, Mama Thomas, on What’s Happening!!

No Lear or Yorkin character, however, received as much criticism as J. J. Evans, the open-mouthed coon on Good Times. Played by comedian Jimmie Walker, James Evans, Jr. (J.J.), was an unemployed eldest son of a black family struggling to survive—and even succeed—in Chicago’s notorious Cabrini-Green housing project. Within this serious setting, Lear introduced J.J., again in the words of Lance Morrow, as “a bug-eyed young comic of the ghetto with spasms of supercool blowing through his nervous system, a kind of ElectraGlide strut.” 38 J.J. was cut from the same pattern as George “Kingfish” Stevens decades earlier. His stock
phrase, "Dy-no-mite!," was reminiscent of the Kingfish's "Holy Mack!" heard so often on Amos 'n' Andy. J.J. also displayed his large white eyeballs and tooth-filled Cheshire cat grin. A woman-izer, unintelligent, and always wise-cracking or mugging for a laugh, this endman of the New Minstrelsy was ultimately related to Mr. Tambo and Mr. Bones, those demeaning coons of another century.

As with most Lear and Yorkin situation comedies, however, the rank stereotypes of Good Times were blended with uncommon humanity and seriousness. The series presented a loving and commanding father played with restraint by John Amos. As quick with a hug for actions he approved as he was with a belt for those he condemned, James Evans, Sr., was a proud, strong and determined image of Afro-American fatherhood. Complementing him, moreover, was Esther Rolle's portrayal of Florida Evans, a loving mother respectful of her husband's familial prerogatives, a sympathetic parent but still not intimidated by her spouse's bluff manner.

The mix of racial exploitation and forceful role-modeling found in Lear-Yorkin comedy was a fragile one. An imbalance of the components could prove disastrous. Such was the case with Good Times. During its first seasons, 1974–1976, the series maintained its equilibrium and enjoyed enormous acceptance, reaching its highest rating as the eighth most popular program in the 1974–1975 season. But as scripts increasingly pandered to J.J.'s buffoonery, Amos and then Rolle left the series. Interestingly, J.J. then was employed as a commercial artist and became the leading character on the deteriorating program. With no strong family values to redeem it, however, what had been a highly successful series in its first years degenerated into a boisterous racial farce. It was canceled in 1979, ending the season ranked eighty-fourth.

As well as providing success for their own production companies, Lear and Yorkin offered a model for others in video comedy to emulate. Above all, the Lear-Yorkin formula brought relevancy back into television. Their programs clearly illustrated that when
placed in humorous contexts, issue-oriented themes were agreeable to viewers. And developments of the mid-1970s provided TV writers with a rich source of material. This was the period of the Watergate scandal and its compromising of governmental politics. The war in Southeast Asia became an American military defeat. Steep interest rates, rapid inflation, and mounting unemployment also marked the era. For the first time in many memories, citizens paid high fees for a dwindling supply of gasoline, heating oil, and natural gas. More personally, the time was also marked by reevaluations of traditional professional, moral, and sexual mores.

TV comedy series approached these national problems with unprecedented frankness. With its premier in the fall of 1972, M.A.S.H. was almost as antiwar as it was humorous. *Barney Miller*, beginning in 1975, treated social issues within the framework of a New York City police station. *Chico and the Man*, beginning in 1974, was the first series to deal with problems emanating from the Latino inner city, the barrio. Themes of women's liberation were integrated in the *Mary Tyler Moore Show* and its spin-offs, *Phyllis* and *Rhoda*. Certainly, there continued to be new programs with nontopical comedy—from the nostalgic *Happy Days* and *Laverne and Shirley* to the variety-format of the *Sonny and Cher Comedy Hour* and the adult, middle-class *Bob Newhart Show*—but these were now countered by more moralistic, relevant comedy such as in *Eight Is Enough*.

As comedy flourished in the mid-1970s, so, too, did black actors. Among those appearing in hit programs were Ron Glass as detective Ron Harris in *Barney Miller*, Scatman Crothers and later Della Reese in *Chico and the Man*, and Robert Guillaume as Benson the savvy butler on *Soap*. Throughout the last half of the decade, moreover, Garrett Morris was cast as the sole black regular on *Saturday Night Live*. While these were all supporting parts, Clifton Davis and Theresa Merritt, plus a group of other black character actors, were the central figures on *That's My Mama*.

There were roles for blacks in less successful comedies. Hal Williams portrayed an inmate, and Mel Stewart a hard-nosed cor-
rectional officer, at the Alamesa Minimum Security Prison in the one season of On the Rocks. During its three versions, Cleavon Little played a jive medical intern—as good with booking bets on the horses as he was with pulling pranks in the hospital—on Temperatures Rising (later called the New Temperatures Rising Show). William Elliott was a regular on Bridget Loves Bernie. Joe Keyes portrayed a ‘‘liberated’’ cook on The Corner Bar. And Harrison Page, although cast as a naval officer, was still a foil for Don Rickles’ racial jokes on C.P.O. Sharkey. Among those Afro-American actors in more quickly canceled series in the mid-1970s were Ren Woods in We’ve Got Each Other, Richard Ward in Beacon Hill, Ted Ross in Sirotas Court, and Harrison Page and Janet MacLachlan in Love Thy Neighbor. Ralph Wilcox suffered this fate twice in Busting Loose and Big Eddie.

One of the most disappointing black-centered failures of the period was Roll Out. It lasted only three months on CBS in the fall of 1973. Produced by Gene Reynolds and Larry Gelbart as a black version of their hit series, M.A.S.H., the program concerned the men of the Army’s 5050th Quartermaster Trucking Company in World War II, the predominantly black ‘‘Red Ball Express.’’ Instead of sensitive comedy or inventive characterization, however, Roll Out presented a noisy and stereotyped scenario with a screaming top sergeant (Mel Stewart), a jive-talking urban corporal (Stu Gilliam), and naive rural private (Hilly Hicks). This was all accompanied by the din of growling ignition systems, roaring truck engines, and backfiring carburetors. Although it gave supporting roles to many black actors, including Darrow Igus, Garrett Morris, Theodore Wilson, and Sam Laws, the series was ‘‘out of gas before it cleared the starting gate.’’

As much the victims of unpopular formats as of their own performances were those Afro-American entertainers who failed in comedy-variety shows. Typical was the New Bill Cosby Show in the 1972–1973 season. Although headlined by one of the most accomplished TV stars in recent years, even Cosby could not gener-
ate viewer interest in the traditional format of monologues, a few skits, and a little singing and dancing. Cosby was also unsuccessful four years later with a similar format. With *Cos* the idea was to produce a comedy–variety program in prime time for preteenagers. *Cos* was canceled by ABC after less than two months in the fall of 1976.

But Bill Cosby had company. Also failing to survive in shows with comedy–variety formats were Diahann Carroll, Gladys Knight and the Pips, Redd Foxx, the Jackson Five, Melba Moore and Clifton Davis, Marilyn McCoo and Billy Davis, Jr., and Ben Vereen. A noteworthy exception to this pattern was the popularity of Telma Hopkins and Joyce Vincent Wilson, two halves of the singing group Dawn, who appeared for two seasons on the CBS program, *Tony Orlando and Dawn*.

Several black talents found it more profitable to bypass network television. They produced their own musical–variety series and syndicated them directly to local stations. Among these productions were the *Rosey Grier Show* and the *Barbara McNair Show*, both created in the early 1970s. *The Second Half of the George Kirby Comedy Hour* was a half-hour series featuring the noted black comedian with musical guests in 1972–1973. Perhaps the best received first-run syndicated program hosted by an Afro-American was Sammy Davis, Jr.'s venture in 1975, *Sammy and Company*.

It is interesting, however, that the most inventive black comedian of the decade hosted one of the most disastrous comedy–variety programs in TV history. When Richard Pryor debuted on his own NBC show in the fall of 1977, he brought to television an amalgam of bawdy ethnic comedy—not unlike the nightclub humor for which Redd Foxx was famous before he entered TV—and youthful black rage, channeled into a singular style of delivery. Still, Pryor had merited his own TV show due to a burgeoning motion picture career—*Car Wash* being released in 1976 and *Silver Streak* and *Greased Lightning* in 1977—and because of his moder-
ately successful NBC special, the *Richard Pryor Special?*, which had aired in May 1977.

Pryor’s television series failed for several reasons. Scheduled for Tuesdays at 8:00 p.m. (EST), the network had placed Pryor and his adult, politicized comedy in the early-evening hour reserved for family viewing. It was difficult to tone down Pryor’s aggressive humor to fit the needs of this time slot. It was also difficult to compete with *Happy Days*, the top ranked show of the previous season, on ABC. Further, Pryor had problems with censorship. On the premiere program, he had planned to appear in simulated nudity—actually naked from the waist up, wearing skin-colored tights with no sexual definition, giving, thereby, the illusion of nakedness and emasculation—to suggest what he had to surrender to NBC in order to get his own series. The skit was edited from the show by network censors. Still, two NBC affiliates (in Winston-Salem and Grand Rapids) refused to carry the program, and two delayed its telecast. The following week the show was preempted in Winston-Salem and Detroit. Many stations also demanded to preview the programs before airing them.

As if these matters were not contentious enough, Richard Pryor called a press conference to denounce NBC for thwarting his artistic creativity and for improperly scheduling the show. Despite positive reviews from critics, with a recalcitrant host and a fearful network, the *Richard Pryor Show* lasted only five telecasts—one of them being a rerun of the *Richard Pryor Special?* (a show again rerun in prime time by NBC five years later on May 11, 1982!). In terms of ratings, moreover, the series failed to command viewer interest. It was the ninety-fifth most popular series (out of 109 shows) of the 1977–1978 season.41

There was, however, another dimension of the *Richard Pryor Show* which mitigated against its mass acceptance. As seductive and popular as Pryor was with live audiences, his humor possessed a racially political quality which was foreign to network television. In one skit, Pryor gazed at three attractive white women
and then reflected—with the help of six beautiful Afro-American models—on the beauty of black women of all skin hues, from peach, honey gold, caramel, and persimmon, to chocolate, molasses toffee, and blackberry. More politically, he portrayed the first black president of the United States at a press conference. Here he admitted, after an announcement filled with political double-talk, that he was considering appointing Black Panther leader Huey P. Newton as director of the FBI; he also announced his intention to continue dating white women now that he was in the White House; and before a racial brawl disrupted the conference, he ignored white reporters and showed favoritism to black questioners.

Pryor had the ability to satirize black culture without elevating white society as a model to be emulated. He played a TV evangelist who merely wanted to raise as much money as white television preachers. He played a stereotyped black drunk returning home to a scornful wife (played by Maya Angelou) who, after he collapsed on the sofa, delivered a soliloquy filled with anguish about her deeply felt love for her husband. At his political best, Pryor played Ugandan dictator Idi Amin Dada, delivering a rebuttal to a TV editorial. Here he captured Amin’s brutal disregard for life, complete with blazing pistols and machine guns. But he also used Amin to mock self-complacent whites, reminding them that as bad as his country was, ‘‘Uganda is not Cleveland—you cannot apply Cleveland principles to Uganda’’; that ‘‘in Africa nobody call you ‘nigger’ ’’; and that ‘‘V.D. stand for, in my country, victory dance—someday all over the world black man do victory dance.’’ For all its inventiveness, Pryor’s type of comedy and the mass audiences consistently sought by television were incompatible.

Canceled or not, Afro-American programs were quantitatively an important dimension of TV in the mid-1970s. Clearly, however, when blacks were employed, they were cast overwhelmingly as comedians. Nonetheless, even in this age of the New Minstrelsy it would be incorrect to conclude that blacks were totally absent from noncomedic programming.
BLCKS IN NONCOMEDIC TV

To be truly creative and strategic to society, television needs to be more than jokes and laughter. Yet, with the demise of the socio-political melodrama in the Nixon years, networks and producers turned to comedy, particularly situation comedy, to please an audience which, according to those crucial ratings, more and more preferred laughter to seriousness.

There were indications, however, that the supposed national taste for situation comedy was not as frivolous as it appeared. First, the most successful series of the decade were those produced by companies headed by Norman Lear and Bud Yorkin. And rather than avoid reality, these programs clearly exploited topical humor drawn from controversial issues of the day. Second, the growing popularity of news-magazine shows like 60 Minutes and 20/20 indicated mass interest in real issues. Finally, the case of a series like The Jeffersons—which enjoyed popularity for a few years, then plummeted in the ratings for several seasons, only to emerge near the top by the early 1980s—suggests that with the proper time slot, the right promotion, and much patience, network TV could make a program viable and even popular. Giving up quickly on dramatic series which garnered mediocre ratings in their first telecasts—only to replace them with more comedies—the networks helped ensure that national TV would seek to tickle rather than teach its audience.

There was a certain irony surrounding the part played by blacks in video in the mid-1970s. Outside of comedy, the black imprint on prime-time TV was not of great consequence. Afro-Americans were present in evening television, but they were neither crucial nor influential in noncomedic programming meant for adults. It is interesting, however, that Afro-Americans at this time became vitally important to one dimension of national TV which had been traditionally lily-white: children’s programming. Not only would blacks gain roles in most productions aimed at youngsters, but
they would precipitate a basic alteration in the relationship between television and American children.

The history of Afro-Americans in network children's programming is an uncomplicated one. Before *Sesame Street* premiered on public television in 1969, few blacks appeared on children's shows, and no programs were ever produced with ghetto youngsters in mind. More than any other mass medium, TV excluded blacks from juvenile entertainment. All of those landmark children's shows during the first twenty years of video—*Howdy Doody, Romper Room, Mr. Wizard, Ding Dong School, Captain Kangaroo*; and adventure series like *Space Patrol, the Mickey Mouse Club,* and the *Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin*—seldom, if ever, involved blacks.

The attitude suggested by this pattern reached its highpoint in 1961 with the cartoon series, *Calvin and the Colonel.* Freeman Gosden and Charles Correll, originators on radio of *Amos 'n' Andy,* provided their minstrel voices for the prime time series. With no complications, the voices which whites for decades had accepted as the natural sound of typical American blacks now became accepted as the voices of a conniving fox named the Colonel, and a dumb, oafish bear called Calvin.

This racist legacy was challenged by *Sesame Street.* Three years in the making, *Sesame Street* was the most acclaimed and important racially integrated series to emerge in the Golden Age of blacks in TV. Long after *I Spy, Julia,* the *Bill Cosby Show,* and other series from the Golden Age had been canceled and syndicated, *Sesame Street* continued to project positive images of white and minority children and adults interacting constructively.

The goal of *Sesame Street* was to reduce the achievement gap between advantaged and disadvantaged children. According to Joan Ganz Cooney, president of the Children's Television Workshop, the producers of the program, *Sesame Street* sought "to move all children across the basic literacy line which is the key to education and later entering the mainstream of American life."
Second Avenue in East Harlem. Within this inner-city milieu there exists a racial melange of happy children and adults. Frequently, black entertainers, notably Bill Cosby and Nipsey Russell, appeared for segments of the program. While critics assailed the show for encouraging passive tolerance rather than active social change, and for "sugar-coating" ghetto life and teaching "minority children to accept quietly middle-class America's corrupt demand to subjugate themselves," the lengthy and popular run of the series suggests that it struck a responsive chord with preschool youngsters.

In addition to its educational goal of teaching cognitive skills in a direct fashion, Sesame Street sought to teach social attitudes in an indirect manner. Its presentation of adult blacks as educators and role models exercised a positive influence on minority children, and promoted tolerance among white juveniles. It is important that amid the exciting puppet shows and cartoons intended to teach elementary reading and arithmetic skills, there were frequent glimpses of life on Sesame Street, wherein low-keyed social messages about brotherhood were suggested but not overtly stated.

Despite the example of Sesame Street, the commercial networks were slow to reevaluate their own juvenile offerings. As late as October 1972, a survey commissioned by Action for Children's Television and conducted by Black Efforts for Soul in Television (BEST), concluded that Saturday morning network TV was spreading "stereotyped thinking and bigoted information" regarding racial minorities. Studying programming in 1971 such as the Bugs Bunny Show, The Jetsons, The Funky Phantom, The Jackson Five, and Sabrina the Teenaged Witch, BEST argued that the subject of race never was mentioned and the locale was invariably the white community. Further, BEST noted, wherever there was a black leader he was always accompanied by a white co-leader, and all sources of authority and information were white. To one researcher, "Network television is guilty of the worst kind of racist attitudes in the area of blacks and other minorities on children's programming."
With *Fat Albert and the Cosby Kids*, however, CBS pioneered meaningful network response in children’s programming.\(^4\) Premiering in the fall of 1972, this animated Saturday morning series featured Bill Cosby as host to the adventures of a group of black youngsters growing up in an urban environment. The show was drawn in part from Cosby’s memories of his own childhood in Philadelphia. From those remembrances came unique black characters that included Fat Albert and friends Mush Mouth, Crying Charlie, and Dumb Donald.

But *Fat Albert* was not purely an entertainment series. Working with a panel of social scientists and educators, CBS and Cosby used the program as a vehicle for teaching ethics, social values, judgment, and personal responsibility. Here was a fusion of education and entertainment, precipitated for the most part by Cosby, who, although a noted TV comedian, was also a graduate student at the University of Massachusetts working toward a doctorate in education which he later obtained.

As well as presenting black characters in a positive perspective, *Fat Albert* treated issues such as lying, tolerance, coping with death in the family, playing hooky, cheating on tests, and ganging up on a child because he or she is different. In a typical program, "Four Eyes," broadcast in 1974, the Cosby kids continually poked fun at a boy because he had poor vision and had to wear glasses. After getting glasses, however, the boy became the best hitter on the local baseball team—able now to see clearly and to gain the admiration of his pals, even to the point of their confession that glasses made the wearer look distinguished.

The wide acceptance of *Fat Albert and the Cosby Kids*, with its values-laden messages and educational purposes, precipitated a major realignment of Saturday morning programming at CBS. In the fall of 1974, the network introduced five new programs which—according to network president Robert Woods, speaking in a closed-circuit telecast for network stations and affiliates—imitated the formula of *Fat Albert* by purposely communicating a perspective on social responsibility and ethics.
Valley of the Dinosaurs was a cartoon series placing a modern family in prehistoric times, dealing thereby with the problem of recognizable people having to live with totally different human beings. Shazam featured Captain Marvel helping to resolve such youthful problems as going along with the crowd, suffering the consequences of wrongdoing, respecting oneself and other people, and making value decisions with respect to peers, parents, and community. A third show, The Hudson Brothers Razzle Dazzle Comedy Show, was a variety program that mixed music and comedy with messages of personal responsibility. The U.S. of Archie took the Archie comic book characters and placed them within American history, where they encountered problems like women's equality and historical figures such as Harriet Tubman. The final new show was The Harlem Globetrotters Popcorn Machine. It was a live-action stage show featuring eleven members of the famed basketball team, plus child actor Rodney Allen Rippy, in a blend of basketball tricks and slapstick comedy, together with themes of brotherhood, good health, regard for the environment, sportsmanship, and good citizenship.

Though most of these CBS programs eventually were canceled, values-orientation in children's programming was not abandoned. Further, the watershed series, Fat Albert, continued on CBS into the early 1980s. And other shows on network television reflected the fundamental reevaluation inspired by Bill Cosby's contribution. In shows like Bubble Gum Digest, Zoom, Kids Are People, Too, The Big Blue Marble, 30 Minutes, The Electric Company, Weekend Special, and Marlo and the Magic Movie Machine, blacks appeared with regularity, and lessons of social responsibility continued to be communicated. Blacks even appeared in 1977 as regular cast members of the syndicated New Mickey Mouse Club, a new and modern version of a children's variety program from the 1950s and 1960s.

Related to the popularity of children's shows with black characters have been prime-time series featuring black youth. While the success rate for black adults in prime time has been low since
1970, such has not been the case with black children cast in network programs. Featured almost exclusively in situation comedies, Afro-American youngsters in the preschool through high school age groups have enjoyed considerable acceptance. The fact that these series are invariably scheduled in the early evening, a time with the highest juvenile audience, suggests that young viewers have been less hostile to black representations than have been adults.

A favorite format was the half-hour comedy set in a high school environment. Certainly, not all shows in this category were viable. Lucas Tanner, Hollywood High, Szyszynk, and The Waverly Wonders were short-lived programs. But Room 222, set in a Los Angeles high school, enjoyed a run of more than four years. And Welcome Back, Kotter, set in a Brooklyn high school, featured Lawrence-Hilton Jacobs as Freddie Washington throughout its four year run in the last half of the 1970s. What's Happening!! focused on three urban high school students and was based freely on the popular black film, Cooley High. In the TV series, Ernest Thomas (as Roger "Raj" Thomas), Fred Berry (as Rerun), and Haywood Nelson (as Dwayne) played a trio of wholesome, if somewhat mischievous, black kids. Three of their greatest impediments to youthful expression were the strong and assertive Mama Thomas; a sympathetic soda-shop waitress, Shirley (Shirley Hemphill); and a sarcastic younger sister, Dee Thomas (Danielle Spencer). What's Happening!! lasted three seasons on ABC, running from 1976 to 1979.

The most significant series highlighting black high school youngsters was The White Shadow. In that it was a dramatic show and not a situation comedy, The White Shadow was different. The program concerned a white basketball coach in a predominantly black high school. Plots usually revolved about the members of his team, often probing important social and racial concerns in the process. Among these issues were high school pregnancy, interracial dating, incorrigible students, the dropout phenomenon, student homosexuality, and sports as a means of escaping the ghetto.
Headed by Ken Howard—and including young black actors Kevin Hooks, Byron Stewart, Thomas Carter, Nathan Cook, and Eric Kilpatrick, with Ed Bernard and Joan Pringle as school administrators—The White Shadow ran for three seasons on CBS before being canceled in 1981. Its demise relegated black children to comedic roles only.

Equally as popular as high school blacks in situation comedies have been sitcoms featuring precocious preteen black children. Such characterization was not unique to the 1970s. Gerald Edwards had his light moments in the adventure series, Cowboy in Africa, in 1967–1968. And Marc Copage as Corey Baker was a crucial part of Julia during its three seasons (1968–1971). The street-wise black child, however, flourished in the mid- and late 1970s. One of the first was Tierre Turner as Lucas Adams, an Afro-American orphan with an Irish policeman as his guardian in The Cop and the Kid on NBC during the winter of 1975–1976. Danielle Spencer’s part in What’s Happening fit into this mold. So, too, did Todd Bridges’s character, Loomis, a hip street-kid, in 1977–1978 on the ABC series Fish.

The most prepossessing actor in this role, however, has been Gary Coleman. Cast as Arnold on Norman Lear’s Diff’rent Strokes—with Todd Bridges as his brother, Willis—Coleman played the hipper and more flippant of two orphaned brothers from Harlem poverty, adopted by a white widower and living now in Park Avenue wealth. The series gave range to Coleman’s show-off acting talent, but still presented a stereotype akin to the pick-aninnies who populated vintage Our Gang and Little Rascals comedy films. Like the black children in those two-reel comedies from the 1920s and 1930s, the youngsters in Diff’rent Strokes were meant to be seen and exploited as blacks. Many of the plots and jokes on the program revolved about being Afro-American in a white world. While there were rhetorical attempts at racial pride and human love, Arnold was condemned by his writers and his social context to be self-consciously black. Never able to be sim-
ply another child in another situation comedy, Arnold was a black kid before he was a human being.

Willis was also spiritually confined. He was a stereotype, cast to fill a preconceived model explained by the executive producer of *Diff'rent Strokes*: “We needed a kid who had a kind of rough edge to him. Streetwise, if you will, who could show a little hostility at being pulled into a rich white neighborhood after his parents died, but wouldn’t appear so hostile that audiences wouldn’t like him.” Thus, Arnold and Willis forever had to be black and “act black” for their relatives and friends. In this regard they were clearly tied to those old-time comedies where white audiences rolled with knowing laughter when Farina and a chimpanzee played together, or when Buckwheat sat in a washing machine trying to be washed white.

Black children strongly influenced television in the 1970s. Whether for social values or stereotypes, Afro-American youths were accepted where many black adults were rejected. This rejection was most noticeable in noncomedic programs. When contrasted to the popularity of the clowns of the New Minstrelsy, the failure to produce substantial black roles in prime-time dramatic shows points up the plight of Afro-American TV actors in the 1970s. To be successful in the nation’s principal medium of communication, adult blacks had to be funny. Whenever there were hit noncomedic series—focusing as they invariably did on a lawyer, doctor, educator, police officer, cowboy, spaceman, private investigator, pioneer, middle-class executive, military officer, journalist, or any of the other professionals in whom Americans have found their dramatic entertainment—the best an Afro-American could expect was a recurring part in which he or she operated in a noncritical way to support the heroic actions of a white central character.

In an earlier time, such ancillary roles were as butlers, maids, janitors, and porters. In television in the 1970s, the characters may have been enhanced somewhat, but blacks still played the secre-
taries, chauffeurs, bodyguards, and informants for white series champions. For every Percy Rodrigues and Brenda Sykes in *Executive Suite*—a prime-time dramatic serial about corporate intrigues, sexual encounters, and emotional clashes—there were many more traditional roles such as Antonio Fargas as the jive-talking informant, Huggy Boy, on *Starsky and Hutch*, Ed Bernard as James Farentino’s personal pilot (chauffeur) on *Cool Million*, Tony King as a police sergeant to Jack Palance’s starring lieutenancy on *Bronk*, Hari Rhodes as a mayor supporting Robert Stack as a heroic police captain on the short-lived *Most Wanted*, and Dawn Smith as Lloyd Bridges’ female friend and entrée to the ghetto in *Joe Forrester*. One of the most promising castings was Carl Franklin as a university scientist and focal point of the science fiction series, *Fantastic Journey*. The program and the role, however, lasted only two months in early 1977. Television in the 1970s was unable and unwilling to support blacks in anything but supporting dramatic roles. This was most apparent in detective programming.

The detective story is generally an urban drama. As such, one might have expected blacks to have been integral to the genre since the beginning of television. Such was not the case. Not until the mid-1960s did Afro-Americans appear as regulars in detective programs. Invariably, however, they were never the heroes of such shows. And this pattern persisted throughout the 1970s when blacks were quantitatively more obvious, but qualitatively still unfulfilled.

There were many detective series wherein white private detectives, police officers, or international crime fighters were assisted by black characters. Gail Fisher was the loyal secretary on *Mannix* for seven seasons. Bernie Hamilton was the gruff Captain Dobey whose understanding permitted the white stalwarts of *Starsky and Hutch* to capture gangsters in the mid-1970s. Ed Bernard was helpful, but not crucial, to the apprehension of criminals during four seasons of *Police Woman*. Although on *Caribe* Carl Franklin co-starred as a sergeant on an international crime-fighting orga-
zation called Caribbean Force, most of the attention went to a white actor, Stacy Keach, who played the lieutenant. The most distasteful black role in the genre, however, was Michael D. Roberts’ portrayal of Rooster on the *Barretta* series. Rooster was a cool-talking black pimp who, through his “street smarts” and his stable of women, for more than three seasons gathered information to sell to the white detective, Tony Baretta.

More distinguished was Georg Stanford Brown’s role on *The Rookies*. On ABC from 1972 until 1976, Brown played officer Terry Webster, part of a trio of energetic police rookies working in a city in Southern California. At the time, Brown’s character was the most critical part for an Afro-American actor in the history of network detective shows. When few blacks had yet to be cast in the lead of serious police dramas, Brown portrayed a solid and professional law enforcement official. As such, Terry Webster was more authentic than Linc Hayes, the hip undercover police officer enacted by Clarence Williams III on *The Mod Squad*. Further, the role Brown played was more crucial to the series’ viability than Greg Morris’ part as the expert in machines and electronics, Barney Collier, on *Mission: Impossible*.

The attractiveness of Brown in *The Rookies* helped persuade CBS and NBC to cast blacks in starring roles in their own detective series. What developed, however, was not simply programming failure, but an expression of the chronic inability of television to imbue a single, black hero with a personality true to his cultural background, yet appealing enough to command the viewer approval needed to survive as a series lead. In the cases of the CBS venture, *Shaft*, and the NBC series, *Tenaflly*—both premiering in the fall of 1973—the first black detective heroes to work alone in network TV made little impact on white society, black society, or the medium itself.

In the blaxploitation films of the early 1970s, Richard Roundtree played private detective John Shaft in a trilogy of financially rewarding feature films: *Shaft* (1971), *Shaft’s Big Score* (1972), and *Shaft in Africa* (1973). Here, he was tough, sexy, slick, and
savvy, working and loving in New York City. In the latter film, Shaft even switched locales, returning to the "mother country," sweeping through African crime "like a black tornado." Sleek leather clothing, beautiful women of all nationalities, a tough demeanor around criminals and police alike—these were the hallmarks of the blaxploitation Shaft. On television, however, John Shaft's character was tamed. No profanity, moderated sex, toned-down violence, no expression of being black in a white world, the video Shaft was more formula than flair. For white audiences, Shaft on TV was just another private eye, albeit an Afro-American one, with no distinction except racial ancestry. Variety called the program "formula," "an absurd melodrama," and "a strong lead in Richard Roundtree and not much else."47 Cleveland Amory in TV Guide lamented over the program: "It would seem the least you could expect . . . is that they would occasionally offer an alternative to those seemingly endless private eyes." He concluded, moreover, that Roundtree and John Shaft were compromised on television—"either they shortchanged him or the character itself got shortchanged in the transition from movies to TV."48

For black audiences, Shaft was a disappointment. No matter how brutal and pandering the blaxploitation films had been, at least on the theater screen John Shaft was a black hero in black terms. Now on television, he lost that defiant sensuality which had attracted black moviegoers. Writing in TV Guide, a college professor summarized the cultural barrenness of Shaft on TV.

There is nothing in the premise of Shaft or its execution that is black. In other reviews of this show, I have seen this colorlessness lauded as a healthy sign. But as a black person, I find it offensive. I feel that I am being erased. As if blackness were not to be desired, had nothing to offer. As if all colors in this Nation should be bleached into a sickly gray.49

Even more revealing was the reasoning by the producer of Shaft, who explained the moderating of John Shaft's character. "We were very conscious of the movie image and deliberately
worked against it," according to William Woodfield. "We knew we would get bad reviews," he added, "but we thought the American people would accept this man as a friend."

If the video John Shaft was a pale imitation of his filmic persona, James McEachin's character, Harry Tenafly, on NBC's Tenafly, was the classic "white Negro," made so bumblingly middle-class and recognizable as to become too familiar and not entertaining. In its promotions for the series, the network emphasized his frenetic blend of chasing criminals and coping with a wife and two children. "Tenafly is a detective who can't find the butter," said an announcer as McEachin fumbled through the refrigerator and complained to his wife. "But," continued the voice, "he solves crimes." Although as a private investigator in Los Angeles Tenafly demonstrated occasional touches of sensitivity, the character and the series were victims of its WASP projection of the black detective, and its inadequate scripts which alternated between formulaic detective drama and domestic farce. Bob Knight in Variety captured the fundamental weakness of the program:

The concept of bumbling your way to a solution while fighting the added battle of personal home life interruptions borders more on sitcom than dramatic fare. . . . It would seem rather obvious that a black private detective could best create viewer appeal by displaying a distinctive style of operation that drew on his ethnic background for its insight and modus operandi. . . . As it stands now, Tenafly is an ordinary detective skein trying to get past on the novelty of having a black playing the lead.51

Shaft and Tenafly were part of rotating package-series. Having to share their time slots with other recurring programs, the black detective shows received monthly exposure at best. Only eight episodes of Shaft and six installments of Tenafly were ever produced. With their cancellation, the male Afro-American detective, as the single star, was abandoned by television until James Earl Jones appeared in the fall of 1979 in the short-lived Paris series.

Ironically, the first weekly detective show placing a black hero in a starring role dealt with the exploits of a beautiful black
woman. *Get Christie Love* featured Teresa Graves as a sexy, hip, and independent-minded undercover officer on the Los Angeles police force. Unfortunately, Graves' physical attractiveness alone was insufficient to maintain an audience. During its one-year run, 1974–1975, the series was marred by an unbelievable character acting out poorly written scripts in a tired genre. Due to her personal religious beliefs, moreover, Graves demanded less and less violence in her show. This forced her to face danger with only wisecracks and quick karate moves. The program ended its run rated seventy-second out of the eighty-four series that season.

The question arises whether black dramatic series were abandoned quickly because they were inherently flawed or because the networks misread national preference and failed to nurture such series with propitious time slots, adequate promotion, good writers, and ample time to develop an audience. If black talent was good enough to populate situation comedies, why was it not acceptable in starring dramatic roles? If Afro-American intelligence was profound enough to alter the relationship between children and medium, why was it not profoundly a part of prime-time programming? If blacks were inventively utilized in children's programming in the morning and afternoons, why in adult shows in the evening were they either stereotyped or successful only in subordinate roles? Whatever the answers, if Afro-Americans desired TV which would consistently and fairly treat their interests, they would have to turn to those black-oriented entertainment and public service shows that were usually produced and distributed outside commercial network TV.

---

**THE BLACK TELEVISION PROGRAM**

When semanticist S. I. Hayakawa wrote in 1963 about the effects of TV on Afro-Americans, he argued that to maintain white supremacy, blacks would have to be segregated from existing tele-
vision. To stop the progress of the civil rights movement, he suggested, white segregationists had the impossible task of providing blacks with their own stations, channels, transmission frequencies, and programs. Moreover, blacks would need special TV sets unable to receive signals from white stations.

It is ironic that in less than a decade someone as prestigious as Whitney Young of the National Urban League would demand, in the name of black progress, several of the conditions Hayakawa saw as unrealizable prerequisites for segregation. Speaking in 1970, Young felt that the only way for blacks to overcome bias in the TV industry was to establish their own production companies and produce their own programming. Television was clearly not serving the best interests of blacks, Young noted, because Afro-American employment in video was actually regressing. In this regard, Young was one of the first to recognize that in the new decade the black-and-white-together days of the civil rights movement were gone, and Afro-Americans more than ever were alone in pressuring for their social goals.

The struggle for television that was meaningful to black Americans was a particularly heated residual from the Golden Age. During the early 1970s, throughout the nation black ad hoc organizations presented demands to local stations, protested to the networks, and lobbied at the FCC for increased minority involvement. In Kansas City, the group was called the Peoples Communication Commission; in Youngstown it was the Black Broadcasting Coalition; in Houston it was Black Citizens for Media Access; in Greenville, South Carolina, it was The Cause; in Cincinnati it was Blacks Concerned for Justice and Equality in the Media; and in New York City it was called Black Citizens for Fair Media. Whatever such local groups called themselves, they shared common goals: more jobs, more service from industry, and positive black images in TV programming.

An example of the work of a typical organization was that of Black Citizens for Fair Media (BCFM) which in 1972 filed with the FCC a petition to deny the license renewal of the CBS flagship
station, WCBS-TV in New York City. BCFM demanded that the station recruit and train blacks in all phases of production. It held protracted discussions with station management to obtain programming it felt to be relevant to such community problems as crime, drugs, health, poverty, housing, and welfare. The group also demanded more black news reporters and more black cultural programs. Although WCBS-TV executives complained that realization of these demands would create "apartheid programming," and would so fragment the broadcast day that the station would be "serving no one while diserving the vast majority," such lobbying efforts by black special interest groups seemed the only way to make the medium relevant to minority viewers. And in two instances—with WLBT-TV (Jackson, Mississippi) in the mid-1960s, and in the early 1970s with the Alabama Educational Television Commission and its eight statewide public television stations—these efforts were effective enough to cause the FCC to refuse to renew broadcasting licenses because of racial discrimination in programming. 55

Throughout the decade, moreover, responsible social and academic institutions continued to criticize the unresponsiveness of TV to the systematic exclusion of minorities. In 1970, the New York State Civil Rights Commission investigated chronic discrimination in the trade unions servicing the film and video industries. According to the head of that commission, the fact that only 12 of the 667 members of one union, the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, were from racial minorities was "rank discrimination." 56

In 1972, the Congressional Black Caucus heard testimony berating institutional racism in broadcasting. Here it was pointed out that no public TV stations had black managers, that blacks owned no stations, and that hiring practices never were geared to the minority population figures in station areas. 57

The same year the Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ assailed the television industry for its "dismal efforts at absorbing minorities." The major findings of this orga-
nization, based on a survey of 609 TV stations, were the following:
1. Seventy percent of commercial stations were totally white in managerial positions.
2. Fifty percent of all stations hired no minority employees in professional capacities.
3. Fifty-five percent of all stations did not hire minorities as technicians.
4. Eighty-one percent of all stations hired only whites in sales positions.
5. Thirty-four percent of all stations hired no blacks in any of these four capacities.\(^5^8\)

Significantly, according to the Office of Communication, there was little improvement in minority hiring throughout the remainder of the decade. In 1974 and 1978 that group reported only slight rises in minority employment in commercial and public TV stations. It noted, further, that those reporting the statistics were probably manipulating the figures, presenting inaccurate numbers to place the best light possible on continued discrimination. One apparent ploy, it revealed, was for stations to reclassify minorities in low-echelon jobs as managerial-level employees, creating the illusion, thereby, of hiring more minorities in executive jobs. By 1978, 80 percent of the jobs at TV stations were being reported as upper-level, thus creating a ratio of four executives to each staff support worker. In the words of the director of the Office of Communication, "it is hard to avoid the conclusion that a number of broadcasters are denying women and minorities power by creating the illusion that everyone has it."\(^5^9\)

Although the FCC strengthened its equal employment opportunity operations and affirmative action policies, blacks failed to make significant inroads in video in the 1970s. Even the appointment in 1972 of Benjamin Hooks as the first black FCC commissioner did little to alleviate the discriminatory practices. The frustration inherent in such a situation is illustrated in the actions of the
National Black Media Coalition, a nationwide black interest organization demanding more employment opportunity and fairer depiction for blacks in television. In 1973, this lobbying group complained to the FCC that adherence to affirmative action policies by local broadcasters was "fraudulent." The coalition contended, further, that much of the nation's TV programming was "racist." By 1978, however, it had abandoned hope in the FCC, now criticizing that government body for doing "the closest thing to nothing" in regard to civil rights issues.

As with the critique from the National Black Media Coalition, various social groups in the 1970s continued to denounce black representation on the screen as well as in the industry. One such vocal group was the National Black Feminist Organization, which in 1974 roundly denounced the pejorative portrayal of blacks on TV. It cited six major criticisms of the manner in which blacks were shown in prime-time shows.

1. Black shows are slanted toward the ridiculous with no redeeming counter images.
2. Third World people are consistently cast in extremes.
3. When blacks are cast as professional people, the characters they portray generally lack professionalism and give the impression that black people are incapable and inferior in such positions.
4. When older persons are featured, black people are usually cast as shiftless derelicts or nonproductive individuals.
5. Few black women in TV programs are cast as professionals, paraprofessionals, or even working people.
6. Black children, by and large, have no worthy role models on television.

The respected Annenberg School of Communication of the University of Pennsylvania continued the attack on TV. It reported in 1979 that little had changed in the decade. After a study of ten years of television programming, it concluded that minorities—as well as women, children, and older people—were being short-

Stereotyped portrayals of minorities and women, which have been part and parcel of successful program formats, are perpetuated by the networks in their pursuit of higher ratings and higher profits. The surest route to a successful and highly profitable program is to create a new series based on formats that have already proven popular. Moreover, network programmers are afraid of offending the sensibilities—whether real or imagined—of large segments of the viewing audience. Programming designed to reach the widest possible audience, coupled with the demands of the ratings race, constrain writers and producer from introducing more realistic and diverse images of women and minorities to the television screen. Thus, network programmers with one eye on successful old formulas, the other on the offensive, and with both hands in their pockets, are not oriented toward serving the public interest.

If Afro-Americans continued to be victimized by bias in network TV during and after the 1970s, there were, nevertheless, several exceptional programs that served blacks constructively. This was particularly true of those black-oriented productions—usually produced by, or syndicated to, local stations, or offered on PBS outlets—focused on news and public affairs. Not only did these shows treat neglected aspects of the black experience, they generally involved minority producers, directors, writers, and technical assistants.

One of the earliest formats to emerge in this regard was the short-run series treating black history and/or culture. As early as 1965, NET produced *History of the Negro People*, a limited series hosted by Ossie Davis. This program utilized actors like Frederick O'Neal and Roscoe Lee Browne to dramatize critical events and personalities from the history of American blacks. A more contemporary focus was found in the Westinghouse Group W series
Rush to Freedom. This six-part program was hosted by Georgia state senator Julian Bond and in 1970 presented a detailed analysis of the civil rights movement.

More cultural in orientation were productions such as Black Omnibus, a thirteen-part series hosted by James Earl Jones in 1973. This show mixed black celebrities from the entertainment world with excursions into black history, sports, religion, dance, and the like. Similarly, Doin' It was a nine-part variety series on public television in 1972 that blended entertainment with enlightenment.

Less pedagogic in its intent, but overwhelmingly more successful was Soul Train, a show that mixed popular music and dance for black teenagers. The program was produced locally in Chicago in the early 1970s where its creator, Don Cornelius, envisioned it as a black version of American Bandstand. More than a decade later, Soul Train is thriving, seen in most American market areas, and still an important vehicle of exposure for rhythm and blues entertainers.

There were other series with similar orientations from Just Jazz on PBS in 1971 to Positively Black, an NBC public affairs series in the mid-1970s. By the end of the decade Today's Black Woman and For You, Black Woman were syndicated shows with a female focus. And in the 1980s, With Ruby and Ossie was a PBS series treating black history and culture through the reflections of Ruby Dee and Ossie Davis, and America's Black Forum was a syndicated public affairs hosted by Julian Bond.

More prevalent still were black-oriented programs produced and shown exclusively on local stations. These programs, reminiscent of the early days of television, tended to feature talent, interviews, news, human-interest materials, and music and dance, all directed toward the local black community. Such programs appeared throughout the nation under titles like Soul Scene (WCAU-TV/Philadelphia), Black Book (WFIL-TV/Philadelphia), Free Play (WTVS-TV/Detroit), Like It Is (WABC-TV/New York City), Right On (WBTV/Charlotte), Ebony Beat (WQXI-TV/
Atlanta), Soul Searching (WFLD-TV/Chicago), Solid Black (WTTW/Chicago), and Black on Black (KMTV/Omaha). There were also local experiments with news programming by and for the black community. While such features did not endure over a period of time, their persistent reappearance—under such titles as Black News and Black Perspective on the News—suggests that established news programs were not meeting the needs of local blacks.

In the process of bringing such community-oriented programs to video, many series hosts became prominent local celebrities. Such was the case, for example, in Chicago with Edwin "Bill" Berry, Jim Tilmont, and Ouida Lindsay. In New York City, Gil Noble developed his show, Like It Is, into a formidable example of local journalism. A winner of several Emmy awards, since the late 1960s Noble has challenged the perimeters of television. He has used Like It Is as a vehicle of probe and explanation for black political figures like H. Rap Brown, Stokely Carmichael, Eldridge Cleaver, Angela Davis, and the reform Democratic political leader from Mississippi, Fannie Lou Hamer. Noble has devoted individual broadcasts to the ideas and accomplishments of Paul Robeson and Malcolm X. He has interviewed cultural achievers like Harry Belafonte, Dizzy Gillespie, and Duke Ellington. And Noble has tied the black community of New York City to the broader third world experience, bringing to his public affairs program such black-world leaders as Prime Minister Michael Manley of Jamaica, President Kenneth Kaunda of Zambia, Prime Minister Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe, and President Ahmed Sekou Touré of Senegal.  

Of all the black public service and cultural shows, however, the most distinguished and enduring has been Black Journal (later, Tony Brown's Journal). The series emerged on public television in mid-1968, a period after the assassination of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. which Variety later called "videoland's Golden Age of racial remorse." Although network motivations may have been related to the murder of the humanitarian leader,
Black Journal was a stridently independent production that looked frankly and candidly at black life.

Like no national series before or after, Black Journal broke the perimeters of political expression established in the experience with Paul Robeson in the 1950s. This program gave air time to black leaders such as Angela Davis, Malcolm X, Imamu Baraka, and Bobby Seale. It probed controversial issues like housing discrimination, prison conditions, interracial marriage, and the assassination of Malcolm X.

The program was first produced by William Greaves. For two years Greaves handled Black Journal as a monthly hour-long feature. During its last five years the series was produced by Tony Brown. Particularly under Brown’s leadership, the program evidenced a distinct political quality. When he announced in 1970 that Black Journal would henceforth have its own correspondent stationed in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, Brown explained that black viewers needed an ethnic perspective on the continent. “We as Africans in America need to see the positive side in Africa,” remarked the former dean of communications studies at Howard University, “so that we can develop a much needed psychological identity with Africa to develop our roots of identification.” Further, according to the producer, blacks in the United States were chronically fed self-destructive images of Africa. “The ‘white press’ goes to Africa and seeks out sensationalism,” Brown argued, “and we get the picture of Africa as a Tarzan and Jane land and a constant bed of revolution.”

As a pioneer of controversial black-oriented programming with a nationwide audience, Brown was necessarily diffident and defensive. When the Ford Foundation dropped its funding, and the Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB) threatened to cancel Black Journal, Brown defended his philosophical slant as an attempt to balance the white thinking and interpretation that dominated TV. When NET announced in 1970 that it had no records revealing which southern outlets were not airing the show, Brown named a number of educational TV stations in Alabama, Louisi-
ana, Florida, Arkansas, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Mississippi, and Puerto Rico. He also pointed out that as well as Black Journal, some of these stations were also pre-empting Sesame Street, the historical series On Being Black, and the entertainment feature Soul. And when Black Journal was finally dropped from public TV in 1975, Brown assailed the Nixon administration for influencing the appointment of CPB board members who were antipathetic to Black Journal.

Undaunted, Brown took the program concept to commercial TV where in 1977 it became Tony Brown's Journal, a syndicated half-hour weekly show sponsored by Pepsi-Cola. With its goal of seeking "to explain the black experience in the country as an American experience," Tony Brown's Journal has explored a wide range of Afro-American topics. Mixing location and studio interviews with still photography, performances, assorted graphics, and filmed materials, Brown has treated matters as diverse as blacks in the military, the musical career of Eubie Blake, the antiblack genetic theories of Professor William Shockley, blacks in radio and television, and the wave of murders plaguing black communities in Rochester and Atlanta in the early 1980s. Tony Brown's Journal also was the first public service series to employ the Qube facilities of Warner Amex. With this electronic technology, up to 25,000 specially wired homes in Columbus, Ohio, are able to register, and have instantaneously tabulated, their opinions on an important racial issue being discussed on individual telecasts. With this background and technological inventiveness, Tony Brown's Journal returned to PBS in February 1982.

As vital as they might have been to Afro-American communities, national and local black-oriented programs could not substitute for the color-blind promise of early TV. More often than not, such shows were aired so stations could satisfy public service obligations required by the FCC. They usually appeared at obscure times. Frequently, time slots were changed several times in a season. Weekend daytime hours and late Sunday evenings were favorite hours, particularly for those shows not telecast on public
television outlets. And because these series often operated with low production budgets, their scope and theatrical qualities—and, therefore, their viewer appeal—were compromised.

There is also a question about the number of blacks who watched these shows. Nielsen ratings among Afro-American viewers in Washington, D.C. in early 1974 suggest that black-oriented public service programs failed to attract sizable minority audiences. Figures show that while network evening series with black stars attracted a large Afro-American viewership, community programming and black news shows fared poorly. Sanford and Son, for example, had a sixty-nine rating and an 86 percent share of the audience. Even Soul Train did well with a forty rating and a 70 percent share. But a black news show on Saturday evenings on WTTG drew only an eight rating and a 14 percent share, while Harambee, a community interest program aired weekday mornings on WTOP, earned only a two rating and a 13 share. Even Sesame Street, aimed particularly at inner-city children, gained only a two rating—the same rating drawn by vintage Little Rascals films broadcast opposite Sesame Street.60

THE ROOTS PHENOMENA

If the history of blacks in American television is replete with instances of exclusion, bias, and discrimination, it seems paradoxical that the most popular programs in the history of the medium were concerned with the Afro-American experience. It is also interesting to remember that while the small number of blacks in TV usually have been stereotyped as comedians and musical entertainers, for eight evenings in 1977 and seven nights in 1979, it was black dramatic actors who held American viewers spellbound with their realization of Alex Haley’s best-selling family autobiography Roots.

The twelve-hour Roots (January 23–30, 1977) and its fourteen-
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hour sequel, *Roots: The Next Generations* (February 18–23 and 25, 1979), were the most widely viewed miniseries in TV history. *Roots* averaged a forty-five rating and a 66 share, and its sequel series averaged 30.1 and 45. (Not until September 1980 was this record broken when *Shogun* became the second most widely viewed miniseries in American television history.) An estimated 140 million viewers saw all or part of *Roots*, and 110 million watched at least part of *Roots: The Next Generations*. These *ABC Novel for Television* productions accounted for the two most widely viewed network weeks in TV through 1979.

![Fig. 3.2. Top Viewing Weeks in American TV History.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Week Ending</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jan. 30, 1977</td>
<td><em>Roots</em></td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 1979</td>
<td><em>Roots: The Next Generations</em></td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aug. 1, 1976</td>
<td>Summer Olympics from Montreal (ABC)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1978</td>
<td>ABC Premiere Week</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct. 15, 1978</td>
<td>World Series (NBC)</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In *Roots*, audiences encountered Afro-American history in a remarkable context. Tracing the story of a black family over two and one-half centuries, the miniseries presented pictures of the destruction of normal, loving African families and the enslavement and/or murder of family members. Here were the dehumanizing experiences of kidnapped Africans being shipped to servitude in the American colonies; of enforced illiteracy to ensure subjugation; of abuses and brutality against recalcitrant slaves. But viewers also found the constant theme of the survival of human dignity, the will to maintain self-esteem by whatever means practical. In Haley’s progenitors, this inner strength took many forms, from Kunta Kinte’s refusal to recognize his new slave name, “Toby,” to Alex Haley’s obstinate desire to become a writer.

The *Roots* dramas delivered powerful human emotions in out-
standing theatrical performances. As Kunta Kinte, "the old African" who endowed his posterity with the indomitable sense of self-worth and liberty, LeVar Burton and John Amos presented the strongest black character ever realized on American television. In her role as Kizzy, daughter of Kunta Kinte, Leslie Uggams sensitively portrayed a slave woman eager for learning, loving and loyal to family and tradition, yet victimized by white prejudice and lust.

Ben Vereen's characterization of Chicken George, the bastard offspring of Kizzy and her white master, added another dimension of the Afro-American legacy. Now audiences met the slave of special talent whose expertise in the white man's vices—in this case cockfighting—allowed him to enjoy fame and a degree of freedom unknown to other blacks, but still left him bereft of real equality or control over his own life.

Tom Murray, the son of Chicken George—and great-grandson of "the old African"—was a strong, rational man experiencing the last years of slavery in the South, and the first duplicitous decades of emancipation following the Civil War. As enacted by Georg Stanford Brown, Tom the blacksmith faced white-robed vigilantes and smartly dressed white patricians, all intent upon thwarting black freedom. With dignified courage, he encountered the loss of his voting rights and the establishment of Jim Crow laws legalizing his inequality.

Tom Murray possessed, however, an instinct to survive, an ability to recognize reality and adapt. But his survival was never achieved with the loss of self-esteem. This was an inherited trait, and a tradition realized in one way or another by ensuing generations—through strong women and proud men—until it culminated in James Earl Jones' portrayal of author Alex Haley as he strode into a Gambian village to discover his ancestral roots and mark the spot from which Kunta Kinte had been abducted 250 years earlier.

In many ways Roots and Roots: The Next Generations were monumental productions. They offered more than just their story
lines for television executives to ponder. Black actors in the series demonstrated impressive dramatic skills. Two black cast members—Louis Gossett, Jr., who played the pragmatic slave Fiddler, and Olivia Cole, who portrayed Chicken George’s durable wife Mathilda—received Emmy awards for their performances. In a medium long used to projecting Afro-Americans as singers, dancers, musicians, athletes, and comics, it was ironic that the largest audiences in TV history now approved the dramatic skills of comedy actors like Gossett, John Amos, Lawrence-Hilton Jacobs, Scatman Crothers, Lillian Randolph, Hilly Hicks, and Ja’net DuBois. Until this time, Ben Vereen, Leslie Uggams, and Avon Long had only been on TV as dancers and singers. And O. J. Simpson and Rafer Johnson were still familiar to viewers as athletes. If, indeed, the acting talent of such players was impressive, the question arises as to why television producers and networks have not recognized and employed those skills until now. The answer, of course, rests in the historic relationship between TV and black America.

As well as revealing the artistic loss resulting from the stereotyping of actors, the two miniseries also suggested the viability of serious programming featuring black themes. It was a new genre of video entertainment. Americans had never been consistently exposed to the drama inherent in black history or to the issues related to minority survival in a racist nation. As a new type of programming, it seemed to renew the original TV promise of bias-free opportunity.

But the Roots phenomena failed to catalyze a new Golden Age for blacks in American television. When the applause ended, black actors returned to the familiar roles. There were many like the scholarly critic who concluded, “The people, if Roots is any indication, are clearly prepared for more important material than the media have, up to this point, been willing to provide.” And there were others who offered statistical surveys to show that the majority of whites wanted more programs like Roots. Yet, less myopically, there were people like Brock Peters—who played a

I think that the footing we thought we’d gained in the past decade isn’t as substantial as we’d hoped it would be. I was always fearful of that because it’s one thing to have lots of roles handed out to actors in front of the camera. But my real concern—and the concern of most of us who are in this industry—is in the area of decision-making: the kinds of roles that could be done, the kinds of projects that should be mounted, and the money to do that. We’ve not been in that position in any substantial degree. I am not surprised that with backlash and changing sentiments that we have to fight harder now to maintain whatever ground we’ve gained. It’s not easy because we did not get a good, solid footing. In front of the camera isn’t finally where it’s at. It’s what that subject is going to be, and who decides that it should be done.

The failure of *Roots* and *Roots: The Next Generations* to usher in a new era should have been anticipated. Inherent in its inspiration and realization were significant qualifiers which undermined the promise in the series. Ultimately, the programs served only to underscore the pattern of quantitative representation and qualitative restriction which has typified blacks in television since 1970.

The *Roots* series represented a massive business undertaking. For David L. Wolper Productions and Warner Brothers Television, it meant the expenditure of millions to film the programs. For ABC, which gambled crucial prime time hours on speculation that all Americans would be attracted by the struggles of a black family, it was also a business venture. Certainly, the productions had a libertarian dimension. Only three months before *Roots* premiered, Leonard H. Goldenson, chairman of the board of directors of ABC, tied his network to the liberal cause when he warned the entertainment industry that it must lead the fight against racism. But such noble and self-serving thoughts were secondary to the fact that ABC, pleased that the miniseries *Rich Man, Poor Man* had been so profitable in 1976, scheduled *Roots* because it felt that
in Haley's family story there were themes which would attract and hold viewers, obtain high ratings, boost advertising rate schedules, and make money.

As a business undertaking, the programs were riskier than Rich Man, Poor Man. Serious racial themes were unfamiliar to mass America. Had the series failed to generate sizable audiences, they would have compromised the producers and weakened network earning power for the rest of the TV season. With Roots the history of blacks in TV gave no indication that Americans would be interested in Afro-American social drama for eight consecutive evenings. With Roots: The Next Generations, the uncertainty was twofold. First, there was the question of whether or not Americans had been saturated by Roots, as it also had been rerun in prime time in September 1978. Although the rerun drew unprecedentedly large audiences—averaging a 25.4 rating and a 42 share, and attracting an estimated eighty million viewers—the sequel, which picked up Haley's story in 1882 and carried it to the present, risked being superfluous.

Further, there was the chance of offending mass sensibilities with a sequel perceived as purely exploitive of the original Roots. In film, and to a lesser degree in TV, sequels seldom have matched the quality or popularity of their predecessors. That had been the case with Rich Man, Poor Man—Book Two in 1976. When Ben Vereen refused to return in the role of Chicken George (Avon Long assumed the role), he compounded the problem by using the term "rip-off" in explaining his decision. Even TV Guide headlined the question: "Is the sequel to Roots a valid continuation or a rip-off?"

As well as a calculated business venture by white corporations, the crucial aspects of production were carried out by nonblacks. Alex Haley read and approved all scripts and publicly assumed responsibility for the "black integrity" of the final product. Yet, two experienced white screenwriters, Ernest Kinoy and William Blinn, adapted Haley's book for the miniseries. In the case of Roots: The Next Generations, moreover, most of the script was
culled by screenwriters from Haley's notes and personal recollections since it was inspired by only the last forty pages of his book.

Stan Margulies, a white man, was the producer of both programs. Although black directors Gilbert Moses and Georg Stanford Brown directed individual episodes, the principal director of *Roots* was a white man, David Green; and John Erman was the principal director of the sequel series. Blacks were not totally absent from *Roots*, however, as eighteen Afro-Americans worked in lesser technical capacities in photographic and audio aspects of the production.

If the technical aspects of the program opened few doors for blacks, white viewers were not attracted because they wanted more black dramatic shows. Most tuned in because the *Roots* series were good television. They were historical costume dramas, interesting and exotic adventure stories with compelling plots. Seldom had TV offered such an array of whips and chains, sex, brutal murder, two wars, and the eventual triumph of "the good guys." This was great soap opera. It was not, however, a telegenic equivalent of Harriet Beecher Stowe's *Uncle Tom's Cabin*, meant to inspire a new abolitionist crusade.

*Roots* and *Roots: The Next Generations* brought forth few white apologies for centuries of injustice. If anything, the programs allowed whites to absolve themselves of racial prejudice without feeling contrite. Few whites would equate their own biases with the offensive racism of heartless slave merchants, brutal overseers, and slave owners; or of lower-class whites mercilessly manipulating racial intolerance to gain personal political and economic power. In these melodramas, acts of bigotry were overwhelming. Few could approve the severance of Kunta Kinte's foot to prevent further attempts at escaping slavery. To burn a black man at the stake because he tried to collect a debt from a white man was an unbelievably gruesome response. And the denial of a motel room to war hero Haley, dressed in full Coast Guard uniform and traveling with his pregnant wife, was not only unpatriotic, it also projected an image reminiscent of the New Testament story of Joseph
and Mary unsuccessfully seeking room at the inn. In measuring their prejudices against those in the *Roots* programs, most whites could feel better about themselves—and less tolerant of those who still complained about daily racial biases which inhibited, but did not physically maim or kill, blacks in contemporary America.

The story lines in both miniseries were also familiar and unthreatening to white audiences. It was Horatio Alger in black, a darker version of immigrants and poor people in search of the American Dream. Despite those sinister racists who would thwart that quest, here was the recognizable theme of working for respect and wealth in the New World. Racial issues aside, watching the programs allowed viewers to rededicate themselves to a secular myth fundamental to American culture and society.

In telling their stories, however, the series left serious misunderstandings. Interested more in the adventures of the Haley family through the centuries, *Roots* and *Roots: The Next Generations* reduced institutionalized injustices to mere roadblocks on the road to familial triumph. The programs might have treated slavery and de facto racial segregation as a way of life that dehumanized its victims and brutalized its enforcers. It also might have projected racism as an invidious mind-set that continues to relegate most Afro-Americans to economic, social, political, and intellectual poverty. Instead, the indomitable human will of Haley and his ancestors overshadowed the monstrous reality of enforced racial subordination. The bleakness arising from being black in a white racist society, where laws and traditions chronically suppress black achievement, seemed to pale before the theatrically engaging hopes for a better tomorrow which the miniseries proclaimed.

Rather than understanding Haley's bourgeois success story as an exception to the rule, white viewers could leave the series blaming impoverished contemporary blacks for their own social deprivation. Instead of being a serialized Hollywood essay preaching "if at first you don't succeed, try, try again," the *Roots* dramas might have treated the Afro-American experience more fully and more honestly. No slave ever asked to be transported to the New
World. Few blacks or their descendents ever had the opportunity to leave. Trapped in degradation in a nation that justified its existence in terms of personal liberty, democracy, and human dignity, blacks in the United States have taken more than three centuries to reach their present condition. Television, however, was again misleading. Here the experience was streamlined, taking place in twenty-six hours spread over fifteen days of prime time, with appropriate climaxes to allow for commercials and station breaks.

Black viewers who might have expected an indictment of the American system were disappointed in Roots and Roots: The Next Generations. Instead, they encountered evil individuals who personally subjugated blacks. The economic and moral system which produced and tolerated such brutal citizens was never adequately presented. If anything, with the middle-class prosperity seen ultimately in Alex Haley’s personal affluence, the system was applauded. Blacks who wanted the series to explain their present world found that the programs actually questioned the personal and family initiative of modern Afro-Americans who still had not attained wealth and status.

White viewers, on the other hand, could leave the programs with a feeling of “knowing” black America, of realizing that contemporary black poverty was the product of individual weakness and lack of application. No longer guilty of complicity in suppressing a racial minority, whites could see the Roots dramas and be content that the American Dream was still attainable. The civil rights movement fully ended when James Earl Jones entered that settlement in Gambia and found the home of “the old African.” Knowing from where they came and to where they needed to go, blacks were now on their own.

The truth is, no program could fully and honestly approach the problem of slavery and its aftermath. To do so would be to condemn the system which produced and maintained it. Just as no miniseries have lauded Lenin, Trotsky, Khomeini, Ho Chi Minh, Hitler, or Mussolini, American television will not lionize men or offer explanations that might undermine general faith in the Amer-
ican socio-economic system. Popular culture in the United States—controlled as it is by ethics that are corporate and self-protective—does not produce viable commercial products that are destructive to the system. Reform is possible through popular culture, but revolution is out of the question.

THE CONTEMPORARY SCENE: TV SINCE THE LATE 1970s

Twice during the 1970s renewed black hopes for equitable treatment in television were crushed. At the beginning of the decade, the victory of white backlash and political conservatism—embodied in the election of Richard M. Nixon by the so-called Silent Majority—quickly subverted the Golden Age that had only begun to emerge. Again, at the end of the decade, rejuvenated expectations were destroyed when the two Roots miniseries failed to inspire a wave of serious dramatic programming, imitative but mature, featuring blacks in nonstereotyped roles.

Disappointment in the black creative community was keen. Actor-director Georg Stanford Brown expressed confusion when in 1979 he told Tony Brown's Journal, "I don't know. I have no answer because I've seen the representation of black people on all the series television as diminishing over the past three years." A year earlier, several black industry executives revealed their dismay. Stanley Robertson, a producer with Universal Television, complained that "because of the preponderance of comedy, the American people have got the idea that black people are funny . . . except for Roots we haven't had the opportunity to see blacks get emotionally involved." Charles F. Johnson, a co-producer with The Rockford Files, lamented that "television to me is behind the times. There are so many prototypes who can serve as models for television." For Yvonne Demery, an associate producer at Universal, the situation was also frustrating. "I'm not happy with the
black image on television," she told an interviewer. "The image was successful so long as we were being laughed at in comedy," she continued, "we felt the public was ready to accept more. . . . Our life-style is not stereotypical of anything." 75 And as late as mid-1981, the successful star Robert Guillaume, of Benson, could summarize changes in black TV representation since Roots with the remark, "The networks have a shameful record in portraying blacks in prime time. They portray blacks in stereotypical fashion or overlook their existence entirely in, say, a series set in midtown Manhattan." 76

The continuation of prejudicial practices in television was all the more exasperating because by the end of the 1970s blacks had fulfilled the most crucial criterion for video success: they represented a consumer market expending more than $70 billion annually on goods and services. With this much money to spend, the 24.1 million Afro-Americans constituted a consumer market larger than most member states of the United Nations. 77 Such numbers might have been expected to generate more network respect.

Yet, traditionally racist patterns remained intact. While blacks still were quantitatively visible, the lack of quality in their roles persisted. Blacks continued to portray the loyal followers and supporters of great white heroes. Herb Jefferson, Jr. was a stoic pilot on Lorne Greene's space craft in Battlestar Galactica and its later incarnation, Galactica: 1980. Richard Williams was one of several submarine crewmen in Man from Atlantis, Roger E. Mosley was Tom Selleck's loyal black assistant on Magnum, P.I., just as Aldine King was a loyal secretary on Project U.F.O. Long used to such secondary roles, Greg Morris came to Vega$ as a police officer, but a colorless character compared to Robert Ulrich's attractive and dashing private detective, Dan Tanna. Other such ancillary roles included Cleavon Little on the ill-conceived Supertrain, Ji-Tu Cumbuka as a runaway slave on Young Dan'l Boone, and Madge Sinclair and Brian Mitchell as hospital employees on Trapper John, M.D. On Hill Street Blues, Michael Warren and Taurean Blacque played the requisite black policemen who occasion-
ally were the focus of a subplot. And even with a steel claw for a hand, Ji-Tu Cumbuka as the menacing Torque was still only a muscular “man Friday” for Robert Conrad on *A Man Called Sloane*.

Blacks continued to enjoy their greatest acceptance when they appeared in comedy shows. The age of the New Minstrelsy survived Jimmy Carter and flourished in the presidency of Ronald Reagan. Ted Lange was a comic bartender on *The Love Boat*. Samuel E. Wright was a street-wise cliché as a policeman named Turk on *Enos*. Tim Reid portrayed a hip disk jockey called Venus Flytrap on *WKRP in Cincinnati*.

All the old stereotypes were there. The overbearing and emasculative mammy was not dead. Nell Carter revived that classic character, portraying a shrill policewoman on *Lobo*. And Shirley Hemphill on *One in a Million* took the stereotype into the boardroom of capitalistic America when she played a ghetto-dweller who suddenly became a millionaire executive of a large corporation. The ranks of cute black children grew with the appearance of Kim Fields as the only black in a boarding school for girls on Norman Lear’s *Facts of Life*. And the butler, another familiar black rendition, had his own series, *Benson*, as Robert Guillaume starred as a wise-but-funny manservant in a governor’s mansion—a big white house on a hill.

Even in late-night comedy revues like *Saturday Night Live* and *Fridays*, black comics were cast in predictable characterizations. Although usually cast with more dignity on *Saturday Night Live*, Garrett Morris gained his greatest national attention by personifying a stupid Latino baseball player, Chico Escuela, whose broken-English answer to everything was “Baz-bol’s bin berry berry goud to mi!” On the same show Eddie Murphy appeared usually in mocking skits set in Harlem or dealing with criminality. On the ABC series *Fridays*, Darrow Igus was most celebrated for his hip parody of a Jamaican cook, dressed like reggae singer Bob Marley, and stuffing all his Rastafarian recipes with plenty of ganja (marijuana).
The most offensive comedic stereotype, however, appeared in the winter of 1978 on Baby, I'm Back. In this series Demond Wilson portrayed Ray Ellis, a fancy, black wheeler-dealer who had deserted his wife (played by Denise Nicholas) and children seven years earlier, and now returned to rejuvenate his marriage. Here was black parental irresponsibility. Here was the black hustler, fancy dresser, sweet-talker, and gambler, all punctuated with approving responses from the laugh track. And Ellis—whom Lance Morrow described as "a feckless black creep"—was all the more glib and attractive when compared to his wife's bumbling new boyfriend (Ed Hall), stiffly attired in his Marine officer's uniform.

In those instances when Afro-Americans have been the principal stars of network series, success has been more fleeting. One of the more promising shows in 1980 was Tenspeed and Brown Shoe, a light drama about two private detectives. It gave Ben Vereen the opportunity to mix comedy and more serious characterization as a con man cum private eye. Although the product of Stephen J. Cannell whose other credits included The Rockford Files, the series was never serious enough to survive as a detective show, or humorous enough to be accepted as comedy.

Two of the more impressive actors from Roots, Louis Gossett, Jr. and James Earl Jones, also failed in serious dramatic shows in the fall of 1979. In The Lazarus Syndrome, Gossett was cast as a cardiologist, Dr. MacArthur St. Clair, in a medical series intent upon relevancy. Written and co-produced by William Blinn, who had won an Emmy for his writing in Roots, the program ambitiously sought to give Gossett a troubled married life, contacts with temptations that tested his personal honor, and involvement, according to one series official, "more with contemporary issues than with the disease of the week." Despite positive reviews, audiences seemed unwilling to accept a black heart surgeon and his human predicaments. The Lazarus Syndrome received poor ratings and was canceled after six broadcasts. It was replaced on ABC by Hart to Hart, a white detective program that completed
the season with respectable ratings and was renewed in the fall of 1980. Further, another medical drama, *Trapper John, M.D.*, premiered the same month as the Gossett series. It ended the 1979–1980 season as the twentieth most popular series with a 21.2 Nielsen rating. *The Lazarus Syndrome*, however, earned only a 16.2 rating and was ranked sixty-fourth.

James Earl Jones, as Woodrow "Woody" Paris, portrayed a police captain with a personality that included part-time university teaching and an understanding wife. Yet his series, *Paris*, was poorly received on CBS. For several reasons—most prominent among them the failure of the network to schedule or promote the series creatively—*Paris* was a disappointment for fans of James Earl Jones and a failure for CBS and MTM Enterprises, producers of the series.

After being seen five times on Saturday evenings and six times on Tuesday evenings, with a four-week hiatus between these time slots, *Paris* was canceled. It ended the season with an average Nielsen rating of 12.7 and ranked ninety-second. In such a disastrous series, however, one of the most powerful indictments of capital punishment in TV history occurred in an episode entitled "Dead Men Don't Kill." The episode was aired on December 4, 1979, and was written by Stephen Bochco, who later created the successful series *Hill Street Blues*. The story featured Georg Stanford Brown as a wrongly convicted prison inmate awaiting execution. Although Woody Paris discovered the prisoner's innocence, the state governor refused to halt the execution. Brown graphically enacted the last moments in the prisoner's life as he sat strapped to a chair, eyes bulging, muscles tensed, agonizingly holding his breath and sweating profusely, then screaming his final exhalation as a cyanide capsule released its toxic justice into the gas chamber.

There were other black-centered programs that fared as poorly as *The Lazarus Syndrome* and *Paris*. Many people had expected *Roots* to inspire a network series focusing—in the manner of *The Waltons*—on a black family and its struggle for survival. The first
attempt at such a project was a made-for-TV film, *A Dream for Christmas*, aired December 24, 1973. The film starred Hari Rhodes, Lynn Hamilton, and Clarence Muse. It was written by Earl Hamner, Jr., the man who drew from his own childhood to create and script *The Waltons*. The film was poorly received, however, and the project was abandoned.

Another attempt to fashion a black *Waltons* was *Kinfolks*. Written by Melvin Van Peebles as a result of his impressive TV movie, *Just an Old Sweet Song*, its pilot episode was scheduled for airing during the 1978–1979 season. CBS never broadcast the show, however, and the cast eventually disbanded.

*Harris and Company*, featuring Bernie Casey as a widower with five children, at least was telecast by NBC. It stemmed from *Love Is Not Enough*, a made-for-TV motion picture which had been aired in June 1978. With little promotion and apparently less concern about the fate of the series, four episodes of *Harris and Company* were dropped into the NBC schedule in the spring of 1979. A sensitive family drama that needed network nurturing, it was scheduled ironically opposite *The Waltons* (ranked number thirty-seven that year), and *Mork and Mindy* (ranked number three). Expectedly, *Harris and Company* was a failure. Its average rating of 7.6—and ranking as number 112—made it the least-popular regular series for the 1978–1979 season.

The most ambitious attempt at producing a black *Waltons*, was Norman Lear’s cooperative venture with Alex Haley, *Palmerstown, U.S.A.* With the foremost employer of black TV actors working in cooperation with the creator of *Roots*, the series seemed certain to be a hit. The series focused on a black family and a white family living in rural Georgia during the Great Depression. It dealt, certainly, with segregation and the virtual caste system then operative in the South. But it also treated general social problems—from men struggling to get ahead, to illicit love affairs. In its limited run (five weeks) in the spring of 1980, *Palmerstown, U.S.A.* earned only moderate ratings. It reappeared the following spring for eleven weeks. Now with the shorter title, *Palmerstown,*
the program sometimes dealt exclusively with problems of the white family, playing down or avoiding altogether the racial tension built into the format. By mid-1981, however, *Palmerstown* was an anachronism. With *The Waltons* canceled and with *Roots* relegated to the status of an edited-down afternoon movie, the optimistic energies which had created such a series were exhausted. In ratings that made *Dallas, 60 Minutes, The Dukes of Hazzard,* and *The Love Boat* the top shows of the 1980–1981 season, there seemed to be little room for a rural melodrama with racial overtones.

As TV once more abandoned the color-free and bias-free promise it had made so many years before, it turned again to old models. On the popular miniseries, *Backstairs at the White House,* aired in January 1979, Americans learned the personal secrets of their recent presidents through the eyes of the black maids, cooks, and butlers who worked in the presidential residence. More virulent, however, was the miniseries, *Beulah Land.* A weak imitation of *Gone with the Wind,* the program was aired in three installments on October 7–9, 1980. This six-hour NBC epic was a gothic romance set amid plantation life in the South before and after the Civil War. Even before the series was aired, producer David Gerber was the object of considerable controversy. Black organizations were particularly vociferous in calling for cancellation of the series or, at least, considerable moderation of its depiction of slave existence. Although Gerber considerably edited the final version, *Beulah Land* was filled with stereotyped embodiments of the “old folks at home.” In his review for *Variety,* Morry Roth delineated the production distortions and network insensitivity in the program.

It is plainly insensitive to rub salt in the blacks' slavery wounds with this live cartoon version of history—no matter how correct. There is as much myth-making in history as there is in fiction, and the myths selected for this tele-play look tired and down-at-the-heels. All of this "massa" talk and eye-rolling supplication may or may not be the way blacks acted then, but we have now read and
seen enough to know that not all of the plantation South was cast out of white *Dallas* rejects or walking black symbols.\textsuperscript{90}

In chronological terms the space between *Amos 'n' Andy* and *Beulah Land* is considerable. But in terms of characterization, those three decades appear to have produced little meaningful change. American television has not done justice to blacks. There is no substantial difference between a medium of entertainment and information which offered *Amos 'n' Andy*, with its minstrel-show stereotypes mixed with an occasional serious story or supporting role, and video in the 1980s, which offers comedy roles in quantity, but only on occasion delineates blacks in mature, respectful, and in-depth portrayal.

As viewers and consumers, Afro-Americans have been ill-served by video. Recent studies have confirmed that in entertainment TV, the medium continues to project images of individuals and families that are injurious to the self-image of black viewers, and misleading to whites. In a real world where many thousands of blacks have university educations, where minority aspirations for self-improvement have been alerted, and where a black bourgeoisie is a formidable entity, what is the significance of a situation where:

Blacks on television were found to be younger, leaner, funnier, and flashier. Economically, they were poorer, jobless or in jobs below the top echelons. . . . Black youngsters may see an imagery of desirable physical attributes but be disenchanted with the continuing low status features. White youngsters may learn to perceive blacks as buffoons who, by and large, stick to themselves, or else get lost in a white crowd.\textsuperscript{84}

And what does it suggest about American broadcasting when a minority group has achieved economic strength and constitutes a desirable consumer market, but still is unable to see itself portrayed honestly and intelligently on TV? Even in the thirty-second and one-minute commercials which proliferate on the medium, the inequitable treatment of Afro-Americans continues. Accord-
ing to a black psychiatrist, Dr. Chester Pierce, TV commercials evidence a destructive pattern of "subtle, stunning, often automatic and non-verbal exchanges which are put-downs of blacks by offenders." In one study, Pierce reported on the manner in which commercials reinforce the "never-ending burden" of racial disparagement found in TV. According to his conclusions:

1. Blacks are seen less frequently than animals.
2. Blacks never teach whites.
3. Blacks are seen eating more often than whites.
4. Blacks have fewer positive contacts with each other than whites have with each other.
5. Blacks have less involvement in family life.
6. Blacks more often work for wages and are nonprofessionals.
7. Blacks do not live in the suburbs.
8. Blacks entertain others.
9. Blacks never initiate or control actions, situations, or events.
10. Blacks evidence less command of technology.
11. Blacks have less command of space.82

In all fairness, some television programming in the past several years has been outstanding in its portrayal of Afro-Americans. There have been made-for-TV films that have treated the historic, social, and cultural aspects of black life. In *Don't Look Back*, on ABC on May 31, 1981, Louis Gossett, Jr. played the celebrated pitcher, Leroy "Satchel" Paige, who became one of the first blacks to play major league baseball. *Minstrel Man*, on CBS on March 2, 1977, starred Glynn Turman and Stanley Clay as part of a black minstrel family coping with life in American show business in the last quarter of the nineteenth century.

Bittersweet memories of a childhood spent in rural Arkansas during the 1930s was the focus of *I Know Why the Caged Bird Sings*, a CBS motion picture aired April 28, 1979. Based on the autobiography of actress-director-screenwriter Maya Angelou, it featured Constance Good as a young girl separated from her divorced parents (Roger E. Mosely and Diahann Carroll) and raised by a willful grandmother, portrayed by Esther Rolle. The south-
ern black experience was also the focus of *Freedom Road*, a poorly-received but conscientious filming of Howard Fast’s novel about an emancipated black man elected to the South Carolina legislature following the Civil War. When it aired on NBC on October 29–30, 1979, Muhammad Ali starred as the freedman-senator who was exploited and abused by whites during the Reconstruction.

Public television has acted responsibly in dignified series and film specials it has aired in recent years. William Miles, the renowned filmmaker, has brought two singular documentaries to PBS. *Men of Bronze* centered on the “Harlem Hellfighters,” the much-decorated black 369th Army Regiment which fought in World War I. *I Remember Harlem* was as much a personal remembrance as it was a four-hour treatment of the evolution of the New York City neighborhood during the twentieth century. Short stories by Richard Wright and Ernest J. Gaines were produced in the *American Short Story* series. PBS has highlighted black music and dance in such special programs as Oscar Brown, Jr.’s *From Jump Street* series, various broadcasts in its *SoundStage* production, and *With Ruby and Ossie*. In a single broadcast, the dramatic creativity of Lorraine Hansberry was displayed in *To Be Young, Gifted and Black*, starring Ruby Dee. *The World of My America* featured writer-actress Pauline Myers in a one-woman show in which she played twenty-five roles covering two centuries of Afro-American experience. *Only the Ball Was White* was a filmed tribute to the great black baseball players who, because of their race, were barred from white-only major league baseball until the late 1940s. And *A Bayou Legend* was a PBS production of William Grant Still’s folk opera.

From the old films of Paul Robeson, and James Earl Jones’ one-man show on Robeson, to coverage of the 1980 and 1981 national conventions of the NAACP and *Go Tell It . . . Ben Hooks Reports*, a news show hosted by the former FCC commissioner and later executive secretary of the NAACP, public television has
compensated partially for the lack of responsible performance by the commercial networks.

PBS and a few made-for-TV films notwithstanding, into the 1980s there has been no consistently mature response by television to Afro-Americans. One answer might have been to abolish stereotypes and produce only complex, realistic images of blacks. Another response might have been to maintain stereotype programs, but offset their impact with an equal amount of dignified characterizations of blacks. Neither course of action, however, was taken by commercial television.

Some have suggested that the only way TV will act responsibly toward blacks is when minorities infiltrate the creative aspects—as writers, directors, producers, and top executives—of programming and turn their sensitivities into policies. There have been only slight inroads in this direction. Norman Lear and Bud Yorkin, for example, have sought black writers for their productions. Illunga Adell was one of their earliest black scripters for Sanford and Son. Among other Afro-American writers in Hollywood are Lonne Elder III, Cecil Brown, Eric Monte, and China Clark. Yet as of 1981, the number of blacks writing for TV and motion pictures was small. Of the 5,569 members of the Writer’s Guild that year, only 65 were black; and of the 1,540 writers who earned a weekly salary, only 4 were black. It is a situation which prompted writer Cecil Brown to conclude:

Hollywood, in essence, is afraid to see blacks for what they are. It is only the blacks who can tell their own story on screen. Whites cannot tell their story, and since the whites cannot and the blacks are not permitted to, the story has not been told. . . . we are all ultimately the victims of an electronic plantation mentality which filters out the real world and turns its characters into caricatures.

As with writing for TV, Afro-Americans have not reached influential positions in executive production capacities. The result is that, while blacks may be seen on camera, they are still following
orders and implementing policies made by non-black superiors. In the case of network TV news, this remains the case into the 1980s. Certainly, there are black faces on the video screen. There are network correspondents like Lem Tucker, George Straight, Carole Simpson, Jacqueline Adams, Emery King, and Sam Ford. Ed Bradley has anchored the *CBS Weekend News* and co-hosted *60 Minutes*. At ABC, Max Robinson has been the only black anchor-man in network weekday news. On PBS, Carl T. Rowan, the influential newspaper columnist, has appeared regularly on political discussion shows; and Charlayne Hunter-Gault has been a featured part of the *MacNeil/Lehrer Report*. But behind the scenes, where corporate and program directions are fashioned, blacks have had little impact.

By the early 1980s, there was a growing concern among blacks that they had hit their peak in nonfiction TV, and that progress toward integrating video news would remain incomplete. According to an ABC News special *Viewpoint*, aired July 23, 1981, after more than a decade blacks had made little headway in reaching the upper echelons of TV news. Of the nineteen senior-producer executive positions in network TV news, none was held by an Afro-American. In fact, few blacks were even in line for such jobs. As of that date only 28 of 625 employees in the network producer corps were black. Of this number, 10 of 219 were at CBS, 6 of 206 were at ABC, and approximately 12 of 200 were at NBC. The highest ranking of these was the one news bureau chief—Frieda Williamson in Chicago—employed by NBC.

Several reasons might account for this imbalance. Most of the blacks who entered television in the late 1960s and 1970s opted for the more glamorous roles on camera. As reporters and anchors in local news, or as correspondents in network news, those early recruits chose the quicker route to fame and large salaries. Stations and networks, eager to assuage hostile community representatives, cooperated in guiding blacks away from executive positions and toward on-camera roles because that was what black pressure groups wanted. During those years of demanding equal treatment
in TV, black special interest and lobbying organizations pushed for employing minorities in highly visible capacities. The result, however, robbed blacks of involvement in exactly that aspect of TV news where power exists.

Other figures suggest that more than short-sighted decisions in the late 1960s and 1970s account for the contemporary frustration felt by blacks in television news. Had the networks really desired to integrate their operations, blacks would have been hired specifically for executive operations. Further, since 1978, according to the report on Viewpoint, there has been no appreciable increase in the number of blacks reporting the news on network TV. Although the total number of reporters had risen, there remained only eighteen or twenty black network correspondents. New blacks were being hired essentially as replacements for those who left for other jobs. To some, this suggests an overt pattern of racial discrimination. In the words of Renee Poussaint, a black anchorwoman at WJLA-TV in Washington, D.C.:

I think it's a function of this society. I think that broadcast journalism is a reflection of the whiter society. The whiter society has certain racist patterns that are repeated in our industry. 84

The history of blacks in American television has been one of honorable promises and noble intentions, constantly compromised by realities of the medium. Above all, TV is a business. Although only 15 of the 726 independently operated commercial stations are owned by the three networks, they exert an enormous influence on the overall nature of the medium. And ABC, NBC, and CBS are three large capitalistic corporations all intent on making money and rewarding their investors. Despite the honorable declarations, the bottom line in this multibillion dollar industry is making money. Lawrence K. Grossman, the president of PBS, argued in 1978 that greed was the motor force of television. "Greed is what runs TV, the avaricious pursuit of ratings, the insane battle to be No. 1, the lust for even higher profits," he contended. And this hectic picture was affirmed by the president of NBC-TV, Rob-
ert E. Mulholland, when he claimed that "in competing for audiences, the networks right now are in the most frantic horserace since Ben Hur. Win, place or show, the results are no longer predictable from season to season." 85

In this frantic atmosphere it is unrealistic to expect that television will meet its obligation to minority Americans, as well as to the mostly white mass audience as measured by the Nielsen ratings. Television utilizes the people's airwaves, it may be argued, hence the necessity to serve the people—all people. But TV is a medium of broadcasting. It is aimed broadly, at the largest audience possible. Words like "conscience," "obligation," and "trust" may be applied to obscure hours of the broadcasting day, but in prime time—where the meaningful ratings are obtained, where advertising rates are the highest, and where most profits are generated—the competitive nature of the TV business mitigates against programming not intended to deliver maximum audiences.

If American video still prefers the minstrel comics and stereotyped black subordinates, is it not because most Americans, specifically nonblack Americans, find more enjoyment in such characterizations than they do in serious, penetrating images of black men and women? If the Uncle Toms, coons, mammys, and pickaninnies still abound, is it not because the general public still likes its blacks presented in minstrel-show style?

Perhaps the bias-free promise will be achieved only when narrowcasting makes it feasible for television to serve smaller (in terms of relative millions of viewers) audiences and still make a profit. In a market area where dozens of stations competed, would not an audience of most resident blacks and a sizable percentage of whites constitute a commanding group to be served? Would not at least one of those stations respond with dignified black representations? Maybe in the cable future Afro-Americans, and others not threatened by complex delineations of mature blacks, will find the color-blind and bias-free realization that was promised at the birth of the medium. Whatever the answers, we are left once again with reminiscent words, this time
from Roone Arledge, the president of ABC News, spoken on ABC News Viewpoint aired in July 1981:

The power of television today in our society is so immense and so all-pervasive that conscious efforts must be made to allow all the groups in society to participate in this instrument. None of us ever wants to fall back on the argument that blacks aren’t qualified, and so what we have to do is to set up the mechanism that allows blacks to be qualified so that argument doesn’t even come up.

The unfulfilled promise survives.
Since the early 1970s the relationship between television and American blacks had been evolving toward a new balance. With the 1981–1982 TV season that new synthesis had been achieved. Black participation was now solidified somewhere between the great and expandingly equitable involvement of the Golden Age, and the exclusion that was inherent, if not completely realized, in the social and political backlash of the early 1970s.

Blacks remained a visible and strategic part of television comedy. As well as those who continued in series such as The Jeffersons, WKRP in Cincinnati, and Diff'rent Strokes, there were several notable comics in familiar characterizations. Nell Carter, whose shrieking and intimidating performance was a mainstay of Lobo, now took her mammy characterization to Gimme a Break, where she portrayed the hip and sassy housekeeper of a white father and his two motherless daughters. A former professional football player and star of several commercials for Lite beer,
Bubba Smith appeared as a comedic night manager in an all-night grocery store on *Open All Night*. On *Benson*, Robert Guillaume's central character ceased being a butler, and became a government bureaucrat—but still within a humorous context of wisecracks and put-downs.

In the often-revised *Saturday Night Live* comedy revue on NBC, Eddie Murphy scored well in two minstrel favorites. In a parody of Buckwheat, the wiry-haired pickaninny in the *Our Gang* comedies of the 1930s, Murphy delivered monologues in childish pidgin English while wearing a minstrel-show fright wig. Even more questionable were his spoofs of TV commercials in which he appeared as a black pimp, Velvet Jones, peddling books on how to train and discipline whores. With a sign for the Velvet Jones School of Technology over his shoulder, the wigged Murphy in broken English told his audience on the telecast of November 7, 1981:

Due to the overwhelin' response to my last book entitled, *I Want to Be a Ho*, there is yet another high-payin' job in demand. Hi, I'm Velvet Jones. Are you a male high school dropout between the ages of 18 and 42? Do you have three or more gold teef in front of you' mouf? Do you like flashy clothes, big cars, and like kickin' wimmen in the butt? If so, stop doin' all these things fo' free. Because thanks to me, Velvet Jones, you too in six short weeks can be taught to be a high-payin' pimp. That's right, it's a well-known fact that a good pimp can make up to $250,000 a year. And just think, because it's off the books, you can still get your welfare checks. Sound too good to be true? It is. And basically all you do is drive around in a big pink Cadillac, kick wimmen in the butt, and take their money. Sound simple? It is when you know how. Just send for my new book entitled, *I Want to Drive a Big Pink Cadillac, Wear Diamond Rings, and Kick Women in the Butt*. In it you'll find all the latest in clothes and special leg exercises you can use, so when you kick your ho's, they know you mean business. If you order now, I'll throw in absolutely free this pamphlet called "12 Easy Ways to Stomp a Ho." Here's how to order: Rush $83.95 to I Want
to Drive a Big Pink Cadillac, Wear Diamond Rings, and Kick Women in the Butt.

Blacks were virtually excluded from starring roles in dramatic series in the 1981–1982 season. There were, however, several strategic castings. Moses Gunn had a sensitive supporting part in Father Murphy. The Procter and Gamble soap opera Another World brought Afro-American characters firmly into the storyline. In the continuing series Hill Street Blues, black characters increasingly appeared in mature representations, yet such roles usually involved seamy images of crime, poverty, and brutality.

One of the most innovative uses of black talent occurred on Fame. Patterned after the hit motion picture of the same name, Fame presented stories of gifted and ambitious students—white, black, and Latino—coping with personal problems and the academic demands of the School of the Arts in New York City. Starring Erica Gimpel, Gene Anthony Ray, and Debbie Allen (who also choreographed the dance routines), the program offered an inventive blend of sensitive human drama and colorful musical and modern dance productions. Further, with its emphasis on themes of student discipline, self-improvement, sacrifice, and the will-to-succeed, Fame reflected the conservative social and political mood of the early 1980s.

The few other series spotlighting blacks seemed to have been cast by affirmative action officers sensitive to minority quotas. In Strike Force, Dorian Harewood played a black plain clothes policeman operating within a specially trained unit headed by Robert Stack and including one white woman and two other white men. In exactly the same ratio, 3:1:1—a casting formula first popularized by Mission: Impossible more than a decade earlier—on Today's FBI, Harold Sylvester portrayed a black FBI agent, part of a team headed by Mike Connors, and including two other white men and a white woman.

The success of black athletes in professional sports by 1982 be-
gan to threaten their appearance on television. When the chief scout for the Pittsburgh Pirates announced that his baseball team had to recruit young white players because "we're not going to be able to play nine blacks," he was speaking of the loss of support by white sports fans when open competition results in the domination of a professional team by black (Latino players being considered black by fans) players. This pattern has been most keenly felt by the National Basketball Association. The NBA was 7 percent black in the 1955–1956 season; it was 74 percent black in 1982, and 80 percent of the league's starters were black. In the NBA All-Star game in 1956 there was only one black player, but in the game in 1982 twenty-one of the twenty-four players were Afro-American. As a result of this racial reality, fan attendance and TV viewership and revenues have begun to diminish. For the 1982–1983 season, CBS has announced a television schedule which will relegate NBA coverage "almost exclusively to spring-time playoff games."  

The condition of blacks in television by the 1981–1982 season caused Ebony magazine to question whether or not Afro-Americans were being forgotten by white-owned and white-oriented TV. In an article entitled "Has TV Written Off Blacks?" Charles L. Sanders painted a distressing picture of black participation in the most popular medium of entertainment and information. He quoted Charles Floyd Johnson, a black producer with credits on The Rockford Files and the NBC series Bret Maverick. In Johnson's view, TV had abandoned Afro-Americans—except as comedians, historical figures, and subcharacters—because "the people who put up the enormous sums of money to make TV series don't believe they can make profits by casting Blacks in any other way—especially in serious, Black-oriented dramas."  

The situation was not totally bleak for Afro-Americans in the new TV season. There continued to be major achievements in PBS programming. PBS devoted the premier program in its intelligent series Creativity with Bill Moyers to Maya Angelou and her ambivalent memories of growing up in rural Arkansas. Impressive,
too, were two dramatic productions. For Colored Girls Who Have Considered Suicide/When the Rainbow Is Enuf was Ntozake Shange’s profound choreopoem about the pains and triumphs of life as a black woman. And Yaphet Kotto and Bernie Casey probed an area seldom explored in television drama, Afro-American revolutionaries, in A House Divided: Denmark Vesey’s Rebellion—a character study of the former slave who in 1822 attempted through armed uprising to free the slaves in Charleston, South Carolina.

Also on PBS, black musicians and singers continued to appear on the performance series, SoundStage. Contemporary black social and political problems were probed with regularity on network news and informational programs. And Tony Brown’s Journal inaugurated its return to PBS with an interview with President Ronald Reagan. Typical of producer Tony Brown’s provocative style, however, the second program in the series investigated the relationship between Black Muslim leader Elijah Muhammad, and slain black nationalist spokesman, Malcolm X.

On commercial network TV, there were several notable developments related to blacks. Newscasters Bryant Gumbel and Ed Bradley earned distinction in their craft, Gumbel as a new anchorperson on NBC’s Today show, and Bradley as a correspondent on 60 Minutes and as a reporter on several significant documentaries. Cicely Tyson appeared in yet another historic role, this time as Chicago school teacher Marva Collins, battling insensitive bureaucrats and skeptical parents to teach her ghetto pupils. In The Marva Collins Story, seen on CBS on December 1, 1981, Tyson not only struck a familiar chord with her return to biographical drama, but her portrayal of Collins refusing to take government funds and attacking governmental regulations and bureaucracy clearly tied this made-for-TV film to the antiregulatory and anti-government ethic of the Reagan presidency.

Few television dramas featuring black characters have been as humanly moving and racially unexploited as Sister, Sister, aired June 7, 1982 on NBC. Written by Maya Angelou, this made-for-TV film drew from many standard black social institutions—
religion, civil rights, family, and the return to ancestral roots in the South. But *Sister, Sister* was primarily a mature drama about three adult sisters, affected in differing ways by the memory of their stern deceased father, who are reunited when one of the sisters returns after years to their family house in a small southern town.

As portrayed by Diahann Carroll and Irene Cara, with Rosalind Cash as the sister returning from Detroit, the women fought, rejoiced, rejected, and recollected, all within the bonds of sisterhood. Although there were men in their lives, it was the relationship between sisters and the mystique of family—all sisters and all families—which were scrutinized in this singular presentation.

Notable among the few deviations in network prime-time TV in the new season was an explosive miniseries, *The Sophisticated Gents*. Seen on NBC over three evenings, September 29 and 30, and October 1, 1981, it starred an array of talented black actors in the story of a reunion of men who grew up together and last were together twenty-five years earlier. Among the stars of this production were Ron O'Neal, Robert Hooks, Roosevelt Grier, Bernie Casey, Thalmus Rasulala, Paul Winfield, Dick Anthony Williams, and Raymond St. Jacques. The teleplay was written by and also starred Melvin Van Peebles.

Certainly, the “gents” had evolved into middle-class achievements not generally seen in television productions. One was a successful politician, another an internationally known singer, still another was a college professor. Only Van Peebles’ character, a pimp, was a stereotyped role. The others lived in pleasant homes with wives and children. But what made *The Sophisticated Gents* an iconoclastic television experience was the sexuality—especially the interracial sexuality—which marked the production. Included in the program were two graphic bedroom scenes between a black man and a white woman. There was also black homosexuality and interracial marriage and kissing in the miniseries. Van Peebles admitted that he considered the program the TV equivalent of his revolutionary movie, *Sweet Sweetback's*
Baadasssss Song, which in 1971 broke conventions by projecting a black man as a sensual, rebellious, aggressive urban hero—a film that ended with the warning, emblazoned across the screen in large letters, "A BAADASSSSS NIGGER IS COMING BACK TO COLLECT SOME DUES."

While The Sophisticated Gents lacked the overtly rebellious quality of Van Peebles' cinematic achievement, the miniseries was unprecedented on TV. Even NBC seemed cautious with the program, since it had been completed more than two years before it was shown. In terms of attracting viewers, however, the production did poorly. It earned an average 11.1 rating and a 19.0 share—this compared to the 23.2 and 36 gained earlier by Beulah Land, and the 32.6 and 51 earned a year earlier by Shogun. In fact, the only miniseries in the 1980-1981 season to fare worse than Sophisticated Gents were reruns of Beggarman, Thief and Roots: The Next Generations, the latter producing a dismal 7.0 rating and a 15 share.

As with much in the history of blacks in white television, the situation in which Afro-Americans found themselves by the 1981-1982 season was a reflection of broader social and political attitudes in the society at large. The election of Ronald Reagan to the presidency brought to power a man and a philosophy intent upon curtailing governmental activity in social matters. In the Reagan view, federal intervention destroyed individual initiative. This thwarted business, hampered the rights of states and localities, and compromised the values to which the United States had always given lip service. With Reagan's new federalism came a dismantling of social service agencies and drastic cutbacks in federal funding for those agencies, both state and federal, that managed to survive. Added to that were increasing unemployment and economic disarray felt most keenly in black communities, and a lack of Afro-American political power within the new administration, since Reagan was elected with little black support.

There was also a rising wave of racial intolerance in the nation at this time. Organizations such as the Ku Klux Klan enjoyed new
popularity. The incidence of racial violence increased. And the sagging national economy promised only more aggravation. These themes were communicated most forcefully in two network documentaries in late 1981. An ABC News Closeup, "Wounds from Within," sought to understand this new "emerging pattern of racial attacks around the country." It focused on the KKK and its hate campaign against Vietnamese fishermen along the Gulf Coast in Texas. It treated the torching of a synagogue in Southern California by members of the American Nazi party. And it reported on two acts of violence against blacks: the bombing of a suburban home owned by blacks in the San Francisco area, and the senseless slaying of a fourteen-year-old black girl by a white boy only two years her senior. "Wounds from Within" was aired on October 18, and indicated that such acts of violence were occurring "at a time when many sensed a new, general mood of rancor toward minorities." It suggested that by this date a strange twist had developed in the way many perceived the civil rights issue. From approval in the 1960s through suppression in the 1970s, the program now suggested that the "legislation and affirmative action that came out of the great civil rights struggles of the sixties had produced a curious backfire: a bitter sense among a number of hard-pressed whites that they were now the oppressed minority."

Starker still was an NBC White Paper entitled, "America—Black and White," which was televised on September 9. This ninety-minute study of racial attitudes in contemporary society was one which narrator Garrick Utley called "a story too few whites pay attention to today." It dealt with racial anger precipitated in a New York City suburb because of the integration of schools. It focused also on apparent discrimination at the University of North Carolina. From Los Angeles, Detroit, and elsewhere "America—Black and White" offered a dismal look at American realities. And there was something depressing and nostalgic of the earliest days of the civil rights movement when a black female student at Davidson College in North Carolina sadly rebuked a white fellow student:
You’ll never know what it’s like to watch your children or your parents not be able to reach their goals. You’ll never know what it’s like to see them so frustrated they give up and leave you. You’ll never have a part of your human dignity taken because of your color. And that’s what it’s like to be black.

Even more sobering was Utley’s final comment in which he noted that for most blacks “that elusive thing called the American Dream” was still “an impossible dream.” According to Utley, “205 years since Jefferson wrote the Declaration of Independence, 119 years since Lincoln signed the Emancipation Proclamation, and 17 since Congress passed the Civil Rights Act, America still remains in many ways two nations, black and white.” It is a situation fully understood through a study of the history of Afro-Americans in television.

Once a great promise of equality and a catalyst for positive social change, TV by the early 1980s ceased to play an active role in the improvement of racial understanding in the United States. Certainly, there were exceptions to the rule. But in general, contemporary American TV has surrendered its social initiative. It has returned to the safer and more profitable utilization of ethnic stereotypes and unoffensive social rhetoric. As late as 1982, one influential white TV critic could applaud the stereotypes in the NBC series, Gimme a Break, and conclude:

Nell [Carter] is black, built like a medicine ball, and emphasizes her lines by tossing her enormous torso from side to side. . . . Oddly, blacks have become the last ethnics in popular entertainment. In radio days, ethnic types were rampant: Charlie Chan, Parkyakarkas, The Mad Russian . . . but the immigrant generation disappeared. . . . Black people, maybe because they didn’t melt into the pot so readily, kept some of their folkways and speech styles—with a lot of help from screenwriters. Anyway, I guess it’s a sign that we have all loosened up on a touchy matter that a black person can be cast as a household domestic.

At the same time, the distinguished producer of Roots and
Roots: The Next Generations, David L. Wolper, could admit TV’s shortcomings and call upon the industry to use the most popular programs “to transmit ideas of a socially significant nature.” Recalling that more than a quarter-billion viewers throughout the world learned of slavery through the Roots miniseries, Wolper suggested that by introducing relevant themes into shows such as Magnum P.I., The Love Boat, Dallas, and Laverne and Shirley, television “can significantly elevate the knowledge and enrich the lives of the entire population of this country.”

Between these two possibilities—the glorification of minstrel conventions and the use of TV as an educative social force—the history of blacks in television has evolved. It has been a story both of significant accomplishments and of massive insensitivity and neglect. Maybe its resolution lies in the video of the future—the world of cable systems, increased network nonfiction programming, and greater minority representation in TV management and decision making. If the historical record can be used as a device by which to measure this future, however, the outlook is not promising. It has taken television three and one-half decades to reach its present racial posture. A like amount of time would put TV and American society well into the next century. It would also demand from Afro-Americans another thirty years or more of patience. To require such forbearance is neither reasonable nor just.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris and Company, 228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart to Hart, 226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Harvest of Shame&quot; (CBS Reports), 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have Gun/Will Travel, 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawk, 109, 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazel Scott Show, 17, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage, 94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Heritage of Slavery, The&quot; (Of Black America), 139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Chaparral, The, 109, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Finance, 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Street Blues, 224–25, 227, 241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of the Negro People, 209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogan's Heroes, 109, 113, 123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollywood High, 197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey West, 109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Horse Has a Big Head ... Let Him Worry, A&quot; (Naked City), 106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot L Baltimore, 180–81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Divided, A: Denmark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesey's Rebellion, 243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard K. Smith with News and Comment, 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Howdy Doody, 193
Hudson Brothers Razzle Dazzle Comedy Show, The, 196
Hullabaloo, 79

I Know Why the Caged Bird Sings, 231
I Love Lucy, 115
"In Search of a Past" (Of Black America), 139, 141-42
Interns, The, 108, 160
I Remember Harlem, 232
Ironsides, 109, 123, 160
I Spy, 39, 109-13, 119, 193
Issues and Answers, 94
It’s Good to Be Alive, 169
It Takes a Thief, 122

Jack Benny Program, 23
Jackie Gleason Show, 11
Jackson Five, The, 194
Jefferson Drum, 75
Jeffersons, The, 165, 176-77, 180, 182-85, 192, 239, 256n
Jesse Owens’ Dixie Showtime, 20
Jetsons, The, 194
Joe Forrester, 200
Johnny Ringo, 75-76
Julia, 108, 114-19, 129, 159-60, 175, 193, 198
"Julian Houseman Story, The" (The Big Story), 45
Jungle Jim, 25
Just an Old Sweet Song, 170, 228
"Justice for All?" 139
Just Jazz, 210

Kenny and Flo Show, 21
Kid Power, 256n
Kids Are People, Too, 196
Killing Affair, A, 171
Kinfolks, 228
King, 168-69
Kojak, 184
Kraft Television Theater, 15, 48

“Ku Klux Klan” (CBS Reports), 137

“Land of the Free” (Death Valley Days), 45
Land of the Giants, 109, 123
Laugh In. See Rowan and Martin’s Laugh In
Laverne and Shirley, 187, 248
Law and Mr. Jones, The, 76
Lawman, 76
Law of the Plainsman, 76
Lawrence Welk Show, 104, 109, 160
“Laya” (I Spy), 111
Lazarus Syndrome, The, 226-27
Leslie Uggams Show, 108, 119-20
“Let That Be Your Last Battlefield” (Star Trek), 157
Life with Luigi, 20
Like It Is, 210-11
Little Rascals, 24, 198, 214, 240
Lobo, 225, 239
Loner, The, 75
“Lost Class of ’59, The,” 90-91
Lou Grant, 103
Love Boat, The, 225, 229, 248
Love Is Not Enough, 228
Love of Life, 165
Love Thy Neighbor, 188
Lucas Tanner, 197

MacNeil/Lehrer Report, 234
Magic Flute, The (TV adaptation of the opera), 47
Magnum, P.I., 224, 248
Mahalia Jackson Show, 21
Make Room for Daddy. See Danny Thomas Show
Make Room for Granddaddy, 109, 160
“Man Called Mays, A,” 104
Man Called Sloane, A, 225
Man from Atlantis, 224
Man from U.N.C.L.E., The, 109-10
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"Man Is Ten Feet Tall, A" (Philco Television Playhouse), 47-48
Mannix, 109, 122, 160, 200
March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom (TV coverage), 99-100
Marlo and the Magic Movie Machine, 196
Mary Hartman, Mary Hartman, 176
Mary Tyler Moore Show, 109, 160, 187
M.A.S.H., 187-88
Matt Lincoln, 109, 123, 160
Maude, 176-77, 182-83
Maverick, 75
Mayberry, R.F.D., 127
Meet the Press, 94
Member of the Wedding, A, 41
Men of Bronze, 232
Mickey Mouse Club, 193. See also
New Mickey Mouse Club
Minstrel Man, 231
Mr. Dugan, 181
Mr. Novak, 102, 104
Mr. Wizard, 193
Mod Squad, The, 108, 122, 160, 201
Mork and Mindy, 228
Most Wanted, 200
My Little Margie, 23
"My Name Is Mr. X.", 94
My Sweet Charlie, 170

Naked City, 76, 91, 106
Name of the Game, The, 121, 157
Name That Tune, 16
Nancy Walker Show, 182
Nat King Cole Show, 50, 57-64, 75, 107, 113
NBC News Special, 137-38
NBC Opera Theater, 46-47
NBC Wednesday Night Movie, 91

NBC White Paper, 88, 246-47
"Newark: Anatomy of a Riot" (Time for Americans), 139
New Bill Cosby Show, 188-89
New Mickey Mouse Club, 196. See also Mickey Mouse Club
New People, The, 108, 123
Newsbeat, 86
New Temperatures Rising, 188
New York Illustrated, 94
"No Hiding Place" (East Side/West Side), 105
"Noon on Doomsday" (United States Steel Hour), 43-44
Nurses, The, 102, 104, 106
N.Y.P.D., 108, 122

Of Black America, 139-42
Olympus Minstrels, 17
Omnibus, 46, 48
On Being Black, 213
One Day at a Time, 176, 182-84
One in a Million, 176, 180, 183, 225

One in a Million: The Ron LeFlore Story, 169
One Life to Live, 163-65
Only the Ball Was White, 232
On the Rocks, 187-88
Open All Night, 240
Open End, 42-43, 86
Original Amateur Hour, 16
Our Gang. See Little Rascals
Outcasts, The, 108, 124-29
Outer Limits, 104

Palmerstown, 183, 228-29
Palmerstown, U.S.A., 183, 228
Paris, 203, 227
"Parole Officer, The" (Philco Television Playhouse), 46
Partners, The, 160
Pearl Bailey Show, 160
Perry Mason, 78
Person to Person, 35, 37
Perspectives, 94
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Peter Gunn, 76
Petulia, 79
Peyton Place, 109, 123
Philco Television Playhouse, 46-48
Phyllis, 187
Playwrights '56, 45
Police Woman, 200
"Portrait in Black and White" (Of Black America), 139
Positively Black, 210
Practice, 184
"Prejudice and the Police" (Time for Americans), 139
Project U.F.O., 224
Protectors, The, 108, 122-23. See also The Bold Ones
Queen for a Day, 15
Ramar of the Jungle, 25, 120
Rawhide, 109, 124
Rebel, The, 75
"Report on South Africa" (See It Now), 37
Rhoda, 187
Rhythm Show, 21
Richard Pryor Show, 189-91
Richard Pryor Special? 189-90
Rich Man, Poor Man, 218-19
Rich Man, Poor Man—Book Two, 219
Rifleman, The, 75-76
Righteous Apples, 166
Right On, 210
Ring of Passion, 169
Riverboat, 79-80
Robin Hood, 59
Rockford Files, The, 223, 226, 242
Roll of Thunder, Hear My Cry, 169
Roll Out, 188
Romper Room, 193
Rookies, The, 201
Room 222, 108, 128-29, 160, 197
Roots, 214-24, 227
Roots: The Next Generations, 214-23, 245, 248
Rosey Grier Show, 189
Route 66, 76-77
Rowan and Martin's Laugh In, 109, 160
Rush to Freedom, 209-10
Sabrina the Teenaged Witch, 194
Safari, 26
"Same Mud, Same Blood" (NBC News Special), 138
Sammy and Company, 189
Sammy Davis, Jr. Show, 108, 113-14
Sanford, 180, 183
Sanford and Son, 176-77, 180-82, 184-85, 214, 233
Sanford Arms, The, 180, 183
Saturday Night Live, 187, 225, 240-41
"Second Agony of Atlanta, The," 88
Second Half of the George Kirby Hour, The, 189
Secret Agent, 109
See It Now, 35-38, 41, 89-91
Sepia, 20
Sesame Street, 193-94, 213
Shaft, 201-3
Shazam, 196
Sheena, Queen of the Jungle, 25-26, 120
Shindig, 79
Shivaree, 79
Shogun, 245
Showtime, U.S.A., 48
Silent Force, The, 109, 160
Sing-Along with Mitch, 109, 120
Sing It Again, 18
Sirota's Court, 188
Sister, Sister, 243-44
"Sit In" (NBC White Paper), 88
$64,000 Challenge, 16
$64,000 Question, 16, 60
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60 Minutes, 192, 229, 234, 243
Sleepy Joe, 17
Smothers Brothers Comedy Hour, 120
Soap, 187
Solid Black, 211
Sonny and Cher Comedy Hour, 187
Sophisticated Gents, The, 244-45
Soul, 213
Soul Scene, 210
Soul Searching, 210
Soul Train, 210, 214
SoundStage, 232, 243
Space Patrol, 193
Spotlight on Harlem, 20
Stairway to the Stars, 20
Starsky and Hutch, 184, 200
"Star Spangled Ghetto, The" (The Defenders), 103
Star Trek, 109, 123, 157
Steve Allen Show, 75
Stop the Music! 19
Storefront Lawyers, The, 109, 160-61
Strike Force, 241, 256n
Strike It Rich, 16
Studio One, 39, 41-42
"Study of Two Cities, A" (See It Now), 36
"Sugar Hill." See Leslie Uggams Show
Sugar Hill Times, 18-20
Summer Olympics (1976), 215
"Summer '67: What We Learned" (NBC News Special), 137
Supertrain, 224
Szysznyk, 197

"Take This Hammer," 133
Tarzan, 26, 121-22
Television Chapel, 15
Temperatures Rising, 188
Tenafly, 201, 203
"Tenement, The" (CBS News Special), 138
Tenspeed and Brown Shoe, 226
Texaco Star Theater, 12, 18-20
That's My Mama, 187
That Was the Week That Was, 104
"Third Choice, The" (The Name of the Game), 121
30 Minutes, 196
Three for Tonight, 46
"Thunder on Sycamore Street" (Studio One), 41-42
Time for Americans, 139, 142-43
Toast of the Town, 3, 13, 15, 20.
See also Ed Sullivan Show
To Be Young, Gifted and Black, 232
Today, 15, 243
Today's FBI, 241
Today with Mrs. Roosevelt, 52-56
Tonight, 3, 13-15, 110
Tonight with Belafonte, 106
Tony Brown's Journal, 211, 213, 218, 223, 243. See also Black Journal
Tony Orlando and Dawn, 189
"Toward Tomorrow" (Du Pont Cavalcade Theater), 46
Trackdown, 110
Trapper John, M.D., 224
"Trouble in Harlem" (New York Illustrated), 94
Trouble with Father, 23
TV Teen Club, 15
Twentieth Century, The, 91
20/20, 192
Two Faces West, 75

"Unbroken Chains" (The Young Rebels), 161-63
Uncle Tom's Cabin (on Omnibus), 48
United States Steel Hour, 43-44, 46
Up and Coming, 166
U.S. of Archie, The, 196
Valley of the Dinosaurs, 196
Vega$, 224
Viewpoint, 234–35, 237
V.I.P., 56–57

“Walk in My Shoes” (Closeup), 87–88
Waltons, The, 227–28
Wanted: Dead or Alive, 75
“Washington—A City in Trouble,” 94
Waterfront, 23
“Watts: Riot or Rebellion?” (CBS Reports), 137
Waverly Wonders, The, 197
Wedding Band, 170–71
Wedding Bells, 15
Weekend Special, 196
Welcome Back, Kotter, 184, 197
We’ve Got Each Other, 188
What’s Happening!! 176, 180–82, 185, 197
What’s Happening to America? 139, 142
What’s My Line? 91

White Shadow, The, 197–98
“Who Do You Kill?” (East Side/West Side), 105–6, 144
“Who Speaks for the South?” (CBS Reports), 89
Wilma, 168–69
“Winner by Decision” (General Electric Theater), 46
With Ruby and Ossie, 210, 232
W.K.R.P. in Cincinnati, 225, 239
Woman Called Moses, A, 168
World of My America, The, 232
World Series (1976), 215
“Wounds from Within” (Closeup), 246

Young Dan’l Boone, 224
Young Lawyers, The, 108, 160
Your Show of Shows, 11

Zane Grey Theater, 76
Zoom, 196
Index

Abernathy, Ralph David, 130
Action for Children’s Television, 194
Adams, Jacqueline, 234
Adanti, Paul, 67
Adell, Illunga, 233
See also Sponsors
AFTRA. See American Federation of Television and Radio Artists
Agnew, Spiro T., 151–54
Alabama Educational Television Commission, 206
Alexanderson, Ernst Frederick Werner, xiii
Alger, Horatio, 221
Ali, Muhammad, 173, 232
Allen, Debbie, 241
Allen, Fred, 19
Allen, Steve, 3, 13–14, 42
Almond, J. Lindsay, Jr., 71, 90–91
American Civil Liberties Union, 55
American Council on Race Relations, 5
American Federation of Television and Radio Artists (AFTRA), 81–82
American Friends Race Relations Committee, 5
American Legion, 53–54
American Nazi party, 246
American Negro Theater, 39
Amin, Idi, 191
Amory, Cleveland, 105, 113–14, 202
Amos, John, 160, 186, 216–17
Anderson, Eddie “Rochester,” 3, 23, 48, 72
Anderson, Marian, 13, 37, 41
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And God Created Woman (feature film), 72
Andrews, Tina, 164
Angelou, Maya, 191, 231, 242–43
Anna Lucasta (Broadway play), 77
Annenberg School of Communications, 208–9
Arledge, Roone, 237
Arlen, Michael, 177–78
Armstrong, Louis, 11, 36–37
Asanta, Malefi Kete, 253n
Ashmore, Harry, 70
Attucks, Crispus, 6
Ashmore, Robert Alan, 47–49

Bailey, Bill, 11
Bailey, Pearl, 11, 60, 113
Baldwin, James, 133
Barnett, Ross, 96–97
Barnes, Ross, 96–97
Baraka, Imamu, 212
Bardot, Brigitte, 72
Barnett, Ross, 96–97
Basset, Count, 7, 58, 104
Bates, Peg Leg, 13
Beavers, Louise, 22
Belafonte, Harry, 13, 19, 45–46, 60, 79, 106, 113, 143, 211
Benny, Jack, 23
Benton and Bowles, 253n
Bergen, Edgar, 19
Berle, Milton, 12–13, 18–19
Bernard, Ed, 198, 200
Berry, Edwin "Bill," 211
Berry, Fred, 197
BEST. See Black Efforts for Soul in Television
Best, Willie, 23–24, 35, 95
Biggers, Earl Derr, 24
Billy Ward and the Dominoes, 13
Birdsong, Cindy, 121
Birmingham News, 70
Birth of a Nation (feature film), 127
Black Broadcasting Coalition, 205
Black Caesar (feature film), 167
Black Citizens for Fair Media, 205–6

Black Citizens for Media Access, 205
Black Economic Development Council, 132–33
Black Efforts for Soul in Television (BEST), 194
Blackenstein (feature film), 167
Black Girl (feature film), 168
Black Muslim religion, 74, 86, 133, 136, 243
Black Panther party, 132
Blaque, Taurean, 224–25
Blacula (feature film), 167
Blake, Eubie, 213
Blaxploitation films. See Motion pictures, blaxploitation
Blinn, William, 219, 226
Bochco, Stephen, 227
Bogle, Don, 127
Bond, Julian, 210
Boss Nigger (feature film), 167
Boy with the Green Hair, The (feature film), 6
Bradley, Ed, 234, 243
Bratton, Johnny, 15
Bridges, Lloyd, 200
Bridges, Todd, 198
Briggs, Bunny, 13
Brinkley, David, 58
Broder, Herb, 103
Brown, Cecil, 233
Brown, Chelsea, 123
Brown, Edmund G., 134
Brown, Georg Stanford, 201, 216, 220, 223, 227
Brown, H. Rap, 116, 133, 211
Brown, Johnny, 114
Brown, Les, 143, 157, 175
Brown, Oscar, Jr., 232
Brown, Tony, 212–13, 243
Brown, Walter J., 73
Browne, Roscoe Lee, 121, 124, 126, 209
Brown v. Board of Education, 34, 131
Bryant, Willie, 18
Buck, Frank, 26
Buckwheat, 24, 240
Bunche, Ralph, 13, 37, 46, 56
Burch, Dean, 153
Burke, Georgia, 45
Burr, Raymond, 123
Burton, LeVar, 169, 216
“Bwana Don,” 26

_Cabin in the Sky_ (feature film), 72
_California Eagle_, 27–28
Calloway, Cab, 11, 37
Campanella, Roy, 37, 169
Cannell, Stephen J., 226
Cannon, J. D., 170–71
Cantor, Eddie, xiii
Cara, Irene, 244
Carlos, John, 142
Carmichael, Stokely, 132, 136–37, 152, 211
Carpenter, Thelma, 19, 119
Carroll, Petula, 79
Clark, Dick, 79
Clark, Kenneth, 142
Clark, Roy, 173
Clay, Stanley, 231
Cleaver, Eldridge, 132, 152, 211
_Cleopatra Jones_ (feature film), 167
_Coffy_ (feature film), 167
Cole, Nat King, xi, 6, 11, 57–63, 107, 127, 172; King Cole Trio, 57
Cole, Olivia, 217
Coleman, Gary, 185, 198–99
Collins, Marva, 243
Color line, 40–41, 77–78, 106, 110
Commission on Civil Disorders (Kerner Commission), 136, 139, 156
Committee for the Negro in the Arts, 9
Committee of Twelve, 9

_Chicago Defender_, 6, 18, 82
Children’s programming, 101, 193–96, 204
Children’s Television Workshop, 193
Childress, Alice, 171
Childress, Alvin, 27
Chocolateers, 19
Christian, Robert 169
Cioffi, Lou, 35
Civil Rights Commission (New York State), 206
Clark, China, 233
Clark, Jimmy (boxer), 15
Clark, Jimmy (President), 225
Clay, Johnny, 173
Commission on Civil Disorders (Kerner Commission), 136, 139, 156
Committee for the Negro in the Arts, 9
Committee of Twelve, 9
Committee on Civil Rights, 4
Committee on Education and Labor, 77
Committee on Integration (New York Society for Ethical Culture), 82-83, 100-102
Congressional Black Caucus, 181, 206
Congress on Racial Equality (CORE), 81, 133, 136
Connelly, Marc, 48
Connery, Sean, 109
Connor, "Bull," 98
Connors, Mike, 241
Conrad, Robert, 225
Conyers, John, 141
Cook, Lawrence, 164
Cook, Nathan, 198
Cooley High (feature film), 197
Cooney, Joyce Ganz, 193
Coordinating Council for Negro Performers (CCNP), 9-10
Copage, Marc, 116, 198
CORE. See Congress on Racial Equality
Cornelius, Don, 210
Correll, Charles, 26-27, 193
Cosby, Bill, 39, 104, 109-13, 117-19, 122, 127, 140, 172, 175, 188-89, 194-95
Crenna, Richard, 181
Cripps, Thomas, 40-41
Crosse, Rupert, 160
Crossfire (feature film), 6
Crothers, Scatman, 187, 217
Culp, Robert, 109-10
Cumbuka, Ji-Tu, 224-25
Curtis, Tony, 72
Daley, Richard J., 135
Dandridge, Dorothy, 75-76
Dandridge, Ruby, 22
Danelle, John, 165
Daniels, Billy, 18, 57, 107
Davis, Angela, 211-12
Davis, Billy, Jr., 113, 189
Davis, Clifton, 187, 189
Davis, Ossie, 48, 77, 103, 121, 210
Davis, Sammy, Jr., 13, 60, 75-76, 112-14, 127, 172, 189
Dawn, 189
Day, Doris, 58
Deane, Palmer, 165
DeBerry, Frances, 16
Dee, Ruby, 103, 105, 123, 164, 170-71, 210, 232
Defiant Ones, The (feature film), 72
Demery, Yvonne, 223-24
Department of Race Relations of the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, 5
Destination Freedom (radio program), 6, 166
Detective programming, 24, 38-39, 64, 76, 92, 109-12, 200-204, 224, 227
Diana Ross and the Supremes, 114, 121-22
Dixon, Ivan, 112
Documentary programming, 86-92, 132-43, 158, 232, 246-47. See also News programming
Dorsey, Tommy, 58
Downs, Bill, 35
DuBois, Ja'net, 165, 217
DuBois, W. E. B., 56
Duffy, James E., 156-57
Duncan, Arthur, 104
Dunham, Katherine, 5
Dunlap, Orrin E., Jr., xiv
Durham, Richard, 6, 166

Eastland, James O., 98
Ebony, 2, 16, 21, 40, 62, 64, 242
Eckstine, Billy, 7, 57
Economic conditions, blacks and, 7, 70, 62–63, 101–2, 224, 235–36
Edmonia, 20
Edmons, S. Randolph, 30
Edwards, Gerald, 120, 198
Edwards, Harry, 142
Edwards, James, 28, 46, 76, 103
Eisenhower, Dwight D., 27, 83
Elder, Lonne, III, 233
Eldridge, Roy, 77
Ellender, Allen J., 94
Ellington, Duke, 7, 11, 13, 19, 37, 46, 211
Elliott, William, 188
Ely, Ron, 26
Emmy Awards, 106, 110, 141, 168, 172, 211, 217, 226. See also National Academy of Television Arts and Sciences
Erman, John, 220
Ervin, Sam, 95
Erwin, Stu, 23
Evans, Damon, 165
Evers, Charles, 137
Fair Employment Practices Commission, 4–5
Falana, Lola, 114
Fame (feature film), 241
Farentino, James, 200
Fargas, Antonio, 200
Farina, 24
Fast, Howard, 103, 232
Faubus, Orval E., 71, 94, 97
FCC. See Federal Communications Commission
Fetchit, Stepin, 3, 23–24, 126
Fields, Kim, 225
Fishburne, Lawrence, 165
Fisher, Gail, 122, 200
Fitzgerald, Ella, 13, 60
Five on the Black Hand Side (feature film), 168
Ford, Sam, 234
Foreman, James, 132–33
Foster, George, 143
Foster, Stephen, 8
Four Vagabonds, 20
Foxy, REDD, 113, 185, 189
Foxy Brown (feature film), 167
Frank, Reuven, 155
Franklin, Carl, 200–201
Frederick, Hal, 160
Freed, Alan, 79
Freed, Fred, 137
Freeman, Al, Jr., 164, 170, 180
Friendly, Fred W., 41, 89–90
Fulbright, J. William, 154
Gaines, Ernest J., 232
Gavilan, Kid, 15
Gelbert, Larry, 188
Gentleman’s Agreement (feature film), 6
Gerber, David, 229
Gibbs, Marla, 177
Gibson, Althea, 37
Gibson, D. Parke, 101–2
Gillespie, Dizzy, 211
Gilliam, Stu, 188
Gimpel, Erica, 241
Gladys Knight and the Pips, 189
Gleason, Jackie, 11, 58
Glenn, Roy, 121
Go, Man, Go (feature film), 72
Gobel, George, 173
Godwin, Wills E., Jr., 71
Goldbergs, The (radio program), 29
Goldenson, Leonard H., 218
Good, Constance, 231
Goode, Mal, 92, 143
Gosden, Freeman, 26–27, 193
Gossett, Louis, Jr., 160, 162, 217, 226–27, 231
Gould, Jack, 38
Grant, Bill, 15
Graves, Teresa, 160, 204
Greased Lightning (feature film), 189
Greaves, William, 212
Green, David, 220
Green, Eddie, 4, 6
Greene, Lorne, 224
Gregory, Dick, 77, 134, 175
Grice, Wayne, 122
Grier, Roosevelt, 124, 160, 244
Griffin, Marvin, 8
Griffith, D. W., 127
Grossman, Lawrence K., 235
Guess Who's Coming to Dinner (feature film), 141
Guillaume, Robert, 187, 224-25, 240
Gumbel, Bryant, 243
Gunn, Hartford T., Jr., 154
Gunn, Moses, 241
Gutter Pipers, 20
Haldeman, H. R. "Bob," 153
Haley, Alex, 6, 214-16, 219-22, 228
Hall, Ed, 226
Hall, Jon, 25
Hamer, Fannie Lou, 211
Hamilton, Bernie, 200
Hamilton, Lynn, 228
Hamilton, Roy, 20
Hammer, Earl, Jr., 228
Hampton, Lionel, 7, 11, 13, 20, 75
Handy, W. C., 37
Hansberry, Lorraine, 232
"Happy Talk" news, 155-56
Harewood, Dorian, 241, 256n
Harlem Committee on Unemployment in Television, 9
Harlem Globetrotters, 11, 72, 196
Harlem Trade Union Council, 54
Harris, Percy, 22
Harris, Ron, 187
Hartman, Ena, 78
"Has TV Written Off Blacks?" (Ebony), 242
Hawkins, Coleman, 77
Hayakawa, S. I., 74, 204
Hayman, Lillian, 164
Haynes, Lloyd, 128-29
Hell Up in Harlem (feature film), 167
Hemphill, Shirley, 197, 225
Hemsley, Sherman, 177, 185
Henry, John, tales of, 6
Henson, Matthew, 15
Hernandez, Juana, 45, 76-77
Hicks, Hilly, 188, 217
Hines, Earl "Fatha," 75
Hitler, Adolf, 222
Ho Chi Minh, 222
Holder, Geoffrey, 16
Holly, Ellen, 163-64, 166
Holman, Ben, 92
Home of the Brave (feature film), 46
Home Testing Institute, 110
Hooks, Benjamin, 207, 232
Hooks, Kevin, 198
Hooks, Robert, 122, 170, 244
Hopkins, Telma, 189
Horne, Lena, 5, 11, 72, 113, 143, 173
Howard, Bob, 18
Howard, Ken, 198
Howard, Perry, 52
Hughes, Langston, 6
Hunter-Gault, Charlayne, 234
Huntley, Chet, 58
Hyman, Earle, 105
Igus, Darrow, 188, 225
Ingram, Rex, 76
Ink Spots, 4, 13, 21, 57
International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, 206
Intruder in the Dust (feature film), 6
Island in the Sun (feature film), 72-73
Jack, Beau, 16
Jackie Robinson Story, The (feature film), 72
Jackson, Jesse, 130
Jackson, Mahalia, 37, 173
Jackson Five, 113, 189
Jacobs, Lawrence-Hilton, 197, 217
Javits, Jacob, 94
Jefferson, Herb, Jr., 224
Jenkins, Gordon, 58
Jet, 39, 171
Johnson, Charles Floyd, 223, 242
Johnson, Lyndon B., 107, 112, 136, 145–46, 151, 154
Johnson, Martin Luther, 79, 86, 88, 93, 95, 98–100, 118, 130, 133, 137, 139, 156, 168
Johnson, Tony, 200
Johnson Family, The (radio program), 17
Joint Committee Against Communism, 54
Jolson, Al, 17
Jones, Martin, 52
Jones, Quincy, 118
Journal of Popular Film and Television, xi
Kaltenborn, H. V., 54
Kanter, Hal, 117
Kaunda, Kenneth, 211
Kaye, Danny, 37
Keach, Stacy, 201
Kennedy, John F., 83, 85, 88, 94, 112, 145, 151
Kennedy, Robert F., 139
Kenny, Herb, 21
Kerner, Otto, 136, 139, 156
Kerner Commission. See Commission on Civil Disorders
Kerner Report. See Commission on Civil Disorders
Khomeini, Ayatollah, 222
Killens, John Oliver, 144
Kilpatrick, Eric, 198
Kilpatrick, Lincoln, 120
King, Aldine, 224
King, B. B., 173
King, Coretta Scott, 168
King, Emery, 234
King, Mabel, 185
King, Martin Luther, 79, 86, 88, 93, 95, 98–100, 118, 130, 133, 137, 139, 156, 168
Knight, Bob, 203
Korean War, 35–36, 52
Kotto, Yaphet, 121, 243
Kramer, Stanley, 46
Ku Klux Klan, 89, 245–46
Kwapong, Alex, 141
Labor unions. See Unions
Lady Sings the Blues (feature film), 168
Laine, Frankie, 60
Lampell, Millard, 105
Landry, Robert J., 7
Lange, Ted, 225
LaRosa, Julius, 60
Laws, Sam, 188
Lear, Norman, 103, 175–87, 192, 198, 228, 233, 256n
Learning Tree, The (feature film), 168
Lee, Canada, 5, 45
Lee, Johnny, 27, 173
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Rochester. See Anderson, Eddie
"Rochester"
Rodrigues, Percy, 123, 160, 200
Rolle, Esther, 186, 231
Romance of Helen Trent, The (radio
program), 163
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“Sleep ‘n’ Eat.” See Best, Willie
Smith, Bubba, 240
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Television Authority Committee on Employment Opportunities for Negroes, 9–10
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Williams, Clarence, III, 122, 201
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*Window Dressing on the Set: An Update (study of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission), 209

Winfield, Paul | 116, 161–62, 168–69, 244 |

Wolff, Perry  | 141                |

Wolper, David L.| 218, 248          |

Woodfield, William | 202–3           |

Woods, Ren    | 188                |

Woods, Robert | 195                |

Wright, Richard | 232               |

Wright, Samuel E. | 225            |

Writers, black, in TV | 119, 185, 233|

Writers Guild of America | 81, 233 |

Yorkin, Bud | 175–87, 192, 198, 233 |

Young, Andrew | 130                |

Young, Otis | 124–27             |

Young, Whitney | 205               |

Young and Rubicam | 79               |